



FREE ODDS & PICKS AT WWW.JIMFEIST.COM

DISPLAY UNTIL OCTOBER 31 JFC 8

Jim Feist's 2003

COLLEGE FOOTBALL

Annual

PREDICTIONS

- Jim Feist names his top 10
- Each conference's order of finish
- All-Conference teams

**NEBRASKA
QUARTERBACK
JAMMAL LORD**

STATISTICS

- Ten years of stats, lines and totals for all 117 teams on the Vegas board
- Team and Individual leaders

GAMING TIPS

- Jim Feist
- Dave Cokin
- Scott Spreitzer
- Glenn McGrew
- Jim Barnes
- Chip Chirimbes
- Mike Lee

ANALYSIS

- 117 Team previews, schedules returning starters and 2002 season statistics



\$6.95 U.S.
AND CANADA



INSIDERS' FOOTBALL NEWS

OFFSHORE SPORTSBOOKS

1

BETONSPORTS.COM

BetOnSports.com the most trusted name in offshore gaming, once again tops our list as the industry leader. The entire world has been captivated by this sporting giant. Whether it be incentives, customer service, clarity of telecommunications, payouts or professionalism, BoS breezed through our 12 pt check list of relevant factors in choosing a sportsbook. Gaining notoriety from such entities as the Jim Rome Show, the Howard Stern Show, Fox Sports and others, BoS has quickly become the Coca-Cola of gaming. Championing their VIP loyalty program extravaganza, BoS has not only raised the bar, but raised the roof. We are sure that you will follow our honest recommendation to join the elite BetOnSports.com, and you will experience the sports wagering company that the entire world is talking about.

www.betonsports.com

1-888-999-9238

2



JagBet.com - This season, Jaguar Sports has blown us out of the water again with their incredible expansion. Once the glowing prodigy of the industry, we have seen Jaguar exceed everyone's expectations of the past 9 years. They have clearly become the "One to watch". Other sportsbooks are even trying to follow in Jaguar's paw prints for their revolutionary online betting program, employee training techniques, and their exclusive, "Win or your money back" promotion. Now sprawling throughout a 50,000 square foot facility, the fastest growing sportsbook is now a legitimate contender for the biggest. Join JagBet.com today and discover why.

www.jagbet.com
1-888-888-9984

3



Millennium Sports has been recognized as the leader in the sports wagering industry for almost a decade. Smart bettors everywhere know that Millennium Sports has the best teaser odds in the world, hands down! Millennium Sports features a two-team six-point teaser at even money, a two-team seven-point teaser at -115 and a three-team 10-point teaser at -110. When you combine the world's best teaser odds with Millennium's generous bonus offers you get a wagering value that cannot be beat. Find out why Millennium Sports is on everyone's list of the top sportsbooks by calling one of their professional customer service representatives today at 1-800-921-BETS or join online in minutes at BetMill.com

www.betmill.com
1-800-921-2387

4

BETTORSTRUST.com

BettorsTrust.com - If you haven't noticed yet, there is a wagering company who has been changing the way the industry is shaped for the past 5 years. We reviewed Bettorstrust.com and were not only impressed with the size, service, and financial stability of this company, but also much more.

BettorsTrust.com realizes that betting on sports is much more than a hobby for many clients. With that in mind, they have unveiled some of the most creative and profitable options for players to capitalize upon. For this reason, we have chosen them as one of our most highly recommended sportsbooks to play with. We loved their incredible insurance plans, hedge betting funds, losses back programs, and top parlay payouts. If you are a player who has a desire to make the most money possible on this year's wagers, you can not afford to overlook our top pick for "earning potential". BettorsTrust.com offers the options you need, and has the vision and resources to be there for you every step of the way.

www.bettorstrust.com
1-866-867-2387



If winning your bets is important to you, you cannot afford to ignore the most powerful coalition of sportsbooks in the world, WagerMall.com. WagerMall combines the top 12 sportsbooks in the world and offers the betting client some invaluable advantages: You can view the lines of all member sportsbooks and bet the one who's got the best line for your bet. One, single account makes you a member of all of the member sportsbooks! You no longer have to spread your funds into multiple sportsbook accounts to get the best line. You'll invest confidently, knowing your money is backed not just by ONE, but also by the finances of all 12 companies. Additionally, you'll maximize your earnings by playing your parlays and teasers with the member book with the highest payout odds for your bet type. Visit WagerMall.com or call 1-888-439-9163 to open the door to the future of sports wagering.

www.wagermall.com 1-888-439-9163

5

AMERICA'S LONGEST RUNNING HANDICAPPING SHOW!

PROLINE

Jim Feist's

Join JIM FEIST and his panel of experts as they analyze and give their predictions on key games in BOTH College and Pro Football. Hosted by All-American, All-Pro Wide Receiver, and the voice of the St. Louis Rams - Jack Snow

**Tune in Saturday Mornings
On *USA* Network**

At 7 a.m. and 9 a.m. Eastern/Pacific

Dish Network TV
Ch. 105
ALL TIMES E.S.T.
On *USA* Network

DIRECT TV
Ch. 242
ALL TIMES E.S.T.
On *USA* Network

**PROLINE
FREE PICK PHONE**

Free winner on a recorded message
updated daily by a Proline Panelist

TOLL-FREE 1.888.260.8235



GLENN MCGREW



SCOTT SPREITZER



DAVE COKIN



**WORLD CHAMPION
HANDICAPPER**

JIM FEIST

FREE WINNERS JUST FOR WATCHING

GUARDIAN GUARANTY CORPORATION

Leading the international gaming business since 1991

Ask about our 105 Club,
Platinum Club and Gold Club
Memberships!


BEST PROMOTIONS IN THE INDUSTRY!

GENEROUS

- Sign-up Bonuses
- Cash Bonuses
- Referral Bonuses
- Free Bets, & More

CALL NOW FOR MORE DETAILS

* Terms and conditions apply



INTEGRITY, SECURITY, TRUST

ONE DEPOSIT - THREE BETTING LINES
Play with **THREE PREMIER SPORTSBOOKS**
using your **SINGLE Guardian account.**

Bet over the phone

1.800.203.3336

Bet online

BetGuardian.com



FAST PAYOUTS, HIGH LIMITS, WORLD-CLASS CUSTOMER SERVICE, SECURE, CONFIDENTIAL TRANSACTIONS, LICENSED, BONDED AND INSURED



Jim Feist's 2003
COLLEGE FOOTBALL
Annual

Publisher:
Jim Feist

Editorial Coordinator:
B.A. Reinhardt

Graphic Artists:
D. Maurice Lofton
Wendy Eberhardt-Petrick

Research Manager:
John Petrick

Line Statistics:
Jeff Saad

Research:
John Cranton
Roger Gertsen
Mike Funaro
Jeff Saad

Advertising Sales
Dennis J. Paulsen



FEATURES

- 4** College football dynasties are dead!
By Jim Feist
- 8** No excuse for not doing
your homework
By Dave Cokin
- 10** Creating your own lines two games in
advance can reveal betting opportunities
By Scott Spreitzer
- 12** Dial "M" for mismatch!
By Glenn McGrew

- 14** First losses can sting a good team
By Jim Barnes
- 16** Handicapping and the weather
By Chip Chirimbis
- 18** Revenge with an attitude
By Mike Lee
- 20** Jim Feist's Top Ten for 2003

INFORMATIONAL SECTION

- 130** Ten-Year statistics of the
Vegas Board Teams

- 256** Heisman Trophy voting;
Past Heisman winners;
Bowl Results; Coaching Changes

- 257** AP Top 25 poll; ESPN/USA Today
Coaches poll; BCS Rankings;
Past national champions

- 258** 2002 Individual & Team Stats

259

INDEX OF COLLEGE TEAMS

259

CONFERENCE PREVIEWS

- 22** Atlantic Coast Conference
- 30** Big 12 Conference
- 40** Big East Conference
- 48** Big Ten Conference
- 58** Conference USA
- 69** Mid-American Conference

- 82** Mountain West Conference
- 88** Pacific-10 Conference
- 98** Southeastern Conference
- 108** Sun Belt Conference
- 118** Western Athletic Conference
- 126** Major Independents

NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER:

Jim Feist's 2003 Football Annuals are published by National Sports Services, Inc., and produced and distributed by Pro Football Weekly. All rights reserved. No portion of this publication may be reproduced without written permission of the publisher. Copyright 2003 by National Sports Services, Inc. Address all inquiries to Jim Feist's Football Annuals, 3140 So. Polaris Ave., Ste. 24, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. The publisher is not responsible for any agreements which may now, or in the future, exist between advertisers and the reader. All information in this publication is provided for news matter only and is not to be used to violate any local, state or federal laws. Printed with pride in the United States of America.

JIM FEIST

COLLEGE FOOTBALL DYNASTIES ARE DEAD!



Once upon a time, Oklahoma won a record 47 games in a row, the Miami Hurricanes won 55 straight home games over a 10-year stretch, and college football saw back-to-back national champions at Army, Notre Dame, Minnesota, Oklahoma, Texas, Michigan State, Alabama and Nebraska. Those days, however, have faded into history, like the T-formation and the Statue of Liberty play.

Last season, No. 1 Miami carried a remarkable 34-game win streak into the Fiesta Bowl. "Dynasty" may or may not have been on the minds of the defending champs, but it certainly was on the lips of many college football fans. Alas, the Hurricanes fell just short in a memorable 31-24 double-overtime loss to Ohio State.

Even if Miami had won, would they be a dynasty with a shot at a three-peat? Miami has lost a ton of talent to the NFL in recent years (WR Santana Moss, OT Bryant McKinnie, RB Clinton Portis, CB Phillip Buchanon to name a few), so how can a program lose that caliber of talent and keep on rolling? It can't.

In years past that wasn't the case, but it's a different game today. It's hard to imagine now, but before cable, satellite dishes and ESPN there was a time when college football Saturdays would feature one or two games on television! This minimal exposure benefited schools like Notre Dame, Alabama and Oklahoma — big-time, big-name programs.

This made recruiting top high school players easier. For example, in the 1960s, if a talented wide receiver in Texas was visited by the head coaches of these five schools — North Carolina State, Washington, Florida State, Marshall and Notre Dame — would any of those football programs stand out above the other? The Fighting Irish would, of course.

The star high school athlete might not

even know where Marshall is (and certainly hadn't seen them play), but today it's very different. All five of those schools played in bowl games last year with numerous national television appearances, and have things that are recognizable and appealing to high school athletes: Marshall and North Carolina State run exciting, wide-open offenses, Florida State and Washington are winning programs with well-known, charismatic coaches (Bobby Bowden, Rick Neuheisel), while Notre Dame is off a 10-win season and has all that tradition. What would be a no-brainer in 1963 is not such an easy choice for a high school athlete in 2003.

Television exposure can work wonders for a program, especially if a school has one dynamic player. In 1982, Boston College and sensational sophomore QB Doug Flutie burst onto the scene, upsetting Texas A&M 38-16 as a +15 dog, followed by a stunning 17-17 tie at defending national champion Clemson as a +13 dog. B.C. went 8-2-1 and played in its first bowl game since 1942! Two years later, Flutie — on national television during Thanksgiving weekend — threw a 48-yard pass on the final play to beat defending champion Miami, 47-45, in a game that's still talked about and can be seen on Classic Sports several times a year.

Flutie pocketed the Heisman Trophy a week later. Now, remember that B.C. hadn't gone to a single bowl game between 1943 and 1981, yet over the last 20 years the Eagles have gone to 10 bowl games, including last year. That's a lot of bowl money and television exposure for a program that was dormant for roughly 40 years! The Eagles still field competitive teams because they're able to recruit better players, aided immeasurably by the excitement and TV exposure of the Flutie Era.

Many schools over the last two decades have benefited from television, charismatic coaches and changes in scholarship rules to

help level the college football playing field, which has turned dynasties into dinosaurs. Money from television contracts is divided among conference schools, which, combined with caps on scholarships, has allowed smaller schools to compete more evenly with the big boys, creating more competitive balance.

This partly explains why it's tougher to repeat as champion. Another factor is the number of games — when Minnesota won back-to-back national titles from 1940-41, the Gophers were 8-0 both years. When undefeated Notre Dame and unbeaten Michigan State played the famous 10-10 tie in 1966 (and split the national championship), both teams finished 9-0-1.

Compare those records with today when teams play significantly more games. In 1984, BYU became the first champion to win 13 games. Recent champs Alabama (1992), Tennessee (1998) and Oklahoma (2000) all went 13-0, while last year Ohio State was the first champion to go 14-0. The Buckeyes played 29% more games than the best teams of 1966 and almost twice as many games as Minnesota's champs in 1941!

All these effects combined to slowly shift the balance of power, and it surfaced dramatically beginning in 1980. In the 33 college football seasons after World War II (1946-'79), five schools — Alabama, Oklahoma, Nebraska, USC and Notre Dame — won or shared a national title 25 times in those 33 years.

Starting in 1980, the dynasties began to take a backseat with surprise champions popping up regularly, including five in a row in Georgia (1980), Clemson (1981), Penn State (1982), Miami (1983), and BYU (1984). Those schools were voted football championships for the first time, and were later followed by first-timers Colorado, Georgia Tech, Washington and Florida State. From 1946-'79, a team was voted back-to-

Get The Information That Millions View Every Single Week!

WWW.JIMFEIST.COM

The Most Complete Handicapping Site on the Web!

- Live Lines & Odds • Expert Picks • In-depth Analyses
- Current & Archived Scores • Up-To-Date Handicapping Stats
- Contests • Injuries • Weather Reports • Veteran Advice
- Pro Selections and More!!!

ALL FREE ONLINE

The screenshot shows the Jim Feist website with a navigation menu including INDEX & PICKS, PRO FOOTBALL, COL FOOTBALL, PRO BASKETBALL, COL BASKETBALL, HANDICAPPERS, BASEBALL, HOCKEY, HORSE RACING, and OTHER SPORTS. A 'HOT LINKS' section lists various services like 'Today's Proline Radio' and 'Sports Scores'. A 'FREE PROLINE PICKS' banner is at the top. Below, there are sections for 'JIM FEIST', 'DAVE COKIN', 'SCOTT SPREITZER', 'GLENN MCGREW', 'MIKE LEE', and 'CHIP CHIRIMBES', each with a brief bio and a photo. A 'HOT DEALS' section offers 'FREE WINNERS' and a newsletter subscription.

Your Single Source For Sports Handicapping Information

Dave Cokin

Scott Spreitzer

Glenn McGrew

Chip Chirimbis

Mike Lee

Jim Barnes

Jim Feist
World Champion
Handicapper

WWW.JIMFEIST.COM

back national champion nine different times. It has only happened once since 1980 (Nebraska, 1994-'95).

Perhaps the most significant factor to help level the playing field has been the changing role of coaches. Joe Paterno has headed Penn State football since 1966, while Nebraska had two coaches (Bob Devaney and Tom Osborne) from 1962-97. This is remarkable continuity, but it doesn't happen anymore. Winning football programs bring in such big money to universities that schools are quick to throw millions to lure coaches or talented assistants — and are just as quick to pull the plug if winning doesn't follow immediately.

Notre Dame's Bob Davie got a contract extension in 2000 after a 9-3 season, then a year later was fired after a 5-6 campaign. Jimmy Johnson went from Oklahoma State, to Miami, to the NFL, while Lou Holtz has had great success at Minnesota, Arkansas, Notre Dame and South Carolina. No one argues how talented Johnson and Holtz are as head coaches, but no athletic director was able to keep them very long, either, even Notre Dame. Can you picture Knute Rockne or Frank Leahy leaving Notre Dame for South Carolina?

The coach-

ing carousel is now common, but was very different in the old days, when coaches would move up to big-name programs and stay forever.

Good coaches have tremendous value, with many responsible for almost single-handedly rebuilding a program and turning it into a national power. The Big 12 is a good example. For decades Oklahoma and Nebraska were pretty much the only two competitive schools, with the conference winner often coming down to the late-season Sooners-Huskers clash.

Today there is strong competition in the Big 12, with six teams finishing last season with winning records and three others (Nebraska, Texas A&M and Iowa State) at .500. Kansas State's Bill Snyder is a textbook example. Snyder took a Kansas State football team that entered the 1990s on a 1-31-1 SU/10-18 ATS run from 1987-89. Using creative recruiting (junior colleges), increased scholarships, improved practice and training facilities, and unearthing good assistant coaches, Snyder built the Wildcats into a strong, competitive program that has had nine straight bowl appearances after last year's 34-27 Holiday Bowl win over Arizona State. Bowl appearances mean big money and coveted exposure for universities.

You can't keep good assistant coaches down, either — two of Snyder's assistants were Bob and Mike Stoops, before both moved on to Oklahoma in 1999. From 1995-98, Oklahoma was a combined 17-27-1 SU and 15-28-1 against the spread with a humiliating 73-21 loss to rival Nebraska. The Stoops brothers came to town and two years later Oklahoma celebrated a 13-0 national championship season in 2000.

Just as Paterno is synonymous with Penn State, Bobby Bowden is Florida State football. The

Seminoles were never a major gridiron force until the imaginative Bowden arrived in 1976, and he turned FSU into one of the top teams in

the country each fall, winning national titles in 1993 and '99. Since '91, Florida State did not have a losing record against the spread ... until last season. FSU stumbled to 9-5 SU/5-8 ATS last year in a disappointing campaign.

Certainly the 2002 Seminoles were a young team, but what is often lost in the shuffle is that — like Snyder at K-State — Bowden has lost key assistants in recent years. Former defensive coordinator Chuck Amato left to take over North Carolina State and had the Wolfpack in the Top 20 last season after an impressive 11-

3 SU/9-3 ATS campaign. Bowden's former offensive coordinator, Mark Richt, moved on to Georgia and after just two seasons had the Bulldogs at 13-1 straight-up and 10-3 against the spread last year!

Georgia's rise under Richt has been like a rocket, and has coincided with Florida State's decline the last two years. Notice that Georgia is 8-1 SU/ATS on the road under Richt! If your team is that good away from home, that's not luck, as much as it is great preparation and coaching.

The Bulldogs capped their 13-1 season with a January victory in the Sugar Bowl, 26-13, over Florida State. It was Richt against Bowden, with the young student topping his old teacher. Coincidence? Hardly. It was a changing of the guard, of sorts, that reflects the balance shift in college football. This also happened between Amato and Bowden, as the last two seasons North Carolina State has upset Florida State twice, winning 34-28 as a +18 dog in 2001 and 17-7 last fall as a +5 dog.

The best coaches are no longer standing in line to get the top job at big-name schools. Pete Carroll has revived USC football, but only after the Trojans suffered through Paul Hackett's tenure, which ended in 2000 during a 5-7 SU/3-9 ATS campaign. Notre Dame hit the jackpot with Holtz and Tyrone Willingham sandwiched around the forgettable Davie.

Nebraska appears to be in a downward cycle under head coach Frank Solich. After getting blasted 37-14 by Miami in the 2002 national title game, followed by last year's dismal 7-7 record (4-8-1 ATS) and an Independence Bowl loss to Mississippi as a favorite, Huskers fans have been vocal in their dissatisfaction. Solich has consistently been outcoached in big games and appears in over his head — the same head Huskers fans may be screaming for soon!

No recent college football powerhouse suffered more from a coaching change than Florida. The Gators were a force for a decade under Steve Spurrier, never winning fewer than nine games and claiming the national title in 1996. Spurrier assembled a talented group of young players that went 20-5 SU and 17-8 against the spread from 2000-01 before leaving. His last Gators team averaged 43.8 points under his wide-open, aggressive offensive philosophy.

Last year, new coach Ron Zook took over essentially the same Florida team, led by QB Rex Grossman, but averaged 25.8 points in a disappointing 8-5 SU/4-9 ATS season. Florida lost to Mississippi 17-14 as a 13-point favorite, looked lost in a 36-7 home defeat to LSU as an eight-point favorite, and got hammered by state rivals Miami and Florida State.

Yes, the dynasties are dying, so get used to it, and if you have any doubts about how important coaching is in college football, just ask a few Gators and Cornhuskers fans!

FALLING DOWN: QB Chris Rix and Florida State look to be declining.

BIG GAME-WINNERS CONTINUE FOR JIM FEIST

**Call now for PRESEASON FOOTBALL including
Jim's PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR**

Yet Another Big Winner Last Year:

**2002 Preseason G.O.Y. August 30th
Chiefs 23 (+3) over Rams 16 WINNER**

PLUS

**All of Jim's College and Pro
EXECUTIVE SERVICE PLAYS
FOR SEPTEMBER**

That's 2 months of Winners

Call Toll-Free

JUST

\$79

1.877.677.9467



Visit www.JimFeist.com for more GREAT OFFERS!

DAVE COKIN

NO EXCUSE FOR NOT DOING YOUR HOMEWORK



There's no excuse for not being informed. Not anymore. Call this a blast toward the betting squares of the world if you wish, but for the life of me, I cannot comprehend how any serious player strides to the betting window (or in most cases, just picks up the phone) on a given Saturday without having armed himself with the latest information available. This becomes particularly troublesome when it's realized just how much information is at hand, and how simple it is to access.

Not so many years ago, getting the complete lowdown on even the most high-profile college programs was a time-consuming task. Even with the utmost effort, it seemed impossible to glean every piece of data that might be pertinent to a particular contest. But that's hardly the

case anymore.

Yet a quick scan of any of the betting forums that abound on the Internet will quickly indicate that the whopping majority of those posting their opinions haven't the slightest idea of what they're talking about. I'm not judging their handicapping instincts or abilities when I make this statement. I'm instead referring to the obvious lack of homework that's been done involving teams they're betting on or against.

Every school that's on the betting board has a website. Inside that website, one can easily access team reports. These generally include injury updates, press conference notes, comments by coaches and much more. Every school that's on the betting board is also covered by at least one daily newspaper. All those newspapers can also be located with little difficulty. Articles written by beat writers whose primary assignment is to cover their local team frequently offer great


insight into what's really happening within the squad.

On the surface this may seem like a daunting and time-consuming task. I'll admit that getting started might take some extra effort. One has to do a little research to find the web addresses of the schools and the local newspapers. But once that preliminary work is completed and the proper sites are bookmarked, it's not hard to develop a routine that doesn't chew up the entire day. And the end result is a bettor who's informed and isn't likely to get caught with his pants down when placing a bet.

College basketball is a different animal in terms of the time required to stay on top of everything. With so many teams on the board and so many games being played, a little selectivity might be necessary for many bettors. It's probably wise for most people who can't handicap full time to isolate a few conferences and concentrate their research efforts in those leagues. But in college football, the number of teams is far less and they only play once a week. There's just no reason not to be up to speed on the college football scene if you're going to rely on yourself to decide which teams to play.

I'll conclude with this comment. If you're going to bet your own opinion this season, do it the right way and give yourself your best chance to win. Otherwise, call me... I'll be happy to do the work for you!

STEPPING OUT: Informed handicappers knew Seneca Wallace and Iowa State were improved, so their fast start was no surprise.



A Documented Champion

Dave Cokin is STILL the Only Handicapper Who Can Lay Claim to
EVER Winning BOTH Major Las Vegas Football Championship Titles...
And Smokin' Dave Cokin Continues To Make History

Call Now And Get "The Champ's"
ENTIRE PRESEASON FOR ONLY \$49



SPECIAL BONUS:

For The First Time EVER, All Callers
Will Receive BOTH Dave's Preseason Game
of Year and Preseason Total-of-the-Year

ABSOLUTELY FREE

2002 Preseason Game-of-the-Year: Redskins(+4) over Buccaneers WINNER 40-10
2002 Pro Game-of-the-Year: Broncos (-5) over Seahawks WINNER 31-09
2002 College Game-of-the-Year: Oregon State (-15) over Arizona WINNER 38-03



**Don't Miss Out on ANOTHER Winning
Football Season - Call Now**

Toll-Free



1.888.823.3283

Visit Dave daily at www.JimFeist.com

SCOTT SPREITZER

CREATING YOUR OWN LINES TWO GAMES IN ADVANCE CAN REVEAL BETTING OPPORTUNITIES



In the six seasons that I have written for the Jim Feist College Football Annual, I've covered a range of some of my best college handicapping tools. From teams who dominate the line of scrimmage, to revenge angles, to the art of staying away from "losers", to recognizing "back-fitting" when it comes to using trends. This season, I'll share with you one of the best pieces of advice I have ever received. This is a tool to be used after you have a firm grasp on matching up one team's strengths and weaknesses against another's — and have developed a set of power ratings you are comfortable with.

Last season, Smash Mouth college football clients garnered well over 200 units of profit! I've always considered college football to be my bread-and-butter in the world of sports handicapping and wagering. Growing up in football-rich Nebraska, most males utter the words "triple-option" long before taking their first steps in life. So, it's easy to see why I love college football — and why I truly enjoy dissecting each Saturday's college football card.

Having said that, one piece of advice that I received from longtime Las Vegas sports gambler Shaun Hess fits right into my knowledge of the actual on-field matchups. His suggestion was to make lines on games two weeks in advance. We all know that an oddsmaker does not make a line based on what he thinks the final score will be. The line is installed based on what bookmakers believe the better's perception will be. Simply put, it's set to make him the most without taking too much of a chance of getting hammered. Put it out there and let the public have at it, then

make your adjustments to get the most out of your number.

First of all, you must get a solid feel for power ratings and where numbers should be based on the pertinent information you have come up with. The public will always place too much credence on a team's most recent performance. For example, if Team A is to play Team B on Sept. 27, don't make your line on Sept. 21.

Instead, make your line before both teams play their Sept. 20 games. When you develop a knack for doing this, you'll tend to stay away from public opinion.

A perfect example came in last year's Nebraska vs. Penn State tilt. Having a firm grasp on the Huskers' chance for a downfall heading into the season, I watched them struggle through more than three quarters of offensive futility against Arizona State before turning a couple of ASU special-teams blunders and turnovers into a misleading final score of 48-10.

NU struggled again the next week in a 31-16 win over Troy State.


Finally, the Big Red

did what the public thought they would and whipped Utah State 44-13. By just looking at the final scores, many average bettors thought the Huskers owned Games One and Three, and went through the motions against Troy State. Meanwhile, at the same time, the nation got a glimpse of Penn State barely escaping defeat in a 27-24 win in Happy Valley against UCF.

Well, I had already installed Penn State as a three-point favorite over the Huskers, 24 hours before Nebraska played Utah State, and before Penn State squeaked past UCF. The oddsmaker had to make the adjustment for the betting public 48 hours after I set my line based on what had taken place that Saturday. The line was set, and Nebraska opened as a 4.5-point favorite. Obviously, fresh in the public's mind was Penn State's narrow victory margin over UCF and Nebraska's seemingly easy wins over ASU and USU. Wow! That's a huge difference in the world of sports handicapping. Knowing the amount of work I put into my releases, I knew on Sunday night, six days before kickoff that I had the potential for a serious play on Penn State. Final score: Penn State 40, Nebraska 7!

Setting a line two games in advance is not an automatic. You must have a firm grasp on player and game-plan matchups, not to mention a few other intangibles that have allowed me to handicap and wager successfully season after season. However, being able to make a "true line" as I like to call it — before public opinion sets in, before the "what have you done for me lately" inevitably influences an opening line, you can give yourself a strong advantage against the spread. And after all, even we Huskers fans grow up and realize that Against the Spread is what it's all about!

NO SURPRISE: Nebraska and Jammal Lord were not as good as early games indicated.



WIN EARLY AND WIN BIG!

With Scott Spreitzer of SmashMouth Sports

For the past 2 years, Scott has DESTROYED the early lines in August and September.

Insider's
PRO FOOTBALL: 7-1

Insider's
COLLEGE FOOTBALL: 11-4

That's 18-5 combined - 78% WINNERS!!!

gets you August's and September's
\$49 HAMMER PLAYS
PLUS

Your first 2
INSIDER PLAYS
at no additional cost

SMASHMOUTH BONUS:

Scott's Pro Insider Game-of-the-Year

AND

September's College Game-of-the-Month

ABSOLUTELY FREE

Scott
Spreitzer

Last year's College
Game-of-the-Month:
IOWA ST. UNDERDOG
WON OUTRIGHT BY 22

CALL
TOLL-FREE



1.877.567.8624

330 S. Decatur Blvd. #268 Las Vegas, NV 89107

GLENN McGREW

DIAL "M" FOR MISMATCH!



Professional handicappers love mismatches. Mismatches come in many forms, such as a basketball team with two seven footers against an opponent with a 6-foot-6 center, or a football team that has a great rushing offense against a team that can't stop the run.

In last year's Super Bowl, Tampa Bay had a clear overall defensive advantage over Oakland, but a more subtle edge was in the passing game. That is, Oakland was terrific on defense at stopping the run, but poor against the pass (23rd in the NFL) with a secondary that battled injuries all season. Tampa Bay couldn't run the ball on offense, but had an effective passing attack (13th in the league), and exploiting this mismatch helped the Bucs win the title.

It's essential to carefully break down statistics like this. For example, Tampa Bay's overall offense was ranked 22nd, which is in the lower half of the league, but their short- to medium-range passing attack jumped significantly into the upper-half of the

league statistically.

College football offers many more opportunities to identify mismatches. Part of it is that there are so many more teams, and part of it is that there are more frequent mismatches

because of teams jumping to different levels of play (MAC teams playing the Big 12, for example). Also, teams have varied styles of play — one team might run the ball a lot (Nebraska, Air Force), other teams might pass often (Hawaii, Texas Tech, Boise State), while other teams have balanced, pro-style offenses (Miami (Fla.), Oregon, Florida State).

You can find dozens of subtle mismatches every college football Saturday, and it's important to study each matchup carefully while comparing stats, strengths and weaknesses, and your point spread to the actual number.

A game that stands out for me last season took place Oct. 5 when Bowling Green hosted Ohio. Bowling Green has had a sensational offense the last two

years, both running and passing. Last year, the offense had eight starters back to a team that scored 30 points per game in 2001. The '02 Falcons were even better, scoring more than 40 points per game with incredible balance: 219 yards rushing per game and 229 yards passing!

Most impressive was BGSU's 3-0 start, with wins against two Big 12 schools. Bowling Green ripped Missouri 51-28 (as a +4 dog), then smashed Kansas 39-16 as an 11-point road favorite! It was clear this talented, veteran team was in for a strong season again. The next game, Bowling Green came home to play a one-dimensional (all running) Ohio club that was 1-4, with losses to Northeastern (31-0) and Connecticut (37-19) and a 34-32 home win over Buffalo as an 8.5-point favorite. Most telling was that Buffalo had 435 total yards against Ohio — what, then, would mighty Bowling Green do?

The year before, Bowling Green's strong defense had posted a 17-0 shutout at Ohio, and with the BGSU offense rolling over consecutive Big 12 teams, the 2002 matchup looked like a rout. I made it my College Football Game of the Year. Those of you who were with me last fall remember it well — we all cashed in with a laugh: Bowling Green 72, Ohio 21!

Bowling Green had 692 total yards to Ohio's 205, and — not surprisingly — Ohio could not stop the Falcons' offensive balance of 349 yards rushing, 343 yards passing! Conversely, Ohio's one-dimensional offense had 12 yards passing against BGSU's talented and well-coached defense. As you can see, luck is the residue of hard work, and successful professional handicappers aren't lucky — the profit is earned the old-fashioned way.



MISMATCH: Bowling Green and QB Josh Harris gave bettors an easy win when they beat Ohio.

BIG PLAYS mean BIG WINNERS

with Glenn McGrew at **Prime Time Plays**

08/24/02 Pro Preseason G.O.Y.
10/05/02 College G.O.Y.
11/04/02 Pro Monday Night G.O.Y.
11/10/02 Pro Underdog G.O.Y.
11/16/02 College Underdog G.O.Y.
11/24/02 Pro G.O.Y.
12/26/02 College Bowl G.O.Y.
01/19/03 Pro Playoff G.O.Y.

Denver (-3) over Arizona
Bowling Green (-24½) over Ohio U
Green Bay (-4½) over Miami
Kansas City (+6) over San Francisco
Rice (+8) over Hawaii
Miami (-3) over San Diego
Pittsburgh (+2½) over Oregon St.
Philadelphia (-4) over Tampa Bay

19-13 WINNER
72-21 WINNER
24-10 WINNER
13-17 WINNER
28-33 WINNER
30-13 WINNER
38-13 WINNER
10-27 LOSS

THAT'S 7-1!

An amazing 87% Winners!

Call now for all of Glenn's **PRESEASON FOOTBALL**
PLUS his PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR

Just **\$49**

SPECIAL BONUS FOR EARLY CALLERS!!

First 500 callers will also receive
Glenn's Gridiron selections for the
month of September - Absolutely FREE!

Visit Glenn daily at JimFeist.com



Glenn McGrew

Once again Glenn shows you that the **BIGGER** the game, the **BETTER** your chances with Prime Time Plays!!

CALL
TOLL-
FREE

1.877.484.3749



3395 S. Jones #213 Las Vegas, NV 89146

JIM BARNES

FIRST LOSSES CAN STING A GOOD TEAM



Not all losses are created equal. In college football, a good team that suffers its first loss can be greatly affected — for better or worse. Some teams can come out like angry wildcats after loss No. 1, especially if they start the season 6-0, for example, or are still in the running for the national title. However, other teams can be so emotionally devastated they don't recover and keep on losing (straight-up and against the spread).

Let's look closely at what's happening: Football leadership is extremely important (the head coach or key players). Does a team have the mental toughness and leadership to focus clearly on the next game?

After a gut-wrenching loss, a good head coach may be full of fire during practice, and capable assistants may throw in new wrinkles rather than stick to last week's game plan, which resulted in defeat.

A year ago, Boston College had a big showdown with No. 1 Miami and played well in a 38-6

loss (trailing 10-6 at the half, 17-6 in the fourth), for their first loss. A week later, the Eagles took out their frustration on Central Michigan, winning 43-0 as a 20-point favorite! Florida State played a nationally televised game at Louisville on a Thursday night and suffered its first loss, 26-20 in overtime.

The Seminoles had a few extra days of practice and had no trouble rolling over A C C - r i v a l Clemson, 48-31 as a 14-point favorite.


Naturally, just because a team loses its first game doesn't mean it will come back with a vengeance the next week. Teams lacking leadership can fall apart, as if they're still focused on last week's loss rather than this week's game!

This appeared to take place with Bowling Green last fall. The Falcons were riding an 11-game win streak, second only to top-ranked Miami, and were talking about a

possible BCS bowl after an 8-0 start. All that vanished in a showdown at Northern Illinois, a 26-17 defeat as a 3.5-point favorite, and Bowling Green never recovered. BGSU lost 29-7 at South Florida the next week and finished the season 1-3 SU/ATS.

That Bowling Green loss was in November, which brings up another aspect: How long into the season a team goes before losing the first game. This can provide spots where a team gets off to a strong start and then a tough defeat can really anger the boys — or emotionally cripple a team. In 2000, Texas Christian and head coach Dennis Franchione were rolling along at 7-0 and didn't lose a game until the first week of November, 27-24 at San Jose State as a two-TD favorite. The next three games TCU went 3-0 SU/ATS, winning by an average score of 44-9! Franchione is a proven winning coach, and a positive bounce-back is more likely with a great coach.

Last fall, Oklahoma was in the running for the national title before a 30-26 loss at Texas A&M as a 10-point favorite. Pity poor Baylor the next week — head coach Bob Stoops had the Sooners fired up and they whipped the Bears 49-9, covering as a 38-point chalk. So learn to read between the lines, like smart handicappers do. Teams lacking leadership can fold after a gut-wrenching defeat, but teams with good coaches can turn a painful loss into a blowout win the next week, both straight-up and against the spread!



REBOUND EFFECT: Bob Stoops won't allow his Sooners to lose two straight.

www.JimBarnes.com

Put over 30 years of Sports Analysis to work for you with JimBarnes.com

Many of today's handicapping analysis and trends are based on Jim's original findings as he pioneered much of what today is taken for granted. An accomplished author and teacher, who taught handicapping theory at Las Vegas's Community College, Jim brings a unique blend of old and new to sports handicapping.

Now, the same unique forecasts and trends that both the Stardust and Mirage sports books use in their daily point spread formation is available to you!

Get complete access to JimBarnes.com which includes projected scores on ALL of Jim's games PLUS Jim's Best Bet of the Week for only

\$25 per month!

Join today at JimBarnes.com and cash in on what the rest of the world has known for decades.



www.JIMBARNES.COM

CHIP CHIRIMBES

HANDICAPPING AND THE WEATHER



Sports fans and handicappers are familiar with ESPN and ESPN2 and all the various satellite sports channels in an attempt to get a firsthand look at football teams from around the country. This can help a handicapper identify edges for upcoming games, such as a team's style of play, whether a quarterback can handle blitzing pressure, or what kind of defensive schemes a team runs.

However, another satellite broadcast to keep a regular eye on is The Weather Channel. Weather conditions are an important element in sports betting. A great example occurred in the Humanitarian Bowl in Boise, Idaho last year.

Boise State won the WAC and got to play in the game, so they had the home-field advantage against Iowa State. Boise (11-

1) was undefeated at home with a 4-2 ATS mark, where the offense was unstoppable, scoring 38, 63, 58, 49, 36 and 67 points in six home games! Naturally, Boise was a 10-point favorite over Iowa State and the total was 70.

I won't argue with Boise being a 10-point favorite, but a total of 70? In an outdoor game in late December? In Boise, Idaho? The statistics may have suggested a 40-30 final score, but the total was clearly too high when you factor in the weather. The game took place on Dec. 31, and sure enough the weather was cold, rainy and slightly windy, which is why the total was bet down from 70 to 65.

Boise had a sensational passing offense, while Iowa State had a good ground attack and a poor defense. Under normal conditions, a total of 70 might be a reasonable number, but that was much too high for a passing offense like Boise in late December. For the record, the halftime score was 10-7 Iowa State, and Boise eventually won

34-16. That's 50 total points — three touchdowns less than the original total.

It's essential for serious sports bettors to keep up with changes in the weather nationwide. Jim Feist's Sportstracker has updated weather information to help you keep track of wind conditions, rain and game-time temperatures. If passing teams like Boise, Texas Tech, BYU or Hawaii are playing in a 30-mph wind, for example, that's vital info to be aware of if you're about to place your hard-earned money on the game.

It's easy to forget about weather conditions when football season starts: September and October are generally nice weather months around the country. In November and December, however, the cold weather begins to creep in, which adds another element to handicapping analysis.

Hard rain or even light drizzle can significantly affect the side and total. Two years ago, Middle Tennessee State lost 24-21 as a 14-point favorite at North Texas. A steady rain forced the Blue Raiders into turnover after turnover and North Texas got the win as a +500 money-line underdog!

So don't flip the channel when you see local and national meteorologists. In the world of sports handicapping, all kinds of factors can help you find betting edges.

WEATHER OR NOT: Ryan Dinwiddie and Boise State were held well under their home scoring average by wintry conditions in December.



Join America's Proven Big Play Expert
for a Preseason Packed with Profit
Call the **MASTER** of the **BIG PLAY**
and you will receive
August's and September's
VIP SERVICE

**PLUS CHIPPER'S 2003
PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR**

**2002 Preseason
Game-of-the-Year
Chiefs 23 (+3) over
Rams 16 WINNER!!!**

All for just **\$49**

The Key to Winning is Information - Call Now - Toll-Free


1.888.825.0051



Visit Chip daily at www.JimFeist.com

MIKE LEE

REVENGE WITH AN ATTITUDE



Those of you familiar with me know that each fall I put together upcoming "Payback Situations" — college football games with more at stake than meets the eye. The obvious situations are homecoming or rivalry games such as Notre Dame-USC or Florida-Florida State. However, another area is potential revenge spots.

Factors that stand out include: Was it the only home loss of the season? Was it a rare shutout defeat? Was it the first loss after a long winning streak? Was it the only defeat for the entire season? Was it an embarrassing national television loss? Here are some upcoming college football revenge games that you can circle on your calendar.

Sept. 13: Penn State at Nebraska: Not a clash of conference rivals as the Big Ten meets the Big 12, but what a fascinating early season game with echoes of 2002. Penn State's resurgence last season was first noticed at the expense of Nebraska. The Lions were a 5-point home dog, but handed Nebraska its first defeat in a stunning 40-7 rout!

Frank Solich and his staff looked lost as the Lions roared and Joe Paterno's offense clicked on all cylinders with 217 yards rushing and 259 passing! Penn State was 3-1 ATS on the road last year, and Solich gets an early chance to win back some of the Huskers' faithful.

Sept. 20: Tennessee at Florida: These two longtime SEC powerhouses had disappointing campaigns and look to bounce back. Tennessee had dreams of a national championship, but that was squashed early in a 30-13 home loss to Florida as a 5.5-point favorite. That was one of the few highlights for first-year Florida head coach Ron Zook, whose team also underachieved (8-5 SU/4-9 ATS).

Zook is no Steve Spurrier and he may need another win over UT after ending the season with dismal losses to rival Florida State (31-

14) and a 38-30 bowl loss to Michigan. The Volunteers have two weeks to prepare for this year's meeting and the fur will be flyin'!

Oct. 11: Miami (Fla.) at Florida State: This rivalry has produced some memorable football games over the years, including No. 1 Miami's stunning 28-27 comeback over Florida State last fall. The Seminoles dominated the game for three quarters, leading 27-14 as a 13-point underdog behind RB Greg Jones (189 yards and a touchdown).

Then, the fateful fourth quarter: QB Ken Dorsey rallied the Hurricanes with a pair of late touchdowns and Florida State missed a field goal as time expired (has that ever happened between these rivals?) This meeting will be at Florida State where Seminoles fans will be screaming for a win and no last-second kicks.

Oct. 25: Notre Dame at Boston College: Wearing green jerseys

at home for the first time in 17 years, Notre Dame fumbled away a perfect season with a 14-7 loss to Boston College as a big favorite right in front of Touchdown Jesus. It was Irish head coach Tyrone Willingham's first defeat at ND and a painful one.


The Irish couldn't hold onto the ball, losing at home as a 9-point favorite. A little history: In 1993, an unbeaten Notre Dame team closing in on a national title lost 41-39 at home to Boston College as a 15-point favorite. A year later, Notre Dame was a 10-point favorite at B.C. ... and lost 30-11. So much for Irish revenge!

Nov. 1: Florida at Georgia: Georgia's brilliant 13-1 season was marred by only one blemish. Ranked fifth in the country, the Bulldogs got upset by Rex Grossman and Florida, 20-13. The loss spoiled head coach Mark Richt's chance of taking Georgia to the national-title game in his second season.

Nothing went right for the Bulldogs, who committed three turnovers and went 0-for-13 on third-down conversions. Georgia suffered some growing pains and will be gunning for the Gators this time around. Can you say pumped up?

Nov. 8: Texas A&M at Oklahoma: 8-0 Oklahoma went to Texas A&M as a 10-point favorite, and lost 30-26 to a freshman quarterback! Texas A&M's Reggie McNeal came off the bench to throw for 191 yards and four touchdowns. Oklahoma was in line for another shot at a national title, until the Aggies spoiled the party. Can the freshman QB do it again? The Sooners at home have a revenge factor larger than Alaska.

PAYBACK: ND head coach Tyrone Willingham and the Irish have certainly circled Oct. 25 on the calendar.



Win with a Las Vegas legend this Preseason!

Mike Lee has won the most prestigious football handicapping contests in Las Vegas and is gearing up for another huge year!

1985 Castways Handicapping Challenge WINNER!

1989 Hilton Handicapping Contest WINNER!

2001 Sunset Invitational Contest WINNER!

2002 Stardust Invitational Contest Runner-up!

Mike Lee Sports

**Call right now
and cash in with the
"Moneymaker's" Lee Line**
releases for ALL of August
INCLUDING his Preseason
Game-of-the-Year!

All For Only \$49

Toll-Free

1.888.729.7223



Visit Mike daily at www.JimFeist.com



JIM FEIST'S TOP TEN COLLEGIATE PICKS

OKLAHOMA SOONERS

1 The Sooners lose QB Nate Hybl and RB Quentin Griffin, but return nine starters, including DT Tommie Harris (below), on a defense that finished 10th in the nation last season. The question is: can the offense match the defensive intensity while plugging in new faces at quarterback and running back, as well as replacing the top four receivers in school history? However, there are plenty of talented players to fill those positions and the two big hurdles will be their second-game road trip to a Mike Shula-coached Alabama squad and a trip to Colorado in late October (not to mention a showdown with Texas). If Oklahoma passes those tests, it's not hard to imagine them in the Big 12 championship game and the Sugar Bowl.



OHIO STATE BUCKEYES

2 The thinking last season was that the Buckeyes were a year away from developing into a top contender. One year and one national championship later you can assume that OSU is a top contender for the title once again this season. The Buckeyes return all 11 starters on offense, including standout RB Maurice Clarett who, despite injury problems last year, rushed for 1,237 yards and 18 touchdowns as a true freshman. The only thing standing in the way of a repeat title is the schedule. The Buckeyes host Washington and North Carolina State in the first three weeks, and they travel to Wisconsin, Penn State and Michigan in conference play. Remember, they barely slipped past each of those teams last year. It remains to be seen whether they will be as lucky this season.



KANSAS STATE WILDCATS

4 The Wildcats return seven starters on offense and five on defense, and once again pad their early season schedule with cupcakes like McNeese State and Massachusetts. The loss of CB Terence Newman, LB Terry Pierce and DT Tank Reese makes it harder for the top-ranked scoring defense (11.8 ppg), but the offense returns in great shape with versatile QB Ell Roberson (1,580 passing yards and 7 TD passes, with another 1,032 rushing yards and 16 rushing TDs) and RB Darren Sproles (6.2 yards per carry, 17 TDs). If the Wildcats find a way to spread opposing defenses out a little better with some long passes, the team looks like a fairly easy choice to win the Big 12 North, although road games at Texas and Oklahoma State could produce upsets.



MIAMI HURRICANES

6 After an upset loss to Ohio State in the Fiesta Bowl, the Hurricanes have some question marks despite returning 15 starters. Miami loses virtually its entire defensive line as well as QB Ken Dorsey, RB Willis McGahee, WR Andre Johnson and the starting left guard and center on offense. Add to the "reloading" at these key positions the fact that Miami must travel to Boston College, Florida State, Virginia Tech and Pittsburgh and the road to the Sugar Bowl doesn't look quite as easy as last year. Still, Miami has an outstanding secondary and LBs D.J. Williams and Jonathan Vilma are two of the nation's best. Plus, RB Frank Gore, who averaged 9.1 yards per carry as a true freshman, returns after missing all of the 2002 season with a knee injury, so the cupboard is by no means completely bare.



VIRGINIA TECH HOKIES

3 In keeping with what can be considered a trend in this year's Top 10, the Hokies are a solid team with question marks at the QB position, although Bryan Randall has the inside edge on the job with a challenge coming from Mike Vick's younger brother Marcus. Once again, the strength will be the defense, which loses only two starters off a squad that allowed opponents just 18.8 ppg. last year. Replacing RB Lee Suggs, one of four offensive starters lost, will be Kevin Jones who has amassed more than 1,800 yards the past two years, despite starting only three games. The month of November starts with a home game against Miami (Fla.) and a road game against Pittsburgh. Those two games should determine how far the Hokies will go this season.



AUBURN TIGERS

5 The Tigers return eight starters on each side of the ball and hold high expectations after being somewhat overlooked the past three years, despite winning at least a share of the SEC West each season. In 2003, expect Auburn to get the full attention of its opposition and road games at Georgia Tech, Arkansas, LSU and Georgia will all be extremely tough — not that hosting Tennessee, Mississippi State and Mississippi will be easy (or finishing with rival Alabama). QB Jason Campbell, who split time with Daniel Cobb last season and threw for 1,215 yards at a 63 percent clip with 11 TDs vs. 5 INTs looks solid, and has a solid weapon in TE-WR Anthony Mix. Redshirt freshman WR Courtney Taylor impressed in spring practice, while RB Carnell Williams works to recover from last season's ankle injury that prevented a possible Heisman Trophy bid.



N.C. STATE WOLFPACK

7 Led by one of this year's Heisman favorites, QB Philip Rivers (3,353 passing yards, 20 TDs, 10 INTs last season), and returning seven starters on offense, the Wolfpack looks to improve on the country's 18th-ranked scoring offense (32.86 ppg.). Defensively, N.C. State loses six starters from a squad that led the ACC in total defense and finished the season by not allowing an offensive TD in nine straight quarters against Florida State and Notre Dame. However, the entire defensive line will feature new faces. The schedule is tough, with road games at Wake Forest, Ohio State, Georgia Tech and Florida State, and a season-ending home game against Maryland, but their chances of winning the ACC look bright provided the players adapt to some of the new coaching staff quickly.



TEXAS LONGHORNS

8 Now that Chris Simms has graduated, can the Longhorns finally get past Oklahoma? Well, the guess is no, but if they can survive a schedule that includes games against the Sooners, Kansas State and Arkansas at home, and Oklahoma State and Texas A&M on the road with a questionable offensive line, but fantastic receivers, well then, they could be competing for the national championship. QB Chance Mock takes over for Simms and will look for standout WR Roy Williams (64 catches, 1,840 yards and 12 TDs last season) more often than not, but it would help if RB Cedric Benson would improve his yards per carry and keep opposing defenses honest. With nine returning defensive starters, look for the Longhorns to be strong on that side of the ball, too.



USC TROJANS

9 The Trojans return just six starters on offense and six on defense, and lose QB Carson Palmer, virtually all their running backs and standout WR Kareem Kelly. Sure, they had a great recruiting class, but USC is going to have to integrate the new faces quickly as they start the season with a road game against Auburn, and will also have road games in October at Notre Dame and Washington in consecutive weeks. The good news? Head coach Pete Carroll continues to produce teams with fantastic defenses, and USC has led the nation in turnover margin the past two years. Offensive coordinator Norm Chow is among the best in the game and one would suspect he can put the new pieces of the offensive puzzle together quickly and take the Pac-10.



GEORGIA BULLDOGS

10 Despite finishing last season as one of the top teams in the country, it will be difficult for the Bulldogs to repeat as the nation's third-ranked team — especially with the eligibility of nine players, including star WR Fred Gibson, in question after they sold their SEC championship rings for profit. Seven players return from a stop unit that ranked 15th in total defense in 2002, but the offense only has three starters returning. Among the returnees is QB David Greene, who threw for nearly 3,000 yards last season with 22 TD passes. Unfortunately, the Bulldogs lost Musa Smith and the RB depth is young and untested. WR Terrence Edwards also is gone. If, as expected, the nine players' eligibility is restored, these young Bulldogs are talented enough to compete for the top spot in the SEC East.



BETBADLANDS.COM

Capturing the thrills and excitement of the Old West...

Badlands is your one and only source for premium internet gaming.

- Best promos in the business
- Fast and easy payouts
- Only one deposit needed for both online & telephone wagering
- Confidential and secure
- Full-featured online casino
- Football, baseball, basketball, & hockey wagering on sides and totals
- Parlays, teasers, future bets, money lines, point buying, and halftimes
- Wagering on special events like boxing, golf, tennis, & auto racing
- Courteous & professional English speaking clerks.
- Spanish, French, Chinese speaking clerks also available.

**Generous Bonuses
for NEW and
RETURNING players!**

**RED HOT
Action!**

Online Wagering

Telephone Wagering

BETBADLANDS.COM

1.800.291.3359

ENDORSED AND CERTIFIED BY THE
OFFSHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION
OSGA
OFFSHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION



Restrictions may apply. Call our courteous customer service for more information



ACC PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE NORTH CAROLINA STATE wolf pack

Head coach Chuck Amato has done a tremendous job building State into an ACC power. Expectations are high following their demolition of Notre Dame in the Gator Bowl, led by Heisman candidate QB Philip Rivers, RB T.A. McLendon and WR Jericho Cotchery. The losses of offensive coordinator Marty Galbreath (Arizona Cardinals) and QB coach Mike Canales (N.Y. Jets) may hurt.

TWO MARYLAND terrapins

The Terps proved their breakthrough 2001 season was no fluke last year as head coach Ralph Friedgen guided them to 11 victories after a 1-2 start. Friedgen has stockpiled a ton of talent and with senior QB Scott McBrien and RB Bruce Perry back, the Terps should be in the running for the ACC's top spot. Two early trips to MAC schools (Northern Illinois and Eastern Michigan) could be tests.

THREE FLORIDA STATE seminoles

Some of the luster has come off head coach Bobby Bowden and his Seminoles after nine losses in two seasons and off-the-field problems. Ten of 11 defensive starters return and the backfield is loaded with RBs Greg Jones, Leon Washington and Lorenzo Booker. However, QB Chris Rix remains the key. The schedule is tough with home games vs. Colorado and Miami (Fla.) and late road games at Virginia, Notre Dame and Clemson.

FOUR VIRGINIA cavaliers

Head coach Al Groh continues to build Virginia into a power with a

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Philip Rivers, North Carolina State, Sr.
- QB T.A. McLendon, North Carolina St., Soph.
- RB Bruce Perry, Maryland, Sr.
- WR Jason Anderson, Wake Forest, Jr.
- WR Jericho Cotchery, North Carolina St., Sr.
- TE Heath Miller, Virginia, Soph.
- OL Lamar Bryant, Maryland, Sr.
- OL Tyson Clabo, Wake Forest, Sr.
- OL Chris Colmer, North Carolina State, Sr.
- OL Nat Dorsey, Georgia Tech, Jr.
- OL Kyle Wallace, Georgia Tech, Jr.

DEFENSE

- DL Chris Canty, Virginia, Jr.
- DL Greg Gathers, Georgia Tech, Sr.
- DL Randy Starks, Maryland, Jr.
- DL Matt Zielinski, Duke, Sr.
- LB Michael Boulware, Florida State, Sr.
- LB Kendyll Pope, Florida State, Sr.
- LB Ryan Fowler, Duke, Sr.
- DB Dmonique Foxworth, Maryland, Jr.
- DB Justin Miller, Clemson, Soph.
- DB Dexter Reid, North Carolina, Sr.
- DB Madiou Williams, Maryland, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Nick Novak, Maryland, Jr.
- P Trey McDonald, Duke, Jr.

second straight top recruiting haul. QB Matt Schaub returns as the defending ACC Offensive Player of the Year. RB Wali Lundy is a top talent and 18 of 22 players who started in the Continental Tire Bowl vs. West Virginia return. A relatively easy start to the schedule could vault the Cavs into the ACC title race. How fast young talent like LB Ahmad Brooks matures dictates whether UVA stays there.

FIVE GEORGIA TECH yellow jackets

The Rambling Wreck is consistently losing recruiting battles with Georgia for in-state talent, so the days of being a national power may be over. Head

coach Chan Gailey welcomes back TB Tony Hollings, who was the nation's rushing leader in 2002 before tearing the ACL in his right knee. The schedule is brutally tough at the outset with games at BYU, vs. Auburn, at Florida State, and at home vs. Clemson.

SIX CLEMSON tigers

This is a make-or-break season for head coach Tommy Bowden and he's hoping that a switch to an I-formation offense will help the Tigers run the ball more effectively and as a result be more successful in the won-lost column. The Tigers will build around an offensive line that fea-



LB MICHAEL BOULWARE
FLORIDA STATE

tures four returning starters. The schedule isn't easy with a key opening game vs. Georgia, then a brutal ACC stretch against Virginia, N.C. State, North Carolina, Wake Forest and Florida State.

SEVEN WAKE FOREST demon deacons

The Deacons return 70 players from a 7-6 team that whipped Oregon in the Seattle Bowl. However, head coach Jim Grobe must replace his entire starting backfield. Freshman QB Ben Mauk was the team's top recruit with RB Michah Andrews (son of ex-Falcons star William Andrews) and TE Zac Selmon (son of ex-Bucs star Dewey Selmon) also adding great bloodlines and potential.

EIGHT NORTH CAROLINA tar heels

Last year, 73 percent of the Tar Heels' roster consisted of freshmen and sophomores. This year's team should be more experienced, but still is learning. QB Darian Durant led the ACC in total offense and loves to throw to NFL prospect TE Bobby Blizzard. The first five games will be tough — vs. Florida State and Syracuse, at Wisconsin and North Carolina State and back home vs. Virginia.

NINE DUKE blue devils

The Blue Devils became more competitive last season thanks to a much-improved defense. However, DE Shawn Johnson (a first-team All-ACC pick) unexpectedly decided to transfer to Fordham and that was a setback to head coach Carl Franks and his staff. All 11 starters return on offense with QB Adam Smith, TB Chris Douglas and FB Alex Wade, and Duke can win three of its first four, so this team could surprise.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NET
Florida State	7	1	275	141	4-0	3-1	0-0
Maryland	6	2	247	161	3-1	3-1	0-0
Virginia	6	2	220	185	4-0	2-2	0-0
North Carolina State	5	3	192	127	3-1	2-2	0-0
Clemson	4	4	197	223	2-2	2-2	0-0
Georgia Tech	4	4	148	150	2-2	2-2	0-0
Wake Forest	3	5	196	198	2-2	1-3	0-0
North Carolina	1	7	113	285	0-4	1-3	0-0
Duke	0	8	137	254	0-4	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NET
North Carolina State	10	3	432	232	6-1	4-2	0-0
Maryland	10	3	421	225	7-1	3-1	0-1
Florida State	9	4	415	275	5-1	3-3	1-0
Virginia	8	5	354	326	6-1	2-4	0-0
Clemson	7	5	315	294	5-2	2-3	0-0
Georgia Tech	7	5	259	237	4-2	3-3	0-0
Wake Forest	6	6	318	310	4-2	2-4	0-0
North Carolina	3	9	223	421	0-6	3-3	0-0
Duke	2	10	227	353	1-5	1-5	0-0

OFFSHORE GAMING HAS A WATCHDOG

THE OFFSHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION (OSGA)
is an independent "watchdog" agency that monitors the financial,
legal and ethical standards of the offshore gaming industry.
There is no membership or sign up fee!
We rely on the honest membership of sportsbooks and casinos
that are certified through our agency.

BEFORE signing up with any
Offshore Sportsbook or Internet Casino
Check with the OffShore Gaming Association



Call us Toll Free: 1-877-742-OSGA (6742)
Visit us on the web: www.osga.com

These Protect Your Body



WE PROTECT YOUR MONEY!

Guarantee Your International SportsBook Account

What ISBC offers you ... All this at no cost!

\$ 100,000 Account Guarantee
At All ISBC Certified Sportsbooks

Maintains Standards for
International Sportswagering

Consumer Education Through Official Newsletters
and Council Publications

Dispute Mediation Service (Only Binding
on the Sportsbook)

Call for your Free
Council Membership ID

1-800-518-5403
www.isbcouncil.com

Always look for the ISBC Seal
when selecting a Sportsbook



Register for the Account
Guarantee Plan



www.isbcouncil.com

CLEMSON TIGERS

You can't argue with Tommy Bowden's output — four bowl games in four years — but the Clemson faithful is ready for more. It might be tough to produce a BCS-caliber team this season, but Bowden has a young squad that could challenge in the ACC.

The Tigers have some potentially special players on both sides of the ball. QB Charlie Whitehurst took over for Willie Simmons for the final five games last season and broke school records for completions and passing yards in his first start — a 34-31 win over Duke. Whitehurst kept the starting job this spring, leading Simmons to transfer to Division I-AA Florida A&M to maintain his final year of eligibility.

WRs Kevin Youngblood (6-5) and Derrick Hamilton (6-4) are both huge and can run and catch as co-No. 1 targets. Michael Collins rounds out the starting

receivers, but watch out for WR Airese Currie, a big-play threat.

The running game needs to improve. Bowden has never had a true gamebreaker, and RB Yusef Kelly likely will never be that player. A bullish back, Kelly is a tough runner and a decent receiver. RBs Duane Coleman and Kyle

Browning have more elusiveness and could unseat Kelly. FB Chad Jasmin is a good blocker and decent runner.

Four of five starters from last season's Tangerine Bowl are back on the offensive line. ORT Greg Walker, who played guard for three seasons, has the most experience. OLT William Henry

and the rest of the line are solid.

Four starters return on defense. Leading the way is quick and tough SLB John Leake, fourth in the nation in tackles last season. Promising LBs Kelvin Morris and Brandon Jamison are ineligible, so Ronny Delusme tops the

depth chart at weakside 'backer.

DE Khaleed Vaughn is a three-year starter who can get good pressure on the quarterback. The rest of the D-line is deep. DE J.J. Howard is an athletic pass rusher, and DTs Donnell Washington and DeJuan Polk are veteran run-stoppers in the middle.

The most talented player on defense is probably CB Justin Miller, who had a fantastic freshman season with eight interceptions. He will team with either converted RB Tye Hill or Buddy Williams at the other corner. Jamaal Fudge and Travis Pugh appear to be the most likely starting candidates at safety and rover.

PK Aaron Hunt is one of the nation's best.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	GEORGIA
Sept. 6	FURMAN
Sept. 13	MIDDLE TENNESSEE
Sept. 20	at Georgia Tech
Oct. 4	at Maryland
Oct. 11	VIRGINIA
Oct. 18	at North Carolina State
Oct. 25	NORTH CAROLINA
Nov. 1	at Wake Forest
Nov. 8	FLORIDA STATE
Nov. 15	DUKE
Nov. 22	at SOUTH CAROLINA

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 151


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	CLEMSON	OPP
Points	26.3	24.5
1st Downs	19.9	17.9
Rush Att	35.8	41.9
Rush Yds	127.3	163.8
Pass Att	33.8	28.1
Compl %	59.9	57.6
Pass Yds	236.5	175.0
Intercept	0.8	1.7
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	5	-5

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Simmons	236	137	58.1	1503	6	7
Whitehurst	166	103	62.0	1291	9	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Kelly	120	505	4.2	8		
Rambert	113	485	4.3	6		
Hill	37	209	5.6	2		
Hamilton	21	208	9.9	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Youngblood	52	457	2			
McKelvey	50	752	4			
Hamilton	48	530	2			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 4



DUKE BLUE DEVILS

The Blue Devils return an amazing 20 starters on offense and defense, but even that's not good news. Those players led Duke to a 2-10 season, and one of the departees — DE Shawn Johnson — led the ACC with 12 sacks. Johnson left the program after telling a local newspaper he is tired of losing every year.

But with the returning starters, there will at least be offensive continuity, especially on offense where all 11 starters are back. One of the bright spots is the improvement of a once-dormant running game, which has shown signs of life thanks to senior RBs Alex Wade and Chris Douglas. They ranked third and fifth, respectively, in the ACC in rushing.

QB Adam Smith had a solid season in 2002, limiting his interceptions and even having some big games in the process.

Junior WR Khary Sharpe is Smith's best target. He averaged 15.3 yards per catch and could be primed for a nice season. Sentorrio Landrum is a possession receiver, and WRs Lance Johnson and Reggie Love and TEs Calen Powell and Andy Roland make the pass catchers deep and plentiful.

The offensive line features five players who started all 12 games last season. Although they are an unheralded group, OGs Daryl Lewis and Rusty Wilson, OTs Drew Strojny and Christian Mitchell and C Luke Bayer are certainly battle-tested.

The loss of Johnson hurts what could have been one of the ACC's best defensive lines. DTs Matt Zielinski and Orrin Thompson combined for 23 tackles for loss and DE Micah Harris chipped in with 11½.

The secondary remains intact with a pair of playmaking

safeties, Alex Green and Terrell Smith. Green had three interceptions, and Smith finished second on the team with 106 tackles and had an ACC-best four fumble recoveries. CBs Kenneth Stanford and Brian Greene were picked on a little last season but stand to improve. They combined for four of the team's 12 interceptions.

The linebacking corps is led by tackling machine Ryan Fowler, Duke's captain last season, who is always on the field. Brendan Dewan was thrown into the mix and performed pretty well in his eight starts. His season was interrupted, in part, by a foot injury.

Strong-legged PK Brent Garber hit a 56-yarder last year.


TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (0-8)

	DUKE	OPP
Points	18.9	29.4
1st Downs	18.3	19.6
Rush Att	40.6	35.4
Rush Yds	158.4	120.5
Pass Att	32.1	33.9
Compl %	53.5	60.2
Pass Yds	199.9	254.6
Intercept	1.1	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	2	-2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATE 2002					
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD INT
Smith	308	174	56.5	2031	12
Dapollito	68	26	38.2	295	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Wade	176	845	4.8		
Douglas	131	640	4.9		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
Sharpe	30	458			
Landrum	29	294			
Roland	22	344			
Love	22	238			
Douglas	21	254			

OFFENSE - 11 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



FLORIDA STATE SEMINOLES

Amid all the talk about the troubles at Florida State and the other ACC teams gaining ground, head coach Bobby Bowden just keeps winning conference titles. The Seminoles might not be the every-year threat to win it all like in years past, but you can bet FSU will be strong with an impressive defense and proven offensive commodities.

The ground game is more potent than the passing game — another sign of the times. RB Greg Jones missed five games after injuring his knee against Wake Forest, but he is expected back at full strength. Jones has plenty of help in the backfield with talented runners Willie Reid (could move to receiver), Leon Washington and freshman Lorenzo Booker.

Another good runner is QB Chris Rix, who has put the final exam incident behind him. Rix's

passing was off at times last year, and he will miss WRs Anquan Boldin and Talman Gardner. He must play better for FSU to stay among the ACC's elite.

WRs P.K. Sam and Dominic Robinson are the favorites to step up as starters. They have each shown flashes of brilliance at split end and flanker respectively. Keep an eye on Crapponso Thorpe, who will be the main deep threat.

The offensive line is inexperienced, with one starter back, but should be a good run-blocking unit, led by ORT Ray Willis and ORG Bobby Meeks.

The defense returns 10 starters and could be among the top stop units in the country. Down the stretch last season they played their best ball, and there should be more to come this fall.

All-America candidates OLBs Kendyll Pope and Michael Boulware make a tremendous tandem, and they flank MLB

Allen Augustin, who really came on late last season. This is one of the best linebacking groups in the country.

The defensive line is not huge, but it's extremely quick and active. Assuming DT Darnell Dockett is cleared of theft charges, he will be the main man up front. DEs Eric Moore and Kevin Emanuel should be a solid pair as well. DT Travis Johnson offers a lot of run-stuffing bulk.

The secondary took its lumps last season but stands to improve with five experienced players returning. CB Stanford Samuels could be a special player, and safeties Kyler Hall and B.J. Ward plus rover Jerome Carter make a pretty good back line of defense.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-4 (7-1)

	FLORIDA STATE	OPP
Points	31.9	21.2
1st Downs	21.6	18.7
Rush Att	40.1	35.5
Rush Yds	192.5	129.2
Pass Att	30.2	34.6
Compl %	51.9	53.1
Pass Yds	216.0	243.7
Intercept	0.6	1.2
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	13	-13

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
Rix	225	118	52.4	1684	13
McPherson	155	80	51.6	1017	12
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Jones	161	938	5.8		
Maddox	97	570	5.9		
Rix	86	289	3.4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
Boldin	62	977			
Gardner	37	619			
Maddox	19	173			
Thorpe	16	337			

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at North Carolina
Sept. 6	MARYLAND
Sept. 13	GEORGIA TECH
Sept. 20	COLORADO
Sept. 27	at Duke
Oct. 11	MIAMI (FLA.)
Oct. 18	at Virginia
Oct. 25	WAKE FOREST
Nov. 1	at Notre Dame
Nov. 8	at Clemson
Nov. 15	NORTH CAROLINA ST.
Nov. 29	at Florida

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 159

GEORGIA TECH YELLOW JACKETS

han Gailey's first season went fairly well considering there was little production from the quarterback position. The team went to a bowl game and won seven games, but the stakes could be higher if QB A.J. Suggs — or his replacement — plays better.

Suggs started all 13 games, but struggled with turnovers, throwing 15 interceptions vs. 12 TD passes. He played well at times but needs to be more consistent to fend off Damarius Bilbo and Brian Camp.

Gailey expected senior RB Tony Hollings to be back after missing much of last season with a torn ACL. However, Hollings and nine teammates were declared academically ineligible in May and were lost for the season. RBs Ajenavi Ezimeme and P.J. Daniels are the best bets to start.

The wideouts should be strong even with the graduation of Kerry

Watkins and Will Glover. WR Jonathan Smith, who also lined up at running back and tailback at times, will team with juniors Nate Curry, Levon Thomas and Mark Logan. If Curry can come back from a broken kneecap, he could be special.

The offensive line returns strength and depth, especially at tackle, with four starters back. All-America candidate OLT Nat Dorsey is the meal ticket, but C Hugh Reilly is a fantastic player too.

The defense is strong up front with two talented ends returning. Greg Gathers missed most of last season with a kidney ailment, but it's unclear how much he can contribute. Eric Henderson and Tony Hargrove held down the position well in Gathers' absence but Hargrove is one of the academically ineligible players. DTs Alfred Malone and Travis Parker also return — both are quick gap-

shooters with good speed.

Tech has a tradition of good linebacking, and this year should be no different. LBs Daryl Smith and Keyaron Fox are two All-ACC candidates who will be counted on heavily. Smith, recovering from shoulder surgery, missed spring practice but should be good to go in the fall. There is good depth — five more lettermen — behind them.

The secondary took a hit with four key contributors leaving, but young safeties James Butler and Dawan Landry are Gailey favorites. Senior CB Jonathan Cox will try to keep the backfield together, and sophomore Reuben Harrison will get the best shot to start on the other side of the field.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	GEORGIA TECH	OPP
Points	21.6	19.8
1st Downs	19.8	17.3
Rush Att	39.1	35.9
Rush Yds	166.4	130.3
Pass Att	34.0	34.1
Compl %	56.4	53.5
Pass Yds	210.5	223.8
Intercept	1.2	0.9
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-3	3

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Suggs	356	206	57.9	2202	11	13
Bilbo	47	22	46.8	309	2	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Hollings	92	633	6.9	11		
Clinkscales	75	468	6.2	3		
Daniels	72	255	3.5	2		
Ezizemefo	67	244	3.6	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Watkins	66	968	4			
Glover	47	434	3			
Smith	35	388	2			

RETURNING STARTERS

OFFENSE - 6	DEFENSE - 6



MARYLAND TERRAPINS

How about Ralph Friedgen for early favorite to Coach of the Decade? In his two seasons in College Park, Friedgen has gotten off to a 21-5 start — the best in ACC history over a coach's first two seasons. This year, with 17 starters returning, Friedgen can once again laugh off that "one-hit wonder" talk again as the Terps will once more be in the national spotlight.

The return of RB Bruce Perry, who missed most of last season with a groin injury, is a big lift. Perry, who appeared to be on the verge of stardom, should be back at full strength. Josh Allen is a nice insurance policy behind Perry.

QB Scott McBrien is an efficient engineer of the Terps' offense, limiting mistakes and scrambling effectively. The lefty should be an even bigger part of it all in his senior season.

The wide receivers should be

very strong with six of the top seven wideouts returning from a year ago. No. 1 WR Scooter Moore is gone, but seniors Jafar Williams and Latrez Harrison will make a nice pair on one side. On the other, slot WR Steve Suter — and All-American return specialist — could be the secret weapon on offense. Three-year starter TE Jeff Dugan is a solid performer.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at Northern Illinois
Sept. 6	at Florida State
Sept. 13	THE CITADEL
Sept. 20	WEST VIRGINIA
Sept. 27	at Eastern Michigan
Oct. 4	CLEMSON
Oct. 11	DUKE
Oct. 23	at Georgia Tech
Nov. 1	NORTH CAROLINA
Nov. 13	VIRGINIA
Nov. 22	at North Carolina State
Nov. 29	at Wake Forest

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 184

The offensive line will have three starters back, but the two they lost were good ones. OGs Lamar Bryant and C.J. Brooks are All-ACC possibilities; OLT Eric Dumas is solid at best.

Replacing all-world LB E.J. Henderson will be a lot easier considering the defense returns nine starters. DT Randy Starks could be a huge factor up front; last season he had 12½ tackles for loss and 6½ sacks. NT C.J. Feldheim is attempting to come back from ACL surgery. DE Scott

Smith has the edge to start.

Linebacker — even with Henderson's loss — will be a strength. WLB Leon Joe, who can line up all over, will be the leader. Fellow starters Leroy Ambush and Jamahl Cochran both saw significant time last year. Friedgen said he is not worried about starting MLB William Kershaw playing at 215 pounds.


The secondary could be one of the country's finest units as all four starters return. Safeties Madiou Williams and Denard Wilson have combined for 32 starts. CB Curome Cox has started 33 games himself and will line up across the field from standout CB Domonique Foxworth, a heady player.

TEAM STATS 2002 10-3 (6-2)

	MARYLAND	OPP
Points	32.4	17.3
1st Downs	19.5	17.7
Rush Att	42.1	38.8
Rush Yds	202.2	135.1
Pass Att	23.5	31.3
Comp %	55.1	56.0
Pass Yds	196.0	207.7
Intercept	1.0	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.5
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
McBrien	265	151	57.0	2377	15	10
Kelley	38	17	44.7	171	0	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Downs	193	1113	5.8	13		
Allen	57	396	6.9	6		
Perry	57	291	5.1	1		
McBrien	81	248	3.1	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Monroe	37	614	2			
Williams	28	562	2			
Downs	17	159	2			



NORTH CAROLINA TAR HEELS

Youth was the excuse last season as nearly three-quarters of the roster was made up of freshmen and sophomores. But this season, with 15 starters back — including exciting QB Darian Durant — the Tar Heels should field a more competitive club under fiery head coach John Bunting.

Despite missing four games with a broken thumb, Durant led the ACC in total offense and should be one of the country's most exciting quarterbacks.

For the first time in more than a decade, the offensive line returns intact from the season before. Last year the line had trouble run blocking, but C Jason Brown & Company are out to fix that this year. The All-ACC-caliber Brown leads an improving line with bookend OTs Jeb Terry and Willie McNeill.

The wide receivers are talented but inexperienced with Sam

Aiken and Chesley Borders gone. Derrele Mitchell is a big target, and Jarwarski Pollock stepped up in a reserve role, but senior Brandon Russell might step in as a starter.

The dormant running game will need to improve. Junior RB Jacques Lewis is the leading rusher returning, but seniors Willie Parker and André Williams will vie for time.

The defense — usually a staple in Chapel Hill — took its share of abuse last season as it allowed 450 yards and 35 points per game. Some of that was attributed to the young team, but a vast improvement is necessary.

DT Chase Page started every game last year and is a tall, strong defender. There will be heavy competition at every spot on the defensive line where projected starters DEs Jacques Dumas and Tommy Davis and DTs Page and Donti Coats will feel pressure

from DEs Kendall High and Alden Blizzard and DTs Jonas Seawright and Mickey Rice.

SLB Clarence Gaddy and MLB Doug Justice are good, young tacklers with decent size. At the Will 'backer, Clay Robertson and Jeff Longhany, who each have starting experience, will vie for the top spot.


All-ACC FS Dexter Reid should be the big playmaker on the defense, helping to round a young secondary into form. Senior CB Michael Waddell started but did not play as well as he did as a sophomore. The coaching staff expects a rebound season. CB Derrick Johnson has a chance to start as does RB-turned-SS Mahlon Curry.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	NORTH CAROLINA	OPP
Points	18.6	35.1
1st Downs	19.8	23.0
Rush Att	34.5	46.3
Rush Yds	102.5	221.2
Pass Att	36.1	25.5
Comp %	54.7	54.9
Pass Yds	266.5	231.2
Intercept	1.2	0.5
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-15	15

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Durant	248	147	59.3	2123	16	9
Stephens	155	79	51.0	914	4	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Lewis	122	543	4.5	2		
Parker	70	236	3.4	1		
Carey	56	216	3.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Aiken	68	990	4			
Borders	32	499	5			
Pollock	31	464	4			
Blizzard	20	363	2			



NORTH CAROLINA STATE WOLFPACK

It will be a banner year for the Wolfpack in terms of excitement and hype. Head coach Chuck Amato has surpassed former mentor Bobby Bowden (temporarily, at least) and Florida State for preseason favorite in the ACC.

And Amato has reason to be excited with QB Philip Rivers, entering his fourth year as a starter, and RB T.A. McClendon, leading what could be another explosive offense.

Rivers could be an early frontrunner for Heisman consideration. He is a smart, sound leader with a nice arm and good skills. He passed for 3,125 yards last season and could surpass that this season.

McClendon scored 18 TDs as a freshman, setting a school record, despite nagging shoulder and wrist injuries. He is a tough, compact, yet shifty, runner who should improve this season.

Rivers' targets should be terrific,

starting with All-ACC WR Jericho Cotchery. Fifty-one of Cotchery's 67 receptions (he had 10 in the Gator Bowl win) went for first downs. Juniors SE Sterling Hicks and FL-CB Dovonte Edwards are a fine complementary pair. Andy Bertrand and Brian Clark will contribute in the Wolfpack's three- and four-WR sets.

The offensive line was a considerably improved unit in '02, allowing only 11 sacks in 14 games. Three starters are back, including standout OLT Chris Colmer and improved ORG Sean Locklear.

The offense got all the hype last season, but the defense was overlooked, ranking 14th nationally and tops in the ACC in total defense. But with six starters gone — four on the line — the trick of repeating last year's success will be tough.

DT Alan Halloway will be counted on immediately because

there is no real experience inside. A host of ends, big and small, will rotate in and out. Line depth is not a problem; experience is.

The linebackers are young but talented. WLB Pat Thomas will be asked to take over the leadership role from departed MLB Dantonio Burnette. Thomas and Freddie Aughtry-Lindsay, both juniors, make a pretty good pair on the outside, and Oliver Hoyte will try to replace Burnette.


In the secondary, three returning starters will share the burden of holding their coverage longer because of the inexperienced line. FS Terrence Holt is gone, but rover Andre Maddox and CBs Lamont Reid and Marcus Hudson are up to the challenge.

TEAM STATS 2002 10-3 (5-3)

	NC STATE	OPP
Points	33.2	17.8
1st Downs	20.0	17.6
Rush Att	38.2	37.5
Rush Yds	150.4	127.7
Pass Att	31.2	30.2
Comp %	61.1	49.4
Pass Yds	247.2	173.6
Intercept	0.8	1.0
Fumb Lost	1.0	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	4	-4

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Rivers	381	239	62.7	3125	18	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
McClendon	234	1083	4.6	16		
Brown	116	465	4.0	2		
Golden	60	265	4.4	3		
Rivers	50	78	1.6	10		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Cotchery	57	1065	6			
Peterson	40	543	6			
McClendon	39	338	0			
Hicks	36	491	2			



L.V.S.S. HAD A SEASON TO REMEMBER IN PRO AND COLLEGE FOOTBALL!

2002 Full Season

+490 Units at \$100 a Unit. Players Won \$49,000+ on Personal Service Selections!

PLUS

Preseason Action Line: 10-1-1 91%
Pro Football Blockbusters: 7-3-1 70%

GET IN ON THE ACTION!

Call now for L.V.S.S.'s

PERSONAL SERVICE SELECTIONS

for the ENTIRE month of September. And as an early bonus get their

AUGUST PRESEASON GAME OF THE YEAR!

(Last year L.V.S.S.'s Preseason G.O.Y. was the Falcons +3% Winning outright over the Bengals!)

ABSOLUTELY FREE - CALL NOW!

TF
OR
LE

1.877.847.9467

Must be 18+ 3540 W. Sahara Ave. #494, Las Vegas, NV 89102

Visit L.V.S.S. daily at JimFeist.com



VIRGINIA CAVALIERS

If things go as planned, Al Groh's mark in Virginia team history will be matched this season. Groh is the only man in school history to lead his team to bowl wins and nine win-seasons in back to back years, but pupil (QB Matt Schaub) might match teacher in 2003.

Schaub enters the campaign as one of the nation's best quarterbacks. He had an amazing season with a 4-to-1 touchdown-to-interception ratio and could be primed for what looks like a wide-open run at the Heisman Trophy.

The Cavs return their top four rushers, including sophomore RB Wali Lundy, who led all first-year players nationally in all-purpose yardage. He is a threat as a runner, receiver and a returner and has a bright future in Charlottesville. Juniors Marquis Weeks and Alvin Pearman will also see time.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	DUKE
Sept. 6	at South Carolina
Sept. 13	at Western Michigan
Sept. 27	WAKE FOREST
Oct. 4	at North Carolina
Oct. 11	at Clemson
Oct. 18	FLORIDA STATE
Oct. 25	TROY STATE
Nov. 1	at North Carolina State
Nov. 13	at Maryland
Nov. 22	GEORGIA TECH
Nov. 29	VIRGINIA TECH

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 247

Receiver is not as strong with the loss of the school's all-time leading receiver, WR Billy McMullen. Michael McGrew has started 24 career games and can stretch the field with his speed. Senior Ryan Sawyer had a big bowl game and could be primed for a nice season. TE Heath Miller is a talented pass receiver.

Last year's young offensive line performed quite admirably and should improve this season. Sophomore OTs D'Brickshaw Ferguson and Brad Butler are the left and right tackles respectively. Ferguson could be a future star. The interior is solid with OGs Elton Brown and Brian Barthelme.

The defensive line is one of the deeper units on the team. DEs Chris Canty and Brennan Schmidt are a good playmaking duo. Canty, a massive figure, could be in line for a big season. NT Andrew Hoffman is another

big defender who specializes in clogging up the middle.

LBs Angelo Crowell and Merrill Robertson, the team's top two tacklers, are gone, so sophomore Darryl Blackstock will have to make the next step quickly. Blackstock had a tremendous freshman season with 10 sacks and 14 tackles for loss. Raymond Mann is a good tackler who has had injury troubles.


The secondary is a fairly talented group, led by returning starters, CBs Almondo Curry and Jamaine Winborne and S Willie Davis. Curry is small (5-8) but fearless and has a nose for the ball. Winborne is the top tackler in the secondary. Davis could be an impact player as a sophomore.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-5 (6-2)

	VIRGINIA	OPP
Points	27.2	25.1
1st Downs	19.5	22.3
Rush Att	34.4	45.2
Rush Yds	121.6	206.3
Pass Att	32.7	31.8
Comp %	68.0	60.5
Pass Yds	232.9	215.7
Intercept	0.6	1.0
Fumb Lost	1.1	1.7
Season T.O. Margin	13	-13

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TO INT
Schaub	396	272	68.7	2794	27 7
Hagans	24	13	54.2	129	0 1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Lundy	174	699	4.0	4	
Pearman	83	343	4.1	4	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
McMullen	68	886	3		
Lundy	53	359	2		
Snelling	31	314	4		
Miller	30	273	9		
McGrew	26	421	2		



WAKE FOREST DEMON DEACONS

A lot of work must be done — especially on offense — to replace much of the Seattle Bowl-winning team from last season, but head coach Jim Grobe clearly has this team headed in the right direction.

With James MacPherson gone, the QB position is a little shakier, and the spring game proved that. Cory Randolph, who played in nine games as MacPherson's backup, is penciled in as the starter, but he is not a polished passer yet. Redshirt freshman Zac Taylor will compete as well.

The starting backfield, RB Tarence Williams and FB Ovie Mughelli, is gone, but the dropoff should not be significant. RB Chris Barclay was a fantastic freshman and has a chance to be even better this season. He is a tough runner who will team with Cornelius Birgs to make this a position of strength.

Receiver could be a trouble area as no one really stood out in the spring. WR Jason Anderson has the potential to be one of the nation's best deep threats, but he needs to be more consistent. He and Anthony Young, a converted QB, will team with two burners — Chris Davis and Willie Idlette.

The Deacons return only three offensive starters, and all three are on the line. OLT Mark Moroz has All-ACC ability but must rebound from knee surgery. C Blake Lingruen and ORG Tyson Clabo are warriors inside.

The defensive line was hurt by graduation of all three starters, including DE Calvin Pace, a NFL first-round pick. The starters' spots are all up in the air, but DEs Jerome Nichols and John Finklea could win jobs on the outside. NT Goryal Scales will try to fend off converted LB Cori Stukes.

The defensive strength lies at

linebacker, where senior OLBs Dion Williams and Kellen Brantley are potential impact players. MLB Brad White was a solid reserve who will be a key figure as a starter.

The secondary also promises to be a strong spot after two seasons of growing pains. Four-year starter Quintin Williams is a rock at free safety and should play better as senior without the bane of the injured hand that slowed him all last season. Bandit Caron Bracy and SS Warren Braxton improved as the season wore on. And CBs Eric King and Daryl Shaw are both returning starters who could be key players.


PK Matt Wisnosky and P Ryan Plackemeier should be improved.

TEAM STATS 2002 6-6 (3-5)

	WAKE FOREST	OPP
Points	26.5	25.8
1st Downs	20.9	21.3
Rush Att	54.3	39.1
Rush Yds	239.9	161.0
Pass Att	21.3	31.0
Comp %	53.9	59.7
Pass Yds	160.8	237.6
Intercept	0.5	1.1
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.8
Season T.O. Margin	19	-19

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
MacPherson	207	114	55.1	1596	6	4
Randolph	48	24	50.0	333	0	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Williams	170	799	4.7	5		
Barclay	125	621	5.0	8		
Burney	81	398	4.9	1		
Davis	43	381	8.9	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Davis	35	548	0			
Landfried	35	488	1			
Anderson	20	378	4			



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Wake Forest	12	652	2879	4.42	30	239.92
Maryland	13	547	2629	4.81	30	202.23
Florida State	13	521	2503	4.80	20	192.54
Georgia Tech	12	469	1997	4.26	19	166.42
Duke	12	487	1901	3.90	8	158.42
North Carolina State	13	496	1955	3.94	31	150.38
Clemson	12	429	1528	3.56	21	127.33
Virginia	13	447	1582	3.54	13	121.69
North Carolina	12	414	1230	2.97	7	102.50

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
North Carolina	12	433	237	3199	21	266.58
North Carolina State	13	406	248	3213	18	247.15
Clemson	12	406	243	2838	15	236.50
Virginia	13	425	289	3026	30	232.77
Florida State	13	393	204	2808	25	216.00
Georgia Tech	12	408	230	2526	13	210.50
Duke	12	386	206	2399	18	199.92
Maryland	13	305	168	2548	15	196.00
Wake Forest	12	256	138	1929	6	160.75

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Florida State	13	914	5311	5.81	51	408.54
Wake Forest	12	908	4808	5.30	39	400.67
Maryland	13	852	5177	6.08	51	398.23
North Carolina State	13	902	5168	5.73	58	397.54
Georgia Tech	12	877	4523	5.16	32	376.92
North Carolina	12	847	4429	5.23	28	369.08
Clemson	12	835	4366	5.23	38	363.83
Duke	12	873	4300	4.93	27	358.33
Virginia	13	872	4608	5.28	47	354.46

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Duke	12	425	1446	3.40	20	120.50
North Carolina St.	13	487	1668	3.43	13	128.31
Florida St.	13	461	1680	3.64	13	129.23
Georgia Tech	12	431	1564	3.63	14	130.33
Maryland	13	504	1756	3.48	12	135.08
Wake Forest	12	469	1932	4.12	16	161.00
Clemson	12	503	1965	3.91	16	163.75
Virginia	13	588	2680	4.56	22	206.15
North Carolina	12	555	2654	4.78	32	221.17

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Clemson	12	337	194	2100	15	175.00
North Carolina State	13	393	194	2257	13	173.62
Georgia Tech	12	409	219	2686	11	223.83
Maryland	13	407	228	2700	12	207.69
North Carolina	12	306	168	2775	24	231.25
Virginia	13	413	250	2805	14	215.77
Wake Forest	12	372	222	2851	21	237.58
Duke	12	405	245	3056	18	254.67
Florida State	13	450	239	3168	20	243.69

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
North Carolina State	13	4.46	880	3925	29	301.92
Clemson	12	4.84	840	4065	35	338.75
Maryland	13	4.89	911	4456	25	342.77
Georgia Tech	12	5.06	840	4250	27	354.17
Florida State	13	5.32	911	4848	33	372.92
Duke	12	5.42	830	4502	44	375.17
Wake Forest	12	5.69	841	4783	39	398.58
Virginia	13	5.48	100	5485	38	421.92
North Carolina	12	6.31	861	5429	58	452.42

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
T.A. McLendon, North Carolina State	Fr.	12	234	1083	16	90.25
Chris Downs, Maryland	Sr.	13	193	1113	13	85.62
Alex Wade, Duke	Jr.	12	201	979	4	81.58
Tarence Williams, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	170	799	5	66.58
Chris Douglas, Duke	Jr.	11	131	640	2	58.18
Wali Lundy, Virginia	Fr.	13	174	699	4	53.77
Nick Maddox, Florida State	Sr.	11	97	570	4	51.82
Chris Barclay, Wake Forest	Fr.	12	125	621	8	51.75
Jacque Lewis, North Carolina	So.	12	130	574	2	47.83
Bernard Rambert, Clemson	Sr.	11	113	485	6	44.09

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Matt Schaub, Virginia	Jr.	13	396	272	68.69	7	2794	27
Darian Durant, North Carolina	So.	8	248	147	59.27	9	2123	16
Scott McBrien, Maryland	Jr.	13	265	151	56.98	10	2377	15
Philip Rivers, North Carolina State	Jr.	13	381	239	62.73	10	3125	18
Adrian McPherson, Florida State	So.	11	155	80	51.61	1	1017	12
Chris Rix, Florida State	So.	11	225	118	52.44	7	1684	13
James MacPherson, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	207	114	55.07	4	1596	6
Adam Smith, Duke	So.	12	308	174	56.49	9	2031	12
Willie Simmons, Clemson	Jr.	10	236	137	58.05	7	1503	6
A.J. Suggs, Georgia Tech	Jr.	12	356	206	57.87	13	2202	11

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Sam Aiken, North Carolina	Sr.	12	68	990	4	82.50
Jerricho Cotchery, North Carolina State	Jr.	13	57	1065	6	81.92
Kerry Watkins, Georgia Tech	Sr.	12	66	968	4	80.67
Anquan Boldin, Florida State	Jr.	13	62	977	12	75.15
Billy McMullen, Virginia	Sr.	13	68	886	3	68.15
J.J. McKelvey, Clemson	Sr.	12	50	752	4	62.67
Talman Gardner, Florida State	Sr.	13	37	619	8	47.62
Scooter Monroe, Maryland	Sr.	13	37	614	2	47.23
Fabian Davis, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	35	548	0	45.67
Derrick Hamilton, Clemson	So.	12	48	530	2	44.17

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Nick Novak, Maryland	So.	13	0	50	21	113	8.69
Xavier Belita, Florida State	So.	13	0	50	19	107	8.23
T.A. McLendon, North Carolina State	Fr.	12	16	0	0	96	8.00
Aaron Hunt, Clemson	Jr.	11	0	36	17	87	7.91
Chris Downs, Maryland	Sr.	13	15	0	0	90	6.92
Matt Wisnosky, Wake Forest	So.	12	0	28	16	76	6.33
Luke Manget, Georgia Tech	Sr.	11	0	26	12	62	5.64
Anquan Boldin, Florida State	Jr.	13	12	0	0	72	5.54
Ovie Mughelli, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	11	0	0	66	5.50
Brent Garber, Duke	Jr.	12	0	21	14	63	5.25

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Derrick Hamilton, Clemson	So.	12	208	530	331	583	1652
Fabian Davis, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	381	548	320	352	1601
Steve Suter, Maryland	So.	13	82	305	676	518	1581
Kerry Watkins, Georgia Tech	Sr.	12	39	968	0	427	1434
T.A. McLendon, North Carolina State	Fr.	12	1083	338	0	0	1421
Wali Lundy, Virginia	Fr.	13	699	359	0	373	1431
Jerricho Cotchery, North Carolina State	Jr.	13	8	106	109	91	1273
Chris Downs, Maryland	Sr.	13	1113	159	0	0	1272
Alex Wade, Duke	Jr.	12	979	159	0	0	1138
Chris Douglas, Duke	Jr.	11	640	254	0	125	1019

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Darian Durant, North Carolina	So.	8	163	2123	2286	285.75
Philip Rivers, North Carolina State	Jr.	13	78	3125	3203	246.38
Matt Schaub, Virginia	Jr.	13	56	2794	2850	219.23
Scott McBrien, Maryland	Jr.	13	248	2377	2625	201.92
A.J. Suggs, Georgia Tech	Jr.	12	9	2202	2211	184.25
Chris Rix, Florida State	So.	11	289	1684	1973	179.36
Adam Smith, Duke	So.	12	-119	2031	1912	159.33
Willie Simmons, Clemson	Jr.	10	49	1503	1552	155.20
James MacPherson, Wake Forest	Sr.	12	163	1596	1759	146.58
Adrian McPherson, Florida State	So.	11	180	1017	1197	108.82

BIG XII PREDICTIONS 2003

N • O • R • T • H

ONE KANSAS STATE WILDCATS

Despite the loss of three key defenders and three offensive-line starters, the Wildcats should parlay a weak non-conference schedule with the return of exciting QB Eli Roberson and RB Darren Sproles into a highly successful season. These Wildcats will look to be the first K-State team since 1968 to win at Nebraska.

TWO NEBRASKA CORNHUSKERS

An improved defense is the key to get head coach Frank Solich back in NU fans' good graces after a disappointing 7-7 season. QB Jammal Lord showed flashes of brilliance in 2002 but must get more consistent. November games with Kansas State and Colorado will again shape NU's bowl plans.

THREE COLORADO BUFFALOES

Eight defensive starters return off a team that won a second straight Big 12 North title. However, the offense needs a leader and head coach Gary Barnett hopes one of his three young QBs can step up and be that guy. Four 2002 bowl teams are featured on a brutally tough non-conference slate.

FOUR MISSOURI TIGERS

The Tigers are hopeful of making their first bowl appearance since 1998. Fueling that optimism are exciting QB Brad Smith and workhorse RB Zack Abnon. A light non-conference schedule should help too. However, Mizzou's defense must improve to reach the postseason.



ALL-CONFERENCE 2003

OFFENSE

- QB Brad Smith, Missouri, Soph.
- RB Cedric Benson, Texas, Jr.
- RB Darren Sproles, Kansas State, Jr.
- WR Roy Williams, Texas, Sr.
- WR Rashaun Woods, Oklahoma State, Sr.
- TE Thomas Hill, Kansas State, Sr.
- OL Jammal Brown, Oklahoma, Jr.
- OL Vince Carter, Oklahoma, Jr.
- OL Rob Droege, Missouri, Sr.
- OL Bob Montgomery, Iowa State, Sr.
- OL Dan Villi Waldrup, Nebraska, Jr.

DEFENSE

- DL Marques Harris, Colorado, Sr.
- DL Jordan Carstens, Iowa State, Sr.
- DL Tommie Harris, Oklahoma, Jr.
- DL Andrew Shull, Kansas State, Sr.
- LB Derrick Johnson, Texas, Jr.
- LB Teddy Lehman, Oklahoma, Sr.
- LB Lance Mitchell, Oklahoma, Sr.
- DB Elbert Craig, Oklahoma State, Sr.
- DB Brandon Everage, Oklahoma, Sr.
- DB Derrick Strait, Oklahoma, Sr.
- DB Nathan Vasher, Texas, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Adam Benike, Iowa State, Sr.
- P Cody Scates, Texas A&M, Sr.

FIVE IOWA STATE CYCLONES

Seneca Wallace has departed and with him went ISU's top playmaker. An anemic run defense must improve, especially since it faces top running teams like Oklahoma, Texas, Colorado and Kansas State — who all visit Ames this season.

SIX KANSAS JAYHAWKS

After ranking last in the Big 12 in total defense, head coach Mark Mangino knows his team won't go anywhere if it can't stop anyone. He hopes junior-college transfers will be the answer.

S • O • U • T • H ONE OKLAHOMA SOONERS

Thanks to the premier coaching staff in the nation, the Sooners are perennially in position to challenge for the national title. Head coach Bob Stoops welcomes back most of an exceptional defense and that unit should carry OU far. The annual battle with Texas will be a key.

TWO TEXAS LONGHORNS

The Longhorns have left their 2003 season to chance. QB Chance Mock,



DT TOMMIE HARRIS
OKLAHOMA

THREE TEXAS A&M AGGIES

New head coach Dennis Franchione hopes to reestablish the running game after the Aggies relied on their passing attack a little too much last year. There is lots of talent for Franchione, one of the best in the business, to work with. Early non-conference battles with Virginia Tech and Pittsburgh will test the Aggies' mettle.

FOUR OKLAHOMA STATE COWBOYS

Head coach Les Miles' rebuilding project moves forward after an eight-win season. QB Josh Fields and WR Rashaun Woods are the top aerial combo in the conference but both lines need to be revamped. Back-to-back games with Texas and Oklahoma will be tough tests.

FIVE TEXAS TECH RED RAIDERS

Record-setting QB Kliff Kingsbury has departed and with him went 4,642 passing yards and 42 touchdowns. Plus, a new defensive staff must rebuild a stop unit that lost six experienced seniors. WR-PR Wes Welker is a dynamo.

SIX BAYLOR BEARS

New head coach Guy Morriss has brought along the same multiple-spread offense and 4-2-5 defense he used at Kentucky. As a result, this year is too soon for the players to adjust to the changes.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
North Division							
Colorado	7	1	281	175	4-0	3-1	0-0
Kansas State	6	2	342	91	3-1	3-1	0-0
Iowa State	4	4	201	238	4-0	0-4	0-0
Nebraska	3	5	192	215	2-2	1-3	0-0
Missouri	2	6	214	268	1-3	1-3	0-0
Kansas	0	8	125	380	0-4	0-4	0-0
South Division							
Oklahoma	6	2	305	154	3-0	2-2	1-0
Texas	6	2	235	160	5-0	1-1	0-1
Texas Tech	5	3	298	286	4-0	1-3	0-0
Oklahoma State	5	3	256	230	4-1	1-2	0-0
Texas A&M	3	5	266	245	1-3	2-2	0-0
Baylor	1	7	93	366	1-3	0-4	0-0


2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
North Division							
Kansas State	10	2	548	127	7-1	3-1	0-0
Colorado	9	4	370	294	5-2	4-1	0-1
Iowa State	7	6	388	362	6-1	1-4	0-1
Nebraska	7	6	360	308	6-2	1-4	0-0
Missouri	5	7	360	352	4-3	1-4	0-0
Kansas	2	10	248	507	1-5	1-5	0-0
South Division							
Oklahoma	11	2	507	202	6-0	4-2	1-0
Texas	10	2	404	192	6-0	4-1	0-1
Texas Tech	8	5	482	424	5-1	3-4	0-0
Oklahoma State	7	5	413	333	6-0	1-4	0-1
Texas A&M	6	6	345	280	4-4	2-2	0-0
Baylor	3	9	202	496	3-3	0-6	0-0



TRADEWINDS

SPORTSBOOK AND CASINO



You Play – We Pay

bettradewinds.com
800.387.9358

TRADEWINDS SPORTSBOOK & CASINO • EST. 1995

"...THERE ISN'T AN OPERATION AROUND THAT WILL
GAMBLE HIGHER AND PAY IT OFF QUICKER..."

JIMMY VACARRO

FMR. RACE AND SPORTSBOOK DIR. - MIRAGE HOTEL AND CASINO

BAYLOR BEARS

Since joining the Big 12 in 1996, the Bears have found life pretty tough. In that time, they have not had more than four total wins in any season — and only have four conference wins combined. There is hope that new head coach Guy Morriss can bring better fortune this season, but with optimism, there's reality: Baylor scored only 58 points in the final seven games last season and gave up 334. Ouch.

QB Aaron Karas had a tough season, but he appears to be the best Morriss has. He will battle with Davon Vinson but should open the season as the starter.

RB Rashad Anderson and Jonathan Golden aren't the prettiest runners, but they were decent when they got the chance. Anderson must step it up if the Bears are going to gain more than 103 yards per game, but academics could keep him off the field.

The receiving corps is hurt by the graduation of star Reggie Newhouse. WRs Robert Quiroga (6-2) and Marques Roberts (6-3) have size, but their production needs to improve. J.T. Thompson and Trent Shelton are in the mix.

The offensive line was the major sore spot, allowing 52 sacks, and the team gained only 2.8 yards per carry. OLT Quentin Outland is the best they have, and it's not clear how the right side will look; Cedric Fields, Joe DeWoody and Lequan McDonald should play center, guard and tackle in some combination.

The staff is not wild about its defensive tackles, but help could be on the way. Starters Michael Gary and Lorenzo Davis are merely bodies, but junior-college transfers M.T. Robinson and Eric Jackson could improve the position. End is a deep position with Khari Long, Dominick Cravens and Montez Murphy, a

future star.

The linebackers should be solid. LB Daniel Sepulveda is not pretty, but he gets the job done effectively. And Justin Crooks holds down the other spot until incumbent John Garrett's rehab from a knee injury is done.

The five-man secondary is led by outside safety Willie Andrews, who is the best athlete and playmaker on the team, and CBs Matt Johnson, Tyson Hampton and Anthony Arline are a good trio. The other outside safety, Derrick Cash, is dependable, and FS Maurice Lane is a veteran.


Special teams is a potential problem, but Morriss and his staff did well with these units at Kentucky.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	BAYLOR	OPP
Points	16.8	41.3
1st Downs	18.3	21.2
Rush Att	37.3	36.7
Rush Yds	103.4	163.6
Pass Att	33.3	31.8
Comp %	56.0	61.5
Pass Yds	231.5	241.7
Intercept	1.8	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-17	17

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Karas	251	150	59.8	1792	6	13
Zachary	48	34	70.8	485	1	2
Cicero	87	36	41.4	382	1	6
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Armstrong	159	647	4.1	4		
Golden	112	392	3.5	8		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Newhouse	75	1140	3			
Quiroga	49	556	1			
Thompson	16	133	0			
Harrington	14	213	0			



COLORADO BUFFALOES

The quarterback situation is in flux, the offensive line returns little experience and the defense lost two starters. So? The Buffaloes might be down, but the opportunity to win a third straight division title will make this a very competitive season in Boulder.

Most of the questions lie on offense, especially at QB where Joel Klatt, James Cox and Erik Greenberg are battling. Only Klatt, a sophomore, has experience: 10 snaps worth; he appears to be the favorite for starting duties.

The running game will once again be the meat and potatoes of the offense. TB Bobby Purify finally has the chance to emerge from NFL-bound Chris Brown's — and even Marcus Houston's — shadow to become the workhorse back. Brian Calhoun looked good when Purify and Brown went down. Houston has transferred to

Colorado State.

OL coach Dave Borbely has done a marvelous job with the line the past few years, but this could be his toughest job yet. Only two players, OGs Marwan Hage and Karl Allis, have significant experience. Sophomores Gary Moore and Clint O'Neal are the favorites to start at tackle.

Receiver offers depth. WRs Derek McCoy and John Donahoe headline a five-man rotation that should further expand the roles of promising pass catchers D.J. Hackett and Ron Monteilh. Skiing sensation, WR Jeremy Bloom, most likely won't be back, but tight end

could be deep. The defensive line might end up being a team strength, despite the loss of DT Tyler Brayton to the NFL. The other three starters return, and DEs Gabe Nyenhuis and Marques Harris combined for 25 tackles for loss. Plus, DT Sam

Wilder improved every game.

CU's switch to a 4-2-5 defensive scheme before last year limits what the linebackers can accomplish, but the ILBs should be strong with returning starter Sean Tufts — who appears fully healthy — and promising freshman Thaddeus Washington.


The secondary features Medford Moor and J.J. Billingsley as the top playmakers at safety. Brian Iwuh could emerge and start at weakside safety. Phil Jackson will be one starter at corner, but replacing Donald Strickland might be a tall order, and two redshirt freshmen, Sammy Joseph and Gerett Burl, will have the first chance in what looks like a wide-open battle.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-4 (7-2)

	COLORADO	OPP
Points	28.5	22.6
1st Downs	19.1	20.2
Rush Att	46.8	39.0
Rush Yds	241.2	168.3
Pass Att	22.9	33.0
Comp %	51.7	56.4
Pass Yds	146.7	198.2
Intercept	0.7	1.1
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hodge	245	131	53.5	1547	12	6
Ochs	42	21	50.0	327	2	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Brown	276	1743	6.3	18		
Purify	132	739	5.6	3		
Calhoun	67	298	4.4	0		
Drum	11	127	11.5	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
McCoy	41	643	7			
Purify	21	224	1			
Drum	18	145	0			



IOWA STATE CYCLONES

How do you try to replace all everything QB Seneca Wallace? You can't, and head coach Dan McCarney can't expect to, but he will certainly have enough power on defense and a strong running game to keep up the school's nice run the past few seasons.

Cris Love Jr. has the first chance to start at quarterback, but he will have to fend off junior Wayne Terry and freshman Austin Flynn. Love was Wallace's back-up and is the only player with experience at the position.

Whoever wins the starting job will be throwing to potentially the best receiver group in school history. WR Lane Danielson is a multiple threat as a receiver and runner on reverses. WR Jamaul Montgomery is a super athlete with a flair for big catches, and Lance Young and Jack Whitver are a terrific pair.

The running game will not

have a Troy Davis, but the combo of Hiawatha Rutland and Michael Wagner should give McCarney the run-pass balance he covets from his offense. Also keep an eye on compact RB Brian Thompson as a future star.

The offensive line allowed a Big 12-low 19 sacks and could hold the key to the offense's success. OTs Casey Shelton and Cale Stubbe could be a nice pair who should develop with more time. The interior might be a weak spot.

The defensive improvement has been a key to Iowa State's turnaround. DT Jordan Carstens has added nearly 80 pounds since arriving in Ames and is one of the conference's best. He pairs with returning starters DE Tyson Smith and DT Nick Leaders; Smith is a good pass rusher, and Leaders, outstanding as a freshman, could be bound for future greatness.

With Matt Word out of the

fold, the linebacking unit will be led by Brandon Brown and the leadership of oft-injured Chris Whitaker. Brown, who will move inside, made big plays as a sophomore. Undersized WLB Joe Woodley rounds out a decent group.

Four starters return to a secondary that was strong defending the pass but intercepted just 10 balls. CBs Anthony Forrest and Ellis Hobbs III are a nice pair who hope to make more big plays as juniors. Forrest could be very good this season. SS JaMaine Billups is a Blaine Bishop-like player who made a seamless transition to defense. The free safety battle between Marc Timmons and Steve Paris should be fierce.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-6 (4-4)

	IOWA STATE	OPP
Points	29.8	27.8
1st Downs	20.1	20.2
Rush Att	39.5	41.8
Rush Yds	145.0	175.9
Pass Att	31.7	30.3
Comp %	57.0	57.1
Pass Yds	244.5	186.2
Intercept	1.4	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.7	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Wallace	405	231	57.0	3138	14	18
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Rutland	159	615	3.9	6		
Wagner	141	501	3.6	7		
Wallace	111	354	3.2	8		
Thompson	74	294	4.0	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Danielson	59	1026	3			
Whitver	50	667	0			
Young	40	681	6			
Montgomery	34	468	4			

OFFENSE - 6	RETURNING STARTERS	DEFENSE - 7

The Pigskin Prophet Weekly online newsletter

Over 100,000 people have profited weekly using The Pigskin Prophet since its inception... shouldn't you?

Subscribe to **The Pigskin Prophet** for winning plays throughout the football season including in-depth analysis and projected scores on EVERY College and Pro football team. That's an entire season of winners, analyses and projected scores for one low payment of \$99

Register before September 1st and get 20% off!
GET AN ENTIRE SEASON FOR ONLY ONE PAYMENT OF JUST \$79!

Register online today at

PigskinProphet.com

KANSAS JAYHAWKS

Mark Mangino's work in rebuilding the Jayhawks is long from over, but the coach should see significant improvement in the Big 12's cellar dwellers. There is reason for optimism in Lawrence — perhaps not immediately, but in the near future.

Starting with QB Bill Whittemore, the offense appears to be in decent hands. The Big 12 Offensive Newcomer of the Year is a run-pass threat who could stand to improve his accuracy, but he's as good a weapon as Kansas has had at the position in years and Mangino has tailored the offense to the QB's strengths.

Another young gun, sophomore TB Clark Green, is an adept runner and receiver who will only get better with time. Jon Cornish and Jerome Kemp, highly rated recruits, back up Green. The full-back position is loaded with untested talent.

WRs Derick Mills, Brandon Rideau and Mark Simmons combined for 72 receptions last season and will need to rise up as a group. Mills has the most experience, but Simmons, a sophomore, could turn into a go-to guy.

The O-line must replace all but one starter, Tony Coker, who is battling a back injury and must handle the switch to left guard. ORG Justin Henry, who has played all over the line, needs to step up. Converted TE Adrian Jones makes the big jump to left tackle.

DT Travis Watkins and DE David McMillan make up a nice right side of the line. They will need to make more impact plays, however. DE Cory Kipp is very raw but talented, and NT Tim Allen is an undersized run-stuffer.

Nick Reid moves from outside linebacker to strong safety, so the LB corps is a little thin. Sophomores Banks Floodman

and Brandon Perkins were listed as starters following the spring game and will need to mature quickly. MLB Kevin Kane is a pretty strong tackler.

Reid will play up in the box a lot and is a fierce hitter. His presence, plus three returning starters, makes the secondary a potential position of strength. CBs Donnie Amadi and Remuise Johnson are a pretty nice pair. Johnson made an immediate impact as a junior-college transfer with six interceptions and 15 passes broken up. Another big impact player was former QB Zach Dyer, who found a home at free safety and played well in five games there; he will battle with Tony Stubbs for starting honors.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	NORTHWESTERN
Sept. 6	UNLV
Sept. 13	at Wyoming
Sept. 20	JACKSONVILLE STATE
Oct. 4	MISSOURI
Oct. 11	at Colorado
Oct. 18	BAYLOR
Oct. 25	at Kansas State
Nov. 1	at Texas A&M
Nov. 8	NEBRASKA
Nov. 15	at Oklahoma State
Nov. 22	IOWA STATE


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 173

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (0-8)

	KANSAS	OPP
Points	20.7	42.2
1st Downs	20.0	21.5
Rush Att	39.3	44.4
Rush Yds	126.2	256.2
Pass Att	35.8	25.2
Comp %	48.5	55.3
Pass Yds	190.5	216.2
Intercept	1.1	1.0
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.4
Season T.O. Margin	-9	9

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Whittemore	305	151	49.5	1666	11	6
Dyer	47	23	48.9	259	1	1
Luke	47	17	36.2	214	0	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Green	197	813	4.1	4		
Whittemore	137	549	4.0	11		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Gasaway	39	490	5			
Green	37	408	0			
M. Jones	29	368	4			
Rideau	27	307	0			



KANSAS STATE WILDCATS

They are used to this in Manhattan: replacing blue-chip talent and keeping the Wildcats in the national-title picture every year. It's just old hat. NFL draft picks such as CB Terence Newman, the Thorpe Award winner, and LB Terry Pierce might be gone, but chances are head coach Bill Snyder will have two new — and likely more — stars to replace them.

While these Wildcats don't look as menacing on paper as in recent years, there's plenty of offensive weaponry and defensive depth for a strong run at a BCS bid.

QB Eli Roberson has been criticized at times for inconsistent passing, but his athleticism is rare. Roberson's passing improved enough last season to give the staff confidence that he will become a complete quarterback in his senior season.

RB Darren Sproles is a spritely

5-7, but he is a hard runner who is on pace to break the school's career rushing touchdown and yardage mark, perhaps this season. TBs Danny Morris and Carlos Alsop are fine backups.

Replacing the top six departed receivers will be a tall order. Lanky WR James Terry (6-5, 180) is a deep threat with big-play potential. WR Derrick Evans and a host of untested players are also in the mix.

The offensive line, led by All-America candidate C Nick Leckey and ORG Mike Johnson, made solid progress in '02 and should be stable.

Five starters return to a defense that will rely on quickness up front. The front seven is a little smallish, but there is considerable talent. DE Andrew Shull (10 sacks) is a force and could develop into one of the conference's best. DE Thomas Houchin has starting experience and could be a pillar up front. The two tackle

spots will be handled by Justin Montgomery, Andrew Bulman and Jermaine Berry.

Josh Buhl and Bryan Hickman might not be the biggest linebackers in the conference, but they are two of the quickest and toughest. Buhl is a tackling machine who is always around the ball, and Hickman's development was a key to the team's defensive success last year.


SS Rashad Washington, a freak of an athlete who could become an excellent player, helps aid the loss of Newman. 6-2 CB Randy Jordan could be the next special player who rises up as a senior. The other corner spot and free safety are up for grabs with a number of players competing.

TEAM STATS 2002 10-2 (6-2)

	KANSAS STATE	OPP
Points	45.7	10.6
1st Downs	21.2	13.0
Rush Att	50.8	35.0
Rush Yds	267.4	73.9
Pass Att	16.3	30.1
Comp %	55.9	45.2
Pass Yds	154.3	170.0
Intercept	0.5	1.6
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	10	-10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Roberson	147	80	54.4	1365	6	4
Dunn	35	22	62.9	383	6	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Sproles	216	1347	6.2	16		
Roberson	184	969	5.3	13		
Davis	37	224	6.1	1		
Saba	38	129	3.4	8		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Wallace	38	663	5			
Terry	23	471	5			
Hill	17	294	2			



MISSOURI TIGERS

If you haven't yet heard of QB Brad Smith, you soon will. Head coach Gary Pinkel has a star on his hands and a team on the rise. Expect Pinkel's troops to make a run at a bowl bid after two losing seasons in the coach's first two years there.

Smith splashed onto the scene with a fantastic freshman season both running and throwing the football. He is one of the most exciting young players in the country, and he's still only 19.

He will have the luxury of three-year starting RB Zack Abrom behind him. Abrom is a bulldozer-type runner who remains the lead back. Phenom junior-college transfer Damien Nash needs to prove he is healthy before he will make a significant impact.

Receiver is a bit thin. Former QB Darius Outlaw could be the No. 1 target, but he must beat out Chris Crosby, Arnold Britt and

Thomas Omboga will battle at the H-WR spot, and 6-6 Sean Coffey, who had a great spring, has a chance to be special. Senior J.D. McCoy, redshirt freshman DeQuincy Howard and juco transfer Victor Sesay could make TE a deep position.

Six Tigers with starting experience return along the offensive line, which could be a team strength. All-Big 12 candidates OLT Rob Droege and C A.J. Ricker lead a veteran line with promising OG Tony Palmer.

The defense surrendered nearly 30 points per game and lost four key competitors, but Pinkel will have more depth. The big losses appear to be up front. DT Atiyah Ellison will fill the void left by Keith Wright by moving inside from end; Ellison needs to have a big season after a tough one last year. C.J. Mosley and Russ Bell will battle at the other tackle spot. DE Terrell Mills, if healthy, could

be an impact player.

LB James Kinney, the top returning tackler in the Big 12, made a huge impact as a sophomore and will be a tackling machine again. Brandon Barnes has emerged at the other LB spot, ahead of returning starter Henry Sweat, who has battled injuries.


The secondary will be a key unit this year after surrendering more than 280 yards per game through the air. Three starters return, but two newcomers could grab press: juco CB Nino Williams and redshirt freshman, rover Dedrick Harrington. They join a solid CB trio of Michael Harden, Calvin Washington and A.J. Kincaid, plus WHIP Jason Simpson.

TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (2-6)

	MISSOURI	OPP
Points	30.0	29.3
1st Downs	19.9	23.6
Rush Att	41.2	42.6
Rush Yds	183.7	167.8
Pass Att	31.7	35.5
Comp %	53.7	59.4
Pass Yds	203.2	282.6
Intercept	0.6	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.4	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	12	-12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Smith	366	196	53.6	2333	15	6
Farmer	11	5	45.5	63	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Smith	193	1029	5.3	7		
Abrom	176	758	4.3	15		
Leon	62	285	4.6	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Gage	82	1075	9			
Outlaw	46	552	3			
Omboga	20	243	1			
Coffey	12	159	0			



NEBRASKA CORNHUSKERS

It will be a critical season for Frank Solich and the Cornhuskers, but then, when is it not? After a 7-7 season, the Nebraska faithful is getting a little restless, and Solich could find himself without a job if the team does not regain its usual spot in the national spotlight.

The pressure is on talented QB Jamaal Lord to improve as a passer in his second season. Lord showed flashes of brilliance, setting a school record for rushing yards by a QB, but completed fewer than 50 percent of his passes — a number new offensive coordinator Barney Cotton knows must go up.

The chore will be difficult with six starters gone and a young receiving corps. WRs Ross Pilkington and Mark LeFlore saw experience as freshmen and could develop into nice pass catchers. And TE Matt Herian looks like a future star in the passing game.

Still, this is Nebraska, where I-backs reign. David Horne could be the next in a long line of stars at the position and will get the first chance to replace Dahrran Diedrick. Josh Davis, Cory Ross and Robin Miller could also see extensive time, lining up behind talented FB Judd Davies.

The offensive line is also a staple in Lincoln, and three returning starters will try to keep the tradition alive. Richie Incognito is back at OLT after a brief stay at center and should be a beast. ORG Mike Erickson and C Josh Sewell are solid performers, and ORT Dan Vili Waldrop could be another star in the making.

New defensive coordinator Bo Pelini has promised to be more aggressive this season. The defensive line is certainly deep, and any number of combinations can be used up front. DTs Ryon Bingham and Patrick Kabongo are very solid in the middle with

good backups. DEs Trevor Johnson and Bernard Thomas must look over their shoulders because of depth at end also.

WLB Demorrio Williams will likely be the top playmaker. He has the speed of a safety but hits like a truck. MLB Barrett Ruud looks like a keeper inside, and T.J. Hollowell, who split time with Williams last season, moves to the strong side where his physical style should flourish.


DeJuan Groce is gone, but CB Fabian Washington looks like a future star. The Huskers' secondary was exposed in '02 but should improve as returning starters FS Josh Bullocks, SS Philip Bland and CB Pat Ricketts continue to develop in the new scheme.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-6 (3-5)

	NEBRASKA	OPP
Points	27.7	23.7
1st Downs	18.8	19.4
Rush Att	51.7	39.8
Rush Yds	268.9	153.9
Pass Att	16.8	31.9
Comp %	45.0	49.6
Pass Yds	105.3	207.8
Intercept	0.8	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.9	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-1	1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Lord	188	88	46.8	1269	11	10
Stuntz	25	10	40.0	100	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Lord	234	1329	5.7	8		
Diedrick	166	839	5.1	6		
Horne	112	586	5.2	7		
Ross	35	184	5.3	2		
Davies	37	178	4.8	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Thomas	27	319	3			
Pilkington	13	277	1			



OKLAHOMA SOONERS

The Sooners have thrived the past few seasons with a dominant defense and a balanced but conservative offense. For head coach Bob Stoops' crew to make another national-title run, the offense will have to score more points and continue to play top-notch, all-around football in the nation's toughest conference.

No problem, right? With nearly identical rushing and passing totals in 2002, the offensive balance was amazing, but the team lacked big-play ability. QB Nate Hybl is gone, but Jason White will have to fight off Brent Rawls, especially if White's repaired knee is not 100 percent.

RBs Renaldo Works, who showed big-play potential as a receiver, and Kejuan Jones will be the main candidates to replace ultra-productive Quentin Griffin.

The receivers must step up. Mark Clayton's production

dropped from two seasons ago, and he will be expected to raise the bar this fall. Will Peoples is a nice possession receiver, and the team hopes Brandon Jones can emerge as a home-run threat.

The offensive line, with three sophomores and two juniors, is young but very talented. OLT Jamaal Brown is one of the Big 12's best, and OLT Wes Sims should join Brown on all-conference lists. OGS Kelvin Chiasson and Davin Joseph are solid, as is C Vince Carter.

Ah, the defense. Take one look at DT Tommie Harris, and he passes the eye test. Now, with the NFL on the horizon, the junior could be one of the nation's most productive interior linemen. Alongside him, DT Kory Klein absorbs blockers and stuffs the run. DEs Jonathan Jackson and Dan Cody could be a deadly pair.

The linebackers could be the best trio in the country. OLB

Teddy Lehman is a superior playmaker and a likely Butkus Award favorite, but it's scary to think that MLB Lance Mitchell might be a better all-around player. No slouch, SLB Pasha Jackson is a tough kid with a flair for big hits.


And don't forget about the secondary, which is also a sterling group. Despite losing Andre Woolfolk, the group could actually be better this season. FS Brandon Everage and CB Derrick Strait are two of the best at their positions in the game, and the depth behind SS Donte Nicholson and CB Antonio Perkins is downright scary. Safeties Eric Bassey and Brodney Pool and CB Jowahn Potat would start nearly anywhere else.

TEAM STATS 2002 11-2 (7-2)

	OKLAHOMA	OPP
Points	39.0	15.5
1st Downs	19.8	14.7
Rush Att	41.9	36.5
Rush Yds	194.0	115.8
Pass Att	30.0	30.6
Compl %	57.2	47.5
Pass Yds	199.1	181.2
Intercept	0.8	1.7
Fumb Lost	0.4	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	17	-17

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hybl	334	190	56.9	2298	22	8
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Griffin	257	1740	6.8	14		
Jones	159	607	3.8	14		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Smith	41	358	5			
Peoples	36	491	1			
Griffin	33	259	3			
Savage	31	386	3			
Fagan	27	362	2			
Clayton	26	416	5			



OKLAHOMA STATE COWBOYS

The Cowboys' offense tore through the Big 12 with an explosive display that averaged 400-plus yards with some huge games down the stretch.

While expectations are high in Stillwater, the national media has yet to jump on board. Now is the time. The Cowboys open with a fantastic game at Lincoln that should scare the Nebraska folks, and OSU follows with four cupcakes before Kansas State visits.

Much of the credit for the offense's success belongs to QB Josh Fields, who has led the Pokes to two straight wins over hated Oklahoma — enough for OSU fans to remember you for a long time. Although Fields' astounding passer rating is a glitzy 140.3, his game is rather plain — but it's certainly effective.

RB Tatum Bell leads a stable of four backs all back from last season. Bell is poised for another

1,000-yard season, but he has help from RBs Seymore Shaw and Vernand Morency and FB Tim Burrough, a big blocker.

The big-play weapon is All-America WR Rashaun Woods, who surprised the Cowboys' faithful by returning to school even though he projected to be a first-round pick. Woods is by far the best of the crop at receiver, and John Wohlgenuth and Woods' brother, D'Juan will get first crack to start opposite him. TE is extremely deep with Billy Bajema and Charlie Johnson — both big-play guys.

The O-line held its ground and returns three solid starters in OGS Chris Akin and Sam Mayes and C Ben Buie. The tackles could be a spot of concern with youth and depth a problem.

On defense, DT Kevin Williams' departure to the NFL leaves a big hole, but DEs Greg Richmond and Antonio Smith are a good pass-rushing duo, and

DTs Clay Coe, Effe Mowarin and Kyle Hix should be solid.

Sophomore LB Paul Duren has great range and speed. He is adept in coverage and gives blockers trouble because of his athleticism. Beside him, fellow sophomore Lawrence Pinson is a steady performer.


The Cowboys like to play in a 4-2-5 defense. The secondary returns three starters, including senior FS Elbert Craig, the leader of the unit. Craig was all over the place, making plays, and some say he was relied on too much. CBs Vernon Grant and Darrent Williams are a decent pair, and there is decent experience besides them. WS Charlie Ward and SS Fath' Carter should be solid.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (5-3)

	OKLAHOMA STATE	OPP
Points	34.4	27.8
1st Downs	20.5	22.0
Rush Att	34.0	41.3
Rush Yds	144.7	147.0
Pass Att	34.5	37.7
Compl %	53.6	55.8
Pass Yds	258.7	258.2
Intercept	1.2	0.9
Fumb Lost	0.5	0.7
Season T.O. Margin	-1	1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Fields	368	205	55.7	2835	29	9
Pogi	40	17	42.5	269	1	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Bell	162	936	5.8	10		
Shaw	77	461	6.0	5		
Morency	58	269	4.6	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Woods	98	1531	16			
Lewis	32	558	6			
Davis-Bryant	28	314	1			
Bajema	15	238	1			



TEXAS A&M AGGIES

New head coach Dennis Franchione shocked many observers when he abruptly left Alabama after guiding the Crimson Tide to a 10-3 record despite probation and scholarship restrictions.

However, it was exactly because the probation was extended another year that got Franchione to seriously think about leaving 'Bama. When R.C. Slocum was fired by A&M after a disappointing non-bowl season, Franchione, who had tremendous success at Texas Christian, jumped at the chance to return to the Lone Star State.

Franchione's teams are known for being disciplined, fit and well-coached. As a result, look for a talented group of Aggies to improve this season thanks to the upgrade at coach.

It looks like Franchione will rely on both of his talented quarterbacks — junior Dustin Long

and sophomore Reggie McNeal — to run his multiple-option offense. Both showed great promise last year.

The starting backfield includes junior TB Derek Farmer, who can be very productive when healthy, and junior FB Keith Joseph.

Junior Jason Carter takes over at the 'A' position, a hybrid spot that gives Carter the opportunity to run and catch passes. He also is a dangerous return man.

The Aggies boast a talented corps of wideouts, particularly seniors Jamaar Taylor and Tim Van Zant and juniors Terrence Murphy and Terrence Thomas.

TEs Ryan White and Taylor Schuster both offer big receiving targets.

Up front, the Aggies welcome back OLT Jami Hightower, ORT Alan Reuber and C Geoff Hangartner.

Defensively, A&M must replace NFL draft picks DL Ty

Warren, CB Sammy Davis and SS Terrence Kiel.

Senior DE Linnis Smith has all-star potential as a pass rusher. He'll be joined on the outside by promising sophomore David Ross. Junior Marcus Jasmin returns at nose tackle.

Run-stuffing MLB Jared Morris returns to lead an inexperienced LB corps.

Senior CB Sean Weston leads a rebuilt secondary that also includes junior CB Byron Jones, junior SS Keelan Jackson and sophomore FS Jaxson Appel. Sophomore Nurahda Manning is the rover in passing situations.

Senior P Cody Scates is one of the nation's best at his position and is an All-America candidate.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	ARKANSAS STATE
Sept. 6	UTAH
Sept. 18	at Virginia Tech
Sept. 27	PITTSBURGH
Oct. 4	at Texas Tech
Oct. 11	BAYLOR
Oct. 18	at Nebraska
Oct. 25	OKLAHOMA STATE
Nov. 1	KANSAS
Nov. 8	at Oklahoma
Nov. 15	at Missouri
Nov. 28	TEXAS

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 231

TEAM STATS 2002 6-6 (3-5)

	TEXAS A&M	OPP
Points	28.8	23.3
1st Downs	18.7	18.2
Rush Att	35.1	39.0
Rush Yds	118.2	130.9
Pass Att	35.4	33.4
Compl %	51.5	57.1
Pass Yds	268.0	207.5
Intercept	1.6	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	2	-2


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Long	333	177	53.2	2509	19	16
McNeal	45	24	53.3	456	6	3
Farris	45	18	40.0	251	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Farmer	172	739	4.3	7		
Joe Weber	55	151	2.7	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Porter	48	669	4			
Taylor	44	760	3			
Johnson	40	718	8			
Murphy	36	599	4			

OFFENSE - 7

RETURNING STARTERS

DEFENSE - 5



TEXAS TECH RED RAIDERS

Mike Leach's vaunted passing attack faces its first big test: life after QB Kliff Kingsbury. The fourth-year coach has had little trouble moving the ball up and down the field with his pass-happy attack, but now the reins are turned over to senior QB B.J. Symons, who has been Kingsbury's caddy the last three seasons.

Symons, a senior, saw limited playing time the past few years but has impressed when he has played. Junior Sonny Cumbie and sophomore Cody Hodges will back up.

RB Taurean Henderson did a nice job as a redshirt freshman, emerging as a good runner and receiver. A nice spring this year convinced the coaching staff that the shifty back has improved. Junior-college RB Johnnie Mack adds depth.

Leach's receivers are rock solid, and the depth at the position

is very good. Slot WR Wes Welker is a great possession receiver who is hard to bring down; he doubles as one of the nation's better punt returners. Mickey Peters and Nehemiah Glover start at the X- and Y-WR spots, and there are several more nice options.

Four starters return on the offensive line, which is heavily geared toward pass blocking. C Toby Cecil has 36 starts to his credit as the line's leader, and OTs Daniel Loper (left) and Casey Keck (right) make nice bookends.

Three new defensive coaches will inherit five returning starters from a unit that occasionally gave up some big scores, partly because it found itself on the field so much with the quick-strike, pass-first offense. The line must replace three starters, including DE Aaron Hunt. DE Adell Duckett had five sacks and has the potential to increase that number. Two redshirt

freshmen, DE Seth Nitschmann and DT Ken Scott, will need to mature quickly as they are projected starters.

All-American LB Lawrence Flurgence is gone, and OLBs Mike Smith and John Saldi — two big playmakers — will need to establish themselves quickly. True freshman Brock Stratton has the unenviable task of replacing Flurgence in the middle.

Both cornerbacks are gone, leaving inexperienced CBs Ivory McCann and Marcus Boyd as the starters. Both played well in the spring. They will be helped tremendously by the safety tandem of Ryan Aycock and Vincent Meeks, one of the better duos in the Big 12.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-5 (5-3)

	TEXAS TECH	OPP
Points	37.1	32.6
1st Downs	27.1	20.8
Rush Att	27.5	39.9
Rush Yds	100.0	173.4
Pass Att	55.2	32.0
Compl %	66.3	57.2
Pass Yds	383.1	233.5
Intercept	1.1	0.9
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.2
Season T.O. Margin	-13	13


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Kingsbury	669	447	66.8	4642	42	12
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Henderson	143	733	5.1	6		
Munlin	49	228	4.7	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Henderson	90	577	5			
Welker	83	1034	6			
Peters	57	663	7			
Paige	48	565	7			
Glover	44	552	9			
Francis	44	544	6			

OFFENSE - 9

RETURNING STARTERS

DEFENSE - 5



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Nebraska	13	672	3496	5.20	29	268.92
Kansas State	12	609	3209	5.27	49	267.42
Colorado	13	608	3136	5.16	27	241.23
Oklahoma	13	545	2522	4.63	32	194.00
Missouri	12	494	2204	4.46	27	183.67
Iowa State	13	513	1885	3.67	29	145.00
Oklahoma State	12	408	1736	4.25	23	144.67
Texas	12	479	1649	3.44	21	137.42
Kansas	12	472	1515	3.21	18	126.25
Texas A&M	12	421	1418	3.37	16	118.17
Baylor	12	447	1241	2.78	16	103.42
Texas Tech	13	358	1300	3.63	14	100.00

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Texas Tech	13	718	476	4980	45	383.08
Texas A&M	12	425	219	3216	25	268.00
Oklahoma State	12	414	222	3104	30	258.67
Texas	12	376	225	2982	24	248.50
Iowa State	13	412	235	3178	15	244.46
Baylor	12	400	224	2778	10	231.50
Missouri	12	380	204	2438	17	203.17
Oklahoma	13	390	223	2588	26	199.08
Kansas	12	430	200	2286	13	190.50
Kansas State	12	195	109	1851	13	154.25
Colorado	13	298	154	1907	14	146.69
Nebraska	13	218	98	1369	11	105.31

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Texas Tech	13	1,107	6280	5.64	64	483.08
Kansas State	12	804	5060	6.29	74	421.67
Oklahoma State	12	822	4840	5.89	56	403.33
Oklahoma	13	935	5110	5.47	66	393.08
Iowa State	13	925	5063	5.47	48	389.46
Colorado	13	906	5043	5.57	48	387.92
Missouri	12	874	4642	5.31	48	386.83
Texas A&M	12	846	4634	5.48	45	386.17
Texas	12	855	4631	5.42	51	385.92
Nebraska	13	890	4865	5.47	46	374.23
Baylor	12	847	4019	4.74	26	334.92
Kansas	12	902	3801	4.21	33	316.75

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Kansas State	12	420	887	2.11	6	73.92
Oklahoma	13	475	1506	3.17	9	115.85
Texas A&M	12	468	1571	3.36	14	130.92
Texas	12	425	1605	3.78	9	133.75
Oklahoma State	12	496	1764	3.56	23	147.00
Nebraska	13	517	2001	3.87	23	153.92
Baylor	12	440	1963	4.46	31	163.58
Missouri	12	511	2013	3.94	22	167.75
Colorado	13	507	2188	4.32	23	168.31
Texas Tech	13	519	2254	4.34	28	173.38
Iowa State	13	544	2287	4.20	26	175.92
Kansas	12	533	3075	5.77	34	256.25

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Texas	12	354	173	1954	16	162.83
Kansas State	12	361	163	2040	9	170.00
Oklahoma	13	398	189	2355	12	181.15
Iowa State	13	394	225	2420	15	186.15
Texas A&M	12	401	229	2490	17	207.50
Colorado	13	429	242	2576	14	198.15
Kansas	12	302	167	2594	27	216.17
Nebraska	13	415	206	2701	11	207.77
Baylor	12	382	235	2900	30	241.67
Texas Tech	13	416	238	3035	25	233.46
Oklahoma State	12	452	252	3098	20	258.17
Missouri	12	426	253	3391	19	282.58

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Kansas State	12	3.75	781	2927	16	243.92
Texas	12	4.57	779	3559	25	296.58
Oklahoma	13	4.42	873	3861	25	297.00
Texas A&M	12	4.67	869	4061	34	338.42
Nebraska	13	5.05	932	4702	38	361.69
Iowa State	13	5.02	938	4707	47	362.08
Colorado	13	5.09	936	4764	39	366.46
Oklahoma State	12	5.13	948	4862	45	405.17
Baylor	12	5.92	822	4863	67	405.25
Texas Tech	13	5.66	935	5289	57	406.85
Missouri	12	5.77	937	5404	44	450.33
Kansas	12	6.79	835	5669	67	472.42

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Chris Brown, Colorado	Jr.	12	275	1744	18	145.33
Quentin Griffin, Oklahoma	Sr.	13	257	1740	14	133.85
Darren Sproles, Kansas State	So.	12	216	1347	16	112.25
Cedric Benson, Texas	So.	12	293	1247	11	103.92
Jammal Lord, Nebraska	Jr.	13	234	1329	8	102.23
Tatum Bell, Oklahoma State	Jr.	10	162	936	10	93.60
Ell Roberson, Kansas State	Jr.	11	184	969	13	88.09
Brad Smith, Missouri	Fr.	12	193	1029	7	85.75
Derek Farmer, Texas A&M	So.	10	172	739	7	73.90
Zack Abnon, Missouri	Jr.	11	176	758	15	68.91

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Chris Simms, Texas	Sr.	12	368	220	59.78	11	2938	24
Kliff Kingsbury, Texas Tech	Sr.	13	669	447	66.82	12	4642	42
Josh Fields, Oklahoma State	So.	12	368	205	55.71	9	2835	29
Ell Roberson, Kansas State	Jr.	11	147	80	54.42	4	1365	6
Nate Hybl, Oklahoma	Sr.	13	334	190	56.89	8	2298	22
Dustin Long, Texas A&M	So.	12	333	177	53.15	16	2509	19
Seneca Wallace, Iowa State	Sr.	13	405	231	57.04	18	3138	14
Robert Hodge, Colorado	Sr.	12	245	131	53.47	6	1547	12
Brad Smith, Missouri	Fr.	12	366	196	53.55	6	2333	15
Aaron Karas, Baylor	So.	11	251	150	59.76	13	1792	6

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Rashaun Woods, Oklahoma State	Jr.	12	98	1531	16	127.58
Reggie Newhouse, Baylor	Sr.	12	75	1140	3	95.00
Roy Williams, Texas	Jr.	11	60	1000	11	90.91
Justin Gage, Missouri	Sr.	12	82	1074	9	89.50
Lane Danielson, Iowa State	Jr.	12	59	1026	3	85.50
Wes Welker, Texas Tech	Jr.	13	83	1034	6	79.54
Jamaar Taylor, Texas A&M	Jr.	10	44	760	3	76.00
Bethel Johnson, Texas A&M	Sr.	12	40	718	8	59.83
Greg Porter, Texas A&M	Sr.	12	48	669	4	55.75
Jack Whitver, Iowa State	Jr.	12	50	667	0	55.58

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Zack Abnon, Missouri	Jr.	11	17	0	0	102	9.27
Chris Brown, Colorado	Jr.	12	18	0	0	108	9.00
Darren Sproles, Kansas State	So.	12	16	0	0	98	8.17
Dusty Mangum, Texas	So.	12	0	49	16	97	8.08
Rashaun Woods, Oklahoma State	Jr.	12	16	0	0	96	8.00
Quentin Griffin, Oklahoma	Sr.	13	17	0	0	102	7.85
Bill Whittemore, Kansas	Jr.	9	11	0	0	70	7.78
Joe Rheem, Kansas State	So.	10	0	49	9	76	7.60
Trey DiCarlo, Oklahoma	Fr.	13	0	54	14	96	7.38
Ell Roberson, Kansas State	Jr.	11	13	0	0	80	7.27

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Quentin Griffin, Oklahoma	Sr.	13	1740	259	0	0	1999
Chris Brown, Colorado	Jr.	12	1744	40	0	0	1784
Wes Welker, Texas Tech	Jr.	13	214	103	665	5	1918
Darren Sproles, Kansas State	So.	12	1347	99	154	61	1661
Rashaun Woods, Oklahoma State	Jr.	12	0	153	0	0	1531
Cedric Benson, Texas	So.	12	1247	90	0	0	1337
Taurean Henderson, Texas Tech	Fr.	13	733	577	0	27	1337
Jammal Lord, Nebraska	Jr.	13	1329	0	0	0	1329
Clark Green, Kansas	Fr.	12	813	408	0	0	1221
Tatum Bell, Oklahoma State	Jr.	10	936	60	0	0	996

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PTD	YDS	YDS/GM
Kliff Kingsbury, Texas Tech	Sr.	13	-84	4642	4558	350.62
Brad Smith, Missouri	Fr.	12	1029	2333	3362	280.17
Seneca Wallace, Iowa State	Sr.	13	354	3138	3492	268.62
Bill Whittemore, Kansas	Jr.	9	549	1666	2215	246.11
Chris Simms, Texas	Sr.	12	-103	2938	2835	236.25
Josh Fields, Oklahoma State	So.	12	-73	2835	2762	230.17
Ell Roberson, Kansas State	Jr.	11	969	1365	2334	212.18
Dustin Long, Texas A&M	So.	12	13	2509	2522	210.17
Jammal Lord, Nebraska	Jr.	13	1329	1269	2598	199.85
Nate Hybl, Oklahoma	Sr.	13	-40	2298	2258	173.69

BIG EAST PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE VIRGINIA TECH hokies

The Hokies are loaded with experienced talent on both sides of the ball, particularly on defense with eight returning starters. The offense boasts gamebreakers like RB Kevin Jones and WR Ernest Wilford. And either QB Bryan Randall or Marcus Vick will offer a dynamic option running the show. Tech has a favorable schedule that doesn't see it leave Blacksburg until Oct. 4 after four straight home games. Miami visits Nov. 1.


TWO MIAMI (FLA.) hurricanes

Though Miami is facing a rebuilding season — especially along the offensive and defensive lines — the Hurricanes, as always, have a ton of talent returning. Florida transfer Brock Berlin won the battle for the key QB position, beating out last year's backup Derrick Crudup. Explosive RB Frank Gore is back after missing 2002 with a knee injury. Defensively, the Hurricanes boast the best secondary in the nation — and two top linebackers in Jonathan Vilma and D.J. Williams. Miami plays at Virginia Tech Nov. 1, then at Pittsburgh Nov. 29 in the games that could decide the league title.

THREE PITTSBURGH panthers

Optimism reigns in Pittsburgh as the Panthers feel they are on the verge of finishing what they started last year when they went into the next-to-last game of the season with a shot at winning the Big East. Head coach Walt Harris welcomes back 17 starters, including improving QB Rod Rutherford, RB Brandon Mirce and stud WR Larry Fitzgerald. MLB Lewis Moore must hold together a defense that has some holes to fill. Texas A&M

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Rod Rutherford, Pittsburgh, Sr.
- RB Kevin Jones, Virginia Tech, Jr.
- RB Derrick Knight, Boston College, Sr.
- WR Larry Fitzgerald, Pittsburgh, Soph.
- WR Ernest Wilford, Virginia Tech, Sr.
- TE Kellen Winslow, Miami, Jr.
- OL Tim Brown, West Virginia, Sr.
- OL Vernon Carey, Miami, Sr.
- OL Jake Grove, Virginia Tech, Sr.
- OL Carlos Joseph, Miami, Sr.
- OL Rob Pettitt, Pittsburgh, Jr.

DEFENSE

- DL Claude Harriott, Pittsburgh, Sr.
- DL Louis Gachelin, Syracuse, Sr.
- DL Nathaniel Adibi, Virginia Tech, Sr.
- DL Cols Colas, Virginia Tech, Sr.
- LB Jonathan Vilma, Miami, Sr.
- LB Grant Wiley, West Virginia, Sr.
- LB D.J. Williams, Miami, Sr.
- DB DeAngelo Hall, Virginia Tech, Jr.
- DB Antrel Rolle, Miami, Jr.
- DB Maurice Sikes, Miami, Sr.
- DB Sean Taylor, Miami, Jr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Sandro Sciortino, Boston College, Sr.
- P Andy Lee, Pittsburgh, Sr.

and Notre Dame are key non-conference games before Big East play. Remember, Virginia Tech and Miami each must visit Heinz Field.

FOUR BOSTON COLLEGE golden eagles

The Eagles get an early test in the schedule when they travel to Penn State Sept. 6. That should tell a lot about this B.C. team. Head coach Tom O'Brien must find a starting quarterback and rebuild an offensive line that has lost both tackles and the center. RBs Derrick Knight and Horace Dodd are standouts and O'Brien believes young WRs Grant Adams, Joe Hazard and Dorien Bryant are emerging talents.



TE KELLEN WINSLOW
MIAMI (FLA.)

The QB question must be resolved if the Eagles hope to return to a bowl game for a fifth straight year.

FIVE SYRACUSE orangemen

Another bad season could leave head coach Paul Pasqualoni, who's squarely on the hot seat, looking for a new job. The first month of the season will tell the tale as the Orange play at North Carolina then host Louisville, UCF and Toledo. The Orange also must visit Pittsburgh and Miami and host Notre Dame in the season finale. The RB duo of Walter Reyes and Damien Rhodes is one of the best in the Big East. However, without a reliable QB,

Syracuse's season could fall apart.

SIX WEST VIRGINIA mountaineers

Head coach Rich Rodriguez has a lot of work to do to get the Mountaineers off the roller coaster they have been on for the last few seasons (9-4 last year, 3-8 the year before, 7-5 in 2000, 4-7 in 1999, 8-4 in '98). With 21 departed seniors, including record-breaking RB Avon Cobourne, that's a tall order. QB Rasheed Marshall and RB Quincy Wilson are standouts. After sneaking up on teams in '02, the Mountaineers won't have that luxury this year. Three of the first five games are on the road, including at Miami on Oct. 2.

SEVEN TEMPLE owls

The Owls will look radically different from the team that finished last season as head coach Bobby Wallace chose to fill in 16 vacated starting spots with junior-college talent. We'll see if the experiment works as 23 juco players arrive on campus this summer. In fact, if juco transfer QB Walter Washington lives up to his advance billing as another Seneca Wallace, the Owls may win four games once again. A rebuilt defense is a concern.

EIGHT RUTGERS scarlet knights

The top priority for head coach Greg Schiano and his staff is improving a woeful offense that ranked last in the NCAA in 2002. Six offensive linemen return with starting experience, so that should help. Amazingly, the Knights have yet to win a Big East game under Schiano. If QB Ryan Hart and some talented newcomers at running back can come through, this could be the year that dubious streak finally comes to an end.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Miami (Fla.)	7	0	297	140	3-0	4-0	0-0
West Virginia	6	1	212	116	2-1	4-0	0-0
Pittsburgh	5	2	185	138	3-1	2-1	0-0
Virginia Tech	3	4	209	202	2-2	1-2	0-0
Boston College	3	4	180	157	2-1	1-3	0-0
Temple	2	5	124	208	1-3	1-2	0-0
Syracuse	2	5	169	245	2-2	0-3	0-0
Rutgers	0	7	79	249	0-3	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Miami (Fla.)	12	0	503	217	6-0	6-0	0-0
West Virginia	9	3	374	254	4-2	5-1	0-0
Virginia Tech	9	4	409	250	6-2	3-2	0-0
Pittsburgh	8	4	293	219	5-2	3-2	0-0
Boston College	8	4	341	228	6-1	2-3	0-0
Temple	4	8	242	351	2-5	2-3	0-0
Syracuse	4	8	347	406	3-3	1-5	0-0
Rutgers	1	11	167	397	1-5	0-6	0-0

ON THE AIR
for over 2 Decades



FREE WINNERS JUST FOR WATCHING!!!

Join JIM FEIST and his panel of experts as they analyze and give their predictions on key games in *both* College and Pro football. Hosted by All-American, All-Pro Wide Receiver and the voice of the St. Louis Rams - Jack Snow.



**WORLD CHAMPION
HANDICAPPER**

JIM FEIST

**DAVE
COKIN**

**GLENN
MCGREW**

**SCOTT
SPREITZER**

Tune in SATURDAY MORNINGS
On **USA** Network at 7:00 a.m. & 9:00 a.m.
EASTERN AND PACIFIC

(Dish TV ch.105 EST, Direct TV ch.242 EST)



PROLINE FREE PICK PHONE

Free winner on a recorded message updated daily by one of the Proline panelists. Call toll-free

1.888.260.8235

BOSTON COLLEGE EAGLES

Head coach Tom O'Brien has turned B.C. into a consistent winner during his seven years on Chestnut Hill. The Eagles' 51-25 win over Toledo in last December's Motor City Bowl was B.C.'s third straight bowl victory and fourth straight bowl appearance.

O'Brien has proven he can produce a winner year after year. He'll be tested in that regard this season after several key departures and a challenging schedule.

The Eagles first must find a new quarterback. O'Brien is confident that last year's backup, junior Quinton Porter, is up to the task.

They must also find two new starting wide receivers. However, O'Brien is excited about the prospects of junior Grant Adams (five catches for 92 yards and two touchdowns vs. Toledo) and junior Joel Hazard (5-66-1 vs.

Toledo). There are also four solid freshmen joining the team.

The anchor of the offense should be senior RB Derrick Knight, who was a second-team All-Big East selection in 2002. Knight also was B.C.'s third leading receiver. He will be pushed by senior Horace Dodd (33 rushing yards, TD vs. Toledo). Senior Greg Toal is the starting fullback.

The offensive line must be rebuilt with the departures of both starting tackles and C Dan Koppen. OGs Chris Snee and Augie Hoffmann return while sophomore Jeremy Trueblood saw big-game experience as a redshirt freshman.

Senior TE Sean Ryan returns after a stellar junior season.

Defensively, the Eagles must find a new middle linebacker and three starters in the secondary. They must also replace injury-prone pass rusher Antonio Garay. Sophomores Ray Henderson

and Ricky Brown will battle to replace departed MLB Vinny Ciurciu. However, starting OLBs Josh Ott (team-high 128 tackles) and Brian Flores return.

Up front, DLT Doug Goodwin is back from injury, joining senior Tom Martin, junior Tim Bulman and sophomore Al Washington.

Sophomore CB Larry Lester is the lone starter back, although the man he replaced in the starting lineup, CB Peter Shean, is expected back after tearing a knee ligament early last season.

Senior Paul Cook and T.J. Stancil are the frontrunners at the safety spots.

PK Sandro Sciortino is solid, with a school-record 23 field goals in 2002.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	WAKE FOREST
Sept. 6	at Penn State
Sept. 13	at Connecticut
Sept. 20	MIAMI (FLA.)
Sept. 27	BALL STATE
Oct. 11	at Temple
Oct. 18	at Syracuse
Oct. 25	NOTRE DAME
Nov. 1	PITTSBURGH
Nov. 8	WEST VIRGINIA
Nov. 15	at Rutgers
Nov. 22	at Virginia Tech

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 144


TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (3-4)

BOSTON COLLEGE	OPP
Points	28.4 19.0
1st Downs	19.8 18.1
Rush Att	37.7 43.4
Rush Yds	159.6 172.0
Pass Att	31.6 27.7
Compl %	57.5 52.4
Pass Yds	222.3 158.8
Intercept	1.4 1.1
Fumb Lost	0.6 1.4
Season T.O. Margin	6 -6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
St. Pierre	372	212	57.0	2641	15	17
Porter	7	6	85.7	28	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Knight	240	1367	5.7	11		
Brokaw	89	314	3.5	9		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Hemmings	37	488	2			
Knight	34	345	2			
Burke	33	498	3			
Adams	32	450	4			
Ryan	22	254	3			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 5



MIAMI (FLA.) HURRICANES

With the graduation of QB Ken Dorsey, one can truly say that it was the end of an era for Miami.

Dorsey was a two-time Heisman finalist and the most prolific passer in Miami history. He led the Hurricanes to 35 wins in 37 games the last three years, and guided them to back-to-back national title games.

Now, with Dorsey and 11 other starters departed, head coach Larry Coker is faced with the challenge of filling several key spots while living up to high expectations.

He should be able to manage all right since the talent returning is excellent. In fact, 47 lettermen return, including 13 starters.

The QB position is loaded with talent. Junior Brock Berlin (a Florida transfer) won the starting job in spring, but junior Derrick Crudup, redshirt freshman Marc Guillon and true freshman Kyle Wright are also in the mix.

The team's leading returning receiver is junior TE Kellen Winslow, who caught 11 passes for 122 yards and a touchdown in the Fiesta Bowl. He'll be joined by senior WRs Jason Geathers and Kevin Beard and sophomores Roscoe Parrish and Akiem Jolla.

Despite losing the record-setting Willis McGahee at running back, the Hurricanes are loaded at this position. Sophomore Frank Gore returns after missing last season with a knee injury. He'll be joined by steady senior Jarrett Payton and highly touted freshman Tyrone Moss.

The fullback is sophomore Quadtrine Hill.

The offensive line must replace departed starters C Brett Romberg and OLG Sherko Hajirasouli. ORT Vernon Carey, OLT Carlos Joseph, and junior ORG Chris Myers were part of a unit that allowed 11 sacks last year.

Defensively, the Hurricanes

lost four regular starters up front, yet still return junior DT Vince Wilfork, Santonio Thomas and Orien Harris. The team hopes a pair of pass-rushing ends emerge.

The LB corps is in good hands with a pair of All-America candidates in seniors Jonathan Vilma and D.J. Williams leading the way. Joining them is sophomore Rocky McIntosh, who started five of the last six games.

All four starters, and top eight overall, return to the secondary. CBs Kelly Jennings and Antrel Rolle are joined by safeties Maurice Sikes and Sean Taylor. Rolle, Sikes and Taylor were first-team All-Big East picks.

Miami must find a new placekicker and punter.


TEAM STATS 2002 12-0 (7-0)

MIAMI	OPP
Points	41.9 18.1
1st Downs	20.7 17.9
Rush Att	35.2 44.2
Rush Yds	192.8 171.4
Pass Att	32.3 27.7
Compl %	54.6 47.0
Pass Yds	282.6 119.5
Intercept	0.9 0.8
Fumb Lost	0.8 1.0
Season T.O. Margin	2 -2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

DORSEY LEADING 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Dorsey	350	194	55.4	3073	26	10
Crudup	25	14	56.0	218	2	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
McGahee	262	1686	6.4	27		
Geathers	68	398	5.9	3		
Payton	42	206	4.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Johnson	48	1038	9			
Winslow	46	604	7			
McGahee	24	350	0			
Beard	23	262	4			

OFFENSE - 6 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



RUTGERS SCARLET KNIGHTS

Third-year head coach Greg Schiano looks to build upon the flashes of improvement his squad showed in 2002.

For the most part, it was another lost season for the Scarlet Knights. However, they did show glimpses of promise. One example was holding a 17-14 lead through three quarters vs. No. 1 Miami (Fla.). The Scarlet Knights also did well earlier in the season, taking a 14-7 halftime lead at Tennessee.

Schiano will try to find a starting quarterback from a group of five who will be battling for the job. Two of the returners, senior Ted Trump and sophomore Ryan Hart, each started four games last season. Junior Chris Baker is also in the mix after spending a year as a flanker.

Four running backs on the roster have reached the century mark in a collegiate game. Schiano hopes one — from the group of

Markis Facyson, Clarence Pittman, Jason Nugent and Marcus Jones — will emerge as the go-to guy. If not, he hopes prep stars Justise Hairston or Jamar Brittingham can step up.

The team must find a replacement for its top three receivers last year. TE L.J. Smith, one of the top tight ends in the country and the team's Offensive MVP the last two years, will be the toughest to replace.

Junior WRs Jerry Andre (17.1 yards per catch) and Tres Moses (injured after three games in '02) are the top choices to emerge. Sophomore Shawn Tucker also is a talent.

The Knights' offensive line returns five players who have starting experience but must find replacements for departed bookend tackles Trohn Carswell and Howard Blackwood. Senior Marty Pyszcymuka is solid at center. Defensively, the Scarlet

Knights must replace two-time team Defensive MVP S Shawn Seabrooks, the second-leading tackler on the team last year.

Despite that, the secondary should be a strength with starting CBs Nate Jones (a senior All-America candidate) and Brandon Haw and hard-hitting SS Jarvis Johnson all returning.

The LB corps is led by seniors Brian Bender (81 tackles, 8 for loss) and Brian Hohmann. Rutgers will miss two-time team MVP Gary Brackett.

Up front, senior DE Raheem Orr (4.5 sacks) and senior DT Gary Gibson lead the way.

P Mike Barr must be replaced. Nate Jones (28.3 per kick return, 2 TDs) is a standout return man.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	BUFFALO
Sept. 6	at Michigan State
Sept. 13	at Army
Sept. 27	NAVY
Oct. 4	VIRGINIA TECH
Oct. 11	at West Virginia
Oct. 18	PITTSBURGH
Oct. 25	at Temple
Nov. 8	at Connecticut
Nov. 15	BOSTON COLLEGE
Nov. 22	at Miami (Fla.)
Nov. 29	SYRACUSE

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 219


TEAM STATS 2002 1-11 (0-7)

	RUTGERS	OPP
Points	13.9	33.1
1st Downs	14.0	19.8
Rush Att	35.0	43.8
Rush Yds	51.7	207.0
Pass Att	34.4	27.8
Compl %	45.5	51.4
Pass Yds	162.3	198.0
Intercept	1.9	0.9
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	-13	13

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Trump	155	71	45.8	740	4	11
Cubit	142	64	45.1	657	5	6
Hart	111	51	45.9	479	2	6
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Facyson	124	398	3.2	1		
Pittman	126	316	2.5	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Smith	32	384	3			
Martin	30	284	3			
Hobbs	27	324	0			
Tucker	21	195	1			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



SYRACUSE ORANGEMEN

With five players back on the offensive line and one of the top RB tandems in the Big East, it's no secret what Syracuse will try to do this season — run, run and run some more.

That strategy should serve the Orangemen well as they look to rebound from a disappointing 2002 season. However, if they can't at least offer the threat of a passing game, head coach Paul Pasqualoni's squad is likely to struggle once again.

The RB duo of junior Walter Reyes and sophomore Damien Rhodes is exceptional; bulldozing FB Thump Belton paves the way.

Up front, three-year starting C Nick Romeo, a senior, leads an experienced group. OTs Kevin Sampson and Adam Terry and OG Matt Tarullo also started all 12 games in '02, while junior TEs Lenny Cusumano and Joe Donnelly both have been starters.

However, the key to the Orange's offensive fortunes in '02 is going to be in finding a signal-caller who can effectively pass the ball. Senior QB R.J. Anderson returns after losing his starting spot to the departed Troy Nunes midway through last season. He will try to hold off sophomore Xzavier Gaines for the starting job.

The WR corps is iffy. Jamel Riddle is likely gone due to academic ineligibility and senior Johnnie Morant is still trying to live up to the high expectations that surrounded him when he arrived.

Defensively, the Orangemen have an outstanding 1-2 punch at tackle in All-Big East candidates senior Louis Gachelin (8½ sacks, 17 tackles for loss in '02) and junior Christian Ferrara.

Senior DE Josh Thomas is a two-year starter and two-time Academic All-American. Junior Julian Pollard and sophomores

Ryan LaCasse and James Wyche will battle for the other DE spot.

Senior Rich Scanlon (60 tackles, 10 for loss) is the lone returning starter at linebacker. He moves to the middle to replace departed star Clifton Smith.

CB Steve Gregory, a 2002 freshman All-American, is the lone returning starter in the secondary and is a top kick returner. However, highly regarded freshman CB Larry McClain left school in late April, leaving Syracuse with four cornerbacks.

Junior O'Neil Scott and sophomore Anthony Smith are up for the FS spot. Converted RB Diamond Ferri is at strong safety.

Junior PK Collin Barber returns after an erratic year.


TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (2-5)

	SYRACUSE	OPP
Points	28.9	33.8
1st Downs	19.6	20.9
Rush Att	44.0	41.4
Rush Yds	188.8	171.9
Pass Att	27.8	32.0
Compl %	52.3	58.1
Pass Yds	187.7	303.8
Intercept	1.3	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.5
Season T.O. Margin	2	-2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Nunes	197	115	58.4	1337	8	7
Anderson	134	58	43.3	899	4	8
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Reyes	182	1135	6.2	17		
Rhodes	138	568	4.1	7		
Baker	21	116	5.5	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Riddle	42	632	5			
Tyree	35	557	2			
Morant	24	327	0			
Jones	22	213	2			

OFFENSE - 6 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



TEMPLE OWLS

Head coach Bobby Wallace went the junior-college route this past offseason in an attempt to kickstart the Owls' program after five years of treading water.

Entering his sixth season at the helm, Wallace hopes to build on a 4-8 season in which the Owls showed flashes of promise. He hopes that several of his 23 juco recruits will be able to come right in and challenge for starting positions.

The Owls' defense kept them competitive, ranking 15th nationally in rushing defense and No. 1 in the Big East. Overall, Temple ranked 18th nationally in total defense, one of only eight Division I-A teams to rank in the top 20 in defense in both 2001 and '02.

This year will be a tough challenge for Wallace and his defensive coordinator Raymond Monica as several key seniors have departed, including Big East

Defensive Player of the year DT Dan Klecko.

Up front, Klecko will be missed but DEs Rodney Wormley and Mike Mendenhall can pressure the quarterback while senior Taso Apostolidis leads the players in the mix at tackle.

Sophomore Rian Wallace and senior Troy Bennett lead a good group at inside linebacker.

The Owls play a 4-2-5 formation, so the outside linebackers are a pair of safeties. The top three from last year have departed so senior Shawn Bullard (back from a broken leg) and juniors Joel Gray, Alex McClean and Sadeke Konte will battle for the starting spots.

Senior RCB Yazid Jackson is the lone returning starter in the secondary. Senior Donnie Coleman and a group of sophomores are in the mix at LCB.

The offense will be led by either junior QB Mike McGann, a two-year starter, or juco All-

American Walter Washington, a threat as a passer and a runner.

The backfield must replace leading rusher Tanardo Sharps. Makonnen Fenton, a top return man, will battle juco transfer Jamil Porter and a host of others for the starting spot.

The WR corps is experienced with seniors Zamir Cobb and Terrence Stubbs, plus sophomore Sean Szarka.

Juniors Dan Bosnic and Eric Carpenter return at tight end.

Senior OG Joe Laudano is the top returner on an offensive line that must find two new tackles, a guard and a center.

The Owls must find a new kicker but return solid senior P Garvin Ringwelski.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (2-5)

	TEMPLE	OPP
Points	20.2	29.2
1st Downs	18.1	18.9
Rush Att	39.5	38.3
Rush Yds	137.2	108.3
Pass Att	31.7	29.7
Compl %	48.9	59.0
Pass Yds	180.5	207.3
Intercept	1.9	0.9
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	-14	14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

McGANN'S CAREER 1982						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
McGann	352	172	48.9	1984	13	22
Frost	26	13	50.0	172	2	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Sharps	310	1276	4.1	8		
McGann	95	200	2.1	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Cobb	45	483	6			
Stubbs	32	364	1			
Dillard	31	378	1			
Sharps	27	205	1			
Szarka	22	355	4			

OFFENSE - 6	RETURNING STARTERS	DEFENSE - 4

SPORTS DESK

Winning is easy when you watch the SportsDesk team!



TV's brightest and most exciting handicapping show delivers pigskin predictions from football's leading analysts. Join Chip Chirimbis, Mike Lee and special guests Glenn McGrew, Scott Spreitzer and Dave Cokin

SATURDAY MORNINGS on **USA Network**

8:00 a.m. EST/PST

Visit www.JimFeist.com for more information

Dish Network TV
Ch. 105
ALL TIMES E.S.T.
On USA Network

DIRECT TV
Ch. 242
ALL TIMES E.S.T.
On USA Network

VIRGINIA TECH HOKIES

All Bryan Randall did last year as a sophomore was lead the Hokies to 10 wins and a bowl victory. You'd think the 6-foot junior would be locked in as the starting quarterback heading into this season. You'd be wrong.

Randall will have to battle red-shirt freshman Marcus Vick, younger brother of ex-Hokie and current NFL star Michael Vick for the job.

Marcus is said to be a better passer than his brother. If that's true, he will most likely be too good for head coach Frank Beamer to pass up as the starter. Whichever QB wins the job, he will be surrounded by a wealth of talent.

Explosive junior RB Kevin Jones shared time with the departed Lee Suggs last year, but he will move into the featured role this season. Sophomores Mike Imoh and Cedric Humes will battle for the No. 2 spot.

Humes will also play fullback.

Senior SE Ernest Wilford returns to be the top receiving target. The 6-4, 220-pounder is a rising star, setting a Tech season record with 51 catches in 2002. Richard Johnson is the favorite to fill the flanker role but look for Justin Hamilton and Chris Shrieve to contribute.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	UCF
Sept. 6	JAMES MADISON
Sept. 13	TEXAS A&M
Sept. 27	CONNECTICUT
Oct. 4	at Rutgers
Oct. 11	SYRACUSE
Oct. 22	at West Virginia
Nov. 1	MIAMI (FLA.)
Nov. 8	at Pittsburgh
Nov. 15	at Temple
Nov. 22	BOSTON COLLEGE
Nov. 29	at Virginia

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 240

Up front, the Hokies have three starters back — senior C Jake Grove, senior OLG Jacob Gibson and junior ORT Jon Dunn. Junior James Miller adds experience at right guard and sophomore Jimmy Martin started seven games at right tackle last year before making the move to the left side this year.

Defensively, the Hokies were young last year. That means they will be an experienced corps this year with nine returners.

Up front, seniors Nathaniel Adibi (nine sacks), Cols Colas (nine sacks) and Jim Davis (5½

sacks) are a strong DE trio, while the starting tackles return in Kevin Lewis and Jason Lallis. Sophomores Jonathan Lewis and Tim Sandidge add depth.

All three LB starters return — MLB Mikal Baaqee (team-high 112 tackles in '02), and OLBs Vegas Robinson and Brandon Manning.

The secondary must replace three departed starters but CB DeAngelo Hall (four INTs, 12 passes broken up) is back. Hall is a top return man and may play wide receiver too. Senior Garnell Wilds (five INTs) is solid.

The special teams are excellent with P Vinnie Burns and PK Carter Warley. Tech is known for blocking kicks and had 7 in '02.


TEAM STATS 2002 9-4 (3-4)

	VIRGINIA TECH	OPP
Points	31.5	19.2
1st Downs	19.5	16.4
Rush Att	47.6	34.0
Rush Yds	221.0	113.3
Pass Att	19.2	32.6
Comp %	60.2	53.3
Pass Yds	157.8	223.1
Intercept	0.8	1.7
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Randall	225	140	62.2	1957	12	11
Noel	18	7	38.9	86	2	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Suggs	238	1255	5.3	20		
Jones	149	836	5.6	9		
Randall	163	527	3.2	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Wilford	46	875	7			
Witten	21	258	1			
Eastlick	15	108	1			
Johnson	14	147	0			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



WEST VIRGINIA MOUNTAINEERS

Last season was a landmark season for second-year head coach Rich Rodriguez as he righted the Mountaineers' sinking ship (they were 3-8 in 2001) and steered them to a surprising 9-4 record.

Now, Rodriguez must keep his team moving in the right direction despite the departure of several key players, including the Big East's top career rusher, Avon Cobourne.

Cobourne had a monster season in 2002 and was a big reason why the Mountaineers had the No. 2 rushing offense in the nation.

Now, senior Quincy Wilson (6.6 yards per carry) will be called upon to take over as the workhorse at running back. He'll be backed up by Kay Jay Harris, Jason Colson and Erick Phillips.

The key to the offense will be the continued development of QB Rasheed Marshall, whose running and decision-making make

up for a lack of accuracy as a passer.

Look for the Mountaineers to still be a run-based offense, but Rodriguez is looking for a game-breaking wide receiver to open things up. He's excited about the big-play potential of WRs Miquelle Henderson, Dee Alston, Travis Garvin and Aaron Neal.

The offensive line is young with a new center, a new guard and a new tackle. Tim Brown and Jeff Berk are the returning starters.

Senior LB Grant Wiley leads the defense. Wiley (133 tackles, 13½ for loss, two interceptions) was a first-team All-Big East selection.

He'll be joined by veteran LBs Adam Lehnort, Leandre Washington and Scott Gyorko.

The secondary returns both starting cornerbacks in Lance Frazier and Brian King. However, Rodriguez is concerned about the safety position which comprises

nearly 30 percent of the defense. Junior Jahmile Addae is back as is sophomore Mike Lorello, and Pac-Man Jones is an outstanding athlete who can play either corner or safety, but the Mountaineers must find more depth at the position.

Up front, the team must find replacements for three key players — David Upchurch, Jason Davis and Tim Love. The fill-ins are smaller but quicker. They include Ernest Hunter, Fred Blueford and Ben Lynch.

Senior PK Todd James is the best kickoff man in the Big East. He also did some punting and could see duty at either, or both, spots this season. Veteran snapper Scott Fleming adds stability.


TEAM STATS 2002 9-3 (6-1)

	WEST VIRGINIA	OPP
Points	31.0	21.2
1st Downs	21.4	17.0
Rush Att	55.2	34.5
Rush Yds	287.1	115.7
Pass Att	21.6	32.8
Comp %	52.5	54.2
Pass Yds	128.1	215.3
Intercept	0.6	1.6
Fumb Lost	0.5	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	21	-21

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Marshall	241	127	52.7	1401	9	4
Embleck	17	9	52.9	137	2	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Cobourne	310	1593	5.1	15		
Wilson	130	863	6.6	6		
Marshall	161	618	3.8	11		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Henderson	38	421	2			
Braxton	16	271	2			
Smith	15	193	0			
Cobourne	15	146	0			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 4



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
West Virginia	12	662	3443	5.20	36	286.92
Virginia Tech	13	619	2873	4.64	33	221.00
Miami (Fla.)	12	422	2296	5.44	32	191.33
Syracuse	12	528	2265	4.29	30	188.75
Boston College	12	452	1919	4.25	22	159.92
Pittsburgh	12	506	1743	3.44	12	145.25
Temple	12	474	1647	3.47	11	137.25
Rutgers	12	420	620	1.48	5	51.67

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Miami (Fla.)	12	388	212	3391	30	282.58
Boston College	12	379	218	2669	15	222.42
Pittsburgh	12	345	181	2613	21	217.75
Syracuse	12	333	174	2252	12	187.67
Temple	12	380	186	2166	15	180.50
Rutgers	12	413	188	1948	12	162.33
Virginia Tech	13	249	150	2052	14	157.85
West Virginia	12	259	136	1538	11	128.17

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Miami (Fla.)	12	810	5687	7.02	67	473.92
West Virginia	12	921	4981	5.41	49	415.08
Boston College	12	831	4588	5.52	40	382.33
Virginia Tech	13	868	4925	5.67	54	378.85
Syracuse	12	861	4517	5.25	45	376.42
Pittsburgh	12	851	4356	5.12	36	363.00
Temple	12	854	3813	4.46	29	317.75
Rutgers	12	833	2568	3.08	22	214.00

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Temple	12	459	1300	2.83	22	108.33
Virginia Tech	13	442	1473	3.33	18	113.31
West Virginia	12	414	1389	3.36	16	115.75
Pittsburgh	12	446	1519	3.41	17	126.58
Miami (Fla.)	12	530	2004	3.78	15	167.00
Syracuse	12	497	2063	4.15	26	171.92
Boston College	12	522	2074	3.97	16	172.83
Rutgers	12	525	2484	4.73	20	207.00

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Miami (Fla.)	12	332	156	1434	8	119.50
Boston College	12	332	174	1906	10	158.83
Pittsburgh	12	355	189	2002	7	166.83
Rutgers	12	333	171	2376	25	198.00
Temple	12	356	210	2488	20	207.33
West Virginia	12	393	213	2581	15	215.08
Virginia Tech	13	424	226	2900	14	223.08
Syracuse	12	384	223	3645	22	303.75

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Miami (Fla.)	12	3.99	862	3438	27	286.50
Pittsburgh	12	4.40	801	3521	26	293.42
Temple	12	4.65	815	3788	46	315.67
West Virginia	12	4.92	807	3970	33	330.83
Boston College	12	4.66	854	3980	28	331.67
Virginia Tech	13	5.05	866	4373	33	336.38
Rutgers	12	5.66	858	4860	51	405.00
Syracuse	12	6.48	881	5708	52	475.67

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Willis McGahee, Miami (Fla.)	So.	12	262	1686	27	140.50
Avon Cobourne, West Virginia	Sr.	12	310	1593	15	132.75
Derrick Knight, Boston College	Jr.	12	240	1367	11	113.92
Tanardo Sharps, Temple	Sr.	12	311	1276	8	106.33
Lee Suggs, Virginia Tech	Jr.	13	238	1255	20	96.54
Walter Reyes, Syracuse	So.	12	182	1135	17	94.58
Quincy Wilson, West Virginia	Jr.	12	130	863	6	71.92
Kevin Jones, Virginia Tech	So.	12	149	836	9	69.67
Brandon Miree, Pittsburgh	Jr.	12	194	830	3	69.17
Rasheed Marshall, West Virginia	So.	12	161	618	12	51.50

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Ken Dorsey, Miami (Fla.)	Sr.	12	350	194	55.43	10	3073	26
Bryan Randall, Virginia Tech	So.	13	225	140	62.22	11	1957	12
Rod Rutherford, Pittsburgh	Jr.	12	341	179	52.49	12	2600	21
Troy Nunes, Syracuse	Sr.	9	198	115	58.08	7	1337	8
Brian St. Pierre, Boston College	Sr.	12	372	212	56.99	17	2641	15
Rasheed Marshall, West Virginia	So.	12	241	127	52.70	4	1401	9
R.J. Anderson, Syracuse	Jr.	9	134	58	43.28	8	899	4
Mike McGann, Temple	So.	12	353	173	49.01	22	1994	13
Ryan Cubit, Rutgers	So.	9	141	64	45.39	6	657	5

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Andre Johnson, Miami (Fla.)	Jr.	11	48	1038	9	94.36
Larry Fitzgerald, Pittsburgh	Fr.	12	64	917	11	76.42
Ernest Wilford, Virginia Tech	Jr.	13	46	875	7	67.31
Jamel Riddle, Syracuse	Jr.	11	41	626	5	56.91
Lamar Slade, Pittsburgh	Sr.	12	45	613	4	51.08
Kellen Winslow, Miami (Fla.)	So.	12	46	604	7	50.33
David Tyree, Syracuse	Sr.	12	36	559	3	46.58
Miquelle Henderson, West Virginia	So.	10	38	421	2	42.10
Jamal Burke, Boston College	Sr.	12	33	498	3	41.50
Keith Hemmings, Boston College	Sr.	12	37	488	2	40.67

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Willis McGahee, Miami (Fla.)	So.	12	27	0	0	162	13.50
Lee Suggs, Virginia Tech	Sr.	13	22	0	0	132	10.15
Walter Reyes, Syracuse	So.	12	17	0	0	104	8.67
Todd Sievers, Miami (Fla.)	Sr.	12	0	63	12	99	8.25
Sandro Sciortino, Boston College	Jr.	12	0	32	20	92	7.67
Avon Cobourne, West Virginia	Sr.	12	15	0	0	90	7.50
Derrick Knight, Boston College	Jr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50
Todd James, West Virginia	Jr.	12	0	45	10	75	6.25
Rasheed Marshall, West Virginia	So.	12	12	0	0	72	6.00
Larry Fitzgerald, Pittsburgh	Fr.	12	11	0	0	66	5.50
Collin Barber, Syracuse	So.	12	0	33	11	66	5.50
Carter Warley, Virginia Tech	Jr.	10	0	34	7	55	5.50

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Willis McGahee, Miami (Fla.)	So.	12	1686	350	0	0	2036
Avon Cobourne, West Virginia	Sr.	12	1593	146	0	0	1739
Derrick Knight, Boston College	Jr.	12	1367	345	0	0	1712
Tanardo Sharps, Temple	Sr.	12	1276	205	0	0	1481
Lee Suggs, Virginia Tech	Sr.	13	1255	126	0	114	1495
Damien Rhodes, Syracuse	Fr.	12	568	108	3	589	1268
Walter Reyes, Syracuse	So.	12	1135	62	0	1	1198
Andre Johnson, Miami (Fla.)	Jr.	11	-2	103	0	52	1088
Jamel Riddle, Syracuse	Jr.	11	38	626	316	0	980
Jason Geathers, Miami (Fla.)	Jr.	12	394	99	0	521	1014

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PR	YDS	YDS/GM
Ken Dorsey, Miami (Fla.)	Sr.	12	-29	3073	3044	253.67
Rod Rutherford, Pittsburgh	Jr.	12	412	2600	3012	251.00
Brian St. Pierre, Boston College	Sr.	12	111	2641	2752	229.33
Bryan Randall, Virginia Tech	So.	13	527	1957	2484	191.08
Mike McGann, Temple	So.	12	200	1994	2194	182.83
Rasheed Marshall, West Virginia	So.	12	618	1401	2019	168.25
Troy Nunes, Syracuse	Sr.	9	24	1337	1361	151.22
Willis McGahee, Miami (Fla.)	So.	12	1686	0	1686	140.50
Avon Cobourne, West Virginia	Sr.	12	1593	0	1593	132.75
Derrick Knight, Boston College	Jr.	12	1367	0	1367	113.92

BIG TEN PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE OHIO STATE Buckeyes

Can the Buckeyes live up to the standard they set last year? That's doubtful, but head coach Jim Tressel knows what he's doing and he gets to start the season with five straight home games. Tough road games await at Wisconsin, Penn State and Michigan but Maurice Claret & Company are talented enough to win it all once again.

TWO MICHIGAN Wolverines

Nine returners on offense make the Wolverines as dangerous a team as there is in the Big Ten. QB John Navarre, RB Chris Perry and emerging star WR Braylon Edwards are standouts. Notre Dame and Ohio State visit the Big House this year, but OSU is the defending national champ and holds the key psychological edge.

THREE WISCONSIN Badgers

The return of WR Lee Evans could be the spark the Badgers lacked last year. QB Jim Sorgi and RB Anthony Davis also return to give head coach Barry Alvarez a powerful offense. The schedule is favorable as Ohio State visits and the Badgers avoid Michigan.

FOUR PURDUE Boilermakers

QB Kyle Orton's strong performance in the Sun Bowl (a 34-24 comeback win over Washington) has head coach Joe Tiller feeling optimistic. RB Joey Harris and WRs Taylor Stubblefield and John Standeford give Orton a stable of playmakers. Notre Dame visits, but Purdue must travel to Michigan and Ohio State in Big Ten play.

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Craig Krenzel, Ohio State, Sr.
- RB Maurice Claret, Ohio State, Soph.
- RB Anthony Davis, Wisconsin, Jr.
- WR Braylon Edwards, Michigan, Jr.
- WR Lee Evans, Wisconsin, Sr.
- TE Ben Utecht, Minnesota, Sr.
- OL David Baas, Michigan, Jr.
- OL Bucky Babcock, Illinois, Jr.
- OL Robert Gallery, Iowa, Sr.
- OL Shane Olivea, Ohio State, Sr.
- OL Tony Pape, Michigan, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Tim Anderson, Ohio State, Sr.
- DL Howard Hodges, Iowa, Sr.
- DL Darrion Scott, Ohio State, Sr.
- DL Will Smith, Ohio State, Sr.
- LB Gino Capone, Penn State, Sr.
- LB Niko Koutouvides, Purdue, Sr.
- LB Grant Steen, Iowa, Sr.
- DB Marlin Jackson, Michigan, Jr.
- DB Chris Gamble, Ohio State, Jr.
- DB Bob Sanders, Iowa, Sr.
- DB Stuart Schweigert, Purdue, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Nate Kaeding, Iowa, Sr.
- P Adam Finley, Michigan, Jr.

FIVE MINNESOTA Golden Gophers

A ton of offensive talent (QB Abdul Asad-Khalil, RBs Thomas Tapeh and Terry Jackson) and an easy early-season schedule could leave the Gophers with an undefeated record heading into Big Ten play. They host Michigan and Wisconsin and don't play Ohio State, so don't be surprised if Glen Mason's squad surprises this year.

SIX IOWA Hawkeyes

This Hawkeyes team looks to be a far cry from last year's Big Ten champs. The main

reason is the departure of QB Brad Banks, who made a good team great during a special 2002 season. Banks is gone and head coach Kirk Ferentz will have to lean heavily on RBs Fred Russell and Jermelle Lewis to carry the load.

SEVEN ILLINOIS Fighting Illini

Last year was a huge disappointment following a Sugar Bowl visit the season before. But if the Illini hope to prove they belong among the league's elite, QB Jon Beutjer and DE Derrick Strong must have huge seasons. Non-conference games vs. Missouri, Cal and UCLA should set the tone for the season.



CB-WR CHRIS GAMBLE
OHIO STATE

EIGHT PENN STATE Lions

The Lions made a strong return to the upper echelon of the Big Ten last season after two down years. However, a ton of talent has departed, including three key defensive linemen and record-setting RB Larry Johnson. Games against Boston College and at Nebraska will be challenging early on. Ohio State visits later in the season.

NINE MICHIGAN STATE Spartans

New coach John L. Smith will attempt to build the Spartans' program from the bottom up after a disastrous 2002 season and the departure of WR Charles Rogers. A key may be the return of QB Jeff Smoker, who was suspended last year for substance abuse issues. One positive is that Michigan and Penn State come to East Lansing.

TEN NORTHWESTERN Wildcats

A dismal recruiting class likely won't be able to fill the holes on the defensive side of the ball that hampered the Wildcats last season. As a result, look for NU to struggle when it faces the powerhouse offenses in the Big Ten. QB Brett Basanez and RB Jason Wright are intriguing talents.

ELEVEN INDIANA Hoosiers

The Hoosiers are optimistic that they can improve this season thanks to the arrival of QB Matt LoVecchio from Notre Dame. If he makes a smooth transition, he has good skill players around him to be successful. However, the talent level doesn't appear to be strong enough to compete in the Big Ten.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Ohio State	8	0	185	88	4-0	4-0	0-0
Iowa	8	0	302	130	4-0	4-0	0-0
Michigan	6	2	224	162	3-1	3-1	0-0
Penn State	5	3	286	152	3-1	2-2	0-0
Illinois	4	4	212	206	2-2	2-2	0-0
Purdue	4	4	235	182	2-2	2-2	0-0
Minnesota	3	5	198	256	2-2	1-3	0-0
Wisconsin	2	6	202	218	1-3	1-3	0-0
Michigan State	2	6	194	314	1-3	1-3	0-0
Northwestern	1	7	170	332	1-3	0-4	0-0
Indiana	1	7	164	332	1-3	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Ohio State	13	0	379	159	8-0	5-0	0-0
Iowa	11	1	467	218	6-1	5-0	0-0
Michigan	9	3	323	235	6-1	3-2	0-0
Penn State	9	3	437	214	7-1	2-2	0-0
Minnesota	7	5	347	305	5-2	2-3	0-0
Wisconsin	7	6	341	294	5-3	2-3	0-0
Purdue	6	6	352	264	4-3	2-3	0-0
Illinois	5	7	346	307	3-3	2-3	0-1
Michigan State	4	8	316	398	3-5	1-3	0-0
Northwestern	3	9	272	493	2-4	1-5	0-0
Indiana	3	9	258	445	3-3	0-6	0-0



REGENCY

Sportsbook & Casino



One of the most highly
recognized names in the
Online Gaming Industry.

* Call for details and conditions

1.800.274.8313



JustBet.com

- * Fast payouts
- * Only one account needed for online or phone wagering
- * Accurate numbers in all major sport wagering events
- * Professional and courteous clerks
- * Confidential customer transactions
- * Complete online casino
- * Licensed and bonded by the government of Costa Rica
- * Established in 1995



ILLINOIS FIGHTING ILLINI

Returning almost all of the key components of a Big Ten title team, the Illini were a major disappointment last season, losing five of their first six games en route to a 5-7 record.

Fourteen starters are back this season, but Illinois loses WRs Brandon Lloyd and Walter Young and RB Antoine Harris from an offense that was best in the Big Ten and No. 10 nationally. Illinois does return senior QB Jon Beutjer, who led the conference in passing yards per game.

Beutjer will be throwing to a vastly different group of wide receivers. Illinois' top four wide receivers are gone. Sophomore Kendrick Jones and junior Ade Adeyemo were the starters coming into the spring, but they will be pushed by newcomers Lonnie Hurst and junior-college transfer Kelvin Hayden.

The waters are also muddled at

running back, where Morris Virgil, sophomore James Cooper, redshirt freshman Franklin Payne and freshman Ibrahim Halsey will battle for a starting spot and could all share time. Virgil, a junior, is the only player with experience in the group. Things are more clear-cut at fullback, where senior Carey Davis will start.

The Illini return three of five starters along the offensive line. Junior Bryan Koch rates an edge at left guard, while Matt Maddox and Kyle Schnettgoecke will battle for the other guard spot.

The strength of the Illini's defense is a deep LB corps. An interesting battle will be brewing for one of the outside LB spots, where seniors Ty Myers and Winston Taylor will compete with talented redshirt freshman Cyrus Garrett. Senior Joe Bevis or sophomore Antonio Mason will likely be the starter on the other side. Juniors Matt

Sinclair and Mike Gawelek will play the middle.

The defensive end is anchored by senior DE Derrick Strong, who had five sacks and 12 tackles for loss last season. The other end is DE Mike O'Brien (three sacks in '02). A pair of seniors, Jeff Ruffin and Aaron Hodges, will start at defensive tackle.

Travis Williams will get a shot at free safety, while Eric McGoey will be in the mix at strong safety. Marc Jackson could start at either spot.


Christian Morton, burned often last season, will start at one cornerback spot. Redshirt freshmen Shariff Gillon and Darnell Ray are candidates to be the other starting cornerback.

TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (4-4)

	ILLINOIS	OPP
Points	28.8	25.6
1st Downs	22.8	22.0
Rush Att	38.4	43.9
Rush Yds	164.0	190.4
Pass Att	37.8	33.8
Compl %	56.8	56.3
Pass Yds	282.3	233.0
Intercept	1.3	0.7
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-8	8

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Beutjer	326	192	58.9	2500	21	11
Ward	126	65	51.6	877	5	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Harris	278	1330	4.8	8		
Davis	59	319	5.4	0		
Virgil	28	216	7.7	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Lloyd	64	999	9			
Young	48	718	5			
Lewis	37	528	4			
Moorehead	32	399	2			



INDIANA HOOSIERS

The Hoosiers may have been 3-9 in head coach Gerry DiNardo's first season in Bloomington in 2002, but the Hoosiers had their moments in conference play, beating Wisconsin and playing Big 10 champion Iowa tough before losing.

Fifteen starters from that team return, eight on offense. The brightest spot is the WR tandem of junior Courtney Roby and senior Glenn Johnson. Roby and Johnson, both caught more than 50 passes in '02, the first time in IU history two players broke the 50-catch mark in the same season. Junior TE Aaron Halterman will also be a factor in the passing game.

Junior Matt LoVecchio, a transfer from Notre Dame, is the likely starter at quarterback. LoVecchio is regarded as more of a winner than a pure passer.

Sophomore RBs Yamar

Washington and Chris Taylor and senior Brian Lewis all figure to see time in the backfield. Lewis missed about half of last season with shoulder and thumb injuries, which gave Washington and Taylor a chance to play. Sophomore FB John Pannozzo is a good blocker and pass catcher.

Anthony Oakley, the only senior on the offensive line, will start at left guard. The other returnees are junior ORT Chris Jahnke and sophomore ORG Adam Hines. A pair of sophomores — OLT Issac Sowell and C Brandon Hatcher — round out the line.

The Hoosiers lack depth and size along the defensive line. Junior DE Jodie Clemons and sophomore Victor Adeyanju, who combined for 22 starts as freshmen, are back. Sophomore Russ Richardson, a nose tackle, is back. Junior Martin Lapostolle will see time at defensive tackle.

Two of three starters at line-

backer — sophomore MLB Kyle Killian and junior OLB Kevin Smith — return. Experience will be a major concern for the Hoosiers, as no one except for Killian, Smith and junior Jason Cartwright (14 career snaps), the expected starter on the weak side, has logged any playing time.


Junior Herana-Daze Jones, one of the team's top tacklers at line-backer last season, moves to strong safety. Senior Joe Gonzalez (back from a medical redshirt year) will be the free safety with sophomore Will Lumpkin and junior Luke Stone adding depth. Sophomores Damien Jones, Leonard Bryant and Buster Larkins are battling for the starting CB spots.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	INDIANA	OPP
Points	21.5	37.1
1st Downs	19.4	22.3
Rush Att	36.2	43.5
Rush Yds	116.5	235.0
Pass Att	37.2	27.9
Compl %	51.1	60.3
Pass Yds	251.7	190.8
Intercept	1.8	0.7
Fumb Lost	0.7	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-12	12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

HAWKINS COUNTY 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hamdan	293	152	51.9	2115	9	14
Jones	152	75	49.3	879	9	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Washington	174	688	4.0	9		
Lewis	104	458	4.4	0		
Taylor	48	229	4.8	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Roby	59	1039	4			
Johnson	53	837	5			
Halterman	27	292	1			
Pannozzo	26	258	3			



IOWA HAWKEYES

The element of surprise is gone.

After a season when the Hawkeyes swept to the Big Ten title, conference opponents know Iowa is back.

The Hawkeyes will defend their title without QB Brad Banks, the catalyst for last year's unexpected run. They do welcome back 11 starters, but only four off a very explosive offense in 2002.

But the Hawkeyes do return senior RB Fred Russell and junior RB Jermelle Lewis, one of the nation's more devastating rushing combos in the country. Russell is known for his blazing speed, while Lewis is more powerful.

Explosive senior WR Maurice Brown also returns. Starting opposite him will be sophomore Ed Hinkel, who also provides a spark on special teams.

Such weaponry will aid the transition to senior QB Nathan

Chandler, the team's new starter. The 6-foot-7 Chandler saw limited action in five games last season.

The Hawkeyes' offensive line, one that may have been the nation's best last year, loses four of five starters. Returning is OLT Robert Gallery, whom NFL scouts are watching closely. Seniors Kory Borchers and Eric Rothwell will slide into the lineup at left guard and center, respectively. Junior Pete McMahon gets the nod at right guard. Right tackle is unsettled, with senior Sam Aiello out of the lineup due to suspension.

The defense's leader is its smallest player, 5-foot-8 senior SS Bob Sanders. A Jim Thorpe Award candidate, Sanders will be joined by junior FS Sean Considine. Sophomore Antwan Allen will start again at one corner, while sophomore Jovan Johnson will start at the other corner. The sec-

ondary is under pressure to play better than it did last season, when it was last in the conference in passing yards allowed.

The Hawkeyes return three starters along the defensive line: Seniors DE Howard Hodges and DT Jared Clauss and junior DE Jonathan Babineaux. Junior DE Matt Roth (nine sacks in Big Ten play) is the other starter.

Seniors OLB Grant Steen and MLB Kevin Worthy are back. Sophomore WLB Chad Greenway will be the other starter.


Senior All-American PK Nate Kaeding may be the best kicker in college football. He has hit an impressive 20-of-25 career kicks from 40-49 yards.

TEAM STATS 2002 11-1 (8-0)

	IOWA	OPP
Points	38.9	18.2
1st Downs	21.0	21.0
Rush Att	44.3	30.6
Rush Yds	222.1	68.2
Pass Att	23.2	42.0
Comp %	60.1	55.4
Pass Yds	210.8	270.9
Intercept	0.3	1.7
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	17	-17

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Banks	258	155	60.1	2369	25	4
Chandler	20	12	60.0	161	1	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Russell	210	1207	5.7	9		
Lewis	121	678	5.6	8		
Banks	74	399	5.4	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Brown	42	903	10			
Clark	39	645	4			
Jones	36	455	9			
Hinkle	19	187	1			



Jim Feist's www.PicksOnline.com

Away from your phone?
Get the winners you rely on
securely over the web from the
nation's most recognized and
most respected handicappers.

- Jim Feist
- Dave Cokin
- Scott Spreitzer
- Glenn McGrew
- Chip Chirimbis
- Mike Lee
- and MORE!!!

BIG WINNERS!

Only a mouse click away

www.PicksOnline.com

MICHIGAN WOLVERINES

Entering Lloyd Carr's 10th season as Michigan head coach, the Wolverines, per usual, are positioned to challenge for the Big Ten title. Almost two-thirds of the starters from last season's 10-3 team are back, including the bulk of an offense that developed well as 2002 progressed.

The leader of the offense is senior QB John Navarre, who is starting to develop into the player Wolverines fans thought he would be. Navarre set several Michigan passing records last season. Almost as impressively, he kept the interceptions to a minimum.

Navarre's top target is junior WR Braylon Edwards, the latest in a long line of game-breaking Michigan wideouts. Starting opposite Edwards could well be senior Jermaine Gonzales, once a backup to Navarre. At tight end, sophomore Tim Massaquoi and

senior Andy Mignery will battle to take over for NFL-bound Bennie Joppru.

With B.J. Askew gone, junior RB Chris Perry will get the bulk of the carries in the backfield. Perry has rushed for 2,022 yards in his first two seasons. Junior RB David Underwood will be one of the backups.

The Wolverines have a deep, talented offensive line with four starters returning. Junior OLG David Baas (an All-Big Ten honoree) is among the nation's best at his position. OT Tony Pape can play either tackle position, but could play the right side this season while sophomore Adam Stenavich takes over on the other side. Also back is senior C Dave Pearson. Sophomore Matt Lentz should start at right guard.

Junior CB Marlin Jackson, a second-team All-American, heads up a senior-heavy defense returning six starters. Senior CB

Jeremy LeSueur will start at the other corner. Junior CB Markus Curry will also see playing time. A pair of seniors — Jon Shaw and Ernest Shazor — will be starters at safety.

At outside linebacker, junior Roy Manning and sophomore Pierre Woods will see plenty of playing time. Inside, seniors Carl Diggs and Zach Kaufman, both of whom missed the end of last season with injuries, will battle for time.

Senior DT Grant Bowman (four sacks in '02) anchors the defensive line. Fellow senior Norman Heuer is likely to be the other tackle, while two more seniors — Larry Stevens and Alain Kashama — will play end.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	CENTRAL MICHIGAN
Sept. 6	HOUSTON
Sept. 13	NOTRE DAME
Sept. 20	at Oregon
Sept. 27	INDIANA
Oct. 4	at Iowa
Oct. 11	at Minnesota
Oct. 18	ILLINOIS
Oct. 25	PURDUE
Nov. 1	at Michigan State
Nov. 15	at Northwestern
Nov. 22	OHIO STATE


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 108

TEAM STATS 2002 9-3 (6-2)

	MICHIGAN	OPP
Points	26.9	19.6
1st Downs	20.3	17.6
Rush Att	39.0	35.2
Rush Yds	152.1	118.2
Pass Att	36.3	33.2
Compl %	54.7	54.0
Pass Yds	225.6	216.3
Intercept	0.6	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.7
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Navarre	412	227	55.1	2586	20	7
Brinton	20	11	55.0	121	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Perry	239	1025	4.3	10		
Askew	108	559	5.2	6		
Underwood	36	105	2.9	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Edwards	63	925	10			
Joppru	47	499	5			
Bellamy	44	519	4			
Askew	34	274	1			



MICHIGAN STATE SPARTANS

The Spartans started 2002 as the chic favorite to win the Big Ten. They finished it broken, torn apart by scandal and lackluster play.

New head coach John L. Smith is charged with picking up the pieces after ex-coach Bobby Williams was fired late last season. Smith was known for his free-wheeling offenses at Louisville, and he brings that same blueprint to MSU.

Perhaps Smith's biggest decision is who will be his starting quarterback. Junior Damon Dowdell and redshirt freshman Drew Stanton battled throughout the spring for the job. At presstime, Smith had yet to name a starter.

Senior Jeff Smoker could also enter the QB derby if Smith reinstates him to the team. Smoker, the starter in '02, missed the end of last season after disclosing he had a substance abuse problem.

The Spartans will run plenty of multiple-WR sets. Sophomore Matt Trannon (6-foot-6, 205 pounds) has the size and potential to be very good. Sophomores Kyle Brown, and Agim Shabaj and senior Ziehl Kavanaght will try to pick up the slack after star WR Charles Rogers left early for the NFL draft.

Sophomore Aaron Alexander, a converted quarterback, is also in the mix.

Smith's offense features one back. The projected starter is junior Tyrell Dortch, who is back after a horrific leg injury suffered in October, 2001.

MSU features two good pass-catching tight ends in juniors Jason Randall and Eric Knott.

The offensive line brings back three senior starters: OLG Joe Tate, ORG Paul Harker and ORT Steve Stewart. Sophomore Stefon Wheeler will start at left tackle. C Brian Ottney retired in spring with chronic knee problems.

Three starters return on the defensive line, including senior ends Greg Taplin and Clifford Dukes. Plus, redshirt freshman Clifton Ryan had three sacks in the spring game. Juniors Kevin Vickerson and Brandon McKinney will start inside.

Junior WILL 'backer Ronald Stanley is the team's leading returning tackler. Sophomore Seth Mitchell and senior Monquizz Wedlow are the other starters at linebacker.


Junior FS Jason Harmon is the only returning starter in the secondary. Sophomore Derron Ware will be the strong safety, while sophomore Ashton Watson and junior Roderick Maples are the cornerbacks.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (2-6)

	MICHIGAN STATE	OPP
Points	26.3	33.2
1st Downs	20.5	20.7
Rush Att	35.8	45.2
Rush Yds	142.4	213.8
Pass Att	31.4	27.8
Compl %	55.2	49.4
Pass Yds	228.6	188.8
Intercept	1.4	1.1
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.5
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Smoker	203	114	56.2	1593	13	10
Dowdell	165	92	55.8	1097	10	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Richard	133	654	4.9	5		
Moss	125	592	4.7	4		
Hayes	61	340	5.6	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Rogers	68	1351	13			
Knott	35	349	4			
Lovett	33	383	4			
Randall	20	255	3			



MINNESOTA GOLDEN GOPHERS

Some teams struggle to find one good running back. Minnesota head coach Glen Mason has the pleasure of having three good ones at his disposal.

Gunning to make a second consecutive bowl appearance, the Golden Gophers will rely on their running game and an experienced defense to survive the rigors of the Big Ten. Minnesota returns 16 starters in 2003, eight on both sides of the ball.

Junior Terry Jackson II leads the returnees in the backfield. Last season, he rushed for more than 200 yards in back-to-back games vs. Northwestern and Michigan State. Senior Thomas Tapeh is a powerful complement to Jackson. Also looking to get back into the mix is highly regarded sophomore Marion Barber III, who missed much of last season with a hamstring injury.

Mobile senior QB Asad Abdul-Khaliq is back for another season. He will be backed up by Benji Kamrath.

Abdul-Khaliq has a big-play target in 6-foot-5 senior WR Aaron Hosack (22.4 yards a catch last season). The other starting wideout will be Paris Hamilton, a highly rated junior-college transfer. Senior TE Ben Utecht is one of the country's best pass-catchers at his position, as well as a solid blocker.

Three starters are back along the offensive line: Senior ORG Joe Quinn, sophomore OLG Mark Setterstrom and sophomore C Greg Eslinger. The new left tackle is junior Rian Melander, while sophomore Mike Nicholson slides over from right guard to take over at right tackle (Melander's old position).

A defense that finished first against the pass in the Big Ten in '02 has three returnees in the sec-

ondary, including leading tackler Eli Ward, a senior free safety who paced the Gophers with 116 tackles. Senior SS Justin Isom and fleet junior CB Ukee Dozier are also back. Sophomore Ken Williams is expected to start at the other corner.

Senior LB Ben West (103 tackles last season), who played the strong side last season, moves to middle linebacker. Sophomores Terrance Campbell and Kyle McKenzie flank West.


Senior DE Paul Nixon and junior NT Darrell Reid, both of whom had eight TFLs last season, are back. Senior DT Dan Kwapinski will start at defensive tackle, while sophomore Mark Losli will start at the other end.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (3-5)

	MINNESOTA	OPP
Points	28.9	25.4
1st Downs	20.6	19.2
Rush Att	45.1	41.9
Rush Yds	212.8	184.8
Pass Att	25.2	27.3
Compl %	52.3	56.6
Pass Yds	177.0	176.2
Intercept	0.9	0.3
Furnb Lost	0.8	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	-4	4

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Abdul-Khaliq	283	148	52.3	1968	18	11
Kamrath	13	8	61.5	122	2	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Jackson	222	1281	5.8	6		
Tapeh	163	806	4.9	7		
Abdul-Khaliq	81	314	3.9	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Burns	40	438	4			
Utecht	32	403	5			
Hosack	28	599	3			
Patterson	18	252	2			



FREE PICKS FREE TRENDS TOLL FREE CALL!

"AMERICA'S MOST POPULAR TOLL FREE PICK PHONE."

When we say **FREE** we mean **FREE**.
Call each and every day and hear
on-the-money **FREE PICKS** from
Marc Lawrence, supported by
SUPER SYSTEMS and
TREMENDOUS TRENDS.
It's awesome, baby -
absolutely awesome!

Marc Lawrence's **FREE PICK PHONE**
62% Winners the
last three
football seasons!
Don't miss any of this year's
free winners.

America's Winningest Toll Free, Free Football Pick Phone

**Marc Lawrence's
TOLL FREE
FREE
PICK PHONE**

1-888-669-3733

The **TOLL FREE FREE PICK PHONE** is brought to you courtesy of **MILLENNIUM SPORTS**. Call
Marc Lawrence's **WISE GUYS HOTLINE (440-572-7777)** For A Complete Menu On Today's Top Plays!

NORTHWESTERN WILDCATS

In what could be a make-or-break year for head coach Randy Walker in Evanston, the Wildcats welcome back 16 starters from a 3-9 team that showed some flashes of potential along the way.

The Wildcats will continue to employ the spread offense. They have an ideal quarterback in sophomore Brett Basanez, who took over the job early last season and proved he belongs with 368 passing yards in the season finale vs. Illinois. The team is also high on redshirt freshman Alexander Webb, who missed almost all of last season with a liver injury.

Another key component is RB Jason Wright. The senior ranked fourth in Division I-A in all-purpose yardage (167.8 yards per game). He brings a streak of 197 carries without a fumble into this season.

The WR corps is a team strength. Senior Kunle Patrick

and sophomore Mark Philmore head the group, with senior Roger Jordan and junior Ashton Aikens providing depth.

The Wildcats return three-fifths of their offensive line — junior OLT Trai Essex, junior OLG Matt Ulrich and sophomore ORT Zach Strief. Junior Bill Newton should start at right guard, while true freshman C Jim Devine could start.

The Northwestern defense, which allowed more than 40 points per game last season, returns nine starters. Three are on a defensive line that could not stop the run, surrendering 313.6 yards a game. Sophomore DE Loren Howard (13½ tackles for loss in '02) shows the most promise.

Junior DT Colby Clark is set at one spot inside, but returner Luis Castillo, a junior, will battle sophomore Barry Cofield to keep his starting job.

Sophomores David Thompson,

DeMaris Wilson and Greg Lutzen are candidates to start opposite Howard at end.

Senior MLB Pat Durr, who injured his knee on the sixth play of the season in '02, is back. Sophomore Tim McGarigle and junior John Pickens are the favorites to start outside. Senior special-teams standout Doug Szymul should also be in the mix.

The Wildcats have some questions at cornerback. Sophomore Jeff Backes, a former running back, could start, as could fellow sophomore Marquice Cole. But keep an eye on true freshman Deante Battle.

Junior Dominique Price and senior Torri Stuckey rate an edge at the safety spots.


TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	NORTHWESTERN	OPP
Points	22.7	41.1
1st Downs	20.7	24.9
Rush Att	36.4	57.8
Rush Yds	157.0	313.6
Pass Att	37.6	19.9
Compl %	57.9	60.3
Pass Yds	232.0	188.8
Intercept	1.2	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.2	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	-6	6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
Basanez	324	189	58.3	2181	7
Stauss	113	69	61.1	535	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Wright	220	1257	5.7	12	
Herron	66	365	5.5	7	
Backes	23	124	5.4	1	
Basanez	68	91	1.3	4	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
Schweighardt	58	719	3		
Patrick	49	558	2		
Philmore	31	245	0		

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



OHIO STATE BUCKEYES

Few teams have ever been as well-positioned to defend a national title than senior-laden Ohio State is.

Jim Tressel's team returns 17 starters from the bunch that shocked Miami (Fla.) in the Fiesta Bowl. Fifteen seniors are expected to start, which should give the Buckeyes the experience edge in almost every contest.

All 11 starters are back on offense, where QB Craig Krenzel sets the tone. Krenzel will never be a top passer, but he keeps the mistakes to a minimum, uses his feet to get him out of trouble and has a knack for making the big play.

Heisman Trophy candidate RB Maurice Clarett is back and poised for a huge season. Only a sophomore, Clarett is a threat to approach 2,000 rushing yards if healthy. Senior FB Brandon Joe clears room for Clarett.

Junior Chris Gamble will play

both sides of the ball again this season. Gamble was a big-play receiver and a lock-down cornerback for the Buckeyes last season. The other receiver will be 6-5 senior Michael Jenkins, a big-play performer in his own right. Steady TE Ben Hartsock, a senior, is also a capable target.

The offensive line is comprised of five seniors: OLT Ivan Douglas, OLG Adrien Clarke, C Alex Stepanovich, ORG Bryce Bishop and ORT Shane Olivea, a second-team All-Big Ten performer in '02.

A defensive line returning three of its four starters is led by senior DT Darrion Scott, a disruptive player who can play either end or tackle and registered nine sacks in '02. Fellow senior Tim Anderson joins Scott at tackle. Senior DE Will Smith, who was a force in the national-title game, is also back. Junior Simon Fraser, the only new starter on the line, is

the other end.

The only position group that has a majority of starters to replace is linebacker, where senior Robert Reynolds is back to play the strong side. Sophomore A. J. Hawk will play on the weak-side, while senior Fred Pagac will man the middle.

Gamble is the star of the secondary. Junior CB Dustin Fox will draw plenty of attention on the other side as teams stay away from Gamble. Sophomore SS Tyler Everett and senior FS Will Allen, both new starters, round out the secondary.

Junior PK Mike Nugent hit his first 23 field goals last season en route to winning All-America honors. He also might punt.


TEAM STATS 2002 13-0 (8-0)

	OHIO STATE	OPP
Points	29.2	12.2
1st Downs	17.8	17.2
Rush Att	44.4	29.6
Rush Yds	194.8	78.7
Pass Att	19.9	38.6
Compl %	64.1	57.0
Pass Yds	177.2	238.5
Intercept	0.4	1.2
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.7
Season T.O. Margin	10	-10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
Krenzel	228	141	61.8	1988	12
McMullen	31	25	80.6	315	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Clarett	199	1190	6.0	14	
Ross	157	602	3.8	6	
Hall	78	370	4.7	4	
Krenzel	106	287	2.7	1	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
Jenkins	57	1031	6		
Gamble	29	430	0		
Hartsock	17	137	2		

OFFENSE - 11 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



PENN STATE NITTANY LIONS

The rebuilding — er, reloading — process starts in Happy Valley, where Penn State head coach Joe Paterno must replace half of the starters from last year's team, which went 9-3.

The Nittany Lions do have junior QB Zack Mills coming back. A semifinalist for the Davey O'Brien award last season, Mills is one of the country's premier playmaking quarterbacks, and Penn State's resurgence has come with him under center.

The backup quarterback, sophomore Michael Robinson, is a remarkable athlete whom Paterno tried to utilize as much as possible last season. Robinson scored six rushing touchdowns, and will almost assuredly see even more action this season.

An area that could use Robinson's help is the WR corps, which lost Bryant Johnson to the NFL's Cardinals. Senior Tony

Johnson is back. Junior Gerald Smith will compete for the other starting job with five underclassmen.

A young group of tailbacks will try to replace 2,000-yard rusher Larry Johnson, also off to the NFL. Junior Mike Gasparato, sophomore Tim Shaw and redshirt freshman Donnie Johnson are all competing to start.

Senior David Costlow should start at center, but the other positions are up for grabs. Senior Chris McKelvy, a starter at left guard last season, could play at either spot.

The Penn State defense returns three starters at linebacker: Senior Gino Capone and juniors Derek Wake and LaMar Stewart. Capone was a second-team All-Big Ten performer in '02, finishing third on the team with 86 tackles.

The LB corps may have to pick up some of the slack for a defen-

sive line decimated by graduation. Jimmy Kennedy, Anthony Adams and Michael Haynes have left for the NFL; as a result, Penn State only has one returning starter, junior DE John Bronson. Four candidates will battle for the other end spot, while junior Jason Robinson, sophomore Tamba Hali and redshirt freshman Levi Brown are in the mix at tackle.

Senior CB Rich Gardner and junior SS Chris Harrell are back in the secondary. Sophomores Gio Vendemia and Alan Zemaitis are candidates to start at the other corner. Junior Andrew Guman could start at free safety. Penn State also gets back senior S Yaacov Yisrael, who missed last season with a knee injury.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-3 (5-3)

	PENN STATE	OPP
Points	36.4	17.8
1st Downs	21.8	19.7
Rush Att	40.8	35.8
Rush Yds	233.5	107.7
Pass Att	27.0	36.4
Compl %	58.0	63.6
Pass Yds	203.2	226.8
Intercept	0.8	1.6
Fumb Lost	0.5	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	13	-13


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Mills	309	180	58.3	2350	17	9
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
L. Johnson	251	2015	8.0	20		
Robinson	45	233	5.2	6		
Gasparato	32	158	4.9	1		
Mills	78	145	1.9	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
B. Johnson	48	917	4			
L. Johnson	39	341	3			
T. Johnson	32	495	3			
Williams	24	250	2			

OFFENSE - 5

RETURNING STARTERS

DEFENSE - 6



Get the **VEGAS** edge
1800MRVEGAS

Direct from the sports betting capital of the world,
legendary handicapper Jim Feist brings you 1800Mr.Vegas!
Your Vegas connection for WINNERS, LINES, SCORES and more...

ABSOLUTELY FREE!

**FREE WINNING PICKS
PLUS FREE LINES &
FREE SCORES**

Call Toll-Free

(678-3427)

1.800.MRVEGAS

or online at

www.1800MRVEGAS.com

PURDUE BOILERMAKERS

Six seasons. Six bowl berths. Season No. 7 for head coach Joe Tiller at Purdue begins with the expectation that he will have his team in a bowl game once again. The Boilermakers return 17 starters, including FS Stuart Schweigert, perhaps the best defensive back in college football.

It's the offense, though, that always garners the headlines in West Lafayette. Eight returning starters are on this side of the ball, including QB Kyle Orton. The junior seized the job last season and looks to give the position the stability it has lacked since Drew Brees left for the NFL. Sophomore Brandon Kirsch is the backup.

Junior Taylor Stubblefield and senior John Standeford, the Big Ten's top two pass catchers, give Orton quite the tandem to throw to. Sophomore Ray Williams will also see plenty of passes in

Tiller's wide-open offense.

Senior RB Joey Harris is a good, low-to-the-ground runner. He will be running behind a line that returns junior ORT Kelly Butler and senior OLG Nick Hardwick. Entering the fall, the other starters were expected to be junior OLT David Owen, senior C Nick Hardwick and junior ORG Tyler Moore.

Schweigert is the leader of a Boilermakers defense that played well vs. both the run and pass in '02 and could be one of the Big Ten's best this season. Schweigert has 13 career interceptions, and will be joined by senior Deaunte Farrell at strong safety and junior Antwaun Rogers and senior Jacques Reeves at cornerback. Rogers and Reeves are returning starters.

The Boilermakers possess one of the conference's top LB duos in MLB Niko Koutouvides and WLB Landon Johnson. Fellow

senior Gilbert Gardner is a good bet to start on the strong side.

All four starters along the defensive line are back for another season. Senior DEs Shaun Phillips (six sacks), Vedran Dzolovic (two sacks) and Kevin Nesfield (5½ sacks) are joined up front by senior Craig Terrill and sophomore Brent Glover at tackle.

All in all, it's the makings of a team that could challenge for the conference title. However, the Boilermakers must beat both Michigan and Ohio State on the road to pull it off — something they have rarely been able to do. Purdue last beat Ohio State in Columbus in 1988; its last triumph in Ann Arbor was in 1966.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Sept. 6	BOWLING GREEN
Sept. 13	at Wake Forest
Sept. 20	ARIZONA
Sept. 27	NOTRE DAME
Oct. 4	ILLINOIS
Oct. 11	PENN STATE
Oct. 18	at Wisconsin
Oct. 25	at Michigan
Nov. 1	NORTHWESTERN
Nov. 8	IOWA
Nov. 15	at Ohio State
Nov. 22	at Indiana

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 217


TEAM STATS 2002 6-6 (4-4)

	PURDUE	OPP
Points	29.3	22.0
1st Downs	23.2	15.2
Rush Att	45.2	35.7
Rush Yds	203.2	122.2
Pass Att	34.6	30.4
Compl %	59.3	48.5
Pass Yds	253.4	195.1
Intercept	1.3	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.6	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-10	10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Orton	280	167	59.6	1974	11	9
Kirsch	134	79	59.0	1067	8	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Harris	227	1022	4.5	7		
Jones	118	640	5.4	3		
Kirsch	72	423	5.9	3		
Void	45	156	3.5	10		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Stubblefield	70	697	0			
Standeford	65	1202	12			
Chambers	27	224	2			

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



WISCONSIN BADGERS

Things are looking up in Madison, as Wisconsin returns 16 starters. Senior WR Lee Evans, who missed last season after tearing his left anterior cruciate ligament, also returns.

The Badgers won only two Big Ten games in 2002, but earned an Alamo Bowl berth on the strength of a 5-0 run in non-conference play. It's unlikely the Badgers will endure similar problems in conference play in '03 because of a potent offense bolstered by Evans' return. If the senior returns to form, the Badgers will be tough to stop.

With Evans back, the Badgers feature one of the nation's deepest WR corps. Brandon Williams, who caught 47 passes as a true freshman, will start opposite Evans. Fellow sophomore Jonathan Orr, at 6-3, 188 pounds, gives the Badgers a big target.

Evans' presence could open up

even more running room for junior RB Anthony Davis, who has rushed for more yards (3,021) than any Division-I-A back in the last two seasons. Backing up Davis is sophomore Dwayne Smith, who was effective in limited action last season.

Senior QB Jim Sorgi, who saw playing time in each of the last three seasons filling in for NFL-bound Brooks Bollinger, finally gets the job to himself. Junior Matt Schabert should be the primary backup.

The offensive line will have three new starters. At left tackle, junior Morgan Davis should replace Ben Johnson. Junior Mike Lorenz should start at right tackle, with Donovan Raiola taking over at center for Al Johnson. ORG Jonathan Clinkscale and OLG Dan Buenning are the holdovers.

The strength of the defense may be the secondary, which will be led by FS Jim Leonhard, who

tied a conference record with 11 interceptions last season. Leonhard switches spots with SS Ryan Aiello (team-leading 105 tackles in '02).

Senior LB Jeff Mack, the defensive MVP of the Alamo Bowl, is the impact player in an experienced group.

The Badgers return three starters on the defensive line: senior DE Erasmus Jones (17 hurries) and junior DTs Anttaj Hawthorne and Jason Jefferson.

Junior P R.J. Morse (24 punts inside the 20) returns for a third season as starter. Three kickers could see time for the Badgers: Mike Allen (12-of-19 on field goals in '02), Scott Campbell and Matt Domonkos.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-6 (2-6)

	WISCONSIN	OPP
Points	26.2	22.6
1st Downs	19.9	18.4
Rush Att	45.7	36.3
Rush Yds	164.6	157.5
Pass Att	22.9	31.8
Compl %	53.4	55.1
Pass Yds	164.6	235.5
Intercept	0.5	1.5
Fumb Lost	0.5	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	19	-19

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Bollinger	221	119	53.8	1595	12	3
Sorgi	70	38	54.3	536	1	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Davis	275	1456	5.3	12		
Smith	105	537	5.1	6		
Bollinger	140	384	2.7	7		
Bernstein	20	66	3.3	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Orr	47	842	8			
Williams	47	580	2			
Charles	20	256	1			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Penn State	12	490	2802	5.72	36	233.50
Iowa	12	531	2665	5.02	24	222.08
Minnesota	12	541	2559	4.73	21	213.25
Purdue	12	542	2438	4.50	25	203.17
Ohio State	13	577	2533	4.39	27	194.85
Wisconsin	13	594	2470	4.16	28	190.00
Illinois	12	461	1968	4.27	13	164.00
Northwestern	12	437	1884	4.31	25	157.00
Michigan	12	468	1825	3.90	21	152.08
Michigan State	12	430	1709	3.97	14	142.42
Indiana	12	434	1398	3.22	12	116.50

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Illinois	12	454	258	3388	26	282.33
Purdue	12	415	246	3041	19	253.42
Indiana	12	446	228	3020	18	251.67
Northwestern	12	451	261	2784	9	232.00
Michigan State	12	377	208	2743	24	228.58
Michigan	12	435	238	2707	20	225.58
Iowa	12	278	167	2530	26	210.83
Penn State	12	324	188	2438	17	203.17
Ohio State	13	259	166	2303	14	177.15
Minnesota	12	301	157	2118	20	176.50
Wisconsin	13	298	159	2140	13	164.62

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Purdue	12	957	5479	5.73	47	456.58
Illinois	12	915	5356	5.85	43	446.33
Penn State	12	814	5240	6.44	57	436.67
Iowa	12	809	5195	6.42	58	432.92
Minnesota	12	842	4677	5.55	43	389.75
Northwestern	12	888	4668	5.26	35	389.00
Michigan	12	903	4532	5.02	42	377.67
Ohio State	13	836	4836	5.78	44	372.00
Michigan State	12	807	4452	5.52	41	371.00
Indiana	12	880	4418	5.02	33	368.17
Wisconsin	13	892	4610	5.17	43	354.62

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Iowa	12	367	818	2.23	13	68.17
Ohio State	13	385	1023	2.66	4	78.69
Penn State	12	429	1292	3.01	9	107.67
Michigan	12	422	1418	3.36	14	118.17
Purdue	12	428	1466	3.43	12	122.17
Wisconsin	13	472	1959	4.15	13	150.69
Minnesota	12	503	2218	4.41	28	184.83
Illinois	12	526	2282	4.34	23	190.17
Michigan State	12	542	2566	4.73	26	213.83
Indiana	12	522	2819	5.40	33	234.92
Northwestern	12	694	3763	5.42	39	313.58

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Minnesota	12	327	185	2114	10	176.17
Northwestern	12	239	144	2285	20	188.75
Michigan State	12	334	165	2266	22	188.83
Indiana	12	335	202	2322	23	193.50
Purdue	12	365	177	2341	13	195.08
Michigan	12	398	215	2596	15	216.33
Penn State	12	437	278	2721	15	226.75
Illinois	12	404	227	2764	13	230.33
Wisconsin	13	414	228	3062	20	235.54
Ohio State	13	502	286	3100	12	238.46
Iowa	12	504	279	3251	14	270.92

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Ohio State	13	4.65	887	4123	16	317.15
Purdue	12	4.80	793	3807	33	317.25
Penn State	12	4.63	866	4013	27	334.42
Michigan	12	4.90	820	4014	30	334.50
Iowa	12	4.67	871	4069	27	339.08
Minnesota	12	5.22	830	4332	40	361.00
Wisconsin	13	5.67	886	5021	33	386.23
Michigan State	12	5.52	876	4832	52	402.67
Illinois	12	5.43	930	5046	39	420.50
Indiana	12	6.00	857	5141	58	428.42
Northwestern	12	6.46	933	6028	65	502.33

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Larry Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	251	2015	20	167.92
Fred Russell, Iowa	Jr.	10	211	1219	9	121.90
Anthony Davis, Wisconsin	So.	12	276	1456	12	121.33
Maurice Clarett, Ohio State	Fr.	10	199	1190	14	119.00
Antoine Harris, Illinois	Sr.	12	278	1330	8	110.83
Terry Jackson II, Minnesota	So.	12	222	1281	6	106.75
Jason Wright, Northwestern	Jr.	12	219	1234	12	102.83
Chris Perry, Michigan	Jr.	12	239	1025	10	85.42
Joey Harris, Purdue	Jr.	12	227	1022	7	85.17
Thomas Tapeh, Minnesota	Jr.	12	163	806	7	67.17

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Brad Banks, Iowa	Sr.	12	258	155	60.08	4	2369	25
Craig Krenzler, Ohio State	Jr.	13	228	141	61.84	5	1988	12
Brandon Kirsch, Purdue	Fr.	10	134	79	58.96	5	1067	8
Jon Beutjer, Illinois	Jr.	11	327	193	59.02	11	2511	21
Zack Mills, Penn State	So.	12	309	180	58.25	9	2350	17
Brooks Bollinger, Wisconsin	Sr.	12	221	119	53.85	3	1595	12
Damon Dowdell, Michigan State	So.	11	165	92	55.76	4	1097	10
Kyle Orton, Purdue	So.	12	280	167	59.64	9	1974	11
Asad Abdul-Khalik, Minnesota	Jr.	11	283	148	52.30	11	1968	18
John Navarre, Michigan	Sr.	12	412	227	55.10	7	2586	20

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Charles Rogers, Michigan State	Jr.	12	68	1351	13	112.58
John Standeford, Purdue	Jr.	12	65	1202	12	100.17
Courtney Roby, Indiana	So.	12	59	1039	4	86.58
Brandon Lloyd, Illinois	Jr.	12	65	1010	9	84.17
Michael Jenkins, Ohio State	Jr.	13	57	1031	6	79.31
Taylor Stubblefield, Purdue	So.	9	70	697	0	77.44
Brayton Edwards, Michigan	So.	12	63	925	10	77.08
Bryant Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	48	917	4	76.42
Maurice Brown, Iowa	Jr.	12	42	903	10	75.25
Glenn Johnson, Indiana	Jr.	12	53	837	5	69.75

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Larry Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	23	0	0	140	11.67
Maurice Clarett, Ohio State	Fr.	10	16	0	0	96	9.60
Nate Kaeding, Iowa	Jr.	12	0	55	20	115	9.58
Mike Nugent, Ohio State	So.	13	0	41	24	113	8.69
Dan Nystrom, Minnesota	Sr.	12	0	40	15	85	7.08
Robbie Gould, Penn State	So.	12	0	42	14	84	7.00
Charles Rogers, Michigan State	Jr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50
Jason Wright, Northwestern	Jr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50
Peter Christofilakos, Illinois	Jr.	11	0	40	9	67	6.09
John Standeford, Purdue	Jr.	12	12	0	0	72	6.00
Anthony Davis, Wisconsin	So.	12	12	0	0	72	6.00

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Larry Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	2015	341	0	219	2575
Jason Wright, Northwestern	Jr.	12	1234	266	0	513	2013
Maurice Clarett, Ohio State	Fr.	10	1190	104	0	0	1294
Anthony Davis, Wisconsin	So.	12	1456	48	0	0	1504
Fred Russell, Iowa	Jr.	10	1219	11	0	0	1230
Antoine Harris, Illinois	Sr.	12	1330	129	0	0	1459
Charles Rogers, Michigan State	Jr.	12	74	135	19	0	1444
Bryant Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	0	917	515	0	1432
Terry Jackson II, Minnesota	So.	12	1281	28	0	0	1309
Courtney Roby, Indiana	So.	12	37	103	0	227	1303

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	YDS/GM
Brett Basanez, Northwestern	Fr.	10	96	2204	230.00
Brad Banks, Iowa	Sr.	12	387	2369	229.67
Jon Beutjer, Illinois	Jr.	11	7	2511	228.91
John Navarre, Michigan	Sr.	12	-10	2586	214.67
Zack Mills, Penn State	So.	12	145	2350	207.92
Asad Abdul-Khalik, Minnesota	Jr.	11	314	1968	207.45
Gibran Hamdan, Indiana	Sr.	11	-52	2115	206.33
Craig Krenzler, Ohio State	Jr.	13	287	1988	175.00
Larry Johnson, Penn State	Sr.	12	2015	0	167.92
Kyle Orton, Purdue	So.	12	28	1974	166.83

CONFERENCE USA PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI golden eagles

This program is the class of C-USA after earning its fifth bowl trip in six years. Eight starters return on a stout defensive unit, including All-America candidates LB Rod Davis and S Etric Pruitt. However, the Eagles must replace RB Derrick Nix and find a playmaking quarterback. The early schedule is not too difficult and Nebraska and Texas Christian come to Hattiesburg.

TWO TEXAS CHRISTIAN horned frogs

After losing 23 lettermen, head coach Gary Patterson knows it will be difficult to repeat as C-USA champs. He will lead a young, inexperienced team into battle against a relatively easy schedule. QB Tye Gunn is the key as he returns from the season-ending knee injury he suffered in 2002. When healthy, he led TCU to four straight victories.

THREE TULANE green wave

Tulane's defense led the nation in turnovers forced (43) in 2002. That helped them win eight games, including a bowl game. However, the entire defensive line must be replaced and star CB Lynaris Elpheage also has left. QB J.P. Losman and RB Mewelde Moore are two of C-USA's best, but a brutal schedule — with six of the last nine games away from home — looks to be too much to overcome.

FOUR LOUISVILLE cardinals

Ex-Auburn offensive coordinator Bobby Petrino takes over as the Cardinals start a new era with an impressive recruiting class. Star QB Dave Ragone has left for the NFL and the Cards hope Parade

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Gino Guidugli, Cincinnati, Jr.
- RB Art Brown, East Carolina, Jr.
- RB Mewelde Moore, Tulane, Sr.
- WR Chris Johnson, Southern Miss, Jr.
- WR Brandon Middleton, Houston, Sr.
- TE Ronnie Ghent, Louisville, Sr.
- OL Rex Hadnot, Houston, Sr.
- OL Al James, Houston, Sr.
- OL Brian Rimpf, East Carolina, Sr.
- OL John Glud, Texas Christian, Sr.
- OL Josh Shneyderov, Cincinnati, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Bobby Leffew, Louisville, Jr.
- DL Terrell Paul, Southern Miss, Jr.
- DL Chad Pugh, Texas Christian, Sr.
- DL Bo Schobel, Texas Christian, Sr.
- LB Michael Boley, Southern Miss, Jr.
- LB Rod Davis, Southern Miss, Sr.
- LB Rod Day, Louisville, Sr.
- DB Brian Hodges, Houston, Soph.
- DB Dio Hill, UAB, Jr.
- DB Etric Pruitt, Southern Miss, Sr.
- DB Lee Hayes, Memphis, Jr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Nick Browne, Texas Christian, Sr.
- P Jimmy McClary, Houston, Sr.

All-American Michael Bush can be the starter as a true freshman.

FIVE CINCINNATI bearcats

The Bearcats enter the season with star QB Gino Guidugli and lots of question marks at key positions throughout the lineup. They also have a new defensive coordinator, new line coaches and a new special-teams coach in the mix.

SIX EAST CAROLINA pipiles

John Thompson takes over as new head coach and inherits a young, experi-

enced team that went 4-4 in C-USA last year. QB Paul Troth must cut down on interceptions, but Art Brown leads a talented RB corps. The non-conference schedule is rugged with road games at Miami (Fla.) and Wake Forest and home games vs. West Virginia and North Carolina.

SEVEN SOUTH FLORIDA bulls

The Bulls move into their first season in C-USA. They defeated Houston, Southern Miss and East Carolina last year, so they're familiar with the competition. However, QB Marquel Blackwell is gone and the entire defensive line must be replaced.



RB LONTA HOBBS
TEXAS CHRISTIAN

EIGHT UAB blazers

With 34 sophomores and redshirt freshmen on the three-deep depth chart, the Blazers will be very young. They do return 17 starters, but a rugged schedule awaits with seven road games, including contests at Baylor, South Carolina and Georgia.

NINE HOUSTON cougars

Despite an encouraging season last year after going 0-11 in 2001, ex-coach Dana Dimel lost his job. New coach Art Briles inherits a team that looks to be heading in the right direction. This will be a transition season for a young group learning a new system. Plus, four of the first six games are on the road.

TEN MEMPHIS tigers

Last year, the Tigers couldn't run the ball effectively and couldn't stop opponents from running all over them. That's a recipe for disaster, so head coach Tommy West worked in the offseason on toughening his team defensively. He also hopes RB DeAngelo Williams improves the running game. With seven home games, the pressure is on West to produce a winning season.

ELEVEN ARMY black knights

Things bottomed out in last year's season finale with an embarrassing 58-12 loss to Navy. As a result, head coach Todd Berry is firmly on the hot seat. After four straight home games to start the year, the schedule toughens considerably as Army must play at Texas Christian, Louisville, Cincinnati, UAB, Air Force and Hawaii.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Texas Christian	6	2	263	179	4-0	2-2	0-0
Cincinnati	6	2	259	174	4-0	2-2	0-0
Louisville	5	3	243	200	2-2	3-1	0-0
Southern Mississippi	5	3	161	142	3-1	2-2	0-0
Tulane	4	4	185	147	3-1	1-3	0-0
UAB	4	4	218	233	3-1	1-3	0-0
East Carolina	4	4	250	266	3-1	1-3	0-0
Houston	3	5	235	293	2-2	1-3	0-0
Memphis	2	6	190	223	2-2	0-4	0-0
Army	1	7	163	310	0-4	1-3	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Texas Christian	9	2	344	219	6-0	3-2	0-0
Cincinnati	7	6	390	305	4-3	3-3	0-0
Louisville	7	5	359	281	3-3	4-2	0-0
Southern Mississippi	7	5	259	205	5-1	2-4	0-0
Tulane	7	5	325	254	5-2	2-3	0-0
UAB	5	7	268	370	4-2	1-5	0-0
East Carolina	4	8	335	399	3-2	1-6	0-0
Houston	5	7	320	393	3-3	2-4	0-0
Memphis	3	9	303	327	3-3	0-6	0-0
Army	1	11	226	491	0-6	1-5	0-0

Get The Information That Millions View Every Single Week!

WWW.JIMFEIST.COM

The Most Complete Handicapping Site on the Web!



- Live Lines & Odds
- Experts Picks
- In-depth Analyses
- Current & Archived Scores
- Up-To-Date Handicapping Stats
- Contests • Injuries
- Weather Reports
- Veteran Advice
- Pro Selections and More!!!

Your Single Source For Sports Handicapping Information **ALL FREE ONLINE**

WWW.JIMFEIST.COM

World Champion Handicapper

Jim Feist

CHIP CHIRIMBES

Join America's proven Big Play Expert for a Preseason Packed with Profit!

Call the MASTER of the BIG PLAY and you will receive August's and September's

VIP SERVICE

PLUS

chipper's 2003 PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR!

2002 Preseason Game-of-the-Year
Chiefs 23 (+3) OVER Rams 16 **WINNER!**

Just **\$49**

The key to winning
is information - CALL NOW!

TOLL-FREE

1.888.825.0051

3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Visit Chip daily at
jimfeist.com



A DOCUMENTED CHAMPION

DAVE COKIN IS STILL THE ONLY HANDICAPPER WHO CAN LAY CLAIM TO EVER WINNING BOTH MAJOR LAS VEGAS FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP TITLES...AND SMOKIN' DAVE COKIN CONTINUES TO MAKE HISTORY!

CALL NOW AND GET "THE CHAMP'S"

ENTIRE PRESEASON

FOR ONLY

\$49

SPECIAL BONUS:

FOR THE FIRST TIME EVER, ALL CALLERS WILL RECEIVE BOTH DAVE'S **PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR** AND **PRESEASON TOTAL-OF-THE-YEAR** - ABSOLUTELY FREE!

2002 PRESEASON GAME-OF-THE-YEAR: REDSKINS (+4) OVER BUCCANEERS **WINNER** 40-10
2002 PRO GAME-OF-THE-YEAR: BRONCOS (-5) OVER SEAHAWKS **WINNER** 31-09
2002 COLLEGE GAME-OF-THE-YEAR: OREGON STATE (-15) OVER ARIZONA **WINNER** 38-03

DON'T MISS OUT ON ANOTHER WINNING FOOTBALL SEASON - CALL NOW!

TOLL-FREE **1.888.823.3283**

3888 W. Sahara Ave., #79 Las Vegas, NV 89102

ARMY BLACK KNIGHTS

Army is looking to rebound from a 1-11 campaign in 2002, one of the worst seasons in school history.

One bright spot for head coach Todd Berry's team could be a defense that should be better for suffering through a dismal season. Eight starters are back, including OLB Ryan Kent, who led the team with 99 tackles last season. Kent, Berry said, is "a great football player and possesses great instincts."

Juniors Mikel Resnick and Curt Daniels will fight for the other outside line-backer position. Inside, senior Brian Hill and junior Greg Washington should start. Washington missed parts or all of half of Army's games last season, something that hampered the defense's progress.

The front four of Berry's 4-4 defense should be constituted of junior DEs Keenan Beasley and Odene Brathwaite and DTs Trey

Landry and Will Sullivan. Sullivan can play both tackle and end, and was disruptive at both positions last season.

For a third consecutive season, juniors Delente Brewer and Jonathan Lewis will start at cornerback. The duo combined for 104 tackles and three interceptions last season.

Lucius Weaver, another junior, will start at free safety. The 6-foot-3 Weaver made 71 tackles in '02.

Question marks surround the offense, which returns only four starters. The issue of who the Cadets' starting quarterback will be may be foremost in Berry's mind. Junior Matt Silva and sophomores Laron Bybee, Connor Crehan and Zac Dahman will battle for that spot. Dahman started four times last season, while Silva logged two starts.

Sophomore Carlton Jones should get the nod at running

back; he ran for 124 yards in Army's only victory last season, a 14-10 win at Tulane. La'Berrick Williams, a junior, will also contend for carries.

Junior William White is the standout among the Cadets' WR corps. Sophomore Blaine Cooper will also start. The third of Army's three starters at wideout will be either junior Aaron Alexander or senior Clint Woody, both of whom are 6-foot-6.

Junior OLT Joel Glover is the only holdover on the offensive line. The other starters will be C David Evetts, OLG Adam Wojcik and ORG Jake Holly, all juniors; and senior ORT Brad Waudby.


Sophomore PK Joe Riley displays a strong leg on kickoffs.

TEAM STATS 2002 1-11 (1-7)

	ARMY	OPP
Points	18.8	40.9
1st Downs	16.1	20.6
Rush Att	35.7	47.9
Rush Yds	120.4	210.0
Pass Att	31.4	24.4
Comp %	47.7	61.8
Pass Yds	179.1	182.9
Intercept	1.8	0.5
Fumb Lost	1.1	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	-14	14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Dahman	156	77	49.4	884	5	7
Nevels	102	53	52.0	617	4	5
Silva	80	32	40.0	402	1	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Jones	140	516	3.7	4		
Holden	97	490	5.1	8		
Nevels	60	166	2.8	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
White	30	384	0			
Alexander	26	454	2			
Comeaux	25	308	4			



CINCINNATI BEARCATS

A team that brings back less than half of its starters could be considered to be in rebuilding mode.

But Cincinnati has junior QB Gino Guidugli, a player that broke five team passing records in 2002. Hence, "reloading" may be the operative term for head coach Rick Minter's Bearcats, off a New Orleans Bowl appearance last year.

The 6-foot-3 Guidugli, a second-team All-C-USA performer in '02, has good size and a relatively strong arm. But he loses his top three pass catchers.

The Bearcats' top target this season will likely be junior George Murray, a backup to Guidugli who has switched full-time to wideout. Others in the mix at wide receiver will be sophomore Derick Ross and junior Kevin Hazel, a junior-college transfer.

Junior Richard Hall looks to be the favorite to capture the RB job.

The Bearcats have experience in the interior of their offensive line — junior OLG Kyle Takavitz (a tackle last year) and seniors ORG Travis McGee and C Josh Shneyderov all return. The new starters outside will be junior OLT Clint Stickdon and senior ORT Anthony Colton. TE A.J. Lucius, a junior and returning starter, is a good blocker.

The Cincinnati defense returns only four starters. One is junior Trent Cole, who moves to defensive end after playing inside last season. In that role, he registered 87 tackles and five sacks.

Another intriguing player on the defensive line is junior DE Andre Frazier, who backed up NFL draft pick Antwan Peek the last two seasons. But despite taking just about one-third of the snaps last season, Frazier led the Bearcats with six sacks.

The starters inside will likely be senior Ka'Son Love and junior

Mike Wright. Both missed last season with injuries.

The LB corps is anchored by junior MLB Jamar Enzor, who was third on the team with 91 tackles as a reserve last season. Outside, senior Tyjuan Hagler, a returning starter, is joined by fellow senior Jason Russell.

SS Doug Monaghan, a junior, returns to start for a third straight season. The other safety will be senior Franklin Callicott. At cornerback, steady senior Zach Norton is back. He'll likely be joined by junior Daven Holly.


UC must find a replacement for All-America PK Jonathan Ruffin and also improve a punting unit that went from second to 102nd nationally in one season.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-6 (6-2)

	CINCINNATI	OPP
Points	30.0	23.5
1st Downs	20.6	17.9
Rush Att	39.7	38.0
Rush Yds	140.8	134.0
Pass Att	34.7	31.2
Comp %	54.3	52.1
Pass Yds	263.5	184.1
Intercept	1.3	1.2
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	4	-4

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Guidugli	438	239	54.6	3319	21	16
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
McCleskey	292	1276	4.4	15		
Hall	57	257	4.5	3		
Harwell	45	165	3.7	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Vann	69	839	5			
Olinger	51	1009	7			
Keith	44	614	3			
McCleskey	29	352	2			
Murray	26	278	2			



EAST CAROLINA PIRATES

New East Carolina head coach John Thompson's first task is fixing a defense that was one of the worst in Division I-A last season.

Promising sophomore linebackers Chris Moore and Josh Chilsom will be counted upon to lead the Pirates' quest for improvement. MLB Moore and SLB Chilsom are the team's top two returning tacklers. Junior Eric Butler looks to start on the weak side.

Sophomore CB Reicko Jones, who started 10 games last season, is one of five returning starters on defense. The other returnee in the defensive backfield is senior SS Travis Heath. Seniors CB Brandon Rainer and FS Richard Moton round out a secondary that needs to produce more than the 10 interceptions it had in 2002.

Senior Damane Duckett, a 6-foot-7, 300-pound nose guard, leads the defensive line. Playing

next to him will be senior Derek Helms. Sophomores Guy Whimper and Ike Emodi will look to get pressure off the edge.

An area Thompson will not have to worry much about is the running game, thanks to talented senior RB Art Brown. The 5-9, 200-pounder broke the 1,000-yard barrier despite missing two games with a groin injury.

Brown is the star of an offense that gets the majority of last season's starters back. One of those is junior QB Paul Troth, but he will have to battle for his job due to the scatter-shot accuracy he sometimes displays. Junior Desmond Robinson, an option

quarterback, is one possibility. East Carolina's WR corps will have a new look with the departure of 2002 seniors Richard Alston and Torey Morris. Senior Terrance Copper, a running back last season, is poised to pick up some of the slack in the passing

game. Sophomore Edwin Rios will see action at wideout, as will junior Damarcus Fox and sophomore Bryson Bowling.

Four of five starting offensive linemen are back, including senior OLT Brian Rimpf, who hopes to be a first-team All-C-USA performer for the third straight season. Junior OLG Charlie Dempsey is another returnee, as are seniors C Doug White and ORG Brian Fox. Senior Brandon Pope, who missed last season, could start at right tackle.

Reliable PK Kevin Miller, ECU's all-time leading scorer, and booming P Jarad Preston are gone. Juniors Cameron Broadwell and Chris Baglio will battle for the PK duties.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Sept. 1	at Cincinnati
Sept. 6	WEST VIRGINIA
Sept. 13	at Miami (Fla.)
Sept. 20	at Wake Forest
Sept. 30	HOUSTON
Oct. 11	NORTH CAROLINA
Oct. 18	at Army
Oct. 25	LOUISVILLE
Nov. 1	at Memphis
Nov. 8	SOUTH FLORIDA
Nov. 22	at Tulane
Nov. 29	SOUTHERN MISS

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 165


TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (4-4)

EAST CAROLINA		OPP
Points	27.9	33.2
1st Downs	18.9	21.5
Rush Att	36.6	45.4
Rush Yds	124.0	207.1
Pass Att	34.2	30.3
Comp %	48.8	53.8
Pass Yds	214.8	238.6
Intercept	1.8	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	-10	10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Troth	359	177	49.3	2315	15	20
Robinson	46	22	47.8	250	1	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Brown	214	1029	4.8	14		
Townes	76	304	4.0	2		
Gilliam	44	291	6.6	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Morris	38	552	3			
Alston	36	702	6			
Copper	30	395	0			
Brown	26	181	3			

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 5



HOUSTON COUGARS

The task for new Houston head coach Art Briles is a somewhat daunting one: Build the Cougars into a football power once again.

Briles has two-thirds of last season's starters back to work with, coming off an improved season. A most intriguing returnee is sophomore QB Barrick Nealy, who impressed with his arm and his speed before tearing an anterior cruciate ligament in his knee in his fourth start of '02.

Nealy's No. 1 target is junior WR Brandon Middleton, one of the country's premier playmakers. Middleton had 13 catches of 30 yards or longer last season. Joining him at wideout is senior Harrison Arceneaux. Senior TE Jon Clark will also be expected to provide a spark in the passing game.

A RB-by-committee strategy may be used to replace workhorse RB Joffrey Reynolds.

Sophomore Anthony Evans and redshirt freshman Jackie Battle are the top prospects.

Senior C Rex Hadnot is the leader of the offensive line. The other returner is sophomore OLG Roy Swan. There are three new starters on the line, including sophomore ORT David Douglas, senior OLT Matt Mattox (a converted tight end) and senior ORG Brandon Evans.

Defensively, the Cougars have switched to a 4-3 alignment. Eight starters return, but some are battling to retain starting jobs.

Along the defensive line, sophomore Kade Lane, a returning starter, and fellow sophomore Gerard Richard will start at tackle. At end, senior Ryan Huffman is competing with junior Kendrick Goss and senior Farouk Adelekan. Adelekan led the Cougars in tackles for loss (18) and sacks (nine) last season.

The LB corps will have two

senior starters — OLB Justin Davis and MLB Damien West. The duo split snaps as the middle linebacker in the Cougars' 4-2-5 scheme in 2002. Sophomore Bryant Brown and junior Travis Griffith, who both missed last season, return to challenge for a starting spot.

The secondary needs a free safety; junior Levi Gaston and sophomores Will Gulley and Brian Hodges are in the mix for that spot. Senior Jermain Woodard (73 tackles in '02), starts at strong safety.

Seniors Delenell Reid and Roland Cola will compete with junior Stanford Routt to start at cornerback. Routt and Reid were starters last season.


TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (3-5)

HOUSTON		OPP
Points	28.7	32.8
1st Downs	19.3	19.3
Rush Att	43.7	36.4
Rush Yds	170.2	134.1
Pass Att	29.8	32.8
Comp %	51.5	55.8
Pass Yds	222.5	269.3
Intercept	1.8	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.4	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	-16	16

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Eddy	265	134	50.6	2054	17	18
Nealy	84	45	53.6	542	3	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Reynolds	316	1545	4.9	12		
Nealy	36	190	5.3	2		
Robertson	42	182	4.3	3		
Evans	58	180	3.1	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Robinson	29	518	2			
Middleton	28	674	6			
Bell	27	326	2			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 8



BETONSP

WE **BEAT ALL**
PRESEASON
BONUSES

Bet Online

www.betonsports.com



MasterCard Visa Discover W/U
VOID WHERE PROHIBITED BY LAW

Alternate Website: www.betonsports.co.cr

SPORTS.COM

WORLD'S LARGEST, LEGAL AND LICENSED SPORTSBOOK

FOOTBALL ACTION

*You can **bet** on!*

All Sports Wagering Service.

- ❖ CALL NOW TO ACTIVATE YOUR ACCOUNT WITHIN 15 MINUTES.
- ❖ Wager on All Major Sports Including NASCAR, Emmys, Academy Awards, Celebrity Props, Stock Market Quotes, Trial Verdicts and more.
- ❖ Payouts Processed Daily Upon Request.
- ❖ Bonuses & Specials All Year Long.
- ❖ Best Loyalty Program in the Industry.
- ❖ Licensed in the United Kingdom, Ireland, Antigua and Costa Rica.
- ❖ Over 1,500 Bilingual World Class Clerks.
- ❖ With Your Account Number Access: Online Wagering, Phone Wagers and Our Virtual Casino.
- ❖ 24 Hours a Day / 7 Days a Week Service.
- ❖ Over a Decade of Financial Stability.
- ❖ Customer Transactions are Strictly Confidential.



Bet over the Phone

1-888-999-9BET (238)

Local Number: 011-506-234-4515

LOUISVILLE CARDINALS

Despite winning seven games and earning a spot in the Motor City Bowl, Louisville had a disappointing 2002 season. A team boasting future NFL talent like QB Dave Ragone and DE Dewayne White was expected to do more than tie for third in Conference USA.

The Cardinals will have a new look this season. Ragone and White have departed, while Bobby Petrino is the new head coach, replacing John L. Smith, who took the same position at Michigan State.

Petrino has a reputation for explosive offenses, and has the components to put another high-scoring unit together this season. Seven starters are back. There are also a couple of promising newcomers.

Task No. 1 for Petrino is finding a quarterback to replace Ragone. Junior Stefan LeFors, who had a very good performance in the spring game, should

be the starter.

Eric Shelton, a touted transfer from Florida State, could start at running back. The 6-foot-3, 245-pound Shelton could give the Cardinals the running game they lacked — and sometimes seemed to forget about — at times in '02.

The Cardinals are deep and talented at wide receiver. The headliner is sophomore Broderick Clark, one of the nation's best kickoff returners last season. Juniors Joshua Tinch and J.R. Russell are also key contributors. Senior TEs Ronnie Ghent and Richard Owens are also good pass catchers.

Four starters are back on the offensive line: Seniors C Dan Koons and ORG Jerry Spencer and sophomores OLT Travis Leffew and OLG Jason Spitz. Senior Jason Hilliard will start at right tackle.

Four starters return on defense, including junior DT Tyrone Saterfield and senior DT Scott

Lopez. Lopez will battle with sophomore Montavious Stanley for a starting spot. Junior Marcus Jones looks to be a starter at defensive end. Promising redshirt freshman Renardo Foster could be the other starting end.

Senior LB Rod Day, an all-star candidate, returns to play on the weak side. Junior MLB Robert McCune is another starter. Sophomore Brandon Johnson and junior Jonathon Jackson are candidates to start on the strong side.

Junior Laroni Gallishaw and senior Josh Minkins provide a good 1-2 punch at cornerback. Sophomore SS Brent Johnson and junior FS Kerry Rhodes round out the secondary.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (5-3)

	LOUISVILLE	OPP
Points	29.9	23.4
1st Downs	17.8	17.8
Rush Att	35.6	40.3
Rush Yds	110.9	114.2
Pass Att	33.5	31.9
Comp %	55.2	47.8
Pass Yds	228.2	203.4
Intercept	0.8	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.5	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-13	13

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Ragone	396	217	54.8	2687	23	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Miller	138	595	4.3	12		
Patterson	87	310	3.6	2		
Ragone	122	217	1.8	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Dorsey	52	753	7			
Tinch	31	406	4			
Ghent	25	206	3			
Russell	23	278	2			
Spillman	20	362	2			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 4



MEMPHIS TIGERS

If Memphis is to improve on last season's win total of three, it must become a markedly different team on defense. The Tigers were one of Division I-A's worst against the run. Teams that decided to pass, meanwhile, completed 60.1 percent of their passes.

Nine of Tommy West's 11 starters on defense from last season are back to make another go of it.

The star of the defense is senior OLB Derrick Ballard, who led the team in tackles (101) and tackles for loss (13) last season. A tad undersized at only 205 pounds, Ballard is a playmaker nonetheless. The other outside linebacker, Greg Harper, is also back. Senior Shaka Hill mans the middle.

The secondary, despite allowing a relatively high percentage of passes to be completed, did rank No. 11 nationally in pass defense. Both starting safeties —

junior rover Scott Vogel and sophomore O.C. Collins — are back, as is junior CB Cameron Essex. Highly touted junior-college transfer Lee Hayes should be the other starter at cornerback.

The interior of the defensive line is back, as junior Kenyon Glover and senior Eric Taylor will try to provide a push up the middle from defensive tackle. Senior DE Treveco Lucas starts again at one defensive end; sophomore Marcus West will play on the other edge.

On offense, the Tigers return only four starters. But one is junior QB Danny Wimprine, who is on pace to become the

most prolific passer in school history. However, he must improve his accuracy.

Another starter to keep an eye on is sophomore RB DeAngelo Williams. Despite being nagged by injuries for much of last season, Williams averaged 6.6 yards

per carry.

Junior Darron White is the only returning starter at wide receiver, but the projected starters entering this season are senior Tavares Gideon, sophomore Maurice Avery and senior Darren Garcia. Sophomore TE John Doucette, who started seven games last season, is also back.

The offensive line gets back junior OLG Andrew Handy, but he is the only returnee. Four juniors — OLT Jeremy Rone, C Gene Frederic, ORG Jason Matthews and ORT David Davis — are the new starters.

The placekicking duties will be held by sophomore Stephen Gostkowski, who was 9-of-14 on FG attempts last season.


TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (2-6)

	MEMPHIS	OPP
Points	25.3	27.3
1st Downs	20.4	20.7
Rush Att	32.5	44.8
Rush Yds	115.8	197.1
Pass Att	37.7	28.0
Comp %	53.3	60.1
Pass Yds	239.0	165.8
Intercept	1.7	1.0
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-14	14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Wimprine	436	235	53.9	2820	23	18
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Williams	103	684	6.6	5		
Brown	133	562	4.2	6		
Avery	27	76	2.8	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Anglin	55	740	5			
Gideon	42	466	8			
White	40	343	2			
Harden	20	419	2			
Garcia	19	204	0			

OFFENSE - 4 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



SOUTH FLORIDA BULLS

Mark it down: If South Florida wins nine games this season, the Bulls are going to a bowl game.

This was not the case last season. Despite losing going 9-2, the Bulls, then a Division I-A independent, didn't receive a bowl invitation.

To earn the first bowl birth in school history, the Bulls have to replace the majority of a defense that had the best turnover ratio in Division I-A.

Five returners are back on defense, but none are among a front four that helped the Bulls register 45 sacks last season. Junior Tim Jones and sophomore Terrence Royal are charged with replacing Chris Daley and NFL-bound Shurren Pierson, who combined for 31½ career sacks.

Inside, seniors NT Lee Roy Selmon and DT Cedric Battles provide the push up the middle.

Two starters are back at line-

backer: Senior OLBs Maurice Jones (14 tackles for loss, six sacks in '02) and Courtney Davenport. Sophomore Devon Davis will try to replace Kawika Mitchell, who was a second-round pick of the NFL's Kansas City Chiefs.

The Bulls are strong at safety with seniors FS J.R. Reed and SS Kevin Verpaele. Both are playmakers — Reed had six interceptions, while Verpaele had three. Overall, the Bulls had 22 INTs in 2002, fourth-best in Division I-A.

Junior Sidney Simpson and sophomore D'Juan Brown are new starters at cornerback.

On offense, junior Ronnie Banks is the likely replacement for departed star Marquel Blackwell at quarterback. He'll have help from junior Clenton Crossley, who returns as the starting tailback in the Bulls' no-huddle, spread attack.

Senior Chris Iskra is the only

returnee at wide receiver. Others pushing for playing time include senior Huey Whittaker, junior Brian Fisher and freshman Quinton Callum.

Juniors ORT Levi Newton and C Alex Herron and sophomores OLG Shelly Houston and ORG Chris Carothers bring experience up front. Sophomore OLT Frank Davis was the favorite to be the starter on the weak side heading into the spring.

Junior PK Santiago Gramatica, younger brother of the NFL's Martin and Bill, is solid. He hit 16-of-21 field goals in 2002, but missed three PATs.

The Bulls have won 19 straight at home. Only Miami (Fla.) has a longer home winning streak.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at Alabama
Sept. 6	NICHOLLS STATE
Sept. 27	at Army
Oct. 4	LOUISVILLE
Oct. 10	TEXAS CHRISTIAN
Oct. 18	CHARLESTON SOUTHERN
Oct. 25	at Southern Miss
Nov. 1	CINCINNATI
Nov. 8	at East Carolina
Nov. 22	UAB
Nov. 29	at Memphis


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 224

TEAM STATS 2002 9-2

	SOUTH FLORIDA	OPP
Points	30.8	18.5
1st Downs	19.5	18.8
Rush Att	38.6	38.2
Rush Yds	134.6	86.3
Pass Att	38.8	36.4
Compl %	56.4	52.5
Pass Yds	252.0	221.7
Intercept	0.5	2.0
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	21	-21

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Blackwell	403	230	57.1	2590	18	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Crossley	92	415	4.5	3		
Brewer	95	383	4.0	1		
Blackwell	89	302	3.4	5		
Green	61	192	3.1	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
H. Smith	58	640	5			
Whittaker	26	383	3			
Iskra	26	345	2			
Hearn	22	252	1			



COMPUTERGROUPLAYS.COM

The BEST Website for Sharp Handicapping Numbers
Providing Daily and Weekly handicapping Data Sheets for Sports and Horses

• Live odds • Scores • Boxscores • Fast facts • Audio intros • Vintage sounds • Group forum •



Five-Percent Club

You might ask yourself, "How do the privileged few, the 'Five-Percenter,' do it - is it just luck?" Well, sure luck is important and can affect the overall result in handicapping Sports and Horses. But luck will only take you so far. In order to become a winning Five-Percenter you need a "Betting Edge," and that is what CGP will provide on a daily basis.

Join the
"Five-Percent Club"
Today

Group Forum and Chat

Share your insights, learn from others, make friends online and chat with other handicappers!



ALL FREE!

Yours to try FREE for a limited introductory time period.

COMPUTERGROUPLAYS.COM

• Quick link - CGPlays.com

A PROVEN WINNER...

SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI GOLDEN EAGLES

Few teams are tougher than the teams Jeff Bower coaches in Hattiesburg, Miss.

This season's Southern Miss squad is well-positioned to live up to that reputation. The Golden Eagles, the favorites to win Conference USA, have eight starters back on a stout defense that ranked fourth nationally in pass efficiency in 2002.

The heart of that defense is a stellar LB corps, led by All-American candidate Rod Davis. Few middle linebackers are as important to their team as Davis is. Davis is a tackling machine (168 last season, including 23½ for loss). He has good hands (two interceptions in '02). And he's quite capable of getting to the quarterback, as evidenced by his 10½ sacks.

Davis has an excellent complement in strongside LB Michael Boley. The junior registered 142

sacks, 16 tackles for loss and eight sacks last season. The starter on the weakside looks to be junior Antoine Cash.

Three of four starters are back in the secondary, including senior FS Eric Pruitt, who has the requisite hitting (139 tackles) and ball skills (six interceptions) to be a standout. He is joined at safety by senior rover Alex Ray.

Senior Greg Brooks (three interceptions in '02) is back to start at one cornerback. Redshirt freshman John Eubanks could start opposite Brooks.

The defensive line is anchored by productive DEs Ronald Jones and Terrell Paul. The seniors combined for 14 sacks last season. Inside, junior DT Eric Scott will be joined by sophomore NT Terrance Ford.

Such an imposing defense will make things easier for an offense returning only five starters.

Junior QB Micky D'Angelo

enters the season as starter. His accuracy and TD-INT ratio could use some improvement.

At running back, junior James Walley rates an edge. Fellow junior Tim Blackwell should also see some time. Sophomores Anthony Harris and Steel Adams are battling for the starting fullback job.

Productive junior WRs Chris Johnson and Marvin Young return, as does sophomore Antwon Courington.


Only two starters are back on the offensive line: junior OLT Jeremy Parquet and senior C Jim Hicks. The other starters look to be junior OLG Chris White, redshirt freshman ORG Travis Cooley and sophomore ORT Neal Mead.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (5-3)

SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI	OPP
Points	21.6 17.1
1st Downs	18.1 17.1
Rush Att	40.0 44.6
Rush Yds	143.3 164.9
Pass Att	28.6 28.2
Comp %	51.0 46.2
Pass Yds	189.8 157.1
Intercept	0.8 1.3
Fumb Lost	1.6 0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-4 4

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
D'Angelo	231	122	52.8	1647	7
Almond	111	52	46.8	584	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Nix	219	1194	5.5	11	
Harris	76	318	4.2	4	
Walley	52	182	3.5	1	
Almond	59	88	1.5	2	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
C. Johnson	45	606	3		
Young	40	607	6		
Handy	37	516	0		



TEXAS CHRISTIAN HORNEFROGS

Defense is the name of the game at Texas Christian. It will be as long as Gary Patterson is the head coach.

In his last four seasons in Fort Worth — two as the defensive coordinator for then head coach Dennis Franchione — the Horned Frogs have finished in the top five nationally in defense three times. Patterson has orchestrated the nation's top defense twice, including last season, when TCU won the conference championship and beat Colorado State in the Liberty Bowl.

Six starters return from that defense, including three members of a front four that was responsible for TCU also ranking No. 1 in run defense in 2002.

It all starts with senior NT Chad Pugh, an All-C-USA performer who gets a good push up the middle. The line also boasts a tough pair of ends in seniors Bo Schobel, also first-team All-C-

USA last season; and Robert Pollard. The new starter on the line is senior DT Richard Evans.

Junior Martin Patterson (12 tackles for loss in '02) returns to start at strongside linebacker in the Horned Frogs' 4-2-5 scheme. Senior Josh Goolsby is the leading candidate to start at middle linebacker.

Two starters return in the secondary: senior CB Tyrone Sanders and junior WS Marvin Godbolt. The other projected starters are junior Mark Walker at cornerback, sophomore Jeremy Modkins at free safety and senior Brandon Williams at strong safety.

On offense, look for the Horned Frogs to utilize a ball-control attack, the centerpiece of which is sophomore RB Lonta Hobbs. He finished the regular season by rushing for more than 100 yards in each of his last five games, the finale being a 287-yard effort vs. Memphis. Seniors Ricky

Madison and Corey Connally will also get carries.

Fellow sophomore Tye Gunn should be the starting quarterback. Gunn was having a very good freshman season before injuring his knee on Oct. 30.

Senior Bruce Galbert and junior Reggie Harrell, both of whom measure 6-foot-3 or taller, should start at wide receiver. Junior Cody McCarty is at tight end.


Senior OLG John Glud and juniors OLT Anthony Alabi and C Chase Johnson are back to start another season. Alabi missed spring practice after shoulder surgery. The other projected starters are sophomore Shane Sims at right guard and senior Jon Morgan at right tackle.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-2 (6-2)

TEXAS CHRISTIAN	OPP
Points	31.3 19.9
1st Downs	17.9 13.8
Rush Att	48.8 32.9
Rush Yds	203.7 62.6
Pass Att	24.4 34.4
Comp %	57.8 40.2
Pass Yds	169.3 183.3
Intercept	1.2 2.0
Fumb Lost	1.3 1.8
Season T.O. Margin	15 -15

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
Stittley	176	98	55.7	1230	5
Gunn	91	57	62.6	632	6
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG		
Hobbs	137	952	6.9		
Madison	136	608	4.5		
Connally	54	188	3.5		
Gunn	56	124	2.2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS			
Madise	30	506			
Dunbar	25	378			
Brown	16	208			



TULANE GREEN WAVE

Expected to finish near the bottom of Conference USA in 2002, Tulane surprised some by racking up eight wins and winning the Hawaii Bowl.

If the Green Wave and head coach Chris Scelfo are to make another bowl this season, they will have to do it behind an offense returning nine starters, including senior standouts QB J.P. Losman and senior RB Mewelde Moore.

Moore, along with Wisconsin's Anthony Davis and Texas' Cedric Benson, are the only returning players to have rushed for more than 1,000 yards the last two seasons. At 6-foot-1, 203-pounds, Moore has the frame to run inside and is light enough to break runs outside.

Moore will run behind either senior FB Kris Coleman, a returning starter, or freshman blocking back Quintin Jones.

Losman, who started his career at UCLA, had a good first season and was impressive in the bowl victory. He will have three returning starters to throw to at wide receiver: Senior James Dunn, sophomore Damarcus Davis and junior Nick Narcisse.

The left side of the Green Wave's offensive line is back, as a pair of sophomores — OLT Chris McGee and OLG Matt Traina — return. Junior ORT Jimmy Kosienski also returns. Sophomore Donald Madlock is the favorite to start at right guard, while sophomores Joe Traina and Will Blaylock will battle to start at center.

A young defense looks like it will have to lean on an experienced LB corps. All three starters are back — the only returnees for the stop unit. Weakside linebacker Anthony Cannon, a sophomore, is a standout. He led the team in tackles as a freshman

(114). Senior Brandt Quick will play the middle, while senior Wesley Heath will be back to play the strong side.

The defensive line features four new starters — sophomore Michael Roberts and senior Daniel Nevil are slated to be the ends while junior Wallace Mateen and senior Terrence Tarver take over in the middle.

The secondary will also be revamped. Playmaking CB Lynaris Elphege left early for the NFL, so sophomores Sean Lucas and Bruce Youmans will have to step up at cornerback. Juniors SS Tra Boger and FS Joey Dawson will also start.

Standout PK-P Seth Marler has departed and will be missed.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Sept. 1	TEXAS CHRISTIAN
Sept. 6	NORTHWESTERN STATE
Sept. 13	MISSISSIPPI STATE
Sept. 20	at Army
Sept. 27	at Texas
Oct. 11	HOUSTON
Oct. 18	at Louisville
Oct. 25	MEMPHIS
Nov. 1	at Navy
Nov. 8	at UAB
Nov. 15	at Southern Miss
Nov. 22	EAST CAROLINA


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 237

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	TULANE	OPP
Points	27.1	21.2
1st Downs	18.5	20.8
Rush Att	35.9	42.6
Rush Yds	106.5	189.6
Pass Att	33.3	32.0
Compl %	56.4	51.3
Pass Yds	200.5	177.6
Intercept	0.8	1.8
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.6
Season T.O. Margin	20	-20

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Losman	362	210	58.0	2228	19	10
Joseph	34	15	44.1	178	1	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Moore	258	1022	4.0	5		
Jackson	38	168	4.4	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Moore	46	465	3			
Smith	42	372	5			
D. Davis	20	235	2			
Bush	17	211	1			
Narcisse	16	276	2			



UAB BLAZERS

UAB welcomes back 16 starters this season, one in which the Blazers are hopeful of getting into the conference's bowl picture.

The Blazers are led by sophomore QB Darrell Hackney, who averaged 214.9 passing yards per start, and sported a 2-to-1 TD-INT ratio. Hackney is an example of the young talent head coach Watson Brown has recruited to Birmingham. The Blazers have only five seniors on the roster, three of whom are starters.

Star WR Willie Quinnie is off to the professional ranks, but Hackney still has capable targets in juniors Roddy White (33 of his catches came in the final eight games) and Chico Cleveland, both of whom were starters a season ago. Sophomore Lance Rhodes also figures to see time.

Sophomore Dan Burks, who stepped up his play late last sea-

son, will start in '03. Also looking to make an impact at running back is 5-foot-11, 240-pound Kendal Gibson, a junior.

Three of five starters will keep their spots on the offensive line — senior OLT Wilbert Hamilton, junior OLG Andy Galloway and senior ORG Larry Coachman.

Sophomore Matt Batusic has the edge at center, while senior Artavious Williams should start at right tackle.

Seven starters return for a Blazers defense that will have a new coordinator: Wayne Bolt, architect of Troy State's defense for the past 12 seasons.

The Blazers will be dealing from a position of strength in the secondary. Junior CB Dio Hill is the standout in this group, and is joined at corner by fellow junior Carlos Hendricks, the starting strong safety last season. Julius Wainwright, a sophomore, is the free safety, while Thurman

Pearson is the strong safety.

Junior LB Zac Woodfin, the team's leading tackler in 2002 (120) and a second-team All-C-USA performer, returns to man one outside linebacker spot. Back in the middle is junior Nigel Eldridge (104 tackles in '02), while junior Gaylon Black will play the other OLB position in the team's 4-3 alignment.

Three sophomores — DEs Anthony Garrett and Chris Mason and DT Sam Williamson — are new starters on the line. The lone holdover is junior NT Shamar Abrams.


Junior PK Nick Hayes, a Lou Groza Award semifinalist in '02, gives the Blazers peace of mind in the kicking game.

TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (4-4)

	UAB	OPP
Points	22.3	30.8
1st Downs	17.6	29.3
Rush Att	38.2	41.3
Rush Yds	130.3	154.0
Pass Att	28.9	30.2
Compl %	48.7	56.4
Pass Yds	184.5	228.1
Intercept	0.8	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	1	-1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hackney	293	149	50.9	1977	13	7
Cox	52	19	36.5	207	1	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Burks	123	537	4.4	1		
Gibson	94	460	4.9	5		
Dudley	62	276	4.5	3		
Cox	60	222	3.7	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
White	39	580	3			
Quinnie	36	627	6			
Cook	17	176	1			



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Texas Christian	11	537	2241	4.17	22	203.73
Houston	12	524	2043	3.90	22	170.25
Southern Mississippi	12	480	1720	3.58	18	143.33
Cincinnati	13	516	1831	3.55	21	140.85
UAB	12	458	1562	3.41	12	130.17
East Carolina	12	439	1488	3.39	20	124.00
Army	12	428	1445	3.38	15	120.42
Memphis	12	390	1390	3.56	15	115.83
Louisville	12	427	1334	3.12	15	111.17
Tulane	12	431	1278	2.97	11	106.50

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Cincinnati	13	451	245	3425	21	263.46
Memphis	12	452	241	2868	24	239.00
Louisville	12	403	222	2739	24	228.25
Houston	12	357	184	2670	19	222.50
East Carolina	12	410	200	2577	16	214.75
Tulane	12	399	225	2406	20	200.50
Southern Mississippi	12	343	175	2278	11	189.83
UAB	12	347	169	2214	15	184.50
Army	12	377	180	2149	11	179.08
Texas Christian	11	268	155	1862	11	169.27

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Cincinnati	13	967	5256	5.44	48	404.31
Houston	12	881	4713	5.35	41	392.75
Texas Christian	11	805	4103	5.10	39	373.00
Memphis	12	842	4258	5.06	40	354.83
Louisville	12	830	4073	4.91	46	339.42
East Carolina	12	849	4065	4.79	41	338.75
Southern Mississippi	12	823	3998	4.86	31	333.17
UAB	12	805	3776	4.69	31	314.67
Tulane	12	830	3684	4.44	39	307.00
Army	12	805	3594	4.46	29	299.50

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Texas Christian	11	362	689	1.90	9	62.64
Louisville	12	484	1370	2.83	14	114.17
Cincinnati	13	494	1742	3.53	21	134.00
Houston	12	437	1609	3.68	20	134.08
UAB	12	496	1848	3.73	21	154.00
Southern Mississippi	12	535	1982	3.70	12	165.17
Tulane	12	511	2275	4.45	18	189.58
Memphis	12	538	2365	4.40	22	197.08
East Carolina	12	545	2485	4.56	21	207.08
Army	12	575	2520	4.38	36	210.00

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Southern Mississippi	12	339	156	1885	4	157.08
Memphis	12	336	202	1989	14	165.75
Texas Christian	11	378	152	2055	16	186.82
Tulane	12	384	197	2131	10	177.58
Army	12	293	181	2195	20	182.92
Cincinnati	13	405	211	2393	12	184.08
Louisville	12	383	183	2441	17	203.42
UAB	12	362	204	2738	19	228.17
East Carolina	12	364	196	2863	23	238.58
Houston	12	394	220	3232	24	269.33

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Texas Christian	11	3.71	740	2744	27	249.45
Louisville	12	4.40	867	3811	34	317.58
Cincinnati	13	4.60	899	4135	37	318.08
Southern Mississippi	12	4.42	874	3867	20	322.25
Memphis	12	4.98	874	4354	42	362.83
Tulane	12	4.92	895	4406	31	367.17
UAB	12	5.34	858	4586	46	382.17
Army	12	5.43	868	4715	61	392.92
Houston	12	5.83	831	4841	50	403.42
East Carolina	12	5.88	909	5348	50	445.67

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Joffrey Reynolds, Houston	Sr.	12	316	1545	11	128.75
Derrick Nix, Southern Mississippi	Sr.	11	219	1194	11	108.55
Art Brown, East Carolina	Jr.	10	214	1029	14	102.90
DeMarco McCleskey, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	292	1276	15	98.15
Mewelde Moore, Tulane	Jr.	12	258	1022	5	85.17
DeAngelo Williams, Memphis	Fr.	11	103	684	5	62.18
Ricky Madison, Texas Christian	Jr.	10	136	608	2	60.80
Carlton Jones, Army	Fr.	11	160	610	4	55.45
Henry Miller, Louisville	Sr.	12	137	591	12	49.25
Dante Brown, Memphis	Sr.	12	133	562	6	46.83

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Gino Guidugli, Cincinnati	So.	13	438	239	54.57	16	3319	21
Dave Ragone, Louisville	Sr.	12	397	217	54.66	10	2687	23
Nick Eddy, Houston	Jr.	11	265	134	50.57	18	2054	16
J.P. Losman, Tulane	Jr.	12	362	210	58.01	10	2228	19
Darrell Hackney, UAB	Fr.	11	293	149	50.85	7	1977	14
Danny Wimprine, Memphis	So.	12	435	235	54.02	18	2820	23
Micky D'Angelo, Southern Mississippi	So.	11	231	122	52.81	7	1647	7
Paul Troth, East Carolina	So.	12	359	177	49.30	20	2315	15
Zac Dahman, Army	Fr.	10	184	89	48.37	9	1039	5
Dustin Almond, Southern Mississippi	Fr.	10	111	52	46.85	3	584	3

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Jon Olinger, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	50	1007	7	77.46
LaDaris Vann, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	70	844	5	64.92
Damien Dorsey, Louisville	Sr.	12	52	753	7	62.75
Travis Anglin, Memphis	Sr.	12	55	740	5	61.67
Richard Alston, East Carolina	Sr.	12	36	702	6	58.50
Willie Quinzie, UAB	Sr.	11	36	627	6	57.00
Brandon Middleton, Houston	Jr.	12	28	674	6	56.17
Chris Johnson, Southern Mississippi	So.	11	45	606	3	55.09
Antoine Harden, Memphis	Jr.	12	37	608	5	50.67
Adrian Madise, Texas Christian	Sr.	10	30	506	2	50.60

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Art Brown, East Carolina	Jr.	10	17	0	0	102	10.20
Nick Browne, Texas Christian	Jr.	11	0	34	22	100	9.09
DeMarco McCleskey, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	17	0	0	102	7.85
Jonathan Ruffin, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	0	43	17	94	7.23
Kevin Miller, East Carolina	Sr.	12	0	34	17	85	7.08
Seth Marler, Tulane	Sr.	12	0	29	18	83	6.92
Nick Hayes, UAB	So.	12	0	29	17	80	6.67
Joffrey Reynolds, Houston	Sr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50
Nate Smith, Louisville	Jr.	12	0	39	12	75	6.25
Henry Miller, Louisville	Sr.	12	12	0	0	74	6.17

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
William White, Army	So.	11	13	384	10	123	1646
Joffrey Reynolds, Houston	Sr.	12	1545	135	0	0	1680
DeMarco McCleskey, Cincinnati	Sr.	13	1276	352	0	0	1628
Mewelde Moore, Tulane	Jr.	12	1022	465	0	0	1487
Derrick Nix, Southern Mississippi	Sr.	11	1194	138	0	0	1332
Art Brown, East Carolina	Jr.	10	1029	181	0	0	1210
Damien Dorsey, Louisville	Sr.	12	-2	753	508	20	1279
DeAngelo Williams, Memphis	Fr.	11	684	51	0	420	1155
LaTarence Dunbar, Texas Christian	Sr.	10	121	378	0	490	989
Antoine Harden, Memphis	Jr.	12	0	608	47	504	1159

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Gino Guidugli, Cincinnati	So.	13	35	3319	3354	258.00
Dave Ragone, Louisville	Sr.	12	217	2687	2904	242.00
Danny Wimprine, Memphis	So.	12	40	2820	2860	238.33
J.P. Losman, Tulane	Jr.	12	-2	2228	2226	185.50
Nick Eddy, Houston	Jr.	11	-39	2054	2015	183.18
Darrell Hackney, UAB	Fr.	11	-16	1977	1961	178.27
Paul Troth, East Carolina	So.	12	-210	2315	2105	175.42
Micky D'Angelo, Southern Mississippi	So.	11	-21	1647	1626	147.82
Joffrey Reynolds, Houston	Sr.	12	1545	0	1545	128.75
Derrick Nix, Southern Mississippi	Sr.	11	1194	0	1194	108.55

MAC PREDICTIONS 2003

E • A • S • T

ONE MIAMI (OHIO) redhawks

This should be one of the most productive offenses in the MAC with stud players at the skill positions, especially QB Ben Roethlisberger. The key will be the improved play of a defense which has slipped in recent years.

TWO MARSHALL thundering herd

Star QB Byron Leftwich is gone and the Herd must find his replacement — either Stan Hill or Graham Gochneaur. Loads of talent returns, especially on offense. The team plays seven road contests, including games at Kansas State, Tennessee, Miami (Ohio) and UCF.

THREE UCF golden knights

With Marshall and Miami both due to visit Orlando this season, many think UCF is in line for a conference championship in 2003. However, only two offensive linemen return and seven starters total are missing on offense.

FOUR AKRON zips

The Zips won four of their last six games, including a 34-20 win over Marshall, to fuel optimism for this year. QB Charlie Frye and RB Bob Hendry are standouts. The schedule is softer than in past years.

FIVE OHIO bobcats

Ohio continues to run its flexbone running attack despite ranking last in total offense in the MAC. Ohio needs to develop a

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Ben Roethlisberger, Miami, Jr.
- RB Luke Clemens, Miami, Sr.
- RB Michael Turner, Northern Illinois, Sr.
- WR Josh Davis, Marshall, Jr.
- WR Darius Watts, Marshall, Sr.
- TE Matt Brandt, Miami, Sr.
- OL Erik Faasen, Toledo, Sr.
- OL Nick Kaczur, Toledo, Jr.
- OL Todd Ghilani, Northern Illinois, Sr.
- OL Nate McPeck, Marshall, Sr.
- OL David Ashkinaz, UCF, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Jason Babin, Western Michigan, Sr.
- DL Jamus Martin, Marshall, Jr.
- DL Travis Moore, Northern Illinois, Jr.
- DL Toriano Brown, Marshall, Sr.
- LB Brian Atkinson, Northern Illinois, Jr.
- LB Terrell Jones, Miami, Sr.
- LB Nick Duffy, Northern Illinois, Sr.
- DB Atari Bigby, UCF, Jr.
- DB Justin Beriault, Ball State, Jr.
- DB Brandon Hefflin, Toledo, Sr.
- DB Janssen Patton, Bowling Green, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Jared Parseghian, Miami, Jr.
- P David Rysko, Eastern Michigan, Sr.

passing threat to succeed.

SIX KENT STATE golden flashes

Kent will once again place its fortunes in junior QB Jonathan Cribbs' hands. Last year, Cribbs was hindered by a hamstring injury. When healthy, he has the ability to win games with his playmaking.

SEVEN BUFFALO bulls

The Bulls started 11 freshmen in their 2002 season finale. Now it's time for those youngsters to grow up. Head coach Jim Hofner has a big job ahead of him



WR DARIUS WATTS
MARSHALL

with a challenging schedule on tap.

W • E • S • T ONE NORTHERN ILLINOIS huskies

In RB Michael Turner and WR-PR Dan Shelton, the Huskies have two players with game-breaking talent. However, the offensive line must be rebuilt. A bonus: Maryland and Iowa State both visit DeKalb.

TWO BOWLING GREEN falcons

Ex-offensive coordinator Gregg Brandon takes over as head coach and welcomes

back QB Josh Harris and the key components of a record-setting offensive attack.

THREE WESTERN MICHIGAN broncos

Finding a quarterback is the top priority after struggling at that position last season. DE Jason Babin is a stud pass rusher — he and the defense may have to carry the load.

FOUR TOLEDO rockets

The Rockets must replace their starting quarterback, tailback, two receivers and two key linebackers. They also face a challenging schedule with games at UNLV, Marshall and Syracuse and a home game vs. Pittsburgh.

FIVE BALL STATE cardinals

New head coach Brady Hoke hopes Andy Roesch is the answer at quarterback after a promising 2002 season. Three home games in September highlight the schedule.

SIX CENTRAL MICHIGAN chippewas

The Chippewas are working on improving their pass offense and defense after ranking near the bottom of the MAC in both areas. RB Terrence Jackson is back and healthy, which should be a big plus.

SEVEN EASTERN MICHIGAN eagles

The Eagles' defense was woeful in 2002, breaking NCAA records for most points and yards allowed. New defensive coordinator Tim Rose has a lot of work to do.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
East Division							
Marshall	7	1	275	184	4-0	3-1	0-0
UCF	6	2	271	187	3-1	3-1	0-0
Miami (Ohio)	5	3	295	216	2-2	3-1	0-0
Ohio	4	4	260	245	3-1	1-3	0-0
Akron	3	5	212	209	2-2	1-3	0-0
Kent State	1	7	93	269	0-4	1-3	0-0
Buffalo	0	8	134	303	0-4	0-4	0-0
West Division							
Toledo	7	1	303	173	3-1	4-0	0-0
Northern Illinois	7	1	280	167	3-1	4-0	0-0
Bowling Green	6	2	352	224	4-0	2-2	0-0
Ball State	4	4	218	220	3-1	1-3	0-0
Western Michigan	3	5	219	220	1-3	2-2	0-0
Central Michigan	2	6	172	272	0-4	2-2	0-0
Eastern Michigan	1	7	193	388	1-3	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
East Division							
Marshall	10	2	419	300	7-0	3-2	0-0
UCF	7	5	391	313	4-2	3-3	0-0
Miami (Ohio)	7	5	384	325	2-3	5-2	0-0
Ohio	4	8	299	374	3-2	1-6	0-0
Akron	4	8	325	379	3-2	1-6	0-0
Kent State	3	9	202	424	2-4	1-5	0-0
Buffalo	1	11	214	416	0-6	1-5	0-0
West Division							
Bowling Green	9	3	490	304	6-0	3-3	0-0
Toledo	9	4	470	327	5-1	4-3	0-0
Northern Illinois	8	4	375	298	4-2	4-2	0-0
Ball State	6	6	278	333	4-1	2-5	0-0
Western Michigan	4	8	303	330	2-4	2-4	0-0
Central Michigan	4	8	267	384	2-4	2-4	0-0
Eastern Michigan	3	9	286	566	3-3	0-6	0-0

With One Account **WAGERMALL.COM**

WAGERMALL.COM

Address: <http://www.wagermall.com>

WAGERMALL.COM

MILLENNIUM BoS BetOnFantasy.com ROCKISLAND SPORTS DESTINEESPORTS BETHORSEST

FOOTBALL | **MILLENNIUM** | **BETONSPTS** | **JAGUAR** | **MVP** | **GIBRALTAR** | **INFINITY** | **NASA** | **BESTLINES**

9/1 12:00PM	MINNESOTA GREEN BAY	48 1/2 3	49 3 1/2	48 3	49 3-120	48 3 1/2+105	49 3	48 1/2 3 1/2	49 3
9/1 12:00PM	TAMPA BAY CHICAGO	35 1/2 PK -115	35 1	35 1/2 PK	36 PK -120	35 1	35 1	35 1/2 PK	36 PK -115
9/1 12:00PM	NEW ORLEANS SAN FRANCISCO	45 7	44 1/2 7	45 1/2 6 1/2 -115	45 7	44 1/2 7	45 1/2 7 1/2	45 7 1/2+105	45
9/1 12:00PM	ATLANTA CAROLINA	42 1/2 5	42 5 1/2	42 4 1/2	43 4 1/2	42 1/2 5	42 1/2	43 5 1/2	43
9/1 12:00PM	NY JETS NEW ENGLAND	43 1 1/2	43 1/2 1-120	43 1	43 1 1/2				
9/1 12:00PM	BUFFALO INDIANAPOLIS	45 6 1/2 -115	44 1/2 7	44 1/2 6 1/2 -120					
9/1 12:00PM	MIAMI CINCINNATI	3 42 1/2	3-105 43	3 42					
9/1 12:00PM	PITTSBURGH CLEVELAND	6 38	6 38 1/2	6-11					
9/1 12:00PM	DALLAS TENNESSEE	47 3	48 3						
9/1 12:00PM	KANSAS CITY JACKSONVILLE	49 14	49 14	49 1 1/2 -120					
9/1 12:00PM	MINNESOTA WASHINGTON		PK						

or Over The Phone

1-888-439-9163

Offers You The Opportunity To Bet With The "12" Leading Sportsbooks In The Offshore Industry.

WITH A WAGERMALL.COM ACCOUNT:

- ✓ Browse the lines from 12 sportsbooks simultaneously
- ✓ Your deposit is backed by not one, but 12 powerful companies
- ✓ Always get the best odds on your parlays
- ✓ Always get the best teaser odds
- ✓ Just one account number and password
- ✓ Turn those old half-point losses into WINS by always getting the best line
- ✓ Take advantage of the best offers from the industry's leading sportsbooks like:

MILLENNIUM World's best teaser odds featuring 2 team 6 pointers at even money, and 3 team 10 pointers at -110.

BETONSPORTS.com Undisputed leader and most respected sportsbook in the industry for over a decade. Solid betting lines & financial stability.

Jagbet.com Offering the highest 2 team parlay odds in the wagering industry paying 14 to 5.

gibraltar -107 on football every friday and -107 in basketball every day until 3 pm.

INFINITY Parlays from 2-15 teams with a 3 team parlay paying 7 to 1.

ROCKISLAND Home of the first half and half time teaser.

MVP Home of the 14 point mega teaser.

BESTLINESPORTS Home of the pleaser.

BlueGrassSports Blue Grass has become known for its strong opinion on games resulting in truly unique lines.

NASA Most inventive daily bonuses and incentives.



VOID WHERE PROHIBITED BY LAW



AKRON ZIPS

Akron may have went 4-8 last season, but the Zips were certainly fun to watch as their potent offense, led by QB Charlie Frye, set a school record for yards in a season. And they finished on a roll, winning three of their last four.

Frye is back this season. So is every other offensive starter. When you consider that the defense — one that returns six starters — showed improvement at the end of last season, there's reason for optimism in Akron.

It all starts with Frye, a junior who set five school passing records last season. Frye threw for more than 300 yards three times, including a 336-yard performance at Virginia.

Four of Frye's top-five pass catchers will be back this season. Senior WR Miquel Irvin, who set a school record for receptions last

season, leads the group. Irvin picked up the slack for senior WR Matt Cherry, who missed the last half of the season with a shoulder injury. Senior Nick Sparks, who is a full-time wideout this season after backing up Frye and playing some receiver last season, represents quality depth.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 28	KENT STATE
Sept. 6	at Wisconsin
Sept. 13	EASTERN MICHIGAN
Sept. 20	HOWARD
Sept. 27	at Buffalo
Oct. 4	at Miami (Ohio)
Oct. 11	CAL POLY SLO
Oct. 18	UCF
Oct. 25	at Connecticut
Nov. 1	at Marshall
Nov. 8	at Central Michigan
Nov. 15	OHIO

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 132

Bobby Hendry, a senior, will start at running back. Sophomore Thomas Plummer will spell Hendry, only the second Akron back to rush for more than 1,000 yards since 1987.

Three seniors (OLT Bryan Shaw, ORG Mike Piccirillo, ORT Jud Cummins) and two juniors (C Jim Borrieci and

OLG Aaron Conley) comprise a starting offensive line that is a good pass-blocking unit and improving in the run game.

The defense, porous vs. the run early last season but a play-making unit at the end, is anchored by the LB corps. Junior

Chase Blackburn, who led the team in tackles last season, moves from middle linebacker to the weak side. Sophomore Diontre Earl, stout vs. the run, moves to the middle. Senior Matt Robinson is the favorite to play the strong side.

Sophomore FS Domenik Hixon, fourth on the team in tackles in '02, is a player to watch. Junior John Fuller will start at free safety, while seniors Rickey McKenzie and Jesse Smith will start at cornerback.

Senior DT Marques Hayes and junior DE Dwayne LeFall are returning starters. A pair of sophomores, DT Andy Wills and DE Brian White, round out the defensive line.


TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (3-5)

	AKRON	OPP
Points	27.1	31.6
1st Downs	23.2	20.6
Rush Att	40.8	41.0
Rush Yds	157.5	167.3
Pass Att	33.7	28.3
Comp %	65.6	62.9
Pass Yds	246.8	227.2
Intercept	1.0	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.2	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	-1	1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Frye	380	250	65.8	2824	15	9
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Hendry	208	1021	4.9	8		
Payne	96	456	4.8	7		
Frye	102	125	1.2	7		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Irvin	53	535	1			
Payne	38	380	0			
Cherry	35	463	3			
Ellington	31	307	1			
Sparks	26	338	1			

OFFENSE - 11 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



BALL STATE CARDINALS

It's a new era at Ball State, where former player Brady Hoke takes over as head coach. He inherits a team with half of its starters coming back. He also inherits a tough non-conference slate that has the Cardinals hosting improving Missouri and traveling to Boston College and stellar Pittsburgh.

Hoke will have a veteran defense at his disposal. The stand-out is senior LB Lorenzo Scott, who led the team with 124 tackles, including 10 tackles for loss, last season. Another returning starter at linebacker is fifth-year senior Justin Riley. Sophomores Andrico Spates and Travis Mikalauskas could compete for the other spot.

Three of the defense's seven starters are on the defensive line. Two are senior Greg Pagnard and junior Conrad Slaughter, the incumbent defensive tackles. At end, sophomore Blair Kramer, a

starter as a freshman, could be joined by senior Paul Strabavy.

In the secondary, junior S Justin Beriault, second on the team with 121 tackles in '02, is back. So is senior CB Jesse Avant. Seniors Cory Crumpton and Quentin Manley and junior Tommy Schembra are returning

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	INDIANA STATE
Sept. 6	MISSOURI
Sept. 13	at Pittsburgh
Sept. 20	CENTRAL MICHIGAN
Sept. 27	at Boston College
Oct. 4	at Kent State
Oct. 18	MIAMI (Ohio)
Oct. 25	TOLEDO
Nov. 1	at Northern Illinois
Nov. 8	at Western Michigan
Nov. 15	at Eastern Michigan
Nov. 22	BOWLING GREEN

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 110

letter-winners at cornerback, while sophomore David Gater and senior Douglas Owusu, solid contributors at safety last season, also return.

The offense will be working to replace RB Marcus Merriweather, who ran for 1,618 yards in his final season in Muncie. Junior Scott Blair and sophomore Charles Wynn will

get a chance to replace him. A trio of letter-winners — juniors Nick Brownfield and Dan Sprouls, as well as sophomore Eric Hooks — should be in the hunt to start at fullback.

Senior Andy Roesch returns at quarterback. He took over for fel-

low senior Talmadge Hill seven games into last season and played well, racking up a more than two-to-one TD-interception ratio.

Sophomore Ryan Hahaj is the only returning starter among the receivers. Fellow sophomore Larry Bostic will also compete for time, as will junior Jason Barnes.

A pair of guards who started in '02, senior Travis Barclay and junior Jeff Ramsay, provide a veteran presence to an offensive line that must find starters at both tackle spots and center.

Senior PK Mike Langford (9-of-13 on field goals in '02) comes back, as does senior P Reggie Hodges (23 of 57 punts inside the 20 last season).


TEAM STATS 2002 6-6 (4-4)

	BALL STATE	OPP
Points	23.2	27.8
1st Downs	19.6	20.8
Rush Att	41.6	36.7
Rush Yds	158.6	169.6
Pass Att	29.0	32.5
Comp %	57.2	63.1
Pass Yds	178.7	236.2
Intercept	1.3	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	-5	5

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

Merrill Lynch 2002						
PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Roesch	202	113	55.9	1341	15	7
Hill	144	86	59.7	803	5	9
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Merrifweather	332	1618	4.9	12		
Blair	63	232	3.7	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Ridgeway	44	556	4			
Schembra	30	340	2			
Bostic	23	289	5			
Hajah	23	206	2			
Merrifweather	22	207	2			

OFFENSE - 4 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



BOWLING GREEN *FALCONS*

With Urban Meyer off to Utah, Gregg Brandon assumes control of a Bowling Green squad that returns 14 starters and is well positioned to contend for the MAC West title it could have won last season if not for a late-season fade.

Six of 11 starters are back on offense, including record-setting senior QB Josh Harris, who ran, passed and caught a total of 41 touchdowns last season, a MAC record. Harris doesn't just rack up stats against small schools — as his 5-0 career record vs. BCS schools attests.

Harris has a quartet of talented receivers to throw to. Two are returning starters: sophomore Charles Sharon and junior Cole Magner. The latter is a unique talent — a player who also rushed 11 times and threw five passes last season, Magner also was a reserve for the Falcons' basket-

ball team. The other starting wideouts figure to be junior James Hawkins and senior Cornelius McGrady.

Sophomore P.J. Pope will see plenty of time at running back, as will fellow sophomore B.J. Lane.

Junior OLT Scott Mruzowski anchors an offensive line looking to replace three starters. Senior ORT Robert Haley is the other holdover, and will be joined by a trio of other seniors: OLG Ryan Lucas, C Jesus Yanez and ORG Vardan Mkhitarian.

The bulk of a defense that finished fourth in the MAC in scoring defense is back, including the entire secondary. The standout is senior CB Janssen Patton, a second-team all-conference performer last season after making 63 tackles and three interceptions. Back at the other corner is junior Keon Newson (two interceptions in '02). Jason Morton, the team's top returning

tackler (85), is back to play free safety, and will be joined again by senior SS Mike Malone.

Junior LB Jovon Burkes started 10 games outside last season, but moves to the middle in the Falcons' 4-3 defense. Senior Mitch Hewitt is back at the WILL position. The strongside line-backer will be junior Daniel Sayles.

A defensive line likely hoping to be tougher against the run returns a pair of sophomore starters in DE Mitchell Crossley (team-leading 13 tackles for loss, seven sacks as a freshman) and DT Matt Leininger. Sophomore DT Jamal Bryant and sophomore DE Monty Cooley are poised to be the other starters on the line.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-3 (6-2)

	BOWLING GREEN	OPP
Points	40.8	25.3
1st Downs	24.5	19.9
Rush Att	41.7	39.1
Rush Yds	219.1	153.7
Pass Att	33.2	33.2
Comp %	57.0	53.8
Pass Yds	229.8	211.4
Intercept	0.9	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.6	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	9	-9


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Harris	353	198	56.1	2425	18	11
Sahm	37	26	67.6	244	4	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Allis	123	807	6.6	4		
Harris	186	737	4.0	20		
Pope	101	577	5.7	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Redd	83	973	9			
Hawkins	44	546	4			
Sharon	33	465	3			
Magner	26	374	2			

OFFENSE - 6

RETURNING STARTERS

DEFENSE - 8



**PRIME TIME PLAY'S GLENN MCGREW'S POWERFUL COLLEGE FOOTBALL
INSTANT REPLAY SELECTIONS WENT 12 - 5 LAST SEASON!!!
THAT'S AN AMAZING 71% WINNERS!**

The former Oddsmaker has **POUNDED** the Line in College Football for the past several seasons and he will again this year - **YOU CAN BET ON IT!**

CALL NOW AND REGISTER FOR GLENN'S

**GRIDIRON SELECTIONS
FOR THE ENTIRE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER**

PLUS

**GLENN'S FIRST 2 INSTANT REPLAY
RELEASES-OF-THE-YEAR!**

Just **\$49**

SPECIAL FREE BONUS:

First 500 callers will also receive Glenn's Pro Football Gridiron selections for the preseason - Absolutely FREE.

**DON'T MISS OUT
CALL NOW!**

CALL TOLL-FREE **1.877.484.3749**

3395 S. Jones #213 Las Vegas, NV 89146 Visit Glenn daily at JimFeist.com

REAL LINES • REAL TIME • UNREAL PRICE

• FREE •

FEISTLINES.COM

FREE LINES AND MORE

- LIVE LINES FROM THE BEST OFFSHORE SPORTSBOOKS • JIM FEIST'S PERSONAL LINE
- BOXSCORES ON EVERY GAME • CURRENT SCORES FOR GAMES IN PROGRESS
- WEATHER & INJURY REPORTS • LINE HISTORY

**UP-TO-THE-MINUTE OFFSHORE LINES •
DIRECT TO YOUR COMPUTER
ABSOLUTELY FREE!**



THE OFFICIAL INTERNATIONAL ROTATION

SIGN UP FREE @ **WWW.FEISTLINES.COM**

BUFFALO BULLS

Buffalo was one of the nation's youngest teams in 2002, which should explain why the Bulls won just one of 12 games.

The good news is that 16 starters return, all with experience that should serve them well.

A defense that ranked in the upper half of the MAC in sacks will have nine starters back, including the entire front seven. The Bulls started three freshmen up front last season — DE Phil Jacques, NT Bill Meholif and DT Rob Schroeder — and each returns this season. Rounding out the defensive line is senior DE Demetrius Austrum should also start, while junior Anthony Andriano will also provide some punch.

Senior MLB Lamar Wilcher, second on the team in tackles in '02, anchors the LB corps. On the outside are junior Rodney Morris and sophomore Bryan

Cummings. Both were pleasant surprises in '02.

The Buffalo secondary will have a new look. Senior FS Mark Graham, who led the team in tackles, interceptions and passes broken up last season, returns, but the other secondary spots are up for grabs. Junior J.J. Gibson and sophomore Joe DiGiorgio and four redshirt freshmen will battle for the other safety spot.

Sophomore Gemara Williams, a strong safety last season, moves to cornerback. Sophomore Angel Baines and four redshirt freshmen are also in the mix.

Junior QB Randall Secky leads the offense. Secky started all 12 games last season, throwing for three touchdowns in Buffalo's upset win over Rutgers.

Secky will have a revamped WR corps to throw to. Sophomore Tim Dance and junior Matt Kneeven are candidates

to start, while 6-foot-5 redshirt freshman Brian Watson is an intriguing prospect.

The Bulls' leading returning pass catcher is RB Aaron Leeper, which speaks to the MAC Freshman of the Year's versatility. Fellow sophomore Dave Dawson provides depth.

Senior ORT Jeff Mills and junior ORG Erik Zeppuhar return to start for their third consecutive season. Sophomore Zack Love holds down the other tackle spot, while junior Eric Weber is back from a knee injury to start at center. Sophomore ORG Mike Schifano had knee surgery in the offseason, opening the door for junior Dan Minocchi and senior Alex Alvarez.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at Rutgers
Sept. 6	at Iowa
Sept. 13	COLGATE
Sept. 20	CONNECTICUT
Sept. 27	AKRON
Oct. 4	at UCF
Oct. 11	at Miami (Ohio)
Oct. 18	MARSHALL
Oct. 25	OHIO
Nov. 1	at Toledo
Nov. 8	NORTHERN ILLINOIS
Nov. 22	at Kent State


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 146

TEAM STATS 2002 1-11 (0-8)

	BUFFALO	OPP
Points	17.8	34.7
1st Downs	15.8	23.1
Rush Att	31.8	45.8
Rush Yds	98.3	206.8
Pass Att	36.0	29.4
Comp %	48.4	57.8
Pass Yds	177.8	227.6
Intercept	1.1	1.2
Fumb Lost	0.6	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Secky	421	204	48.5	2015	12	13
Radon	10	5	50.0	119	1	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Leeper	235	917	3.9	10		
Dawson	61	362	5.9	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Forde	54	748	7			
Bartoszek	45	350	0			
Leeper	27	132	0			
Dance	16	167	2			
Bradford	14	247	2			



CENTRAL MICHIGAN CHIPPEWAS

Running and stopping the run wasn't the problem for Central Michigan in 2002. Anything involving the pass, however, was a different story.

The Chippewas ranked near the bottom of the MAC in both passing offense and defense.

Improving in both of these areas is a must if Mike DeBord's squad is to better last season's 4-8 mark.

The Chippewas have a four-way battle for the starting QB job. Senior Derrick Vickers started last season, but is being challenged by junior Grant Arnoldink, sophomore Kent Smith and junior Jeff Perry. Perry had the most impressive spring of the bunch.

Senior RB Terrence Jackson, a second-team All-MAC selection two seasons ago, will carry the load in the backfield. Jackson missed the first part of last season with a foot injury and never did

get on track. Senior FB Adam Supianowski, one of seven starters back on the CMU offense, is charged with creating holes for Jackson.

Senior WR Steve Messam returns to start at one WR spot. Other players that should see time are sophomore Justin Harper

(19 catches in '02) and junior Jacob Brown. Junior Tory Humphrey, an impact freshman two seasons ago, will start for a third consecutive year at tight end.

Three starters return along the offensive line. Junior Eric Ghiaciuc is back at center, as is junior OLT Adam Kieft. Senior OLG Derek McLaughlin also returns. Sophomore Jeff Jenerou could start at left tackle.

Five starters return on defense. Two are linebackers: Anthony Tyus Jr. (63 tackles, nine tackles for loss in '02) and Omari Colen (55 tackles). Both are juniors.

Senior CB Dante McKnight

and junior FS James King return to lead a secondary that has holes to fill. Both were among the Chippewas' best defenders in '02; they ranked second and third on the team in tackles, respectively. Both players picked off four passes.

Junior DT Kalani Muragin is the lone holdover on the defensive line. However, the line does return several veteran players, including senior Matt Wohlgemuth, who racked up 12 tackles for loss last season.


Sophomore PK Mike Gruzowski had an impressive freshman season, nailing 14-of-19 field goals. Fellow sophomore P Brian Brandt (39.6 yards per punt in '02) also returns.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (2-6)

	CENTRAL MICHIGAN	OPP
Points	22.2	32.0
1st Downs	19.6	20.7
Rush Att	46.3	39.3
Rush Yds	206.1	140.8
Pass Att	31.2	32.8
Comp %	51.9	63.4
Pass Yds	166.2	264.4
Intercept	0.7	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	3	-3

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Vickers	320	175	54.7	1828	9	5
Smith	32	10	31.3	96	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Mixon	255	1361	5.3	9		
Jackson	92	379	4.1	6		
Lawhorne	66	328	5.0	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Turner	45	543	0			
Messam	26	300	3			
Mixon	26	253	1			
Humphrey	22	276	2			



EASTERN MICHIGAN EAGLES

Eastern Michigan's offense, on average, scored more than three touchdowns per game in 2002.

Problem was, the defense gave up more than six touchdowns per game.

Hence, the Eagles are trying to rebound from a 3-9 record last season. Head coach Jeff Woodruff, 8-21 in three seasons with the Eagles, must try to get things turned around without departed seniors WR Kevin Walter, QB Troy Edwards and RB Ime Akpan, all standouts on one of the MAC's better offenses.

At quarterback, seniors Jeff Crooks and Chinedu Okoro and redshirt freshman Eric Deslauriers will battle for Edwards' old job. Crooks is the only player with game experience.

Akpan's departure leaves senior Ashanti Watson and sophomores Nelson Drew, Anthony

Sherrell and Kaliym Hazel to fight for carries. Watson has the most experience in the group.

The WR corps are highlighted by juniors Chris R. Roberson and Chris Talley, proven players, who should also get help from junior-college transfer Marc Mathieu.

Along the offensive line, sophomore OLG Michael Romeli, juniors OLT Tom Kaleita and ORT Dan Davis and senior C Lloyd Wilson all will start. Sophomore Gemayel Cowser and junior Scott Golem factor in at right guard. All started at least one game in '02.

On defense, new defensive coordinator Tim Rose will be working with an experienced group, the result of injuries hitting the Eagles hard last season.

The defensive line has all four starters coming back. Senior Brian Jenkins and sophomore Jason Robert play inside, while the ends are sophomore Matt

Lisek and junior Matt Kudu.

Senior David Lusky, whose 141 total tackles placed him second in the MAC last season with 12 tackles per game, leads the LB corps. Juniors Kevin Harrison (83 tackles) and diminutive Keyvon Barbee and Rontrell Woodruff will also see time.

Junior Michael Woods and sophomore Steven Lewis, the starters last season at cornerback, are back. The Eagles also return last season's starting safeties — junior Jerry Gaines (73 tackles, two interceptions) and senior Jamie Manor.


The special teams have a standout in senior P David Rysko, who led the MAC in punting (41.6 avg.) last season.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	EASTERN MICHIGAN	OPP
Points	23.8	47.2
1st Downs	19.0	24.5
Rush Att	32.6	48.0
Rush Yds	113.0	294.1
Pass Att	36.8	23.4
Compl %	56.2	63.7
Pass Yds	246.8	225.8
Intercept	1.8	0.3
Fumb Lost	1.0	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-22	22

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
T. Edwards	369	214	58.0	2629	22	18
Crooks	29	14	48.3	176	1	4
C. Edwards	41	18	43.9	133	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Akpan	267	1221	4.6	15		
Watson	46	123	2.7	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Walter	92	1359	9			
C.J. Roberson	38	364	6			
Talley	28	414	0			
Zureki	28	342	3			



KENT STATE GOLDEN FLASHES

After posting its first winning season in 14 seasons in 2001, Kent State slumped to a 3-9 season in '02. That gave head coach Dean Pees the impetus to bring in a new offensive coordinator (Doug Martin, formerly of East Carolina) and name himself defensive coordinator.

If the Golden Flashes are to bounce back, they will do it behind athletic QB Joshua Cribbs. Cribbs is aiming to be the first Division I-A player to throw and rush for 1,000 yards in the same season three times in a career. Cribbs, a junior, is already among the school's Top 10 rushers and passers.

Cribbs was a much better runner than passer last season, but four of his top receivers are back, which could help. Leading this group is junior Darrell Dowery Jr., a high school classmate of Cribbs.

At running back, David Alston looks to return to the form that made him a second-team All-MAC pick in '01. Alston missed most of last season with an ankle injury. Antonio King, the team's leading rusher in '02, has been moved to strong safety. Backing Alston are a trio of redshirt freshmen — Mohammed Bah, Elijah Brooks and Philip Johnson.

The Golden Flashes sport three returning starters on the offensive line — C Steve Smith, OLT Jason Andrews and OLG Travis Vesper. Chad Bandiera is expected to start at right tackle. Shaun Sarrett and Daniel Carter will also see time at guard.

The Golden Flashes are going back to a 3-4 defense this season. This allows junior Eric Mahl and senior Anthony Henriquez to play in the middle of the LB corps. Both players missed half of last season with injuries. Damian Stolarski, a junior, will start at

one outside linebacker spot, with Justin Parrish, Justin Latimer and Bruce Rice all expected to see time at this position.

Senior DT Alan Williams and senior DE Tom Crock head up the defensive line. Daniel Muir could start at the other end.

Junior Shannon Davis will start at free safety; whom he'll be working with is still up in the air. King and junior Vashawn Patrick are the favorites to start at strong safety. Sophomores Tyrell McElroy and Barry Drakeford and junior Andre Ashley will battle for the two starting spots at cornerback.


Sophomore PK Travis Mayle hit 12-of-14 field goals last season, but missed two extra points.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (1-7)

	KENT STATE	OPP
Points	16.8	35.3
1st Downs	16.9	21.8
Rush Att	40.4	43.2
Rush Yds	214.9	204.3
Pass Att	23.2	28.7
Compl %	49.3	60.8
Pass Yds	120.2	201.9
Intercept	1.8	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.9	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-16	16

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Cribbs	186	91	48.9	1014	4	14
Polk	91	46	50.5	428	2	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Cribbs	137	1057	7.7	10		
Beccles	114	602	5.3	3		
King	117	470	4.0	1		
Newton	45	215	4.8	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Dowery	34	348	0			
Bush	20	209	2			
Bostick	16	236	1			



MARSHALL THUNDERING HERD

There's no ideal time to lose a player like Byron Leftwich. But it's particularly unlucky to lose him to the NFL just as your upcoming schedule features trips to Tennessee, Kansas State and Miami (Ohio).

The Thundering Herd, however, believes it has an able replacement in junior QB Stan Hill. Hill started two games last season, the first of which was a memorable comeback win over Miami (Ohio) that he capped with an 1-yard TD run with five seconds remaining. If Hill falters, junior Graham Gochneaur will get a shot at the job.

Whoever plays quarterback will have one of college football's best WR tandems in Darius Watts and Josh Davis to throw to. Both players have caught more than 70 passes (including bowl games) the last two seasons. However, the Herd lacks experienced depth at this position. This could open up more

opportunities for senior TE Jason Rader.

Butchie Wallace, a senior, will start at running back. If Wallace, who has had trouble staying healthy, is lost to injury, sophomore Wilbur Hargrove, redshirt freshman Tank Tunstalle and junior Earl Charles could all see time.

Along the offensive line, seniors OLG Luke Salmons and C Joey Stepp will start along with sophomore ORG Toby Bullock. The tackle spots are a bit unsettled — never a good thing with a new quarterback. Senior Nate McPeck, a candidate to start at right tackle, missed spring practice, forcing the team to move junior Josh Blakey to that spot. How Blakey fares there could affect the whole line; if he plays well, McPeck may play on the left side.

The defensive line returns three of four starters, with juniors Jonathan Goddard and Jamus

Martin the ends and senior Toriano Brown the right defensive tackle, assuming his recovery from toe surgery goes well. Junior Reggie Hayes, a key back-up last season, is the favorite to start at the other tackle spot.

Senior Charles Tynes and juniors Dionte Wilson and J.T. Rembert lead the LB corps. The trio combined for 160 tackles last season.

The Thundering Herd secondary returns only one starter — diminutive CB Roberto Terrell, a junior. Sophomore Willie Smith is the favorite to start at the other corner, while senior rover Gladstone Coke and junior SS Moriah Anderson round out the lineup.


TEAM STATS 2002 10-2 (8-1)

	MARSHALL	OPP
Points	34.9	25.0
1st Downs	25.2	18.3
Rush Att	32.2	41.8
Rush Yds	128.0	182.3
Pass Att	44.3	26.5
Comp %	67.6	48.4
Pass Yds	379.6	157.8
Intercept	1.3	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.2	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TO INT
Leftwich	447	309	69.1	4019	26 9
Hill	83	52	62.7	536	5 5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	INT
Carey	141	642	4.6	4	
Wallace	115	539	4.7	6	
Hargrove	32	205	6.4	1	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD	INT	
Marriott	76	856	6		
Davis	73	1165	5		
Watts	68	998	12		
Carey	41	382	1		

OFFENSE - 6 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



MIAMI (OHIO) REDHAWKS

Miami (Ohio) junior QB Ben Roethlisberger's stellar 2002 season was slightly obscured by the heroics of Byron Leftwich, the first-round draft pick of the NFL's Jaguars and leader of Marshall, which is fast becoming Miami's biggest rival.

Now that Leftwich is off to the NFL, "Big Ben," as he is called around Oxford, is poised to become the MAC's signature player. Of greater importance to head coach Terry Hoepfner, with 12 starters coming back and a manageable conference schedule, Roethlisberger and the Redhawks will compete for the MAC crown.

The 6-foot-5 Roethlisberger is held in high regard by NFL scouts, who like his accuracy and strong arm. He lit up the Iowa secondary for 343 yards last season, proof that he is capable of beating higher-caliber Division I-

A competition.

Senior RB Luke Clemens is a skilled short-yardage runner, but is also capable of the big play, and he brings balance to the offense.

The Redhawks will have a new set of starting wideouts. Juniors Mike Larkin and Andre Henderson will be in the mix, as will senior Corey Kirkpatrick. Senior TE Matt Brandt is also a weapon in the passing attack.

Four starters are back along the offensive line, including senior OLT Ben Herrell, senior OLG Frank Smith, senior ORT Jacob Bell and sophomore C Todd Londot. Sophomores Nate Bunce and Chris Fessell will compete for the ORG spot.

The Redhawks will have six to seven new starters on defense. As many as three will come on the defensive line. Senior DE Phil Smith will start on the left side, while Jarrod Rich could start opposite Smith. Sophomore John

Glavin and junior Larry Burt were the favorites to start at tackle entering the spring.

There will be two new starters at linebacker. Both will play alongside diminutive senior MLB Terrell Jones, who made 91 tackles last season. A pair of sophomores, Terna Nande and John Busing, are good bets to start at the outside positions.

The Redhawks will have some stability in the secondary, where junior SS Ryan Pusateri and senior CB Ryan Sprague return. Pusateri is the team's leading returning tackler. Sophomore Steve Burke may rate an edge at free safety, while junior Alphonso Hodge could start at the other corner.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (5-3)

	MIAMI (OHIO)	OPP
Points	32.0	27.1
1st Downs	22.5	21.3
Rush Att	37.6	32.8
Rush Yds	127.7	139.7
Pass Att	37.2	35.3
Comp %	62.3	59.2
Pass Yds	277.6	273.0
Intercept	1.1	1.1
Fumb Lost	0.6	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Roethlisberger	396	255	64.4	3034	21	11
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Clemens	223	1009	4.5	16		
Murray	69	253	3.7	3		
Smith	41	232	5.7	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Branch	40	505	7			
Larkin	39	436	8			
Brandt	33	348	1			
Tillitt	31	358	2			
Clemens	27	334	1			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 5



NORTHERN ILLINOIS HUSKIES

Northern Illinois is primed to draw lots of attention this season, as the college football world watches to see if senior RB Michael Turner can replicate his magical effort in 2002.

When starter Thomas Hammock was diagnosed with a heart ailment after Game One, Turner stepped up and reeled off the 13th-most prolific season by a running back in Division I-A history.

Turner's emergence highlighted an excellent season for Northern and head coach Joe Novak. The Huskies tied for the West Division crown, and should compete for a trip to the MAC title game this year.

The 6-foot, 223-pound Turner, who was timed at 4.41 in the 40 in offseason conditioning drills, leads an offense that returns six starters. Junior QB Josh Haldi is back. He had 20 completions of 30 yards or longer in '02, a feat

he could repeat. Senior Ryan Gilbert and redshirt freshman Phil Horvath are the top backups.

Junior Dan Shelton is back at one WR spot, as is senior P.J. Fleck, who was a medical redshirt last season. Shelton led Division I-A in punt return average last season, returning three kicks for scores.

The offensive line has three new projected starters: Junior OLG Mark Orszula and sophomores OLT Shea Fitzgerald and ORG Brian Van Acker. The returners are senior C Todd Ghilani and junior ORT Jake Verstraete.

The defense returns five starters, plus junior FS Lionel Hickenbottom, who missed all but nine plays last season with a knee injury, but was a starter in '01. Senior SS Akil Grant (fifth in the MAC in tackles in '02) is back, too, as is the fleet CB Randee Drew, a senior. Drew was a first-team all-conference selection last season, picking off

seven passes and deflecting a school-record 14 passes. Senior Gerard Taylor should start opposite Drew.

Junior LB Brian Atkinson and senior MLB Nick Duffy, both second-team all-conference performers last season, are back. The weakside linebacker could well be junior Kursten Strothman.

Travis Moore, a junior, and senior Jason Frank remain the starters at defensive end. Moore had seven sacks in '02. Junior Martin Wilson and Leonard Cooksey are poised to get their shot on the inside of the line.

Senior PK Steve Azar is gunning to be the first Huskies player to earn All-MAC honors four times. He scored 95 points in '02.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (7-1)

	NORTHERN ILLINOIS	OPP
Points	31.3	24.8
1st Downs	18.7	19.2
Rush Att	45.1	37.3
Rush Yds	200.8	131.8
Pass Att	22.1	35.7
Comp %	50.9	56.8
Pass Yds	175.4	254.9
Intercept	0.6	1.8
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	8	-8


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD
Haldi	245	130	53.1	2027	15
Gilbert	8	4	50.0	56	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	
Turner	338	1915	5.7	19	
Hammock	38	172	4.5	2	
Harris	45	171	3.8	1	
Sheldon	13	135	10.4	1	
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD		
Sheldon	40	783	5		
Hurd	22	512	3		
Dunker	20	235	6		

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	MARYLAND
Sept. 6	TENNESSEE TECH
Sept. 20	at Alabama
Sept. 27	IOWA STATE
Oct. 4	OHIO
Oct. 11	at Central Michigan
Oct. 18	WESTERN MICHIGAN
Oct. 25	at Bowling Green
Nov. 1	BALL STATE
Nov. 8	at Buffalo
Nov. 15	at Toledo
Nov. 22	EASTERN MICHIGAN

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 215





Sports Hotline
1.900.484.2900
\$12 per call
High impact action rated FIVE STAR in power!

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Inner Circle
1.900.726.4477
\$50 per call
Simply the strongest play on the board!

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Here Are Your Best Bets!



Gold Key Selection
1.900.988.1310
\$15 per call
As many as 3 star-rated releases daily.

Must be 18+ 3088 W. Sahara, #79 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Pure Gold
1.900.990.2274
\$50 per call
BIG WINNERS Pure Profit

Must be 18+ 3088 W. Sahara, #79 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Triple Play Line
1.900.289.9288
\$12 per call
3-5 strong plays daily.

Must be 18+ 3305 S. Jones Blvd., #213, Las Vegas, NV 89146



Best Bets
1.900.678.0414
\$50 per call
Best Bet from today's action.

Must be 18+ 3305 S. Jones Blvd., #213, Las Vegas, NV 89146



SmashMouth Winners
1.900.988.9990
\$10 per call
Plays to put the heat on your man.

Must be 18+ 330 S. Decatur Blvd., #255, Las Vegas, NV, 89107



Bully's Big Play
1.900.438.8244
\$50 per call
SmashMouth handicapping at its best!

Must be 18+ 330 S. Decatur Blvd., #255, Las Vegas, NV, 89107



Vegas Angle
1.900.990.8936
\$12 per call
Big Money Plays daily!

Must be 18+ 3142 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102



A Play Megabucks
1.900.990.8322
\$50 per call
Special releases from the home of the BIG PLAY.

Must be 18+ 3142 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Money Maker Line
1.900.329.2300
\$10 per call
Daily money maker!

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Premium Winner
1.900.263.7300
\$50 per call
Mike's strongest plays!

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102



T.V. Plays
1.900.678.0081
\$12 per call
Great Action, Big Specials, Fantastic Results!

Must be 18+ 3540 W. Sahara Ave., #494, Las Vegas, NV 89102



Best Bet
1.900.448.5877
\$50 per call
When you need that one big winner you can count on

Must be 18+ 3540 W. Sahara Ave., #494, Las Vegas, NV 89102

OHIO BOBCATS

Ohio acquitted itself well last season, despite winning just four of 12 games. Two of the eight losses were close games at home vs. Marshall and on the road at Pittsburgh. Factor in the Bobcats' .500 mark in conference play and head coach Brian Knorr seems to have the program on the right track.

The Bobcats return nine starters this season, and promise to have strong competition at all positions.

An interesting battle is developing for the starting QB job, where senior Fred Ray will have to hold off Miami (Ohio) transfer Ryan Hawk, a junior, although Hawk must prove he is mobile enough to run Ohio's triple-option offense.

The RB corps took a big hit when FB Chad Brinker's eligibility ran out. Sophomores Brad Young and Phillip Fountain will compete for his job. Junior Ray Huston gets the nod at halfback,

while senior Stafford Owens (a top kick returner) is at slotback.

Senior Keyon Ingram and sophomore Anthony Hackett may start at wideout, a position that demands a good blocker as well as a pass catcher in the Ohio offense.

The offensive line could very well be in a state of flux, as three starters — OT Dennis Thompson, OG Brian Brown and C Shane Yates — missed spring ball due to injuries.

Competition also is fierce in the Bobcats' 3-4 defense, which has undergone some changes.

Senior Keith Adamson and junior Kevin Carberry were the first-string defensive ends in the spring. If healthy, junior Garrett Bush will be a factor. At nose guard, senior Eli Kiener will start if fellow senior Andre Parker is still bothered by a foot injury.

All of Ohio's linebackers return this season, but only two

starters get their old jobs back: Junior ILB Dennis Chukwuemeka (116 tackles, 14 tackles for loss, four sacks last season) and sophomore ILB Spencer Tatum. Seniors Charles Terry and Hugh Grant will start outside, as former starter Demetri Taylor has moved back inside as a backup and Rob Stover has moved to strong safety.


Stover, a junior, will likely be joined by junior Chip Cox at free safety. Cox started at cornerback last season, but will have to beat out last season's free safety, junior Rashad Butler, to win his new job. At cornerback, junior-college transfer André Bradford and sophomore Dion Byrum look to start at cornerback.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (4-4)

	OHIO	OPP
Points	24.9	31.2
1st Downs	17.4	20.9
Rush Att	54.1	37.8
Rush Yds	239.8	153.7
Pass Att	13.3	30.0
Comp %	52.5	58.1
Pass Yds	87.4	224.1
Intercept	0.8	1.2
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Ray	100	56	56.0	712	5	2
D. Jackson	47	21	44.7	175	0	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Brinker	227	1096	4.8	10		
Owens	61	364	6.0	5		
Ray	97	358	3.7	9		
Young	53	186	3.5	0		
D. Jackson	60	175	2.9	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Owens	18	266	2			
Mohler	16	221	1			



TOLEDO ROCKETS

Toledo head coach Tom Amstutz has had a triumphant first two seasons at the helm of his alma mater, leading the Rockets to a 19-7 record and consecutive appearances in the Motor City Bowl.

For Amstutz to have such success again, it will be with a rebuilt offense that has only five starters returning.

The good news for Toledo is that seven defensive starters return, including all four defensive linemen. Junior Phil Alexander and senior Frank Ofili (nine tackles for loss, four sacks in '02) start at end, while seniors Chaz Williams and Lantz Jedy anchor the middle.

The Rockets play a 4-4 defensive scheme, with a rover taking the place of the strong safety. Toledo has a promising young player at that position in sophomore Keon Jackson, who registered 11 pass breakups and three

interceptions in '02.

The other returning starter at linebacker, junior WHIP Brock Dodrill, was third on the team with 89 tackles in '02. The Rockets' two new starting inside linebackers are former DE Anthony Jordan, a sophomore, and junior David Thomas.

SS Patrick Body, a junior, returns as a starter. Things are more unsettled at cornerback.

Sophomore Antonio Malone and redshirt freshman Bo Martin are slated to start after second-team All-MAC CB Brandon Hefflin was suspended in January for an off-field incident. His status for fall was still up in the

air at presstime. Replacing highly productive QB Brian Jones is Job No. 1 for the Rockets' spread offense. Junior Cedric Stevens and sophomore Bruce Gradowski will battle for the job. Both saw limited action last season.

The new quarterback will have a new set of starting wideouts to throw to. Juniors Terrance Hudson, Lance Moore (23 catches in '02) and Chris Charleton are favorites to start, as is redshirt freshman Frederick Wilson.

Three sophomores — Trinity Dawson, Astin Martin and Quinton Broussard — will see the bulk of the playing time at running back. Dawson missed spring practice after having arthroscopic knee surgery.


Junior OLT Nick Kaczur and senior ORT Erik Faasen (second-team All-MAC in '02) return as starters, as does junior OLG Darric Randolph. Junior C David Odenthal and ORG Tim Dirksen, a senior, are new starters.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-4 (7-2)

	TOLEDO	OPP
Points	36.2	25.1
1st Downs	24.4	18.8
Rush Att	43.2	35.5
Rush Yds	222.9	150.7
Pass Att	30.9	30.1
Comp %	70.1	57.0
Pass Yds	252.3	203.2
Intercept	0.7	1.4
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.7
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Jones	382	270	70.7	3115	21	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Martin	129	754	5.8	6		
Dawson	149	726	4.9	11		
Bratton	114	639	5.6	10		
Jones	76	382	5.0	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Ford	69	950	8			
Greene	59	849	1			
Clarke	36	405	7			
Johnson	31	353	2			



UCF GOLDEN KNIGHTS

UCF fared well in its first season in the MAC, finishing second in the East Division. The Golden Knights did it with an explosive offense led by QB Ryan Schneider, who is on the cusp of breaking all of Daunte Culpepper's school passing records.

UCF could be poised to make a run at the MAC title game this season. The bulk of a stout defense is back. So is, of course, Schneider, the nation's leading returnee in passing efficiency and TD passes. If Schneider can reach such lofty heights again this season, he will have to do it with seven new starters on offense.

Schneider will have help from junior RB Alex Haynes, the returning starter at tailback. Haynes may have to carry a bigger load in the passing game, too, as the Golden Knights must replace WRs Doug Gabriel and

Jimmy Fryzel. Candidates to pick up the slack — and perhaps post big stats in head coach Mike Kruczek's passing offense — are junior WR Tavaris Capers and 6-5 sophomore WR Brandon Marshall, a tremendous talent.

Two starters are back on the offensive line: ORT Kyle Watkins and OLG David Ashkinaz, both seniors. Redshirt freshman Larry Peoples and sophomore Marcus Clemons will compete to play left tackle. Sophomore Adam Butcher and Cedric Gagne-Marcoux, a redshirt freshman, are in the mix at center. Senior Alex Mendez should start at right guard.

While the offense is undergoing significant change, the UCF defense, third in the MAC last season, returns eight starters.

Junior SS Atari Bigby, a first-team All-MAC player in '02, is one of three returning starters in the secondary. Junior FS Peter

Sands, second on the team in interceptions and tackles last season, is also back, as is sophomore CB Omar Laurence. The other starting cornerback figures to be junior Rovel Hamilton.

Junior LB Stanford Rhule will man one of the outside spots. He led the Golden Knights in tackles in '02. Sophomore James Cook and junior Gerren Bray will play on the other side. Senior Chad Mascoe is back in the middle.


Productive senior DTs DeMarcus Johnson (58 tackles) and Larry Brown anchor the defensive line. Playmaking junior DE Rashad Jeanty (79 tackles, 5½ sacks) is also back. The other starter at end will be 6-foot-6 sophomore Paul Carrington.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (6-2)

	UCF	OPP
Points	32.6	26.2
1st Downs	21.8	20.1
Rush Att	30.1	41.6
Rush Yds	116.3	149.7
Pass Att	36.8	31.7
Comp %	61.1	56.8
Pass Yds	319.8	204.1
Intercept	1.4	1.1
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	-6	6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Schneider	429	264	61.5	3758	31	16
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Haynes	204	1038	5.1	7		
Brown	36	170	4.7	2		
Williams	21	85	4.0	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Gabriel	75	1237	11			
Fryzel	57	1114	5			
Capers	43	456	5			
Haynes	24	132	1			
Huggins	19	163	2			



WESTERN MICHIGAN BRONCOS

When a school has as a dynamic a performer as Western Michigan has in senior DE Jason Babin, the temptation is to use him as much as possible.

Broncos head coach Gary Darnell has given into the temptation. The result is that opponents can't watch much game film without seeing Babin popping up and making plays. Last season, the 6-foot-4, 265-pound Babin caught three passes for first downs. He also blocked two punts.

And oh, he had 15 sacks and 26 tackles for loss, a performance that won him MAC Defensive Player of the Year honors.

Babin will have to have another stellar season if the Broncos are to improve. He's one of five starters returning on defense — and the only one on the defensive line. DTs Hausia Faleofa and Jon Jones, both seniors, look to pro-

vide the bulk up front in Darnell's 4-2-5 defense. The other end will be played by juniors Nick Melcher and Jack Gitler.

The Broncos' LB corps is in a state of flux, particularly if junior Willie Miller and sophomore Josh Behrens aren't healthy for the start of the season. If they're not ready to go, the Broncos will have to turn to a very young group of linebackers, including redshirt freshman Paul Tithof.

The Broncos are much more stable in the secondary. Both cornerbacks — junior Tony Carr and senior Sam Reynolds — are back, as is junior SS Scott Robinson and senior

WS Jason Feldpausch, the team's MVP in '02. Sophomore Kevin Ford will start at free safety.

Senior QB Chad Munson returns to lead the offense. Munson took the job from fellow senior Jon Drach at midseason.

Munson will have a talented

group of receivers to throw to. Senior Chris Chestnut (14.7 yards per catch last season) is back, as are senior Kendrick Mosley and Antonio Thomas. This is a tall bunch; the Broncos' top five receivers all measure at least six feet in height.

Shifty senior Phil Reed is back to start at running back. He showed what he can do in '02 when he rushed for 226 yards vs. a tough Northern Illinois defense.


Junior OLG Kyle Ras is the only returning starter on the line. A pair of sophomores — OLT Walter Stith and ORG Mark Ottney — and a pair of seniors — ORT Chad Wangerin and C Charles Missant — are expected to be the other starters.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (3-5)

	WESTERN MICHIGAN	OPP
Points	25.3	27.5
1st Downs	17.8	18.1
Rush Att	32.8	40.3
Rush Yds	107.3	151.2
Pass Att	38.9	30.2
Comp %	52.2	53.9
Pass Yds	256.1	179.5
Intercept	1.8	1.2
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-12	12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Munson	309	162	52.4	2160	14	17
Drach	151	80	53.0	892	3	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Reed	220	1049	4.8	10		
Riley	43	181	4.2	2		
Marks	38	149	3.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Thomas	45	439	3			
Atariogun	39	417	5			
Lewis	38	654	4			
Mosley	37	507	3			



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Ohio	12	649	2878	4.43	30	239.83
Toledo	13	562	2698	5.16	37	222.92
Bowling Green	12	500	2629	5.26	34	219.08
Kent State	12	485	2579	5.32	16	214.92
Central Michigan	12	556	2473	4.45	22	206.08
Northern Illinois	12	541	2409	4.45	24	200.75
Ball State	12	499	1955	3.92	15	162.92
Akron	12	489	1890	3.87	25	157.50
Marshall	12	386	1536	3.98	20	128.00
Miami (Ohio)	12	451	1532	3.40	23	127.67
UCF	12	361	1396	3.87	18	116.33
Eastern Michigan	12	391	1366	3.49	15	113.83
Western Michigan	12	393	1287	3.27	13	107.25
Buffalo	12	382	1180	3.09	13	98.33

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Marshall	12	531	361	4555	31	379.58
UCF	12	442	270	3837	31	319.75
Miami (Ohio)	12	446	278	3331	25	277.58
Western Michigan	12	467	244	3073	18	256.08
Toledo	13	402	282	3280	23	252.31
Akron	12	404	265	2962	18	246.83
Eastern Michigan	12	441	248	2961	23	246.75
Bowling Green	12	398	227	2758	27	229.83
Ball State	12	348	199	2144	20	178.67
Buffalo	12	432	209	2134	13	177.83
Northern Illinois	12	265	135	2105	16	175.42
Central Michigan	12	374	194	1994	10	166.17
Kent State	12	278	137	1442	6	120.17
Ohio	12	160	84	1049	6	87.42

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Marshall	12	917	6091	6.64	54	507.58
Toledo	13	964	6178	6.41	63	475.23
Bowling Green	12	898	5387	6.00	65	448.92
UCF	12	803	5233	6.52	50	436.08
Miami (Ohio)	12	897	4863	5.42	49	405.25
Akron	12	893	4852	5.43	44	404.33
Northern Illinois	12	806	4514	5.60	46	376.17
Central Michigan	12	930	4467	4.80	33	372.25
Western Michigan	12	860	4360	5.07	37	363.33
Eastern Michigan	12	832	4327	5.20	40	360.58
Ball State	12	847	4099	4.84	36	341.58
Kent State	12	763	4021	5.27	24	335.08
Ohio	12	809	3927	4.85	39	327.25
Buffalo	12	814	3314	4.07	27	276.17

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Northern Illinois	12	447	1582	3.54	17	131.83
Miami (Ohio)	12	393	1676	4.26	20	139.67
Central Michigan	12	473	1690	3.57	22	140.83
UCF	12	499	1796	3.60	15	149.67
Toledo	13	462	1959	4.24	24	150.69
Western Michigan	12	483	1814	3.76	16	151.17
Bowling Green	12	469	1844	3.93	23	153.67
Ohio	12	454	1844	4.06	23	153.67
Akron	12	492	2008	4.08	20	167.33
Ball State	12	439	2029	4.62	21	169.08
Marshall	12	502	2187	4.36	28	182.25
Kent State	12	518	2452	4.73	32	204.33
Buffalo	12	549	2482	4.52	26	206.83
Eastern Michigan	12	576	3529	6.13	45	294.08

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Marshall	12	318	154	1894	9	157.83
Western Michigan	12	362	195	2154	21	179.50
Kent State	12	344	209	2423	18	201.92
UCF	12	380	216	2449	19	204.08
Bowling Green	12	398	214	2537	15	211.42
Toledo	13	391	223	2642	15	203.23
Ohio	12	360	209	2689	24	224.08
Eastern Michigan	12	281	179	2710	28	225.83
Akron	12	340	214	2726	20	227.17
Buffalo	12	353	204	2731	23	227.58
Ball State	12	390	246	2834	22	236.17
Northern Illinois	12	428	243	3059	17	254.92
Central Michigan	12	393	249	3173	23	264.42
Miami (Ohio)	12	424	251	3276	21	273.00

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Western Michigan	12	4.70	845	3968	43	330.67
Marshall	12	4.98	820	4081	39	340.08
UCF	12	4.83	879	4245	39	353.75
Toledo	13	5.39	853	4601	42	353.92
Bowling Green	12	5.05	867	4381	39	365.08
Ohio	12	5.57	814	4533	49	377.75
Northern Illinois	12	5.30	875	4641	37	386.75
Akron	12	5.69	832	4734	48	394.50
Ball State	12	5.87	829	4863	45	405.25
Central Michigan	12	5.62	866	4863	48	405.25
Kent State	12	5.66	862	4875	55	406.25
Miami (Ohio)	12	6.06	817	4952	42	412.67
Buffalo	12	5.78	902	5213	55	434.42
Eastern Michigan	12	7.28	857	6239	76	519.92

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Michael Turner, Northern Illinois	Jr.	12	338	1915	19	159.58
Marcus Merriweather, Ball State	Sr.	12	332	1618	12	134.83
Robbie Mixon, Central Michigan	Sr.	12	255	1361	9	113.42
Ime Akpan, Eastern Michigan	Jr.	11	267	1231	15	111.91
Joshua Cribbs, Kent State	So.	10	137	1057	10	105.70
Alex Haynes, UCF	So.	11	204	1038	8	94.36
Bob Hendry, Akron	Jr.	11	208	1021	8	92.82
Chad Brinker, Ohio	Sr.	12	228	1099	10	91.58
Philip Reed, Western Michigan	Jr.	12	221	1053	10	87.75
Luke Clemens, Miami (Ohio)	Jr.	12	223	1009	16	84.08

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Byron Leftwich, Marshall	Sr.	11	447	309	69.13	9	4019	26
Brian Jones, Toledo	Sr.	13	382	270	70.68	7	3115	21
Ryan Schneider, UCF	Jr.	12	430	265	61.63	16	3770	31
Ben Roethlisberger, Miami (Ohio)	So.	12	428	271	63.32	11	3238	22
Charlie Frye, Akron	So.	12	380	250	65.79	9	2824	15
Joshua Haldi, Northern Illinois	So.	12	254	130	51.18	6	2027	15
Andy Roesch, Ball State	Jr.	10	202	113	55.94	7	1341	15
Fred Ray, Ohio	Jr.	10	100	56	56.00	2	712	5
Josh Harris, Bowling Green	Jr.	12	353	198	56.09	11	2425	19
Troy Edwards, Eastern Michigan	Sr.	12	410	232	56.59	18	2762	22

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Kevin Walter, Eastern Michigan	Sr.	12	93	1368	9	114.00
Doug Gabriel, UCF	Sr.	12	75	1237	11	103.08
Josh Davis, Marshall	So.	12	73	1165	5	97.08
Jimmy Fryzel, UCF	Sr.	12	58	1126	5	93.83
Darius Watts, Marshall	Jr.	11	68	998	12	90.73
Robert Redd, Bowling Green	Sr.	12	83	973	9	81.08
Andre Forde, Buffalo	Sr.	10	54	748	7	74.80
Carl Ford, Toledo	Sr.	13	69	950	8	73.08
Denero Marriott, Marshall	Sr.	12	76	856	6	71.33
Dan Sheldon, Northern Illinois	So.	12	40	783	5	65.25

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Josh Harris, Bowling Green	Jr.	12	22	0	0	134	11.17
Michael Turner, Northern Illinois	Jr.	12	20	0	0	120	10.00
Luke Clemens, Miami (Ohio)	Jr.	12	17	0	0	102	8.50
Ime Akpan, Eastern Michigan	Jr.	11	15	0	0	90	8.18
Steve Azar, Northern Illinois	Jr.	12	0	41	18	95	7.92
Shaun Suisham, Bowling Green	So.	12	0	57	12	93	7.75
Curtis Head, Marshall	Sr.	12	0	44	15	89	7.42
Matt Prater, UCF	Fr.	12	0	44	14	86	7.17
Jared Parseghian, Miami (Ohio)	So.	12	0	44	14	86	7.17
Marcus Merriweather, Ball State	Sr.	12	14	0	0	84	7.00

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Michael Turner, Northern Illinois	Jr.	12	1915	100	0	269	2284
Robbie Mixon, Central Michigan	Sr.	12	1361	253	0	524	2138
Doug Gabriel, UCF	Sr.	12	52	123	0	632	1921
Andre Forde, Buffalo	Sr.	10	21	748	161	626	1556
Marcus Merriweather, Ball State	Sr.	12	1618	207	0	0	1825
Donta Greene, Toledo	Sr.	13	18	649	441	723	1831
Dan Sheldon, Northern Illinois	So.	12	135	783	477	147	1542
Ime Akpan, Eastern Michigan	Jr.	11	1231	115	0	0	1346
Kevin Walter, Eastern Michigan	Sr.	12	0	136	0	0	1368
Luke Clemens, Miami (Ohio)	Jr.	12	1009	334	0	0	1343

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Byron Leftwich, Marshall	Sr.	11	5	4019	4024	365.82
Ryan Schneider, UCF	Jr.	12	-89	3770	3681	306.75
Brian Jones, Toledo	Sr.	13	382	3115	3497	269.00
Ben Roethlisberger, Miami (Ohio)	So.	12	-54	3238	3184	265.33
Josh Harris, Bowling Green	Jr.	12	737	2425	3162	263.50
Charlie Frye, Akron	So.	12	125	2824	2949	245.75
Troy Edwards, Eastern Mich.	Sr.	12	-95	2762	2667	222.25
Joshua Cribbs, Kent State	So.	10	1057	1014	2071	207.10
Chad Munson, Western Michigan	Jr.	12	-120	2160	2040	170.00
Joshua Haldi, Northern Illinois	So.	12	-90	2027	1937	161.42

The Pigskin Prophet

www.PigskinProphet.com

Weekly online newsletter

**Since its inception,
over 100,000 people
have profited weekly
by using The Pigskin
Prophet... Shouldn't you?**

Subscribe now to The Pigskin Prophet for winning football plays throughout the football season. Each week you will receive insights and forecasts including in-depth analysis and projected scores on EVERY College and Pro football team.

Your membership will also feature valuable free winners from Vegas's own Jim Feist, Dave Cokin, Scott Spreitzer, Glenn McGrew, Chip Chirimbis, or Mike Lee! One of these world-renowned expert handicappers will provide one of their first-rate selections each week during the regular season absolutely free!


GET THE ENTIRE 2003 FOOTBALL SEASON FOR ONE PAYMENT OF \$99!

Register before September 1st and get an additional 20% off!

**So that's an entire season of winners,
analyses and projected scores on EVERY College
and Pro football team for just \$79!!!**

Register online today at

WWW.PIGSKINPROPHET.COM



MOUNTAIN WEST PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE COLORADO STATE

P A M S

The Rams are the clear favorite to win their fourth MWC title in the last five years. MWC Offensive Player of the Year QB Bradlee Van Pelt is back at the helm of an offense that must find a running back to replace departed star Cecil Sapp. Four-fifths of the offensive line returns to pave the way. Defensively, the Rams welcome new coordinator Steve Standa from New Mexico State. He will build around an all-senior LB corps of Eric Pauly, Adam Wade and Drew Wood. CB Dexter Wynn is one of the nation's top return men.

TWO NEW MEXICO

L O B O S

QB Casey Kelly and RB DonTrell Moore give the Lobos a solid offensive foundation to team with an experienced defense. Moore showed great promise as a freshman last year, but has also been injury-prone — head coach Rocky Long needs him to stay healthy if he wants the Lobos to challenge for the MWC title. New Mexico has seven home games for the fourth time in school history. Big non-conference battles are against Texas Tech and Washington State. The key, however, will be consecutive home games in November vs. UNLV, Colorado State and Air Force.

THREE UTAH

U T E S

New head coach Urban Meyer takes over after a successful stint rebuilding Bowling Green. He brings with him a wide-open spread offense that should excite the Utes' faithful. DE Jason Kaufusi leads a stellar defensive unit that retained defensive coordinator Kyle Whittingham, providing some continuity from last season. For the

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Bradlee Van Pelt, Colorado State, Sr.
- RB DonTrell Moore, New Mexico, Soph.
- RB Marcus Whalen, BYU, Jr.
- WR Jovon Bouknight, Wyoming, Soph.
- WR Earvin Johnson, UNLV, Jr.
- TE Joel Dreesen, Colorado State, Jr.
- OL Mark Dreyer, Colorado State, Sr.
- OL Scott Jackson, BYU, Sr.
- OL Jason Lenzmeier, New Mexico, Sr.
- OL Claude Terrell, New Mexico, Jr.
- OL Jesse Underbakke, Air Force, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Brady Poppinga, BYU, Jr.
- DL Jason Kaufusi, Utah, Sr.
- DL Daniel Kegler, New Mexico, Sr.
- DL D.J. Renteria, New Mexico, Sr.
- LB Kirk Morrison, San Diego State, Jr.
- LB Eric Pauly, Colorado State, Sr.
- LB Adam Seward, UNLV, Jr.
- DB Jamaal Brimmer, UNLV, Jr.
- DB Brandon Ratcliff, New Mexico, Sr.
- DB Arnold Parker, Utah, Sr.
- DB Dexter Wynn, Colorado State, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Joey Ashcroft, Air Force, Sr.
- P Matt Payne, BYU, Jr.

FOUR BYU

C O U G A R S

The Cougars focused their off-season attention on defense as they adjusted to new defensive coordinator Bronco Mendenhall's five-defensive back formation. Mendenhall worked wonders at New Mexico, building the Lobos into the MWC's top defensive unit. DE Brady Poppinga should thrive in the new system after leading the MWC in sacks last season. The QB situation is a four-way battle that won't likely be resolved until fall. A challenging

FIVE AIR FORCE

F A L C O N S

QB Chance Harridge returns to lead an offense with four of five linemen returning. However, the loss of stud MLB Anthony Schlegel, an All-MWC first-team selection, is a big blow to a defense that overachieved in 2002. On the plus side, 16 starters return (a rarity at Air Force) and the schedule isn't as difficult as last year. The Falcons open with Wofford with winnable games against Northwestern, North Texas and



QB BRADLEE VAN PELT
COLORADO STATE

the other service academies on the non-conference slate.

SIX UNLV

R E B E L S

The health of head coach John Robinson was the main focus this offseason after he underwent cardiac surgery to clear a blockage in an artery. Robinson was back at work two weeks after surgery and looking forward to rebuilding the offense following the departure of QB Jason Thomas and RB Joe Haro. There is a ton of talent in the backfield with QB Kurt Nantkes leading the way. Plus, WR Earvin Johnson showed great promise in 2002. The defense will be led by MWC Defensive Player of the Year DB Jamaal Brimmer.

SEVEN SAN DIEGO STATE

A Z I E C S

After turning around an anemic pass offense, head coach Tom Craft must now find replacements for departed WRs J.R. Tolver and Kassim Osgood, who were among the nation's best last season. QB Adam Hall, out the last two games in '02 with a concussion, returns and will work with a group of talented transfers at running back and wide receiver. Games at UCLA and at Ohio State highlight the non-conference schedule.

EIGHT WYOMING

C O W B O Y S

The Cowboys enter the 2003 season having won just one MWC game in the past three years. New head coach Joe Glenn obviously has a big rebuilding job to do, but he has a history of doing just that, turning programs at Northern Colorado (Division II) and Montana (Division I-AA) into perennial winners. QB Casey Bramlet and an exceptional WR corps give Glenn hope.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Colorado State	6	1	244	149	3-1	3-0	0-0
New Mexico	5	2	196	155	3-0	2-2	0-0
Air Force	4	3	241	201	2-2	2-1	0-0
San Diego State	4	3	168	190	2-1	2-2	0-0
Utah	3	4	162	177	2-2	1-2	0-0
UNLV	3	4	195	217	1-2	2-2	0-0
BYU	2	5	113	187	2-2	0-3	0-0
Wyoming	1	6	207	250	1-2	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Colorado State	10	3	415	315	4-1	5-2	1-0
Air Force	8	4	427	283	4-3	4-1	0-0
New Mexico	7	6	328	331	5-1	2-5	0-0
Utah	5	6	249	226	3-2	2-4	0-0
UNLV	5	7	292	366	3-3	2-4	0-0
BYU	5	7	272	333	4-2	1-5	0-0
San Diego State	4	9	309	411	2-3	2-6	0-0
Wyoming	2	10	288	432	2-4	0-5	0-1

AIR FORCE *FALCONS*

For a team that wasn't expected to do much, Air Force had a tremendously successful 2002 season.

Head coach Fisher DeBerry did a masterful job in guiding the young Falcons to a 6-0 record and a top-25 ranking. Though the season ended with a 20-13 loss to Virginia Tech in the San Francisco Bowl, it was a great year.

Now, DeBerry hopes to reap the rewards of last year's success as he welcomes back 16 starters, the most returning starters in his 19 years as head coach at the academy.

Leading the way is senior QB Chance Harridge, the maestro of DeBerry's multiple option offense. Harridge broke the NCAA record for most rushing touchdowns by a quarterback with 22 and he became the 16th player in NCAA history to throw and rush for more than 1,000 yards in the same season.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	WOFFORD
Sept. 6	at Northwestern
Sept. 13	NORTH TEXAS
Sept. 20	WYOMING
Sept. 27	at BYU
Oct. 4	at Navy
Oct. 11	UNLV
Oct. 18	at Colorado State
Nov. 1	UTAH
Nov. 8	ARMY
Nov. 15	at New Mexico
Nov. 22	at San Diego State

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 131

Although both starting halfbacks have departed, Harridge will turn to a group of talented youngsters — juniors Anthony Butler and Darnell Stephens, sophomore Matt Ward — along with senior Joe Schieffer. FB Steve Massie returns with junior Dan Shaffer backing him up after missing last year with a knee injury.

Senior TE Adam Strecker is a solid blocker and gifted receiver while three of the top four wide receivers return — J.P. Waller, Alec Messerall and Anthony Park.

Up front, the Falcons return four starters from a group that may be the best in school history.

Senior OG Brett Huyser is in line for postseason honors while fellow seniors OG Jesse Underbakke, and OTs Blane Neufeld and Brett Waller round out the group. Junior John Peel and senior Stephen Maddox will battle at the center position since

Wayne Southam has graduated.

The Falcons gave up nine less points per game in 2002 than they did in '01. However, five starters, and two all-conference picks, are gone. The biggest loss is the transfer of LB Anthony Schlegel.

All three defensive line starters return in seniors Monty Coleman, Nick Taylor and Charles Bueker.

Despite the loss of Schlegel, the LB corps welcomes back junior John Rudzinski and seniors Trevor Hightower and Marchello Graddy.

The secondary must replace three starters. Seniors Larry Duncan and Jeff Overstreet anchor the group.

Senior PK Joey Ashcroft made 16-of-18 FG attempts last year.


TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (4-3)

	AIR FORCE	OPP
Points	35.6	23.6
1st Downs	22.8	18.9
Rush Att	61.1	39.1
Rush Yds	314.5	160.1
Pass Att	11.3	28.9
Compl %	46.7	55.3
Pass Yds	90.3	200.6
Intercept	0.4	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	10	-10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Harridge	125	60	48.0	971	10	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Harridge	234	1159	5.0	22		
Palmer	95	537	5.7	4		
Massie	89	449	5.0	4		
Stephens	61	427	7.0	2		
Butler	53	274	5.2	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Strecker	13	243	4			
Waller	11	144	1			
Park	10	236	1			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



BYU *COUGARS*

Last season was one for the record books, but not in a good way for the Cougars as it was their first losing season since 1973. The good news is that BYU followed that sub-.500 mark in '73 with 29 straight winning seasons.

Now, head coach Gary Crowton and his Cougars will look to bounce back in a big way. The surprise is that the school with the great offensive tradition may turn to its defense to lead the way in 2003.

All 11 starters return on a defense, that should be much-improved.

The heart of the defense is a deep, quick and senior-laden LB corps made up of returning starters Mike Tanner, Colby Bockwoldt and Levi Madarieta.

The secondary is also loaded with three safeties and two corners as part of BYU's 3-3-5 alignment. All-MWC performer

Aaron Francisco is the top returning safety along with junior Jon Burbidge and senior Kip Nielsen. All-MWC CB Jernaro Gilford is also back and healthy after a knee injury while seniors Chad Barney and Brandon Heaney will battle for the other starting spot.

Up front, junior Brady Poppinga (8 sacks) returns at right end while senior Bill Wright moves from linebacker to left end. Nose tackle is still up in the air.

The offense will be led by junior RB Marcus Whalen, who showed power and speed when healthy last year. The team also welcomes back Naufahu Tahi after serving his two-year

mission. He led the Cougars in rushing with 445 yards in 1999.

Sophomore QB Matt Berry has the starting job heading into the season but will be pushed hard by freshman John Beck, sophomore Lance Pendleton and junior Todd Mortensen.

It will be tough for BYU to replace the departed Reno Mahe at wide receiver, but Crowton thinks speedy sophomore Chris Hale, who returns from his two-year mission, can do just that. He'll be joined on the outside by 6-2 junior Rod Wilkerson and senior Toby Christensen.

Both tight ends from last year are NFL-bound, but converted WR Daniel Coates and senior Justin Jory will try to fill the bill.

Senior C Scott Jackson anchors a young O-line that may feature freshman starters at right guard and left tackle.

Junior Matt Payne returns as the team's punter and kicker. He will enter the season as one of the top punters in the nation.


TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (2-5)

	BYU	OPP
Points	22.7	27.8
1st Downs	20.5	20.9
Rush Att	35.0	43.2
Rush Yds	121.1	177.8
Pass Att	41.8	31.4
Compl %	55.3	52.0
Pass Yds	260.8	206.9
Intercept	1.8	1.5
Fumb Lost	1.2	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Engemann	215	119	55.3	1334	6	8
Berry	184	108	58.7	1309	7	9
Pendleton	75	39	52.0	376	2	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Whalen	181	918	5.1	6		
Brown	72	299	4.2	4		
Pendleton	47	149	3.2	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Mahe	59	771	2			
Nead	40	449	1			
Wilkerson	28	376	1			

OFFENSE - 4 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 11



COLORADO STATE RAMS

There's no question that the Rams will miss All-MWC RB Cecil Sapp. The question is whether senior QB Bradlee Van Pelt can lead CSU back to a bowl game for its fifth straight year.

Van Pelt, who is a strong running threat and has a 16-7 record as a starter, is one of eight returning starters on the offensive side of the ball.

Head coach Sonny Lubick (84-38 record in 10 years at CSU) hopes to build more depth as his Rams look to defend their Mountain West title.

One player who should help out at multiple positions is senior Dexter Wynn, a two-time All-MWC selection as a return specialist and one of the top cornerbacks in the MWC. Lubick had Wynn work out at wide receiver in the spring to provide Van Pelt with yet another offensive weapon.

Another boost should come from Colorado transfer RB

Marcus Houston, a prep All-American who missed most of last season with a knee injury. Senior RB Rahsaan Sanders, who was third on the team in rushing in 2002, and redshirt-freshman Tristan Walker are in the mix.

Senior WR Chris Pittman returns as a starter. He's joined by seniors Eric Hill and Russell Sprague and sophomore David Anderson.

The offensive line should be a strength for the Rams with H-Back Joel Dreessen (first-team All-MWC) back along with sophomore TE Matt Bartz, OTs Mike Brisiel and Erik Pears, OG Albert Bimper and senior C Mark Dreyer.

The defense welcomes back seven returning starters, including all three linebackers.

Senior OLB Eric Pauly leads the LB corps coming off a season in which he was named a first-team Academic All-American.

Senior MLB Drew Wood and

senior OLB Adam Wade also are exceptional talents.

Up front, the Rams will lean on senior NT Bryan Save, an All-MWC honorable mention pick last year, to anchor the middle. He'll be joined by senior Lavell Mann and junior Chris Kiffin in the middle. At the DE position, junior Patrick Goodpaster returns with either Andre Sommersell or Joe Adkins opposite him.

Sophomore Ben Stratton or redshirt freshman Brandon Cathy will man the CB spot opposite Wynn. Benny Mastropaolo returns at strong safety with Landon Jones and David Foley battling it out at free safety.

P-PK Jeff Babcock set a school record in '02 with 24 field goals.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	vs. Colorado @ Denver
Sept. 6	at California
Sept. 13	WEBER STATE
Sept. 20	MIAMI (Ohio)
Sept. 27	UTAH
Oct. 4	FRESNO STATE
Oct. 9	at BYU
Oct. 16	AIR FORCE
Nov. 1	at Wyoming
Nov. 7	at New Mexico
Nov. 15	SAN DIEGO STATE
Nov. 22	at UNLV

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 153


TEAM STATS 2002 10-3 (6-1)

	COLORADO STATE	OPP
Points	31.9	24.2
1st Downs	20.8	20.1
Rush Att	45.5	37.8
Rush Yds	214.7	165.3
Pass Att	24.8	32.0
Comp %	55.1	58.4
Pass Yds	193.1	210.8
Intercept	0.7	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Van Pelt	268	146	54.5	2049	10	6
Holland	52	31	59.6	454	4	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Sapp	328	1495	4.6	17		
Van Pelt	141	825	5.9	11		
Sanders	57	315	5.5	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Pittman	56	774	1			
Cuppari	34	693	4			
Dreessen	28	329	2			
Childs	21	257	1			

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



NEW MEXICO LOBOS

After moving up the MWC standings the past two years, the Lobos are primed to challenge for the title this season thanks to a veteran corps of returners and relatively favorable schedule.

Head coach Rocky Long's team has high expectations entering the 2003 season as 17 starters (10 offense, 7 defense) return.

New Mexico also should enjoy a schedule that sees the Lobos' top rivals for the MWC crown (BYU on Sept. 13, Colorado State on Nov. 7, Air Force on Nov. 15) coming to Albuquerque.

The Lobos' offense fought inconsistency problems in 2002, but by the end of the season had clearly established a running identity.

Senior QB Casey Kelly returns for his third year as starter and is the team's heart and soul. He had 14 TD passes and ran for six more scores despite missing three

games with a broken bone in his non-throwing arm.

He'll look to sophomore RB DonTrell Moore as his go-to guy. Moore took over for injured senior Quincy Wright in Game Four and became the first Lobo rookie ever voted first-team all-conference. Junior transfer D.D. Cox (Oklahoma State) offers depth if Moore's sore ankle acts up.

The offensive line might be the best in the MWC with all five starters (averaging 319 pounds) returning. They are senior OTs Jason Lenzmeier and Justin Colburn, senior OG Calvin McDonald, junior OG Claude Terrell and sophomore C Ryan Cook. Junior OT Nate Hembree also has starting experience. TE Bryan Penley is a solid blocker and receiver.

Senior WR Dwight Counter possesses good size (6-2) and game-breaking speed and is an all-conference punt returner.

Senior Adrian Boyd and Hank Baskett II will see time.

The defense showed what it's capable of vs. UCLA in the Las Vegas Bowl, holding the Bruins to 167 total yards. The Lobos finished 30th in the nation in total defense in '02, the third straight year they have been in the top 30.

Senior Corey Brown is the lone returner at corner, but standout safeties Brandon Ratcliff (first-team All-MWC), Terrell Golden and Sidney Wiley all return.

Both outside linebackers return in senior Billy Strother and junior Nick Speegle, but the Lobos must replace departed MLB Charles Moss.

The line returns all-star DEs Daniel Kegler and D.J. Renteria.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-6 (5-2)

	NEW MEXICO	OPP
Points	25.2	25.5
1st Downs	16.6	17.8
Rush Att	43.0	36.5
Rush Yds	189.2	118.1
Pass Att	24.4	33.1
Comp %	54.9	54.0
Pass Yds	138.5	227.5
Intercept	0.7	1.1
Fumb Lost	1.1	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	4	-4

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Kelly	282	163	57.8	1667	13	6
Millea	24	7	29.2	78	0	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Moore	231	1117	4.8	13		
Wright	67	496	7.4	4		
Millea	44	243	5.5	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Manning	33	371	2			
Counter	30	326	3			
Boyd	27	323	0			
Moore	20	126	2			

OFFENSE - 10 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



SAN DIEGO STATE AZTECS

Despite finishing with a 4-9 record in 2002, the Aztecs made great strides under first-year head coach Tom Craft. And the positive momentum carried into the offseason as Craft put together a standout recruiting class — ranked first in the MWC by *SuperPrep* magazine.

Things took a turn for the worse in late February when the NCAA put San Diego State on two years probation for holding illegal offseason practices during the summers of 1998-2001. University officials said they would appeal the penalty. The penalties do not include postseason or TV bans.

On the field, Craft hopes to build on last year's success in the MWC when the Aztecs won four of seven league games and rose from 89th to fifth in the nation in passing offense (330 yards per game).

Senior QB Adam Hall is the

triggerman for SDSU's attack with Illinois transfer Matt Dlugolecki backing him up.

The talent around Hall is a question mark since all-star WRs Kassim Osgood and J.R. Tolver have departed along with senior Ronnie Davenport. The good news is help is on the way in the names of redshirts Jeff Webb and Wesley Williams. Lonnell Penman and Jermaine Moore, Devin Pitts and Ramal Porter are also in the mix.

Raleigh Fletcher should take over as the new tight end while sophomore RBs Frederick Collins and Michael Franklin are both considered starters.

The offensive line should be much-improved with four solid returners — seniors OTs Brendan Darby and Damien Holman, senior OG Gerald Sykes and sophomore C Jasper Harvey — plus three other experienced players.

The defense is loaded with

experience with six starters in the back seven. Junior MLB Kirk Morrison was a first-team All-MWC selection last year. He'll be joined by OLBs Stephen Larsen and Heath Farwell.

Senior CB Jeff Shoate is a top playmaker and all-league candidate while SS Josh Dean and FS-CB Marviel Underwood should also start in the secondary.

Up front, sixth-year senior DE Ryan Iata can be a difference-maker if healthy. Brandon Rager figures to replace the departed Akbar Gbaja-Biamila. DT Brook Miller returns as a starter while Blake Lobel and Freddie McCutcheon are in the mix too.


SDSU must find a new kicker and punter.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-9 (4-3)

	SAN DIEGO STATE	OPP
Points	23.8	31.6
1st Downs	21.6	22.4
Rush Att	28.5	43.7
Rush Yds	72.9	171.2
Pass Att	44.9	33.5
Compl %	60.3	55.9
Pass Yds	330.9	240.1
Intercept	0.8	1.1
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hall	452	272	60.2	3253	17	9
Sheriff	127	79	62.2	962	7	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Truvillion	120	392	3.3	3		
Franklin	62	346	5.6	1		
Collins	90	333	3.7	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Tolver	128	1785	13			
Osgood	108	1552	8			
Davenport	55	409	2			
Ortiz	13	141	1			



UNLV REBELS

Head coach John Robinson has recovered from heart problems suffered in the spring and is looking forward to leading this Rebels team back toward the top of the Mountain West.

The Rebels showed what they are capable of in the final game of the 2002 season when they upset league champ Colorado State 36-33 by running up a pile of yards and by tackling with authority.

Offensively, the Rebels must replace QB Jason Thomas, RB Joe Haro, TE DeJohn Mandley and the right side of the line.

Junior QB Kurt Nantkes established himself as the starter by leading the Rebels to that thrilling win over Colorado State last November. The former minor-league baseball pitcher is tall and athletic with a strong arm.

Though Nantkes can throw, he will most likely rely on an out-

standing corps of running backs to carry the offensive load this season. Arizona transfer Larry Croom was a standout in his first season in Las Vegas, gaining 222 yards in a breakout performance vs. Colorado State. Explosive Dominique Dorsey is a great change of pace from the bruising Croom, and boasted two 100-yard games in '02. Adding great depth is Washington State transfer Deon Burnett, who had 974 rushing yards and 12 TDs at Wazzou in 1999. And juco transfer Alvin Marshall was called the Marshall Faulk of junior college before his arrival in Vegas.

The WR corps includes all-star junior Earvin Johnson and senior Michael Johnson, along with juco transfer David Relf. Senior Ryan Clifford leads a group of tight ends battling for the starting spot.

The offensive line welcomes back senior C Dominic Furio and the left side of the line.

The defense should be more balanced and faster with a potentially great LB corps.

Junior MLB Adam Seward led the MWC in tackles with 133 in '02. He'll welcome budding star Ryan Claridge on the strong side and transfers Reggie Butler and John Andrews on the weak side.

Up front, DTs Dietrich Canterbury and Joe Oniwo and emerging DE Leon Moore all can pressure the quarterback.

Junior SS Jamaal Brimmer leads a secondary searching for new starters at both cornerback spots and free safety.


Both kickers return. Senior PK Dillon Pieffer can hit from long distances and junior P Gary Cook placed 26 kicks inside the 20.

TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (3-4)

	UNLV	OPP
Points	24.3	30.5
1st Downs	20.6	21.3
Rush Att	41.5	40.1
Rush Yds	214.4	159.1
Pass Att	28.8	32.4
Compl %	49.0	56.3
Pass Yds	195.1	234.7
Intercept	1.0	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Thomas	274	134	48.9	1936	8	7
Nantkes	71	35	49.3	405	6	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Haro	159	841	5.3	5		
Croom	81	603	7.4	4		
Dorsey	78	568	7.3	3		
Thomas	124	448	3.6	9		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
E. Johnson	54	837	4			
M. Johnson	32	383	2			
Croom	20	267	2			



UTAH UTEES

The start of the Urban Meyer Era begins in Provo this fall and the new coach inherits enough talent to lift the Utes back to the ranks of the bowl-eligible.

After a highly successful stint at Bowling Green, Meyer takes over a Utah team that disappointed many last season, leading to the departure of coach Ron McBride.

Now, Meyer will look to bring his high-scoring spread offense to the Mountain West, with senior RBs Marty Johnson and Brandon Warfield as his building blocks. Johnson, who gained 405 yards in two games before tearing a ligament in his knee, has been granted a two-year eligibility extension due to injuries that have knocked him out of most of the last two seasons.

Warfield was the MWC's leading rusher in conference games.

The QB position is wide open

with senior Lance Rice battling junior Brett Elliott and sophomore Alex Smith for the job.

The WR corps is inexperienced but talented with Oregon transfer Paris Warren, freshman Steven Savoy, junior-college transfer Jerome Wright and senior Larry Miles heading the group of potential starters.

The offensive line must replace OT Jordan Gross, who has left for NFL stardom. His successor at left tackle is senior Thomas Herrion, who started at right tackle last year. Sean Souza, who also has starting experience, takes over at right tackle. Junior Max Petersen takes over at center after filling in last season. OLG Chris Kemoeatu looks like a future NFL player while three sophomores battle for the right guard starting spot.

Senior Ben Moa should have a big season in Meyer's system as a pass-catching tight end. Junior

Matt Hansen is a strong blocker.

Defensively, the Utes' strength is up front with senior All-America candidate Jason Kaufusi and senior All-MWC candidate Josh Savage at the end spots. Young DTs Sione Pouha and Steve Fifita are ready to step up.

The LB corps must replace All-MWC Sheldon Deckart, but senior Ray Holdcraft, sophomore Spencer Toone and junior Corey Dodds have great promise.

Senior SS Dave Revill leads a secondary that lost FS Antwoine Sanders to early departure for the NFL. Senior LCB Arnold Parker is solid while junior Bo Nagahi hopes to win the RCB job.

The kicking game is a big question mark and is a concern.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 28	UTAH STATE
Sept. 6	at Texas A&M
Sept. 11	CALIFORNIA
Sept. 27	at Colorado State
Oct. 3	OREGON
Oct. 11	SAN DIEGO STATE
Oct. 18	at UNLV
Oct. 25	NEW MEXICO
Nov. 1	at Air Force
Nov. 15	WYOMING
Nov. 22	at BYU


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 244

TEAM STATS 2002 5-6 (3-4)

	UTAH	OPP
Points	22.6	20.5
1st Downs	20.1	18.4
Rush Att	40.2	35.5
Rush Yds	163.9	104.8
Pass Att	34.6	34.4
Comp %	56.4	52.4
Pass Yds	208.3	227.3
Intercept	1.2	1.1
Fumb Lost	1.1	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	-1	1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Elliott	221	130	58.8	1529	10	8
Rice	153	83	54.2	758	6	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Warfield	201	919	4.6	9		
Johnson	58	405	7.0	3		
Peroulis	87	393	4.5	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Jackson	43	553	6			
Jo. Lyman	22	374	1			
Je. Lyman	22	216	2			
Houston	21	236	2			



WYOMING COWBOYS

New head coach Joe Glenn has breathed new life into a downtrodden Cowboys program after being named as Vic Koenning's successor this past offseason.

The enthusiastic Glenn inherits a team that has won only five games, and one MWC contest, in the past three seasons. He's optimistic about putting the program back on track thanks to the return of 48 lettermen, including 15 starters.

The biggest focus for Glenn has to be on the defensive side of the ball where Wyoming struggled to stop its opponents in 2002. In fact, the Cowboys allowed 35 points or more seven times in '02.

Glenn promises to play a pressure defense with a zone scheme and zone blitzes.

Senior OLB Tyler Gottschalk, the team's leading tackler last year with 125, returns to lead the revival. Another starter, junior

Guy Tuell, will move from strong safety to the other outside LB spot.

Three veterans return along the defensive front in senior DE Brandon Casavan, junior DT Zach Morris and junior NG Jacob Bonde. They must do a better job of stopping the run.

The secondary returns veterans Chris Dixon and Roderrick Jackson at the corners and Jacque Finn, Jay McNeal and Nate Young (102 tackles) are back as safeties.

Glenn welcomes back senior QB Casey Bramlet, who ranked among the nation's leaders in several passing categories in '02.

Bramlet will be throwing to three proven receivers in sophomore Jovon Bouknight and seniors Ryan McGuffey and Malcolm Floyd.

Plus, Wyoming returns its top two running backs — senior Derek Armah and junior Kit

Bradshaw II.

Four starters return on the offensive line, led by junior C Trenton Franz. Also back are OGs Isaac Morales, Henry Randle and Drew Severn. The Cowboys are young at tackle, as two redshirt freshmen battle at the OLT spot and two sophomores are in the running at ORT.

Sophomore Aaron Robbins and junior Chris Cox will battle for the starting TE spot.


The team boasts one of the top punters in the MWC in senior Luke Donovan (who averaged 43 yards per kick last year). After an inconsistent season of placekicking, the Cowboys hope to find a starter from sophomore returnees Scott Parker and Deric Yaussi.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (1-6)

	WYOMING	OPP
Points	24.0	36.0
1st Downs	21.1	25.7
Rush Att	32.3	44.8
Rush Yds	100.0	205.8
Pass Att	39.3	33.8
Comp %	59.2	64.5
Pass Yds	279.8	285.1
Intercept	1.5	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.5	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	-2	2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Ca. Bramlet	464	277	59.3	3290	24	18
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Armah	124	596	4.8	6		
Bradshaw	84	302	3.6	2		
Jones	49	206	4.2	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Bouknight	63	689	3			
Vines	46	705	9			
McGuffey	35	417	3			
Ralph	34	443	2			
Floyd	27	427	3			



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Air Force	12	733	3774	5.15	40	314.50
Colorado State	13	591	2791	4.72	32	214.69
UNLV	12	498	2573	5.17	22	214.42
New Mexico	13	559	2459	4.40	26	189.15
Utah	11	442	1803	4.08	15	163.91
BYU	12	420	1453	3.46	17	121.08
Wyoming	12	387	1200	3.10	13	100.00
San Diego State	13	370	948	2.56	9	72.92

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
San Diego State	13	584	352	4302	24	330.92
Wyoming	12	471	279	3357	25	279.75
BYU	12	501	277	3129	16	260.75
Utah	11	381	215	2291	16	208.27
UNLV	12	345	169	2341	14	195.08
Colorado State	13	323	178	2510	15	193.08
New Mexico	13	317	174	1800	14	138.46
Air Force	12	135	63	1084	12	90.33

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
UNLV	12	843	4914	5.83	39	409.50
Colorado State	13	914	5301	5.80	50	407.77
Air Force	12	868	4858	5.60	55	404.83
San Diego State	13	954	5250	5.50	37	403.85
BYU	12	921	4582	4.98	34	381.83
Wyoming	12	858	4557	5.31	40	379.75
Utah	11	823	4094	4.97	31	372.18
New Mexico	13	876	EXCLUDING BOWLS		44	327.62

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Utah	11	391	1153	2.95	10	104.82
New Mexico	13	474	1535	3.24	14	118.08
UNLV	12	481	1909	3.97	20	159.08
Air Force	12	469	1921	4.10	23	160.08
Colorado State	13	491	2149	4.38	24	165.31
San Diego State	13	568	2225	3.92	19	171.15
BYU	12	518	2133	4.12	29	177.75
Wyoming	12	537	2469	4.60	30	205.75

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Air Force	12	347	192	2407	10	200.58
BYU	12	377	196	2483	9	206.92
Utah	11	378	198	2500	16	227.27
Colorado State	13	416	243	2740	15	210.77
UNLV	12	389	219	2816	22	234.67
New Mexico	13	430	232	2957	25	227.46
San Diego State	13	435	243	3116	31	239.69
Wyoming	12	406	262	3421	21	285.08

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Utah	11	4.75	769	3653	27	332.09
New Mexico	13	4.97	904	4492	41	345.54
Air Force	12	5.30	816	4328	34	360.67
Colorado State	13	5.39	907	4889	43	376.08
BYU	12	5.16	895	4616	42	384.67
UNLV	12	5.43	870	4725	45	393.75
San Diego State	13	5.33	100	5341	54	410.85
Wyoming	12	6.25	943	5890	54	490.83

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Cecil Sapp, Colorado State	Jr.	13	328	1495	17	115.00
Brandon Warfield, Utah	Jr.	9	201	919	9	102.11
Chance Harridge, Air Force	Jr.	12	234	1159	22	96.58
DonTrell Moore, New Mexico	Fr.	12	231	1117	13	93.08
Marcus Whalen, BYU	So.	11	181	918	6	83.45
Joe Haro, UNLV	Sr.	12	159	841	5	70.08
Bradlee Van Pelt, Colorado State	Jr.	13	141	825	11	63.46
Derek Armah, Wyoming	Jr.	11	125	603	6	54.82
Larry Croom, UNLV	Jr.	12	84	605	4	50.42
Dominique Dorsey, UNLV	So.	12	78	568	3	47.33

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Lon Sheriff, San Diego State	Sr.	11	127	79	62.20	1	962	7
Chance Harridge, Air Force	Jr.	12	125	60	48.00	5	971	10
Adam Hall, San Diego State	Jr.	12	452	272	60.18	9	3253	17
Casey Bramlet, Wyoming	Jr.	12	464	277	59.70	18	3290	24
Bradlee Van Pelt, Colorado State	Jr.	13	268	146	54.48	6	2049	10
Matt Berry, BYU	Fr.	9	184	108	58.70	9	1309	7
Casey Kelly, New Mexico	Jr.	13	282	163	57.80	6	1667	13
Jason Thomas, UNLV	Sr.	11	274	134	48.91	7	1936	6
Bret Engemann, BYU	Jr.	9	215	119	55.35	8	1334	8

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
J.R. Tolver, San Diego State	Sr.	13	128	1785	13	137.31
Kassim Osgood, San Diego State	Sr.	13	108	1552	8	119.38
Earvin Johnson, UNLV	So.	11	51	793	4	72.09
Reno Mahe, BYU	Sr.	12	59	771	2	64.25
Chris Pittman, Colorado State	Jr.	13	56	774	1	59.54
Scottie Vines, Wyoming	Sr.	12	46	705	9	58.75
Jovon Bouknight, Wyoming	Fr.	12	63	689	3	57.42
Josh Lyman, Utah	Sr.	11	44	590	3	53.64
Joey Cuppari, Colorado State	Sr.	13	34	693	4	53.31
Paris Jackson, Utah	Sr.	11	43	EXCLUDING BOWLS	6	50.27

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Chance Harridge, Air Force	Jr.	12	22	0	0	132	11.00
Jeff Babcock, Colorado State	So.	13	1	40	23	115	8.85
Cecil Sapp, Colorado State	Jr.	13	17	0	0	102	7.85
DonTrell Moore, New Mexico	Fr.	12	15	0	0	90	7.50
Joey Ashcroft, Air Force	Jr.	12	0	46	14	88	7.33
J.R. Tolver, San Diego State	Sr.	13	14	0	0	86	6.62
Tommy Kirovski, San Diego State	Sr.	13	0	32	17	83	6.38
Brandon Warfield, Utah	Jr.	9	9	0	0	54	6.00
Matt Payne, BYU	So.	12	0	27	13	66	5.50
Bryan Borreson, Utah	Fr.	11	0	26	11	59	5.36

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
J.R. Tolver, San Diego State	Sr.	13	40	178	5	0	1830
Cecil Sapp, Colorado State	Jr.	13	1495	63	0	0	1558
Kassim Osgood, San Diego State	Sr.	13	0	155	0	0	1552
DonTrell Moore, New Mexico	Fr.	12	1117	126	0	55	1298
Brandon Warfield, Utah	Jr.	9	919	28	0	0	947
Marcus Whalen, BYU	So.	11	918	203	0	0	1121
Chance Harridge, Air Force	Jr.	12	1159	15	0	0	1174
Reno Mahe, BYU	Sr.	12	64	771	196	128	1159
Larry Croom, UNLV	Jr.	12	605	267	92	130	1094
Joe Haro, UNLV	Sr.	12	841	116	0	49	1006

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Casey Bramlet, Wyoming	Jr.	12	35	3290	3325	277.08
Adam Hall, San Diego State	Jr.	12	-110	3253	3143	261.92
Bradlee Van Pelt, Colorado State	Jr.	13	825	2049	2874	221.08
Jason Thomas, UNLV	Sr.	11	448	1936	2384	216.73
Chance Harridge, Air Force	Jr.	12	1159	971	2130	177.50
Bret Engemann, BYU	Jr.	9	-20	1334	1314	146.00
Casey Kelly, New Mexico	Jr.	13	229	1667	1896	145.85
Matt Berry, BYU	Fr.	9	-11	1309	1298	144.22
Cecil Sapp, Colorado State	Jr.	13	1495	7	1502	115.54
Brandon Warfield, Utah	Jr.	9	919	0	919	102.11

PAC-10 PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE USC TROJANS

The key question to be answered is: who will succeed Heisman winner Carson Palmer at quarterback? Whomever emerges will be surrounded by the most talent in the Pac-10. Sophomores WR Mike Williams and RB Hershel Dennis are special athletes. The season kicks off with a blockbuster when the Trojans visit fellow top-10 team Auburn on Aug. 30.

TWO ARIZONA STATE SUN DEVILS

Head coach Dirk Koetter's wide-open offense started to pay dividends last season as the Sun Devils improved dramatically. With QB Andrew Walter and the entire offensive line back, ASU is poised to take the next step and contend for the Pac-10 crown. A concern is finding replacements for star WR Shaun McDonald and DE Terrell Suggs, but Koetter is attracting top talent to the desert.

THREE WASHINGTON HUSKIES

This could be a make or break year for head coach Rick Neuheisel who angered Huskies fans after flirting with the NFL's 49ers in the offseason. With senior QB Cody Pickett and junior WR Reggie Williams leading the way, this is likely the best chance for Neuheisel to win it all. The schedule is in his favor with six of eight at home following the opener at Ohio State.

FOUR UCLA BRUINS

New head coach Karl Dorrell, a former UCLA player, inherits a ton of talent from ex-coach Bob Toledo as he tries to lift the Bruins back to

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Cody Pickett, Washington, Sr.
- RB Tyler Ebell, UCLA, Soph.
- RB Steven Jackson, Oregon State, Jr.
- WR Mike Williams, USC, Soph.
- WR Reggie Williams, Washington, Jr.
- TE Alex Smith, Stanford, Jr.
- OL Calvin Armstrong, Washington State, Jr.
- OL Eyoseph Efseff, UCLA, Jr.
- OL Nick Newton, Washington, Jr.
- OL Jacob Rogers, USC, Sr.
- OL Dan Weaver, Oregon, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Dave Ball, UCLA, Sr.
- DL Shaun Cody, USC, Jr.
- DL Mike Patterson, USC, Jr.
- DL Kenechi Udezue, USC, Jr.
- LB Matt Grootegoed, USC, Jr.
- LB Kevin Mitchell, Oregon, Sr.
- LB Richard Seigler, Oregon State, Sr.
- DB Greg Carothers, Washington, Sr.
- DB Keith Lewis, Oregon, Sr.
- DB Jason Shivers, Arizona State, Jr.
- DB Matt Ware, UCLA, Jr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Jared Siegel, Oregon, Jr.
- P Tim Parker, Arizona State, Sr.

SIX OREGON DUCKS

The Ducks' top priority is shoring up a pass defense that was sieve-like last season. Head coach Mike Bellotti went to the junior-college ranks to do that. It remains to be seen if he was successful. A QB controversy looms if Jason Fife or Kellen Clemens can't take charge by fall. The Ducks catch a break by not playing USC, while Michigan visits early.

SEVEN WASHINGTON STATE COUGARS

For the first time since 1988, the Cougars open a season without Mike Price at the

top of the Pac-10. Dorrell must quickly establish a starting quarterback (Drew Olson or Matt Moore?) since the schedule at the start of the season is a nightmare — at Colorado, vs. Illinois, at Oklahoma. They also visit USC on Dec. 6.

FIVE OREGON STATE BEAVERS

The last time Mike Riley coached the Beavers (1997-98) they were an up-and-coming team. Now, he inherits a solid program from Dennis Erickson and looks to take it to the next level. Junior RB Steven Jackson is on the verge of stardom and junior QB Derek Anderson has all the tools to be great. A rebuilt defense is key.



WR MIKE WILLIAMS
USC

EIGHT CALIFORNIA GOLDEN BEARS

Head coach Jeff Tedford did a wonderful job turning around the fortunes of a moribund Cal program. However, he did the trick with a ton of seniors and now must rebuild minus 15 starters, including QB Kyle Boller. A tough schedule will be a challenge as Cal plays Southern Miss and Colorado State at home then at Utah and Illinois to start the season.

NINE ARIZONA WILDCATS

It's safe to say that head coach John Mackovic is happy to put a tumultuous offseason behind him. After a near-player revolt late last season and the departure of five assistant coaches, Mackovic now must focus on improving the team. It won't be easy with a rugged Pac-10 slate and non-conference foes LSU, Texas Christian and Purdue.

TEN STANFORD CARDINAL

The situation doesn't look to be much better in Palo Alto this fall as head coach Buddy Teevens must replace seven starters on an offense that averaged a Pac-10-worst 311.4 yards per game. Teevens' first order of business is finding a starting quarterback. The Cardinal play just 11 games and don't open the season until Sept. 13 when most other teams have played two or three games. That could put Stanford at a competitive disadvantage.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Washington State	7	1	285	188	3-1	4-0	0-0
USC	7	1	299	163	4-1	3-0	0-0
Arizona State	5	3	257	244	3-1	2-2	0-0
Oregon State	4	4	211	180	3-1	1-3	0-0
California	4	4	256	238	2-2	2-2	0-0
UCLA	4	4	232	232	1-3	3-1	0-0
Washington	4	4	232	233	2-2	2-2	0-0
Oregon	3	5	237	266	1-2	2-3	0-0
Arizona	1	7	143	250	0-4	1-3	0-0
Stanford	1	7	128	286	1-3	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
Washington State	10	2	417	262	5-1	4-1	1-0
USC	10	2	427	223	6-0	4-2	0-0
Oregon State	8	4	401	229	5-1	3-3	0-0
Arizona State	8	5	425	373	5-2	3-3	0-0
California	7	5	427	318	4-3	3-2	0-0
UCLA	7	5	380	313	2-4	5-1	0-0
Washington	7	5	374	308	5-1	2-4	0-0
Oregon	7	5	400	324	5-3	2-2	0-0
Arizona	4	8	227	310	3-4	1-4	0-0
Stanford	2	9	225	377	2-2	0-7	0-0

Now you can place wagers from anywhere
in the world on more than 70 thoroughbred and harness
tracks across North America.

And get rebates up to 7% of your total weekly action.
All track wagers booked, and track odds paid up to 1000-1.

Fast, easy, and confidential.

WAGER2WIN.COM
1-800-493-6169

- ◆◆◆ **3% TO 7% Cash rebates** added to your bankroll every week based on your total weekly action
- ◆◆◆ **FREE \$10,000 MONTHLY CONTESTS** for all qualified players.
- ◆◆◆ **FREE BET** for players opening a new account – 5% of your initial deposit
- ◆◆◆ **WAGER AS LITTLE AS \$1** on all tracks, all types of wagers, all the time.
- ◆◆◆ **NON PARI-MUTUEL POOLS** – Your wager no matter how big or small will not affect the payout.
- ◆◆◆ **Wager ONLINE or over the PHONE** with the equal ease, using the same funds
- ◆◆◆ **CHECK YOUR ACCOUNT** balance, ending wagers, and account history online.
- ◆◆◆ **FAST PAYOUTS**
- ◆◆◆ **LIVE ODDS – UPDATED CONTINUOUSLY.** Results posted and graded IMMEDIATELY.
- ◆◆◆ **STATE OF THE ART SOFTWARE** allows you just simply **...POINT ...CLICK ...AND WIN!**



ARIZONA WILDCATS

The best thing that can be said about Arizona's 2002 campaign is that it's over. An anemic running attack and a porous defense doomed the team to a 4-8 record and a last-place finish in the Pac-10 conference, while off the field, head coach John Mackovic lost control of the team, as evidenced by a late-season player mutiny.

Mackovic, who has vowed to be more personable this season, will have 13 starters back in 2003.

Seven are back on an offense that never recovered from RB Clarence Farmer's knee injury in Game Four. Farmer's status for this season is uncertain after Mackovic suspended him in March. If Farmer is out, sophomores Mike Bell and Beau Carr will look to start.

There is the makings of an interesting battle for the QB job, where sophomore Nic Costa and top prospect redshirt freshman

Ryan O'Hara are the top two candidates to replace Jason Johnson. However, freshmen Chris Heavner and Richard Kovalcheck may also get a shot.

Senior WR Andrae Thurman will be the Wildcats' go-to receiver, an important role in one of the conference's more pass-happy offenses. The other starter could well be senior Lance Relford.

The Wildcats are thin on the offensive line; only nine linemen practiced in the spring. Five players with starting experience return: Junior OTs Brandon Phillips and Chris Johnson, C Keoki Fraser, OG Reggie Sampay and sophomore Kili Lefotu.

The defense returns six starters, including three of four in the secondary. Senior SS Clay Hardt and sophomore FS Darrell Brooks offer experience. Senior Gary Love (seven starts in '02) moves from nickel back to full-

time starter at cornerback. Sophomore Jason Martin is at the other CB spot, but don't forget about Michael Jolivet, who returns after missing '02 with a knee injury.

The Wildcats have only one returning starter in the LB corps — senior Joe Siofele. The new outside linebackers in the 3-4 scheme will be sophomore Copeland Bryan and junior Andre Torrey. Joining Siofele inside is junior Patrick Howard.

Junior DE Carlos Williams returns at one end, while sophomore Brad Brittain will play on the other side. Returning starter Fata Avegalio will also be in the mix at end. Vince Feula, a junior, will start at nose tackle.


TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (1-7)

	ARIZONA	OPP
Points	18.9	25.8
1st Downs	17.9	20.3
Rush Att	30.0	42.3
Rush Yds	43.8	161.8
Pass Att	35.8	30.7
Compl %	57.2	58.2
Pass Yds	289.8	224.3
Intercept	1.2	0.6
Fumb Lost	0.9	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Johnson	410	239	58.3	3327	16	13
Costa	19	7	36.8	150	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Bell	106	341	3.2	1		
Farmer	84	309	3.7	2		
Carr	39	126	3.2	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Wade	93	1389	8			
Thurman	61	915	3			
Relford	20	289	1			
Bell	13	136	1			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



ARIZONA STATE SUN DEVILS

Arizona State surprised some observers by winning eight games and reaching the Holiday Bowl last season.

With 16 starters coming back from that team, it will be surprising if head coach Dirk Koetter's team *doesn't* make a bowl game this season.

Nine of 11 starters are back on offense, including junior QB Andrew Walter, who set a school record for passing yards in a season in '02.

The Sun Devils lost star WR Shaun McDonald to the NFL, but return junior Daryl Lightfoot, senior Skyler Fulton and sophomore Derek Hagan, all of whom are productive wideouts.

Senior Mike Williams looks to be the starter at running back. Sophomore Cornell Canidate will also push for carries. Senior FB Mike Karney, a solid blocking back, also returns.

All five starters are back on the

offensive line; however, Koetter has made some position changes. Senior Regis Crawford, who saw time at guard, center and left tackle last season, moves over to right guard. Junior Drew Hodgdon, a right guard in '02, moves to center, where he will battle incumbent Tony Aguilar, a senior. Aguilar will also compete with senior OLG Tim Fa'aita for his position.

Sophomore Chaz White, meanwhile moves from right to left tackle. Redshirt freshman Stephen Berg and sophomore Grayling Love are candidates to start at right tackle.

The Sun Devils return seven starters on defense, including three of the front four. The one that isn't coming back, however, is a huge loss, as DE Terrell Suggs and his 24 sacks left early for the pros. But junior DE Jimmy Verdon is back, as are senior DTs Brian Montesanto and Shane Jones.

Sophomore Nick Johnson will be the other starter at defensive end.

Sophomore Jamar Williams will likely be one-half of the starting linebackers in ASU's 4-2-5 scheme. Redshirt freshman Jordan Hill and sophomore Barton Hammit could also start.

Junior CB R.J. Oliver returns to start for a third consecutive year. Three players are competing to start opposite of him, including junior Emmanuel Franklin.

Senior Brett Hudson, a cornerback last season due to injuries in the secondary, is in the mix for one strong safety spot. Juniors Jason Shivers and Riccardo Stewart (combined 204 tackles in '02) look to be the other starters.


TEAM STATS 2002 8-5 (5-3)

	ARIZONA STATE	OPP
Points	32.7	28.7
1st Downs	20.5	17.8
Rush Att	35.6	34.5
Rush Yds	94.5	111.3
Pass Att	38.5	35.3
Compl %	55.5	50.5
Pass Yds	304.7	246.5
Intercept	1.2	1.7
Fumb Lost	1.5	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	3	-3

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Walter	426	246	57.7	3584	26	14
Christensen	64	27	42.2	302	3	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Canidate	130	493	3.8	7		
Williams	115	475	4.1	7		
Hill	93	279	3.0	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
McDonald	76	1291	13			
Lightfoot	38	539	2			
Pinkard	30	503	5			
Hagan	30	386	0			

OFFENSE - 9 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



CALIFORNIA GOLDEN BEARS

At Oregon, he tutored Akili Smith, A.J. Feeley and Joey Harrington. In his first season at Cal, he took a disappointing prospect in Kyle Boller and turned him into a first-round pick.

No wonder Jeff Tedford has earned the reputation as a quarterbacks guru.

Boller wasn't the only beneficiary in Tedford's first season as head coach in Berkeley: The Golden Bears went from 1-10 in 2001 to 7-5 last season.

But Tedford will have his work cut out for him in his second campaign. He is charged with getting the most out of a revamped defense and finding a replacement for the NFL-bound Boller.

Sophomore Richard Schwartz, a junior-college transfer, and junior Reggie Robertson are the favorites to take over at quarterback. At running back, senior Adimchinobe Echemandu and

junior Terrell Williams will battle for the starting spot. Echemandu missed 2002 with a knee injury.

With new starters at quarterback and running back, solid offensive-line play will be key. The Golden Bears return three starters from last season: Junior

ORT Chris Murphy and OLT Mark Wilson. Sophomore Marvin Philip, back from a Mormon mission, should start at guard, while senior David Hays may start at the other guard spot.

The Golden Bears have a solid WR corps, highlighted by senior Johnathan Makonnen and junior Geoff McArthur. Senior TE Brandon Hall could also put up nice numbers in Tedford's TE-friendly offense.

The Golden Bears' defense will have a completely different look throughout. Along the defensive line, junior DT Lorenzo Alexander and senior

DT Josh Beckham, both of whom started six games last season, are back. However, the competition to determine the starters at defensive end will be wide open, with eight returners and a handful of newcomers in the mix.

Junior LB Wendell Hunter is the only returner at his position with any starting experience. Fellow juniors Brian Tremblay and Sid Slater will also see time.

The secondary, which also lost a lot to graduation, does return senior CB James Bethea and sophomore rover Donnie McCleskey. Tedford is counting on junior S Ryan Gutierrez and junior CB Ryan McGrath, a standout on special teams, to help out too.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	CALIFORNIA	OPP
Points	35.6	26.5
1st Downs	19.1	21.4
Rush Att	32.7	38.0
Rush Yds	108.1	114.0
Pass Att	35.7	36.3
Compl %	53.7	58.2
Pass Yds	247.6	278.8
Intercept	0.8	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.7	1.8
Season T.O. Margin	18	-18

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Boller	422	226	53.6	2818	29	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Igber	241	1130	4.7	7		
Williams	37	139	3.8	1		
Boller	72	-83	-1.2	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Makonnen	54	682	7			
Swoboda	42	451	7			
Ward	39	709	9			
McArthur	36	454	1			
Igber	33	303	3			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 2



PROLINE Radio.com

Daily Online Radio Broadcast

ON THE WEB

The team behind Proline brings you ProlineRadio.com, an online radio broadcast providing an extensive look into the week of sports from a "better's point-of-view."

Join Jim Feist's panel of experts online weekdays for lively discussions, predictions and more on upcoming college and pro contests. Log on, and tune in daily.

Daily Online Radio Broadcast

PROLINE Radio.com

Proline free pick phone Free winners on a recorded message updated daily by a Proline panelist
1.888.307.3500

OREGON DUCKS

Finish strong. That has to be Oregon head coach Mike Bellotti's mantra after the Ducks lost six of their last seven games last season, including a 38-17 walloping by Wake Forest in the now-defunct Seattle Bowl.

One of the culprits in the late-season collapse was a passing attack that lost its way. Senior Jason Fife struggled down the stretch, and must battle sophomore Kellen Clemens to keep his starting job. Clemens fared better in spring ball than Fife, particularly in the spring game, when Clemens completed 12-of-16 passes for 189 yards. Fife, meanwhile, didn't complete a single pass in five attempts. Bellotti will wait until fall practice begins to name a starter.

Four players are battling to start at tailback. A player to watch is redshirt freshman Chris Vincent, a transfer from LSU

who runs with speed and power. Junior Ryan Shaw is in the mix.

Senior Samie Parker is back to lead the WR corps. Parker, also a sprinter on Oregon's track team, is quite the big-play threat. The other starter here could be sophomore Demetrius Williams, whom Bellotti praised after the spring game.

Sophomore Tim Day could have a leg up to replace TE George Wrihster, who left for the NFL after his junior year.

The Ducks return an experienced offensive line. Senior C Dan Weaver, an All-Pac-10 candidate, and senior ORG Joey Forster are the leaders. They're joined by three talented juniors.

The Ducks' defense will be under pressure to atone for the way it ended '02, when it surrendered more than 40 points in four of the last seven outings. Six starters return, including three in a secondary that should be much

improved. Seniors CB Steven Moore and FS Keith Lewis are standouts; both had five interceptions last season. ROVER Marley Tucker and CB Aaron Gipson are the other starters.

From his ILB spot, senior Kevin Mitchell racked up 114 tackles and six sacks last season. Junior MLB Jerry Matson and senior OLB David Martin are also starters.

Sophomore Haloti Ngata and junior Igor Olshansky are returning starters and big run-stuffers. Devan Long and Chris Solomon figure to start at defensive end.

Junior PK Jared Siegel (19-of-23 field goals in '02) could challenge for All-America honors. Both punters lack experience.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at Mississippi State
Sept. 6	NEVADA
Sept. 13	at Arizona
Sept. 20	MICHIGAN
Sept. 27	WASHINGTON STATE
Oct. 3	at Utah
Oct. 11	at Arizona State
Oct. 25	STANFORD
Nov. 1	at Washington
Nov. 8	CALIFORNIA
Nov. 15	at UCLA
Nov. 22	OREGON STATE


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 213

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (3-5)

	OREGON	OPP
Points	33.3	27.0
1st Downs	18.3	20.7
Rush Att	37.3	36.8
Rush Yds	147.3	106.7
Pass Att	30.9	38.3
Compl %	52.3	57.3
Pass Yds	232.8	295.3
Intercept	0.9	1.5
Fumb Lost	0.6	0.5
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Fife	357	189	52.9	2748	24	10
Clemens	9	4	44.4	40	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Smith	226	1079	4.8	12		
Whitehead	63	272	4.3	2		
Amundson	42	228	5.4	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Parker	45	681	7			
Wrightster	36	534	6			
Howry	35	734	5			
Willis	26	430	2			



OREGON STATE BEAVERS

Welcome back, Mike Riley. Riley, the Beavers' head coach from 1997-98, returns to Corvallis after Dennis Erickson — who replaced Riley — left to coach the San Francisco 49ers.

Riley inherits a team with almost its entire offense intact — a great thing, considering the ease with which it scored points last season en route to a birth in the Insight Bowl.

Junior RB Steven Jackson, a Doak Walker Award candidate, is one of 10 starters coming back. The 6-foot-3, 229-pounder ran for more than 100 yards nine times last season, and broke the 200-yard barrier three times. Jackson set school records for rushing and all-purpose yards in a season in 2002.

Junior Derek Anderson returns for a second season as the Beavers' starting quarterback.

Anderson set team records for touchdowns, passing yardage and total offense last season, but needs to improve his accuracy; he completed only 47 percent of his passes in 2002.

Seniors James Newsom and Kenny Farley are Anderson's top targets at wide receiver. Newsom lit up Pittsburgh for 10 catches and 165 yards in the Insight Bowl. Senior TE Tim Euhus is also a good pass-catcher.

Senior PK Kirk Yliniemi, who hit 15 straight field goals in 2002, is yet another offensive weapon.

The Beavers return all five starters along the offensive line: Seniors OLT Brian Kilkenny and OLG David Lose and juniors C Matt Brock, ORG Kanan Sanchez and ORT Doug Nienhuis.

The defense must rebound from losing standouts such as LB Nick Barnett, CB Dennis Weathersby and DTs James Lee and Eric Manning to the pros, but

it does have six starters back.

Junior DE Bill Swancutt tied the team record for sacks (11½) and tackles for loss (21) last season and is one of three starters back on the defensive line. Senior DE Noah Happe and space-eating senior DT Dwan Edwards also return. Sophomore Henry Anderson could be the other starter inside.

All-Pac-10 performer Richard Seigler, a senior, anchors the LB corps. Junior OLBs Seth Lacey and Johathan Pollard flank him.

Junior FS Mitch Meeuwsen and senior SS Lawrence Turner are returners in the secondary, while junior Aric Williams and senior Shamon Jamerson will start at cornerback.


TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (4-4)

	OREGON STATE	OPP
Points	33.4	19.1
1st Downs	20.4	17.8
Rush Att	39.2	36.5
Rush Yds	160.4	92.3
Pass Att	35.3	35.8
Compl %	47.3	48.6
Pass Yds	257.9	200.7
Intercept	1.1	1.7
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	10	-10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Anderson	404	190	47.0	2994	24	12
Rothenthal	18	10	55.6	101	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Jackson	300	1656	5.5	15		
Wright	77	361	4.7	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Newsom	64	1119	11			
Farley	27	512	3			
Clasen	21	244	1			
Euhus	19	313	1			
Kintner	15	233	4			



STANFORD CARDINAL

In head coach Buddy Teevens' second season in Palo Alto, the Cardinal look to rebound from a tough 2002 campaign, one that saw them struggle on both sides of the ball.

An offense that returns only three starters and lost WR Teyo Johnson and OT Kwame Harris to the NFL is without a definitive No. 1 quarterback. Sophomore Kyle Matter and senior Chris Lewis will battle for the starting job. Lewis was one of the country's premier playmakers two seasons ago, but missed much of last season with a rotator cuff injury.

The Cardinal also need to find a starting running back. Sophomore J.R. Lemon and junior Kenneth Tolon II have game experience, but neither has been a starter. A new fullback must be found, with the punishing Casey Moore off to the NFL.

Senior Luke Powell will be the

Cardinal's go-to receiver. Powell is also a very good punt returner. Four players, including sophomore Grant Mason (23 catches in '02) will battle for the other starting spot. Junior TE Alex Smith is also a key component in the passing game.

The Cardinal's offensive line returns one starter: senior OLT Kirk Chambers, who has started for three consecutive seasons. The other four positions are up for grabs.

The defense returns eight starters, but will be expected to play better than it did last season, when it surrendered 34 or more points on five separate occasions.

The strength of the defense is at linebacker, where the Cardinal return their top six players on the depth chart. Junior David Bergeron, an outside 'backer last season, moves over to play the middle. A pair of sophomores, Jon Alston and Michael Craven, could start out-

side. Junior OLB Jared Newberry and senior MLB Jake Covault will also see action.

Stanford's starting defensive ends from a season ago, junior Amon Gordon and senior Louis Hobson, are back. Sophomore Babatunde Oshinowo Jr. looks to start at nose tackle, while senior Scott Scharff and sophomore Casey Carroll are candidates to start at the other defensive tackle spot.


Junior SS Oshiomogho Atogwe, the team's leading tackler last season, leads the secondary. The Cardinal also have a pair of solid cornerbacks in juniors Leigh Torrence and Stanley Wilson. Four players are in the mix to start at free safety.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-9 (1-7)

	STANFORD	OPP
Points	20.5	34.3
1st Downs	17.8	19.2
Rush Att	38.8	35.6
Rush Yds	133.2	132.7
Pass Att	33.5	33.1
Compl %	51.5	56.0
Pass Yds	178.2	252.0
Intercept	2.0	1.5
Fumb Lost	0.7	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-5	5

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Matter	214	116	54.2	1219	8	10
Lewis	139	68	48.9	712	5	9
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Carter	137	497	3.6	2		
Moore	54	348	6.4	1		
Tolon	66	346	5.2	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Johnson	41	467	8			
Smith	30	380	2			
Powell	25	268	1			
Wells	23	231	1			



BIG GAME WINNERS *continue for Jim Feist*

CALL NOW FOR **PRESEASON FOOTBALL**

INCLUDING JIM'S **PRESEASON GAME - of-the-YEAR!**

Yet another **BIG WINNER** last year:

2002 PRESEASON G.O.Y. 08/30/02 CHIEFS 23 (+3) OVER RAMS 16 WINNER

Plus **ALL OF JIM'S COLLEGE AND PRO EXECUTIVE SERVICE PLAYS**

THAT'S 2 MONTHS OF WINNERS

CALL TOLL-FREE

1.877.677.9467

3140 S. Polaris Ave. #24, Las Vegas, NV 89102

Just **\$79**

World Champion
Handicapper
JIM FEIST



UCLA BRUINS

New UCLA head coach Karl Dorrell inherits a good mix of talent on both sides of the ball as he tried to turn around the Bruins' fortunes. Problem is, he also inherits a bear of a schedule, with road games at Colorado, Oklahoma, Washington State and USC.

Perhaps his biggest decision in his first season will be whom to name the starting quarterback out of the sophomore trio of Matt Moore, Drew Olson and John Sciarra. Olson has the most experience of the group. Moore was planning to redshirt until both Olson and Cory Paus got injured, but his experience under fire in 2002 should prove helpful this season.

Nifty 5-foot-9 sophomore RB Tyler Ebell heads up a deep backfield. Junior Manuel White Jr. will start at fullback.

The Bruins return four of their top five receivers from last season, including starters junior

Craig Bragg and senior Tab Perry. Sophomore Junior Taylor, a deep threat, gives the WR corps a punch few teams can match.

Sophomore Keith Carter has the edge to replace Mike Seidman at tight end.

The Bruins return three starters along the offensive line. Juniors ORG Steven Vieira and OLG Eyoseph Efseaff are back, as is sophomore C Mike McCloskey. Sophomore Ed Blanton may start at left tackle, while junior Paul Mociler could start at the other tackle.

Like the offense, the defense returns seven starters. Three are along the defensive line. Senior DT Rodney Leisle, who missed five games last season with a broken foot, is back at full strength, which should be a boon for a defense that struggled last season. Senior DE Dave Ball (11 sacks last season) is also back.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Sept. 6	at Colorado
Sept. 13	ILLINOIS
Sept. 20	at Oklahoma
Sept. 27	SAN DIEGO STATE
Oct. 4	WASHINGTON
Oct. 11	at Arizona
Oct. 18	CALIFORNIA
Oct. 25	ARIZONA STATE
Nov. 1	at Stanford
Nov. 8	at Washington State
Nov. 15	OREGON
Nov. 22	at USC

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 241


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	UCLA	OPP
Points	30.0	26.1
1st Downs	19.0	18.5
Rush Att.	40.0	36.8
Rush Yds	132.1	136.6
Pass Att.	28.8	33.1
Comp %	55.7	50.1
Pass Yds	240.9	227.5
Intercept	0.8	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.0	0.5
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Paus	184	109	59.2	1647	10	6
Olson	98	50	51.0	688	3	3
Moore	46	24	52.2	332	2	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Ebell	209	924	4.4	9		
White	76	358	4.7	5		
Harris	79	323	4.1	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Bragg	51	851	8			
Seidman	41	631	5			
Perry	35	698	1			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



USC TROJANS

Carson Palmer is a Bengal. Justin Fargas is a Raider.

Both were catalysts of a Trojans' offense that led USC to the Pac-10 championship and a resounding win over Iowa in the Orange Bowl.

As head coach Pete Carroll tries to figure out how to replace Heisman Trophy-winning Palmer and the fleet Fargas, he will rely on a stout defense returning six starters to carry more of the load.

The centerpiece of that defense is a front four that could be the best in the country. Senior DE Omar Nazel and junior Kenechi Udezue, both starters last season, create havoc off the edge. The duo combined for 26½ tackles for loss and 14 sacks.

Inside, junior Mike Patterson (5½ sacks in '02) returns. The other starter inside will be junior Shaun Cody, a starter for the first

six games of '02 before injuring his knee.

USC returns its starting outside linebackers from a season ago: junior Matt Grootegoed (eight sacks) and senior Melvin Simmons. Sophomores Lofa Tatupu and Oscar Lua will compete to start in the middle.

Senior CB Marcell Allmond is the only starter back in the secondary. The other starting corner could be senior Kevin Arbet, who missed last season. Junior Jason Leach looks to start at one safety slot — he led the Trojans with four interceptions last season. The other spot appears up for grabs.

On offense, juniors Brandon Hance and Matt Cassel and sophomores Billy Hart and Matt Leinhart will compete to replace Palmer at quarterback. Hance has the most experience of the group, having started nine games for Purdue in '01 before transferring.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	at Auburn
Sept. 6	BYU
Sept. 13	HAWAII
Sept. 27	at California
Oct. 4	at Arizona State
Oct. 11	STANFORD
Oct. 18	at Notre Dame
Oct. 25	at Washington
Nov. 1	WASHINGTON STATE
Nov. 15	at Arizona
Nov. 22	UCLA
Dec. 6	OREGON STATE

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 243

One-third of USC's returning starters are in the WR corps, where spectacular sophomore Mike Williams and steady senior Keary Colbert will give the new quarterback an impressive pair of targets to throw to.

In the backfield, sophomore RB Hershel Dennis will likely compete for the starting job with three freshmen (Reggie Bush, Chauncey Washington, LenDale White).

USC boasts an experienced, deep offensive line. Four of five starters are back, including senior Jacob Rogers and Winston Justice. Seniors OLG Lenny Vandermade and C Norm Katnik are also back, leaving right guard the only spot up for grabs.


TEAM STATS 2002 10-2 (7-1)

	USC	OPP
Points	35.6	18.6
1st Downs	23.6	15.9
Rush Att.	38.8	30.5
Rush Yds	133.8	80.2
Pass Att.	38.6	34.8
Comp %	63.1	50.5
Pass Yds	307.1	201.6
Intercept	0.8	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.7	1.5
Season T.O. Margin	16	-16

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Palmer	458	288	62.9	3639	32	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
McCullough	167	738	4.4	7		
Fargas	141	593	4.2	5		
Dennis	47	190	4.0	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Williams	75	1166	13			
Colbert	65	948	5			
Kelly	43	531	4			
MacKenzie	38	360	7			
Holmes	26	293	2			

OFFENSE - 6 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 6



WASHINGTON HUSKIES

Washington returns 16 starters from last year's team, one that finished 7-6. Head coach Rick Neuheisel is also back for another season after interviewing for the San Francisco 49ers' head-coaching position.

The Huskies, like most of the teams in Neuheisel's five-year tenure in Seattle, have a plethora of talent on offense, highlighted by senior QB Cody Pickett and junior WR Reggie Williams, both All-America candidates.

Pickett had a banner 2002 season, becoming the first Pac-10 player to throw for more than 4,000 yards. Williams, meanwhile, put up Play Station-caliber numbers, even against tough competition. For example, Williams torched Washington State CB Marcus Trufant, a first-round NFL draft pick, for 12 catches and 169 yards. The Huskies have another

dynamic playmaker in WR Charles Frederick, who made quite an impact despite only starting twice last season.

At tight end, sophomore Joe Toledo and junior-college transfer Jon Lyon will see time.

If senior Rich Alexis recovers from offseason shoulder surgery

and puts his off-field troubles behind him, he'll again start at running back. Other players fighting for carries are junior Chris Singleton and redshirt freshmen Shelton Sampson and Kenny James.

Four starters — juniors OLT Khalif Barnes and OLG Aaron Butler and seniors C Todd Bachert and ORT

Nick Newton — return along the offensive line. Junior Dan Dicks and sophomore Rob Meadow will battle to start at right guard.

A secondary that struggled to stop the pass last season hopes a year of experience will help it in this campaign. Sophomore FS

James Sims Jr. and junior CB Derrick Johnson are back. Senior Roc Alexander will take Nate Robinson's place in the lineup, while junior Jimmy Newell moves in at strong safety. Evan Benjamin will also be in the mix at strong safety.

Senior Greg Carothers moves from safety to an OLB spot. He'll be joined by senior Tyler Krambrink. Inside, Notre Dame transfer Cory Jones and senior Marquis Cooper have a good shot at starting.

Junior DE Manase Hopoi (17 tackles for loss, seven sacks) is the standout on the defensive line. Senior DT Terry Johnson and junior DT Josh Miller, starters last season, also return.


TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (4-4)

	WASHINGTON	OPP
Points	31.2	25.7
1st Downs	25.1	17.7
Rush Att	35.8	33.9
Rush Yds	77.0	96.1
Pass Att	47.3	34.1
Compl %	61.2	54.8
Pass Yds	352.4	257.5
Intercept	1.1	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.0	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	2	-2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Pickett	558	340	60.9	4186	26	13
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Alexis	195	670	3.4	10		
Singleton	64	224	3.5	1		
Clemon	67	215	3.2	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Williams	89	1390	11			
Reddick	48	520	2			
Frederick	42	616	2			
Ware	41	451	5			
Arnold	36	507	5			

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 8



WASHINGTON STATE COUGARS

Several of the stars of Washington State's Rose Bowl team have departed along with ex-coach Mike Price, leaving first-year head coach Bill Doba with a team that must forge a new identity.

Doba served as WSU's defensive coordinator the last nine seasons, and he'll look to build around that unit as the foundation of the Cougars' success this season.

Despite losing star DT Rien Long and star CB Marcus Trufant, the Cougars return a bunch of talent on their stop unit.

The front four should be among the Pac-10's best thanks to run-stuffing DTs Jeremey Williams and Tai Tupai and pass-rushing DEs Isaac Brown and D.D. Acholonu (combined career total of 35 sacks).

Sophomore Will Derting leads a LB corps that welcomes seven of its top eight players back. Al

Genatone is a steady performer on the outside.

The secondary will be led by senior FS Erik Coleman, who moves over from cornerback, and senior CB Jason David (seven interceptions). CB Karl Paymah and safeties Hamza Abdullah, Jeremy Bohannon and Virgil Williams round out the unit.

The offense will miss departed stars QB Jason Gesser, WRs Mike Bush and Jerome Riley and OT Derrick Roche, but Doba is confident that 6-5 senior QB Matt Kegel can step in and perform well. Kegel actually did well in relief of Gesser late last season.

He'll have plenty of weapons at his disposal, led by senior RB Jermaine Green, who led the team in rushing despite coming off the bench. He also had TD runs of 80 and 75 yards in '02.

The WR corps is top-notch, led by All-Pac-10 honoree Devard

Darling, a 6-3, 205-pound junior. Darling tied WSU's school record for most touchdowns receiving in one year — 11. Darling will be joined by senior Sammy Moore (30 yards per catch in '02 and a top return threat) and 6-2 senior Scott Lunde in the team's three-WR sets. TE Troy Bienemann, who's coming off an impressive freshman season when he grabbed 15 passes for 233 yards, also returns.

Up front, the Cougars line up an imposing tackle tandem — OLT Calvin Armstrong is a 6-8, 315-pounder while ORT Sam Lightbody checks in at 6-9, 310.

Senior PK Drew Dunning and sophomore P Kyle Basler are standouts.


TEAM STATS 2002 10-2 (7-1)

	WASHINGTON STATE	OPP
Points	34.8	21.8
1st Downs	21.2	20.8
Rush Att	34.3	33.9
Rush Yds	139.7	82.3
Pass Att	35.3	42.2
Compl %	60.4	56.5
Pass Yds	297.2	256.5
Intercept	1.0	1.4
Fumb Lost	1.2	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-2	2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Gesser	368	219	59.5	3169	27	11
Kegel	40	27	67.5	211	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Green	142	784	5.5	9		
Tippins	113	556	4.9	2		
J. Smith	70	282	4.0	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Darling	49	725	11			
Riley	48	800	6			
Bush	48	691	6			
Lunde	28	347	1			

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 7



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Oregon State	12	470	1925	4.10	21	160.42
Oregon	12	447	1768	3.96	20	147.33
Washington State	12	412	1676	4.07	17	139.67
USC	12	466	1605	3.44	21	133.75
Stanford	11	427	1465	3.43	12	133.18
UCLA	12	480	1585	3.30	19	132.08
California	12	392	1296	3.31	14	108.00
Arizona State	13	463	1229	2.65	19	94.54
Washington	12	430	924	2.15	15	77.00
Arizona	12	360	526	1.46	7	43.83

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Washington	12	567	347	4229	26	352.42
USC	12	463	292	3685	32	307.08
Arizona State	13	501	278	3961	29	304.69
Washington State	12	424	256	3566	29	297.17
Arizona	12	430	246	3477	17	289.75
Oregon State	12	423	200	3095	25	257.92
California	12	428	230	2971	31	247.58
UCLA	12	345	192	2891	19	240.92
Oregon	12	371	194	2794	25	232.83
Stanford	11	369	190	1960	14	178.18

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
USC	12	929	5290	5.69	55	440.83
Washington State	12	836	5242	6.27	50	436.83
Washington	12	997	5153	5.17	45	429.42
Oregon State	12	893	5020	5.62	51	418.33
Arizona State	13	964	5190	5.38	51	399.23
Oregon	12	818	4562	5.58	49	380.17
UCLA	12	825	4476	5.43	43	373.00
California	12	820	4267	5.20	53	355.58
Arizona	12	790	4003	5.07	27	333.58
Stanford	11	796	3425	4.30	28	311.36

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
USC	12	366	962	2.63	9	80.17
Washington State	12	407	988	2.43	10	82.33
Oregon State	12	438	1108	2.53	10	92.33
Washington	12	407	1153	2.83	15	96.08
Oregon	12	441	1280	2.90	10	106.67
Arizona State	13	452	1447	3.20	14	111.31
California	12	456	1368	3.00	15	114.00
Stanford	11	392	1460	3.72	18	132.73
UCLA	12	442	1639	3.71	15	136.58
Arizona	12	508	1942	3.82	18	161.83

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Oregon State	12	430	209	2408	9	200.67
USC	12	418	211	2419	15	201.58
Arizona	12	368	214	2692	15	224.33
UCLA	12	397	199	2730	19	227.50
Stanford	11	364	204	2772	22	252.00
Washington State	12	506	286	3078	18	256.50
Washington	12	409	224	3090	21	257.50
Arizona State	13	459	232	3205	27	246.54
California	12	435	253	3346	20	278.83
Oregon	12	459	263	3544	33	295.33

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
USC	12	4.31	784	3381	25	281.75
Oregon State	12	4.05	868	3516	24	293.00
Washington State	12	4.45	913	4066	31	338.83
Washington	12	5.20	816	4243	37	353.58
Arizona State	13	5.11	911	4652	45	357.85
UCLA	12	5.21	839	4369	39	364.08
Stanford	11	5.60	756	4232	44	384.73
Arizona	12	5.29	876	4634	35	386.17
California	12	5.29	891	4714	38	392.83
Oregon	12	5.36	900	4824	43	402.00

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Steven Jackson, Oregon State	So.	12	300	1656	15	138.00
Onterio Smith, Oregon	Jr.	9	226	1079	12	119.89
Joe Igber, California	Sr.	12	241	1130	7	94.17
Tyler Ebell, UCLA	Fr.	12	209	924	9	77.00
Rich Alexis, Washington	Jr.	10	195	670	10	67.00
Jermaine Green, Washington State	Jr.	12	142	784	9	65.33
Sultan McCullough, USC	Sr.	12	167	738	7	61.50
Justin Fargas, USC	Sr.	11	141	593	5	53.91
Kerry Carter, Stanford	Sr.	10	149	524	2	52.40
John Tippins, Washington State	Sr.	12	113	556	2	46.33

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
Jason Gesser, Washington State	Sr.	12	368	219	59.51	11	3169	27
Carson Palmer, USC	Sr.	12	458	288	62.88	10	3639	32
Andrew Walter, Arizona State	So.	13	426	246	57.75	14	3584	26
Cody Pickett, Washington	Jr.	12	558	340	60.93	13	4186	26
Jason Fife, Oregon	Jr.	12	357	189	52.94	10	2748	24
Jason Johnson, Arizona	Sr.	12	410	239	58.29	13	3327	16
Kyle Bolter, California	Sr.	12	421	225	53.44	10	2815	28
Derek Anderson, Oregon State	So.	12	404	190	47.03	12	2994	24
Kyle Matter, Stanford	Fr.	10	214	116	54.21	10	1219	8

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Reggie Williams, Washington	So.	12	89	1390	11	115.83
Bobby Wade, Arizona	Sr.	12	93	1389	8	115.75
Shaun McDonald, Arizona State	Jr.	13	76	1291	13	99.31
Mike Williams, USC	Fr.	12	75	1166	13	97.17
James Newton, Oregon State	Jr.	12	64	1119	11	93.25
Keary Colbert, USC	Jr.	12	65	948	5	79.00
Andrae Thurman, Arizona	Jr.	12	61	915	3	76.25
Jerome Riley, Washington State	Sr.	11	48	800	6	72.73
Craig Bragg, UCLA	So.	12	51	851	8	70.92
Tab Perry, UCLA	Jr.	11	35	698	1	63.45

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Drew Dunning, Washington State	Jr.	12	0	45	22	111	9.25
Mark-Christen Jensen, California	Sr.	12	0	50	19	107	8.92
Jared Siegel, Oregon	So.	12	0	47	19	104	8.67
Steven Jackson, Oregon State	So.	12	17	0	0	102	8.50
John Anderson, Washington	Sr.	12	0	39	21	102	8.50
Mike Barth, Arizona State	Sr.	13	0	46	21	109	8.38
Onterio Smith, Oregon	Jr.	9	12	0	0	72	8.00
Kirk Yliniemi, Oregon State	Jr.	11	0	44	13	83	7.55
Ryan Killeen, USC	So.	12	0	42	15	87	7.25
Mike Williams, USC	Fr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KB	YDS
Bobby Wade, Arizona	Sr.	12	4	138	224	332	1949
Steven Jackson, Oregon State	So.	12	1656	151	0	0	1807
Onterio Smith, Oregon	Jr.	9	1079	77	0	175	1331
LaShaun Ward, California	Sr.	12	35	709	0	809	1553
Joe Igber, California	Sr.	12	1130	309	0	0	1439
Tab Perry, UCLA	Jr.	11	5	698	0	576	1279
Reggie Williams, Washington	So.	12	0	139	0	0	1390
Charles Frederick, Washington	Fr.	12	11	616	125	575	1327
Tyler Ebell, UCLA	Fr.	12	924	72	266	43	1305
Shaun McDonald, Arizona State	Jr.	13	25	129	29	0	1345

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Cody Pickett, Washington	Jr.	12	-193	4186	3993	332.75
Carson Palmer, USC	Sr.	12	-126	3639	3513	292.75
Andrew Walter, Arizona State	So.	13	-194	3584	3390	260.77
Jason Gesser, Washington State	Sr.	12	-69	3169	3100	258.33
Jason Johnson, Arizona	Sr.	12	-373	3327	2954	246.17
Jason Fife, Oregon	Jr.	12	64	2748	2812	234.33
Derek Anderson, Oregon State	So.	12	-183	2994	2811	234.25
Kyle Bolter, California	Sr.	12	-83	2815	2732	227.67
Steven Jackson, Oregon State	So.	12	1656	0	1656	138.00
Kyle Matter, Stanford	Fr.	10	64	1219	1283	128.30

WWW.BETBADLANDS.COM

Capturing the thrills and excitement of the Old West...

Badlands is your one and only source for premium internet gaming.

Best promos in the business • Fast and easy payouts • Only one deposit needed for both online & telephone wagering • Confidential and secure • Full-featured online casino • Football, baseball, basketball & hockey wagering on sides and totals • Parlays, teasers, future bets, money lines, point buying, and halftimes • Wagering on special events like boxing, golf, tennis, & auto racing • Courteous & professional English Speaking clerks.
- Spanish, French, Chinese, speaking clerks also available.

Generous Bonuses for NEW and RETURNING players

Sportsbook
& Casino

Make your move and sign up today!

Telephone wagering

1.800.291.3359

Online wagering



www.BetBadlands.com

* Restrictions may apply. Call our courteous customer service for more information.



SEC PREDICTIONS 2003

E • A • S • T

ONE GEORGIA

bulldogs

They may have lost a lot from the Bulldogs' first SEC title team since 1982, but head coach Mark Richt has enough talent back to contend for another title. QB David Greene and WR Fred Gibson (one of nine Dawgs hoping to regain eligibility after selling their SEC title rings) could be a top pass-catch duo. Tough road games await vs. Clemson, LSU and Tennessee.

TWO

TENNESSEE

volunteers

QB Casey Clausen & Co. are determined to make amends for the Vols' worst season in 14 years. Unfortunately, the schedule won't help matters with road trips to Florida, Auburn, Alabama and Miami (Fla.). The Vols hope the injury bug that cost 19 players a total of 71 games in 2002 is gone.

THREE

FLORIDA

gators

Head coach Ron Zook's top priority is finding a quarterback to replace NFL-bound Rex Grossman. Things may not be decided until late summer when highly touted freshmen Chris Leak and Justin Midgett arrive on campus. Midseason games at LSU, at Arkansas and vs. Georgia in Jacksonville are very important.

FOUR

SOUTH CAROLINA

fighting gamecocks

The offense took the brunt of the blame for a five-game skid to end last season. Head coach Lou Holtz hopes to find a quarterback who can throw the ball to help him take full advantage of a schedule that features eight home games.

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB David Greene, Georgia, Jr.
- RB Brandon Jacobs, Auburn, Jr.
- RB Shaud Williams, Alabama, Sr.
- WR Michael Clayton, LSU, Jr.
- WR Fred Gibson, Georgia, Jr.
- TE Ben Watson, Georgia, Sr.
- OL Shawn Andrews, Arkansas, Jr.
- OL Antonio Hall, Kentucky, Sr.
- OL Stephen Peterman, LSU, Sr.
- OL Justin Smiley, Alabama, Jr.
- OL Shannon Snell, Florida, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Chad Lavalais, LSU, Sr.
- DL DeMarco McNeil, Auburn, Sr.
- DL Antwan Odom, Alabama, Jr.
- DL David Pollack, Georgia, Jr.
- LB Karlos Dansby, Auburn, Sr.
- LB Dontarrious Thomas, Auburn, Sr.
- LB Cornelius Wortham, Alabama, Sr.
- DB Rashad Baker, Tennessee, Sr.
- DB Keiwan Ratliff, Florida, Sr.
- DB Lawrence Richardson, Arkansas, Sr.
- DB Corey Webster, LSU, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Billy Bennett, Georgia, Sr.
- P Donnie Jones, LSU, Sr.

FIVE

KENTUCKY

wildcats

Rich Brooks, the Wildcats' fourth head coach in 10 years, will build around husky QB Jared Lorenzen and four returning starters on the offensive line. The transition will be helped by a relatively benign schedule.

SIX

VANDERBILT

commodores

Vandy actually showed glimpses of promise last season. QB Jay Cutler got valuable experience and the team discovered it's loaded at tailback. The '03 schedule looks brutal, however.

W • E • S • T

ONE

AUBURN

tigers

The three-time defending SEC West champ exceeded expectations in each of those seasons. This year will be different as the Tigers are highly regarded and feeling the pressure. Auburn is loaded at running back and linebacker. The schedule is tough, with the season opener vs. USC, a trip to Georgia Tech and games at Arkansas, LSU and Georgia.

TWO

LSU

tigers

Head coach Nick Saban must



QB DAVID GREENE
GEORGIA

THREE

ARKANSAS

razorbacks

The lack of a passing attack continues to haunt the Hogs and head coach Houston Nutt, who has seen his team fall short in bowl games each of the past two seasons. Nutt hopes using QB Matt Jones at wide receiver to maximize his big-play potential is the answer.

FOUR

ALABAMA

crimson tide

'Bama is reeling after ex-coach Dennis Franchione left for Texas A&M and new coach Mike Price was fired in May. The Tide are feeling the effects of scholarship cuts and NCAA probation. Plus, several key players must be replaced and a rugged schedule is on tap for new head coach Mike Shula & Co.

FIVE

MISSISSIPPI

rebels

QB Eli Manning returned for another season and has solid WRs like Chris Collins, Bill Flowers and Mike Espy to throw to. However, until head coach David Cutcliffe finds a running game, the Rebels will be stuck in the mud.

SIX

MISSISSIPPI STATE

bulldogs

Head coach Jackie Sherrill survived a dismal 3-9 season by firing five assistant coaches. The off-season was not kind with more off-the-field problems. On the field, the Bulldogs play four of their first six at home, then four of six away.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Eastern Division							
Georgia	7	1	226	144	3-0	4-0	0-1
Florida	6	2	191	180	3-1	2-2	1-0
Tennessee	5	3	182	147	2-2	3-1	0-0
South Carolina	3	5	108	156	2-3	1-2	0-0
*Kentucky	3	5	215	228	1-3	2-2	0-0
Vanderbilt	0	8	121	260	0-4	0-4	0-0
Western Division							
*Alabama	6	2	227	99	2-2	4-0	0-0
Arkansas	5	3	223	164	2-2	3-1	0-0
Auburn	5	3	213	150	2-2	3-1	0-0
LSU	5	3	179	160	4-1	1-2	0-0
Mississippi	3	5	175	230	3-1	0-4	0-0
Mississippi State	0	8	123	265	0-4	0-4	0-0

* - Not eligible for conference title

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Eastern Division							
Georgia	12	1	424	199	7-0	4-0	1-1
Florida	8	4	306	241	5-2	2-2	1-0
Tennessee	8	4	293	197	4-3	3-1	1-0
*Kentucky	7	5	385	301	4-3	3-2	0-0
South Carolina	5	7	225	262	3-3	2-4	0-0
Vanderbilt	2	10	221	388	2-5	0-5	0-0
Western Division							
*Alabama	10	3	377	200	5-2	5-1	1-0
Arkansas	9	4	356	248	6-2	3-1	0-1
Auburn	8	4	375	222	5-2	3-2	0-0
LSU	8	4	303	203	6-1	2-3	0-0
Mississippi	6	6	324	308	6-1	0-5	0-0
Mississippi State	3	9	227	339	2-4	1-5	0-0

* - Not eligible for conference title

ALABAMA CRIMSON TIDE

It's never boring in Tuscaloosa. At least not with the football team, which in the past two years has suffered through an NCAA investigation and the abrupt departures of head coaches Dennis Franchione and Mike Price — the latter without ever coaching a single game — this offseason.

So it's a new start for coach (and former Crimson Tide QB) Mike Shula who inherits a talented defense and a young offense. Last year's team was a surprise at 10-3 despite not being eligible for a bowl game last year and this season.

QB Brodie Coyle showed he's more than a good athlete by passing for 260 yards and three TDs in the spring game. Coyle filled in well for departed starter Tyler Watts but needs more consistency throwing the ball.

RB Shaad Williams should be fine as the lead runner with Ahmaad Galloway and Santonio

Beard gone. Williams is shifty and elusive and could break 1,000 yards. Ray Hudson averaged more than six yards a carry and will be an important backup.

WRs Triandos Luke, Dre Fulgham and Zach Fletcher return. Luke is the more polished of the three, but Fulgham and

Fletcher have more natural deep speed. Plus, senior Antonio Carter returns after missing the 2002 season to injury, while TE Clint Johnson could also emerge as a receiver.

The offensive line will be anchored by returning starters OLT Wesley Britt and OLG Justin Smiley, both All-America candidates.

ORT Evan Mathis is solid.

The D-line will showcase DEs Antwan Odom and Nautyn McKay-Loesch with the Big Three — Jarret Johnson, Kenny King and Kindal Moorehead — gone. Odom and McKay-Loesch can each chase the

passer and make big plays. DTs Ahmad Childress and Anthony Bryant have big shoes to fill.

The starting LB corps returns intact. MLB Freddie Roach is a good pass rusher and could be a fantastic player. Athletic WLB Brooks Daniels led the team in tackles and was the most consistent tackler. SLB Cornelius Wortham also had a nice season. This looks to be a very good unit.


Talented defensive backs, FS Charles Jones and CB Charlie Peprah, are the only returning starters in the secondary, but there is experience at every spot. Projected starting CBs Anthony Madison and Carlos Andrews — who had a nice spring — played in every game last fall.

TEAM STATS 2002 10-3 (6-2)

	ALABAMA	OPP
Points	28.2	15.4
1st Downs	19.5	15.0
Rush Att	47.6	30.0
Rush Yds	213.2	80.2
Pass Att	23.8	28.8
Comp %	56.1	49.5
Pass Yds	190.2	177.2
Intercept	0.7	1.4
Fumb Lost	0.7	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	15	-15

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Watts	181	112	61.9	1414	7	4
Croyle	123	60	48.8	1046	5	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Williams	130	921	7.1	5		
Beard	165	811	4.9	12		
Watts	130	356	2.7	3		
Hudson	49	306	6.2	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Luke	41	482	2			
Collins	35	553	3			
Fulgham	30	505	3			



ARKANSAS RAZORBACKS

The Razorbacks were one of the surprises of the SEC in 2002, winning the conference's West Division. With holes to fill on defense and several players back from injury, the task to repeat as division champs is daunting.

It didn't help that the athletic program was placed on three years probation for overpaying football and basketball players, although the Razorbacks won't lose TV appearances or be kept from bowls or championships.

On offense, the strength is up front. Massive junior ORT Shawn Andrews, an Outland Trophy and Lombardi Award candidate, leads a line with four seniors and good depth.

They will pave the way for what should be a strong run game, led by RBs De'Arrius Howard and Cedric Cobbs and QB Matt Jones, even though 1,000-yard rusher Fred Talley is gone. Howard, one of the SEC's

best freshmen last year, looks like the lead back in what has been a run-heavy offense recently.

Jones, in his first year as a full-time starter, was solid, but needs to improve his throwing accuracy. He will also line up at wide receiver as the coaches try to make use of his great athleticism.

Starting wideouts George Wilson and Richard Smith caught 56 percent of the team's receptions in '02, but there's not much behind them.

The defense has several bodies returning, but there will be a lot of shuffling, especially because of injuries.

Eight starters return, but FS Ken Hamlin entered the NFL draft early, leaving a big hole to fill.

The strength of the defense appears to be at linebacker, where returning starters SLB Caleb Miller, MLB Clarke Moore and WLB Tony Bua are three of the top four returning tacklers. Bua missed the entire spring rehab-

bing from shoulder surgery but is expected to return to form in the fall. Miller is a heady tackler, and Moore might face competition from senior Brandon Holmes.

The secondary returns three starters. Senior Jimmy Beasley, who also missed spring practice, will be the rover when healthy with solid Bo Mosley behind him. Ahmad "Batman" Carroll, Lawrence Richardson and Eddie Jackson are solid corners.


DTs Elliott Harris and Arrior Dixon need to improve their production as juniors. Getting pressure from DEs Justin Scott and Jeb Huckeba, a converted middle linebacker, will be key because there is little depth or experience along the D-line.

TEAM STATS 2002 9-4 (5-4)

	ARKANSAS	OPP
Points	27.4	19.1
1st Downs	18.2	18.9
Rush Att	47.1	35.3
Rush Yds	229.6	109.4
Pass Att	19.9	34.7
Comp %	50.2	55.4
Pass Yds	129.0	232.1
Intercept	1.0	1.2
Fumb Lost	0.4	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	15	-15

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Jones	210	110	52.4	1473	15	6
Jackson	39	14	35.9	143	1	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Talley	183	1086	5.9	2		
Jones	122	600	4.9	5		
Howard	129	588	4.6	7		
Cobbs	72	398	5.5	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Wilson	41	515	6			
Smith	29	517	3			
Birmingham	17	184	3			



AUBURN TIGERS

It could be a special year for the Tigers, who are a program on the rise — and a team to be reckoned with. Head coach Tommy Tuberville has 16 starters returning on a team with a stout defense and offensive pluck.

After QB Jason Campbell took over the starting reins against LSU in mid-season last year, the offense finally started to click on a consistent basis. Campbell is a good athlete, using his scrambling ability, and he proved he is a capable passer with 1,215 yards passing and better than a two-to-one ratio of touchdowns to interceptions in six starts.

The RB trio of Ronnie Brown, Carnell "Cadillac" Williams and Tre Smith is one of the best in the nation, combining for 2,207 rushing yards last season. They will be running behind a veteran offensive line, which replaces only one starter. Brown (184

rushing yards, two touchdowns vs. Penn State in the Capital One Bowl) is the workhorse for now, but watch out for Williams as he returns from a broken left fibula that cost him the final six games last season.

Although there appears to be no true go-to receiver, there are four experienced wideouts returning, and redshirt freshman Courtney Taylor had a promising spring.

Up front, O-line coach and offensive coordinator Hugh Nall has a veteran group to work with with both starting guards and tackles back. Danny Lindsey moves over from left guard to play center for departed star C Ben Nowland.

The defense is led by a fantastic front four. DT DeMarco McNeil had a little bit of a disappointing junior season but should be primed for greatness this season. DEs Jay Ratliff, Bret Eddins and Reggie Torbor can get to the

quarterback and make plays in the backfield, and senior DT Spencer Johnson is solid. The depth is also fantastic: six linemen have starting experience.

Senior OLBs Dontarrious Thomas and Karlos Dansby are top-notch playmakers who combined for seven sacks and 25 tackles for loss in 2002. Although the depth is good, departed leading tackler MLB Mark Brown will be missed.

The secondary will be the X-factor for the defense. If SS Junior Rosegreen and CB Carlos Rogers can solidify a young and inexperienced group, they should be solid.

The special teams will feel the loss of PK-P Damon Duval.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	USC
Sept. 6	at Georgia Tech
Sept. 13	at Vanderbilt
Sept. 27	BALL STATE
Oct. 4	TENNESSEE
Oct. 11	at Arkansas
Oct. 18	MISSISSIPPI STATE
Oct. 25	at LSU
Nov. 1	LOUISIANA-MONROE
Nov. 8	MISSISSIPPI
Nov. 15	at Georgia
Nov. 22	ALABAMA


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 139

TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (5-3)

	AUBURN	OPP
Points	31.3	18.5
1st Downs	19.4	17.9
Rush Att	42.8	35.9
Rush Yds	204.0	139.3
Pass Att	23.5	33.2
Compl %	58.2	56.5
Pass Yds	192.7	193.8
Intercept	0.8	1.7
Fumb Lost	1.0	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	10	-10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Cobb	150	80	53.3	1175	7	5
Campbell	132	84	63.6	1137	11	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Brown	138	824	6.0	11		
Williams	141	745	5.3	10		
Smith	74	444	6.0	3		
Campbell	64	200	3.1	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Willis	30	411	1			
Johnson	28	348	4			
Aromashodu	16	286	1			



FLORIDA GATORS

The Ron Zook era did not get off to a banner start. Replacing Steve Spurrier was hard enough, and Zook found the going to be tough minus a lot of Spurrier's offensive talent. This season Zook must replace his top passing duo: QB Rex Grossman and WR Taylor Jacobs. The Gators are loaded with talent, but most of it is very young. Zook's fine first two recruiting classes will now be asked to contribute.

It's rare that the most sure and experienced unit on Florida is the offensive line, but that appears to be the case. OLT Max Starks and OLG Shannon Snell, both seniors, will lead a group that was often outmanned last season but will enjoy depth with six of its top seven players back and three junior-college players coming in.

They will be leading the way for a very good group of backs. Despite the graduation of leading

rusher Earnest Graham, the running game should be strong with hard-running RB Ran Carthon and backup Willie Green.

Whoever takes over for Grossman will have a good group of receivers. Ingle Martin, who lined up at wide receiver and punter last year, will have the first crack at the starting QB spot, provided he can fight off touted redshirt freshmen Gavin Dickey and Patrick Dosh. True freshman phenom Chris Leak might be a year away. WRs Carlos Perez, Kelvin Knight and O.J. Small — who combined for 113 catches and 1,338 yards in '02 — will make the QB transition easier.

There's a lot of work to do on defense. Eight starters from the No. 7 pass defense are gone, but the secondary has three starters back. The defensive line lost five lettermen. DEs Bobby McCray and Darrell Lee will need to be playmakers. DTs Sly McGrew

and Kenny Parker are the starters for now, but a cast of talented newcomers, including junior-college DT Eric Holcombe, have a chance to be part of the rotation.

The success of the linebackers will dictate much of how the defense plays. Replacing Mike Nattiel and Bam Hardmon will be tough. Look for redshirt freshmen Brian Crum and Taurean Charles to emerge as top talents.


The backfield will be strong with CB Keiwan Ratliff and a good safety trio of SS Guss Scott, SS Cory Bailey and FS Daryl Dixon. Ratliff is not only a shut-down corner and a good punt returner but also a good receiver who will see more time on offense this season.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (6-2)

	FLORIDA	OPP
Points	25.5	20.1
1st Downs	22.8	16.0
Rush Att	35.2	40.8
Rush Yds	132.3	168.1
Pass Att	39.6	27.1
Compl %	57.7	50.5
Pass Yds	266.3	149.3
Intercept	1.4	0.6
Fumb Lost	0.5	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-6	6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Grossman	462	266	57.6	3079	20	17
Martin	10	7	70.0	96	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Graham	218	965	4.4	9		
Carthon	99	507	5.1	5		
Green	19	73	3.8	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Jacobs	64	1000	8			
Perez	58	591	4			
Kight	34	459	1			
Walker	25	365	3			



GEORGIA BULLDOGS

It will be hard to match the Bulldogs' season of a year ago when they won 13 games, capped off with a Sugar Bowl victory over Florida State. Especially after a tumultuous offseason that saw nine players get declared ineligible for selling their SEC championship rings.

Head coach Mark Richt hopes those players get reinstated and he has enough troops back to make another SEC title run.

Quarterback will be a position of strength with junior David Greene, a first-team All-SEC selection, and part-time fill-in D.J. Shockley, who adds a different dimension with his foot speed. They will have an almost entirely different offense to work with, however.

The offensive line must replace five senior starters from last year. No juniors or seniors are listed in the team's spring two-deep lineup. Luckily, they are not untested as C Russ Tanner and OGs

Bartley Miller and Josh Brock all saw significant time last season.

The receivers will be led by lanky FL Fred Gibson, the No. 2 option last year behind departed WR Terrence Edwards, and Damien Gary, who should come back strong from injury. This duo also serves as the team's kickoff and punt returners, respectively, each scoring on returns in '02. TE Ben Watson is also a top receiver.

The likely replacement for leading rusher Musa Smith, who left for the NFL, will be RB Tony Milton, who had some nice moments as Smith's backup.

All-America DE David Pollack, the SEC's Defensive Player of the Year in '02, leads a strong front with Will Thompson at the other end and NT Ken Veal plugging the middle.

The most work will have to be done with the linebackers. Last year's starting trio, including OLBs Boss Bailey and Chris

Clemons, is gone. Thomas Davis has the most experience and will line up on the strong side after seeing time at safety and line-backer last season. MLB Derrick White and WLB Tony Taylor need to solidify the group.

The experienced secondary features two All-SEC candidates in CB Decory Bryant and rover Kentrell Curry. Both hit hard and are ballhawks. CBs Bruce Thornton and Tim Jennings will split time on the other side, as will strong safeties Sean Jones and Greg Blue.

PK Billy Bennett is an All-America candidate.


Gibson, Taylor, Thornton and Jennings were among the players who violated NCAA rules.

TEAM STATS 2002 12-1 (8-1)

	GEORGIA	OPP
Points	32.6	15.3
1st Downs	21.4	17.2
Rush Att	38.5	37.2
Rush Yds	138.7	113.9
Pass Att	33.1	29.5
Compl %	57.4	55.5
Pass Yds	254.6	192.8
Intercept	0.8	1.1
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.1
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Greene	365	209	57.3	2836	22	8
Shockley	51	31	60.8	378	4	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Smith	237	1179	5.0	8		
Milton	77	301	3.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Edwards	56	944	10			
Gibson	41	746	4			
Watson	30	336	3			
Johnson	27	339	2			
Gary	27	254	4			



WIN EARLY AND WIN BIG!

with Scott Spreitzer of SmashMouth Sports

For the past 2 years, Scott has destroyed the early lines in August and September

Insider's
PRO FOOTBALL 7-1

Insider's
COLLEGE FOOTBALL 11-4

That's 18-5 combined - 78% WINNERS!!!

\$49 gets you August's and September's
HAMMER PLAYS

Plus your first 2
INSIDER PLAYS
at no additional cost

SMASHMOUTH BONUS
Scott's Pro Insider Game-of-the-Year
AND
September's College Game-of-the-Month
ABSOLUTELY FREE

Last year's
College Game-of-the-Month
IOWA ST. UNDERDOG
WON OUTRIGHT BY 22

CALL TOLL-FREE

1.877.567.8624

Scott Spreitzer

330 S. Decatur Blvd. #268 Las Vegas, NV 89107

WIN WITH A LAS VEGAS LEGEND THIS PRESEASON!

Mike Lee

Mike Lee has won the most prestigious football handicapping contests in Las Vegas and is gearing up for another HUGE year!

- 1985 Castaways Handicapping Challenge - WINNER!
- 1989 Hilton Handicapping Contest - WINNER!
- 2001 Sunset Invitational Contest - WINNER!
- 2002 Stardust Invitational - Runner-up!

Call right now and cash in with the "Moneymaker's"

LEE LINE releases for ALL of August

INCLUDING his
Preseason Game-of-the-Year!

for only **\$49**

TOLL-FREE 1.888.729.7223

Visit Mike daily at JimFeist.com

3140 S. Polaris Ave. #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102

KENTUCKY WILDCATS

A new regime has landed in Lexington to guide what could be another explosive offense. Rich Brooks takes over a Wildcats team that returns plenty of offensive firepower again, led by the strong left arm of QB Jared Lorenzen.

Lorenzen is technically in competition with junior QB Shane Boyd (who actually fits new offensive coordinator Ron Hudson's system better), but the second-team All-SEC quarterback should be the man for another season. He showed more control in '02 than in the past with a TD-INT ratio of nearly five to one.

The offensive line is in good shape as well, with five returnees logging 86 career starts under their belts. Junior OLT Matt Huff and All-SEC ORT Antonio Hall are the bookends, and C Nick Seitz patrols the middle. And, unlike last season, depth will not be a problem with several newcomers

who will play right away.

The running game will need to be revamped. SEC-leading rusher RB Artose Pinner is gone, and a trio will try to replace him: sophomore RBs Alexis Bwenge and Arliss Beach and freshman Monquantae Gibson. The competition will be open.

Do-it-all WR Derek Abney leads a good group of receivers. Abney, a superior kick and punt returner, and four others with experience — Chris Bernard, Tommy Cook and Glenn Holt — are nice targets.

New defensive coordinator Mike Archer will employ a 3-4-4 defense, which he used with the Pittsburgh Steelers. The front line is a strength even with DT Dewayne Robertson declaring for the NFL. DE Jeremy Caudill will shift from one-gap tackle to two-gap end. DE Vincent Burns also returns after a strong finish to last season. NT Ellery Moore will

start in the middle. DEs Trey Mielsch and Jacob Steuber are in the rotation.

There will be four new starting linebackers. Four lettermen will compete for the two inside spots, including Justin Haydock and Dustin Williams. The outside LB positions are up for grabs. Former DE Deion Holts and Raymond Fontaine will get long looks as will two true freshman, Durrell White and Travis Day.


The secondary is deep. Senior CB Leonard Burrell starts on one side, and there will be strong competition for the other corner spot. SS Mike Williams is a rock, and free safety should be an open battle with three or more players competing for starting duties.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (3-5)

	KENTUCKY	OPP
Points	32.1	25.1
1st Downs	18.0	20.8
Rush Att	35.8	41.3
Rush Yds	148.5	174.3
Pass Att	29.2	30.8
Compl %	55.7	47.2
Pass Yds	198.9	226.9
Intercept	0.5	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.8	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Lorenzen	328	183	55.8	2267	24	5
Boyd	19	10	52.6	99	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Pinner	283	1414	5.0	13		
Boyd	12	124	10.3	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Boone	41	706	10			
Abney	40	569	4			
Pinner	37	264	2			
Cook	22	276	2			
Bernard	14	197	1			



LSU TIGERS

Nick Saban's name will come up for just about every NFL job these days, but until he says "I do," his marriage to the Tigers, who are deep with talent but replete with questions as well, will be a solid union.

Although the staff has been relatively mum about the overall health of QB Matt Mauck, who had ligaments torn in his foot, the job is likely Mauck's to lose. QB Marcus Randall is a raw athlete who will back up Mauck and could find another place on the field occasionally to take advantage of his great athleticism. Prep sensation JaMarcus Russell is at least a year away.

The Tigers lost two of their most productive backs, LaBrandon Toefield and Domanick Davis. They will counter this season with RBs Joseph Addai and the compact Shyrone Carey.

WR Michael Clayton will be the No. 1 option. But watch out for big-play WR Devery Henderson, who caught eight touchdowns and averaged nearly 20 yards a catch with his 23 receptions. Henderson also made the play of the year in college football with the last-second, Hail Mary catch against Kentucky. WR Skyler Green will also contribute.

OLT Andrew Whitworth is the best lineman on a strong front five. Three other starters return, and right guard will have plenty of competition.

The defensive line could be a Tigers strength as DEs Marcus Spears and Marquise Hill make a promising pair. Underpublicized DT Chad Lavalais is a blue-collar performer inside, and don't forget about promising DE Melvin Oliver, who had six sacks in a part-time role as a freshman.

The linebackers take a hit, and

the coaching staff will depend on a strong season from Lionel Turner, who manned the weak side last season. LB Cameron Vaughn was a standout as a freshman and should earn a starting spot. The other spot could come down to junior-college transfer Kenneth Hollis or Jason LeDoux.

The secondary has been shaky in recent years, though this season three starters return — CBs Randall Gay and Corey Webster and FS Jack Hunt. However, Saban needs to find more depth to support the starters. The competition in the secondary will include veterans such as S Adrian Mayes and CB Travis Daniels and some talented newcomers.


PK John Corbello returns.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (5-3)

	LSU	OPP
Points	25.3	16.9
1st Downs	18.0	15.4
Rush Att	42.4	36.0
Rush Yds	192.7	135.8
Pass Att	23.3	27.8
Compl %	48.7	44.4
Pass Yds	149.8	143.0
Intercept	0.6	1.3
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.7
Season T.O. Margin	1	-1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Randall	136	68	50.0	980	6	4
Mauck	130	63	48.5	782	9	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Davis	180	846	4.7	6		
Addai	79	433	5.5	4		
Toefield	94	408	4.3	2		
Randall	62	270	4.4	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Clayton	51	661	5			
Henderson	23	447	6			
Myers	13	165	0			



MISSISSIPPI REBELS

A promising season turned sour last year after the Rebels beat Florida en route to a 5-1 record as Ole Miss dropped five straight — all SEC games. The team salvaged the season with an Independence Bowl upset over Nebraska, and 17 returning starters — including QB Eli Manning — have the Oxford hopeful expecting bigger things.

A few notable losses aside, namely C Ben Claxton and LB Eddie Strong, head coach David Cutcliffe's team is in good shape to improve and perhaps make a run at the SEC West title. Manning, a legitimate Heisman Trophy candidate, is the team's leader and a possible top 10 pick in the NFL draft next year. He passed for 3,401 yards and 21 TDs in 13 games, flirting with the idea of turning pro early but decided to stay in school.

He will have most of his offen-

sive arsenal back, including his top three receivers and a full backfield. Top WR Chris Collins only started two games but was Manning's deep threat, catching 55 passes for 812 yards and 10 TDs (including bowl game). The other starting WRs are Bill Flowers and Mike Espy.

The running game could be a big key. If at least one of three backs — Tremaine Turner, Ronald McClendon and Vashon Pearson — steps up, the Rebels will enjoy a more balanced offense. All three have starting experience. The status of promising red-shirt frosh Jamal Pittman, who suffered a torn ACL in

spring, is uncertain. Eight starters return on a defense that ranked in the middle of the pack in the country in most categories and allowed three 40-point games and 38 to Vanderbilt. Over the final four games, however, coordinator Chuck

Driesbach got his defense to adapt to his 4-2-5 scheme and tighten up, allowing about 16 points per game over that stretch.

There is strength in the secondary with leading tackler FS Eric Oliver and experienced CBs Travis Johnson and Von Hutchins. Kelvin Robinson should be able to replace departed SS Matt Grier, and seniors Travis Blanchard and Wes Scott will battle at starting weak safety.


Losing Strong is a blow, but three seniors return at linebacker, including SLB Justin Wade. The defensive line needs to get more pressure on the quarterback. The projected starting foursome had 7½ sacks in '02. Senior DE Josh Cooper could have a big season.

TEAM STATS 2002 6-6 (3-5)

	MISSISSIPPI	OPP
Points	27.0	25.7
1st Downs	21.1	18.0
Rush Att	31.8	38.8
Rush Yds	97.8	151.2
Pass Att	36.8	27.7
Comp %	58.4	52.4
Pass Yds	260.3	195.2
Intercept	1.3	1.3
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	3	-3

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Manning	437	254	58.1	3088	20	15
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
McClendon	84	342	4.1	3		
Williams	61	307	5.0	4		
Turner	72	270	3.8	3		
Pearson	78	236	3.0	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Collins	49	754	10			
Flowers	47	512	3			
Espy	28	411	3			
Armstead	24	316	0			



MISSISSIPPI STATE BULLDOGS

They aren't used to seasons like last year in Starkville. The Bulldogs suffered through offensive misery and defensive lapses in a 3-9 campaign. And because you can't fire players, head coach Jackie Sherrill did the next best thing: he fired both coordinators.

Sherrill brought in former head coaches Ron Cooper and Morris Watts to run the defense and offense respectively; there are now five former college head coaches on Sherrill's impressive staff.

Talent returns on both sides, but how quickly will they adapt to the coaching overhaul? Steady QB Kevin Fant leads an offense that needs to provide more punch than the 18 points (and only 11 against Troy State) it averaged. Fant (10 TDs, 12 INTs) had a tough season and missed the final two weeks of spring practice for personal reasons, but expects more produc-

tion from the passing game with better blocking.

Most of the offensive problems were up front, and the development of the line will be the key to moving the ball. Blue-collar linemen C Blake Jones and OLG Brad Weathers anchor the middle, but the most talented player might be OLT Richard Burch.

Though hard-working RB Fred Reid is the likely starter, expect a big push from ex-Parade All-Americans Jerious Norwood and Nick Turner.

The receiving corps is solid with the return of WRs Justin Jenkins and Ray Ray Bivines. Jenkins needs to rebound from a poor season, while Bivines continues to grow into his starting role. WR Tee Milons, Freddie's brother, should contribute more.

Under Cooper, the defense likely will have a more conservative approach than ex-coordinator

Joe Lee Dunn, whose blitz-happy 'D' got only 10 sacks in 2002.

The loss of LB Mario Haggan will hurt, but SS Jason Clark could be the Bulldogs' next star. Built more like a linebacker, Clark — a defensive end last year — will play close to the line of scrimmage but also has speed for coverage. The secondary is experienced with CBs Demetric Wright and Kevin Dockery.

With some shifting, the D-line should be better. DEs Tommy Kelly and sophomore Willie Evans, a rising star, both moved from tackle. Ronald Fields, Lennie Day and Kamau Jackson are a nice trio inside.


LB T.J. Mawhinney, a dependable senior, patrols the middle.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (0-8)

	MISSISSIPPI STATE	OPP
Points	18.9	28.3
1st Downs	18.0	17.8
Rush Att	35.1	43.8
Rush Yds	119.2	170.1
Pass Att	36.8	23.5
Comp %	51.1	49.6
Pass Yds	217.6	153.9
Intercept	1.8	1.2
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-15	15

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Fant	311	163	52.4	1918	10	12
York	129	63	48.8	693	3	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Griffith	91	471	5.2	2		
Norwood	66	394	6.0	0		
Reid	97	338	3.5	3		
Walker	57	148	2.6	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Bivines	40	511	4			
Grindle	34	608	3			
Jenkins	28	273	0			



SOUTH CAROLINA GAMECOCKS

After the best two-year run in school history, the Gamecocks fell to earth last season. To make matters tougher this season, head coach Lou Holtz loses much of last year's team including most of his talented backfield.

Only two full-time starters return on offense: OLT Travell Wharton and TE Hart Turner. Wharton, an All-America candidate, is a good one to have back as the offensive line could look very young.

QB Dondrial Pinkins, who started the final two games in 2002, could be the offense's most exciting player, but he must improve his passing or face a challenge from junior-college transfer Mike Rathe.

If RB Kenny Irons can handle the bulk of the running load, the offense will flow. Irons is not a breakaway threat, but he is quick in short space. Gonzie Gray and

Daccus Turman are in the mix.

Wharton leads a revamped line that could still be a team strength. ORT Na'shan Goddard, ORG Jonathan Alston, C John Strickland, and OLG Jabari Levey will all get their chance to prove themselves right away with four departed starters.

One big goal will be to identify a playmaker at wideout. It could be Troy Williamson, who had a nice spring, or Taqiy Muhammad, a converted cornerback. Turner also should catch passes.

The defense, which lost coordinator Charlie Strong to Florida, returns six starters. The scheme changes from a 3-3-5 alignment to a four-man front.

Defensive MVP NT Langston Moore is gone, but his replacement could be junior-college All-American DT Darrell Shropshire. Sandwiching him are a pair of talented DEs: George Gause and Moe Thompson, who need to

generate a stronger pass rush.

There is talent but also inexperience at linebacker. Sophomore LB Lance Laury missed the spring, rehabbing his left knee, but could emerge as a big-time playmaker after a stellar freshman season (90 tackles, four for loss). Senior LB Jeremiah Garrison should help sophomore LB Ricardo Hurley and juco transfer Marcus Lawrence round out the LB corps.


The secondary is led by CB Dunta Robinson, who had a team-best four interceptions in '02. CBs Deandré Eiland and Ted Crawford will battle for the other starting spot, and Jamacia Jackson and Rod Wilson should make a good safety tandem.

TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (3-5)

	SOUTH CAROLINA	OPP
Points	18.8	21.8
1st Downs	16.7	19.1
Rush Att	39.8	40.1
Rush Yds	167.0	164.5
Pass Att	21.8	29.6
Comp %	53.6	59.2
Pass Yds	151.8	186.9
Intercept	1.3	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.9	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	-5	5

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Jenkins	180	100	55.6	1334	7	10
Pinkins	65	32	49.2	431	2	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Jenkins	160	655	4.1	4		
Pinnock	124	563	4.5	5		
Irons	47	201	4.3	0		
Pinkins	54	189	3.5	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Brewer	28	299	1			
Ages	22	331	0			
Williamson	17	491	4			



TENNESSEE VOLUNTEERS

If the Vols are no better, at least they can hope to be luckier. Injuries took a huge toll last season — 19 starters missed a combined 71 games — and the team finished with five losses including 30-3 Peach Bowl thrashing. If Phillip Fulmer's past success is any indication, and some leadership emerges, the Vols should be back in the national picture.

QB Casey Clausen runs the offense again, and when he's healthy he's one of the SEC's, if not the nation's, best. He completed 63 percent of his passes but threw just 11 TD passes; he should be primed for a big senior season. A wiser James Banks and C.J. Leak back up Clausen.

There is potential strength on the offensive line, which returns four starters, including OLT Michael Munoz, Hall-of-Famer Anthony's kid, who returns from knee surgery. But last season's

line allowed a damaging 41 sacks, and OLG Jason Respert and ORG Anthony Herrera must perform better to remain starters.

As usual, the Vols' backfield is well stocked. Workmanlike RB Cedric Houston is the starter, though two quality candidates — Jabari Davis, and Gerald Riggs — are looming.

TE Jason Witten and WR Kelley Washington left for the NFL; both would have been big targets this season. So WRs Tony Brown and Mark Jones will battle several others to try to keep Wide Receiver U. alive. Converted RB Derrick Tinsley is also in the mix. No tight end on the roster has caught a pass though junior Victor McClure is the starter.

Defensive line will feature some new faces and some new, old faces. Senior DE Constantin Ritzmann, who missed the entire 2002 season with a knee injury, will return with junior Karlton

Neal on the other side. Sophomores Parys Haralson and J.T. Mapu will back them up. DTs Mondre Dickerson, Greg Jones and Terriea Smalls are the only tackles with any experience.

The linebackers were also beat up last year. MLB Robert Peace and OLBs Kevin Simon and Kevin Burnett all went down last year but can be a strong unit if they stay on the field. Jason Mitchell, Omar Gaither and Marvin Mitchell add depth.


The secondary returns three starters, including playmaking CB Jabari Greer. The safeties are set with FS Rashad Baker and SS Gibril Wilson, but the other CB spot will be fought for by Antwan Stewart and Jason Allen.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-4 (5-3)

	TENNESSEE	OPP
Points	24.4	16.4
1st Downs	18.8	16.1
Rush Att	40.8	37.2
Rush Yds	159.3	126.5
Pass Att	26.6	27.5
Comp %	61.1	48.2
Pass Yds	199.4	159.3
Intercept	0.5	1.0
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	3	-3

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Clausen	273	171	62.6	2055	11	6
Banks	31	17	54.8	277	2	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Houston	144	745	5.2	6		
Davis	122	559	4.6	10		
Tinsley	42	200	4.8	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Witten	34	452	5			
Brown	34	402	1			
Washington	23	443	1			
Fleming	20	128	0			



VANDERBILT COMMODORES

The good news at Vandy this fall? The Commodores will almost assuredly be better in 2003. The bad news: Furman and UConn are not on the schedule. A tougher plate for head coach Bobby Johnson in his second season means his young troops will be tested and pressed to improve after a two-win season.

Only three seniors are listed on the team's two-deep — zero on defense. Twelve starters are back, including two of the SEC's best freshmen last season, QB Jay Cutler and RB Kwane Doster.

The rugged Cutler will be expected to be a leader as a sophomore, and his production (1,433 yards passing, 393 rushing; 19 TDs combined) suggests he can do so behind an improved line.

Thin a year ago, the Commodores' offensive line should have more beef, where OTs Justin Geisinger and Kenan

Arkan are potential leaders. OGs Mac Pyle and Brian Kovalovsky have starting experience.

Doster, one of the best freshmen in school history, enters this season as one of the SEC's best young backs. He had four 100-yard games among his five starts. Backup RBs Ronald Hatcher and Norval McKenzie will also play.

The team must replace 90 percent of its receiving yards. Four wideouts — Keith Williams and Jason Caldwell are the favorites — will vie to be starters.

The front four should help the team continue its defensive improvement. Seven players with at least one start return.

DT Aaron Carter, an every-game starter, was a nice surprise last season. Matt Clay and Ralph McKenzie will slug it out at the other tackle. DE Jovan Haye is a returning starter, but he must fight off a healthy Robert Dinwiddie for the job; sopho-

more DEs Chris Booker and Antoine Morgan will play the other end.

All-SEC MLB Hunter Hillenmeyer is gone, but most of his friends are back. WLBs Marty Morgan and Moses Osemwegie make the one side strong, and SLB Herdley Harrison stands to improve. Pat Brunner is the favorite to replace Hillenmeyer.

The secondary will be young and relatively untested. The cornerbacks could be very good with Dominique Morris, Lorenzo Parker and Cheron Thompson on board. Safety is a bit more of a question with five underclassmen competing for the starting spots.


P-PK Greg Johnson is an All-America candidate.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (0-8)

	VANDERBILT	OPP
Points	18.4	30.7
1st Downs	16.5	21.8
Rush Att	40.1	40.9
Rush Yds	173.5	186.6
Pass Att	21.4	28.1
Compl %	49.8	64.1
Pass Yds	139.1	219.2
Intercept	1.0	0.5
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.4
Season T.O. Margin	-14	14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Cutler	212	103	48.6	1433	10	9
Walker	45	25	55.6	236	0	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Doster	160	798	5.0	3		
Cutler	123	393	3.2	9		
McKenzie	42	326	7.8	1		
Tant	75	326	4.3	2		
RECEIVING	REC		YDS	TD		
Stricker	44		620	6		
Garrett	20		382	3		
Smith	19		173	0		



Jim Feist's

PROLINE

AMERICA'S LONGEST RUNNING HANDICAPPING SHOW

Tune in SATURDAY MORNINGS on **USA** Network at 7:00 a.m. & 9:00 a.m. EASTERN AND PACIFIC

(Dish TV ch. 105 EST, Direct TV ch. 242 EST)



DON'T MISS PROLINE SATURDAY MORNINGS USA 7 & 9 a.m. NETWORK EST & PST

Join Jim Feist and his panel of experts as they analyze and give their predictions on key games in both College and Pro football.

Hosted by All-American, All-Pro Wide Receiver and the voice of the St. Louis Rams - Jack Snow.

WORLD CHAMPION HANDICAPPER

JIM FEIST

DAVE COKIN

GLENN MCGREW

SCOTT SPREITZER

FREE WINNERS JUST FOR WATCHING!

PROLINE FREE PICK PHONE

Free winner on a recorded message updated daily by one of the Proline panelists. Call toll-free

1.888.260.8235

SOUTHERN SEC CONFERENCE

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Arkansas	13	612	2985	4.88	22	229.62
Alabama	13	619	2772	4.48	29	213.23
Florida	12	475	274	3196	20	266.33
Mississippi State	12	442	258	3124	20	260.33
Georgia	13	430	247	3310	27	254.62
Mississippi	12	442	226	2611	13	217.58
Tennessee	12	319	195	2393	14	199.42
Kentucky	12	350	195	2387	24	198.92
Auburn	12	282	164	2312	18	192.67
Alabama	13	310	174	2473	12	190.23
South Carolina	12	261	140	1821	10	151.75
LSU	12	279	136	1797	15	149.75
Vanderbilt	12	257	128	1669	10	139.08
Arkansas	13	259	130	1677	16	129.00

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Arkansas	13	929	5245	5.65	45	403.46
Alabama	13	929	5245	5.65	45	403.46
Florida	12	897	4784	5.33	41	398.67
Mississippi State	12	795	4760	5.99	50	396.67
Georgia	13	930	5113	5.50	51	393.31
Auburn	12	809	4305	5.32	36	358.75
Tennessee	12	871	4662	5.35	43	358.62
Mississippi	12	823	4298	5.22	40	358.17
Kentucky	12	780	4169	5.34	52	347.42
LSU	12	788	4109	5.21	37	342.42
Mississippi State	12	863	4042	4.68	26	336.83
South Carolina	12	738	3825	5.18	28	318.75
Vanderbilt	12	738	3751	5.08	28	312.58

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
LSU	12	333	148	1716	11	143.00
Florida	12	325	164	1792	13	149.33
Mississippi State	12	282	140	1847	22	153.92
Tennessee	12	330	159	1911	9	159.25
South Carolina	13	210	2243	186.92	17	177.15
Alabama	13	374	2303	177.15	11	177.15
Auburn	12	398	225	2366	9	193.83
Mississippi	12	332	174	2342	16	195.17
Georgia	13	384	213	2506	12	192.17
Vanderbilt	12	337	216	2630	11	219.17
Kentucky	12	369	174	2723	13	226.92
Arkansas	13	451	250	3017	16	232.08

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Alabama	13	4.38	764	3345	24	257.31
LSU	12	4.37	765	3346	25	278.83
Tennessee	12	4.42	776	3429	21	285.75
Georgia	13	4.60	867	3987	26	306.69
Florida	12	4.68	814	3809	29	317.42
Mississippi State	12	4.82	807	3888	44	324.00
Auburn	12	4.82	829	3998	27	333.17
Arkansas	13	4.88	910	4439	28	341.46
Mississippi	12	5.21	798	4156	39	346.33
South Carolina	12	5.04	836	4217	31	351.42
Kentucky	12	5.57	864	4815	39	401.25
Vanderbilt	12	5.88	828	4869	45	405.75

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Artose Pinner, Kentucky	Sr.	12	132	84	63.64	4	1137	11	94.75
Musa Smith, Georgia	Sr.	13	365	209	57.26	8	2836	22	218.15
David Greene, Alabama	Sr.	11	181	112	61.88	4	1414	7	128.55
Tyler Watts, Vanderbilt	Sr.	12	327	183	55.96	5	2267	24	188.92
Casey Clausen, Tennessee	Jr.	13	273	171	62.64	6	2055	11	158.08
Matt Jones, Arkansas	Sr.	10	210	110	52.38	6	1473	20	147.30
Ell Manning, Mississippi	Jr.	12	437	254	58.12	15	3088	20	257.33
Rex Grossman, Florida	Jr.	12	462	266	57.58	17	3079	20	256.58
Corey Jenkins, South Carolina	Sr.	11	180	100	55.56	10	1334	7	121.27
Marqus Randall, LSU	Sr.	12	136	68	50.00	4	980	6	81.67

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	PTS	PTS/GM
Taylor Jacobs, Florida	Sr.	10	64	1000	8	100.00	10.00
Terrence Edwards, Georgia	Sr.	11	56	944	10	78.67	7.15
Fred Gibson, Georgia	Sr.	12	56	944	10	78.67	6.56
Shaud Williams, Alabama	Jr.	11	41	746	4	67.82	6.17
Aaron Boone, Kentucky	Sr.	11	41	706	10	64.18	5.83
Chris Collins, Mississippi	Jr.	12	49	754	10	62.83	5.24
Terrell Grindie, Mississippi State	Sr.	12	34	608	3	55.27	4.61
Michael Clayton, LSU	Sr.	11	51	661	5	55.08	5.01
Carlos Perez, Florida	Jr.	11	58	591	4	53.73	4.48
Dan Stricker, Vanderbilt	Sr.	12	44	620	6	51.67	4.31
Derek Abney, Kentucky	Sr.	12	44	620	6	51.67	4.31

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Billy Bennett, Georgia	Jr.	13	0	50	22	116	8.92
Artose Pinner, Kentucky	Sr.	12	15	0	0	90	7.50
Ronnie Brown, Auburn	Sr.	12	12	0	0	72	6.00
John Corbello, LSU	Sr.	12	0	32	15	77	6.42
Jonathan Nichols, Mississippi	Sr.	12	0	0	0	76	6.33
Alex Walls, Tennessee	Sr.	9	0	20	11	53	5.89
Santonio Beard, Alabama	Jr.	13	0	0	0	72	5.54
Aaron Boone, Kentucky	Sr.	11	0	0	0	60	5.45
Brent Smith, Mississippi State	Jr.	12	0	16	16	64	5.33
Chris Collins, Mississippi	Jr.	12	0	0	0	60	5.00
Derek Abney, Kentucky	Jr.	12	0	0	0	60	5.00
Jabari Davis, Tennessee	Sr.	12	0	0	0	60	5.00
Terrence Edwards, Georgia	Sr.	12	10	0	0	60	5.00

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	RUSH	PYD	YDS/GM
Ell Manning, Mississippi	Jr.	12	-96	3088	2992	249.33	207.60
Rex Grossman, Florida	Jr.	12	-86	3079	2991	249.25	207.00
David Greene, Georgia	Sr.	13	-45	2836	2791	214.69	168.09
Kevin Fant, Mississippi State	Jr.	11	-69	1918	1849	168.09	156.60
Jay Cutler, Vanderbilt	Fr.	11	-393	1433	1826	166.00	156.60
Corey Jenkins, South Carolina	Sr.	12	-655	1334	1989	165.75	140.91
Tyler Watts, Alabama	Sr.	11	-356	1414	1770	160.91	159.46
Matt Jones, Arkansas	Sr.	13	-600	1473	2073	159.46	159.46



REGENCY

Sportsbook & Casino

One of the most highly recognized names in the Online Gaming Industry.

- Fast payouts • Only one account needed for online or phone wagering • Accurate numbers in all major sport wagering events • Professional and courteous customer service clerks • Confidential customer transactions
- Complete online casino • Licensed and bonded by the government of Costa Rica

Established in 1995

**THE BEST
PAYOFF PRICES
IN THE WORLD!**

* Call for details and conditions

www.JustBet.com

1.800.274.8313



SUN BELT PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE NORTH TEXAS Mean Green

The Mean Green won the Sun Belt the last two seasons thanks to a stifling defense. They'll hope to use that formula again, led by stud defensive linemen Brandon Kennedy and Adrian Awason. QB Scott Hall returns after missing last season with a torn pectoral muscle and will battle with his fill-in Andrew Smith for the starting job. Head coach Darrell Dickey believes he has the horses to make it through the Sun Belt's toughest road schedule with games at Idaho, New Mexico State and Middle Tennessee.

TWO MIDDLE TENNESSEE Blue Raiders

The Blue Raiders must restore their confidence after entering last season as a favorite to win the league title and bombing to a 4-8 overall record, including 2-4 in the Sun Belt. They must rebound without RBs Dwone Hicks and ReShard Lee and top WR Tyrone Calico. However, QB Andrico Hines is a stud and the defense is much-improved. The early season schedule is tough with three straight road trips to Georgia, Clemson and Missouri.

THREE NEW MEXICO STATE Aggies

For the second straight year, the Aggies came close to winning the Sun Belt title. They should contend once again despite losing their entire secondary. With 14 starters and 40 lettermen back, head coach Tony Samuel feels his team is experienced enough to get through a tough start to the season, visiting Texas, Illinois, Oregon State and Arkansas. QB Paul Dombrowski was Sun Belt Freshman of the Year, giving the offense a great starting point for this season.

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Brian Lindgren, Idaho, Sr.
- RB Patrick Cobbs, North Texas, Jr.
- RB Antonio Warren, Arkansas State, Jr.
- WR Fred Stamps, UL Lafayette, Sr.
- WR Mack Vincent, UL Monroe, Sr.
- TE Josh Joerg, UL Lafayette, Sr.
- OL Cole Leslie, New Mexico State, Jr.
- OL Bruce Hampton, UL Monroe, Jr.
- OL Trevor Hutton, Utah State, Sr.
- OL Brandon Westbrook, Middle Tenn., Sr.
- OL Nick Zuniga, North Texas, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Adrian Awason, North Texas, Jr.
- DL Brandon Kennedy, North Texas, Sr.
- DL Jon Bradley, Arkansas State, Sr.
- DL Corey Williams, Arkansas State, Sr.
- LB Maurice Sonnier, UL Monroe, Sr.
- LB Ross Brupbacher, UL Lafayette, Sr.
- LB Cody Spencer, North Texas, Sr.
- DB Jonathan Burke, Arkansas State, Sr.
- DB Jonas Buckles, North Texas, Jr.
- DB Chris Harris, UL Monroe, Jr.
- DB Craig Jones, North Texas, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Dario Aguiniga, New Mexico State, Sr.
- P Robert Billings, Middle Tennessee, Sr.

FOUR

LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE Ragin' Cajuns

Entering their second season playing head coach Ricky Bustle's system, the Ragin' Cajuns should be improved. Most of the offensive line returns and mobile, strong-armed QB Eric Rekieta is ready to take over for departed starter Jon Van Cleave. WR Fred Stamps is a top talent. The team must find a way to improve the league's worst rushing offense. With five of its seven conference battles at home, if it survives a tough non-conference schedule (South Carolina, Oklahoma State, Southern Miss), UL Lafayette has a chance to surprise this season.

FIVE

ARKANSAS STATE Indians

Leading rusher Danny Smith and three-fifths of the starting offensive linemen have departed, leaving head coach Steve Roberts working hard to fill those huge gaps. Talented RBs Antonio Warren, Clint Roy and Shamar Bracey will vie for the starting spot. Defensive linemen Jon Bradley and Corey Williams, DB Jonathan Burke and and LB Les Echols lead a defense that could surprise. The Indians won four more games last year than 2001 but will be hard-pressed to improve this year with road games at Texas A&M, Ole Miss, North Texas and New



RB PATRICK COBBS
NORTH TEXAS

SIX IDAHO Vandals

Senior QB Brian Lindgren returns after throwing for more than 2,700 yards and 19 touchdowns last season. However, he missed the last two games with a broken collarbone and sophomore Adam Harrington impressed head coach Tom Cable enough to challenge for the starting job in 2003. Look for Lindgren to win the job and help the Vandals again be one of the top offensive teams in the Sun Belt. However, the defense must get better and a rugged non-conference schedule with games against Washington State, Boise State and Washington won't help things.

SEVEN UTAH STATE Aggies

The Aggies' first season in the Sun Belt won't be easy as they search for a replacement for QB Jose Fuentes, who threw for more yards than any quarterback in school history. Seven starters return on a defense that switches to a 3-4 scheme. They will be counted on to keep the team competitive during a brutal schedule with road games at Nebraska, Arizona State, New Mexico State and North Texas. FB Chris Cooley is a top pass receiver.

EIGHT LOUISIANA-MONROE Indians

Head coach Mike Collins resigned during spring practice after a one-car accident and arrest for suspicion of driving while intoxicated. After that unpleasantness, ex-Navy coach Charlie Weatherbie inherits a team that boasts exciting sophomore QB Steven Jyles and star WR Mack Vincent. Standout LB Maurice Sonnier returns after missing most of '02 with an ankle injury. The non-conference slate features tough tests at LSU, Auburn and Ole Miss.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
North Texas	6	0	159	59	4-1	2-0	0-0
New Mexico State	5	1	177	160	3-0	2-1	0-0
Arkansas State	3	3	125	109	2-2	2-1	0-0
Middle Tennessee	2	4	158	151	2-1	0-3	0-0
Louisiana-Monroe	2	4	140	176	3-1	0-3	0-0
Louisiana-Lafayette	2	4	117	178	2-1	0-3	0-0
Idaho	1	5	123	166	1-2	0-3	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOME	ROAD	NEUT
North Texas	7	5	225	173	4-1	3-4	0-0
New Mexico State	7	5	327	328	5-0	2-5	0-0
Arkansas State	6	7	259	361	4-2	2-4	0-1
Middle Tennessee	4	8	297	332	3-2	1-6	0-0
Louisiana-Monroe	3	9	236	451	3-3	0-6	0-0
Louisiana-Lafayette	3	9	203	352	3-2	0-7	0-0
Idaho	2	10	285	428	2-3	0-7	0-0

ARKANSAS STATE INDIANS

Head coach Steve Roberts accomplished a lot during his first season at the helm of the Indians, leading ASU to six wins and bringing the Indians within 11 points of the Sun Belt title.

As it was, ASU finished third in the conference and five players earned first-team All-Sun Belt mention.

Now, Roberts will try to keep the program moving forward, although he'll have to do it without the benefit of two top offensive-line starters and his leading rusher from a year ago.

ASU will need to replace departed RB Danny Smith, who rushed for a school-record 1,390 yards in 2002. Two talented players will get a long look at filling Smith's big shoes. Antonio Warren had a 193-yard rushing day vs. Tulsa before cracking two ribs midway through the season. Also, junior-college transfer Shemar Bracey has a rare combination of size

and speed.

The quarterback is junior Elliot Jacobs, who showed solid running and passing ability.

Up front, the Indians will have to find replacements for OLT Garry Johnson and ORG John Crossley. C Tab Slaughter returns to anchor a line that should feature juco transfer Kimani Jones at left tackle and two-year starter Steven Gibbs at guard.

The top WR threat is Mike Cox, who had a couple 100-yard receiving games last year before breaking his foot. Jason Wood is the leading returning wideout while Jerome Stegall, Chuck Walker and

Jason Boyd are in the mix.

The defense has great promise with all-conference candidates Jon Bradley (eight sacks) and Corey Williams (nine sacks) at tackle and 2002 Sun Belt Newcomer of the Year Jonathan Burke at left cornerback.

Courtney Todd is a pass-rushing threat at end and Zach Grigsby is the other starter at end.

Both linebackers return in the Indians' 4-2-5 alignment. Senior Les Echols (team-high 108 tackles) is complemented by junior Steven Tookes (93 tackles).

Burke (five interceptions) anchors a secondary that should welcome back injured players Chris Jones and Joe Williams from last year. Alex Peoples and Chris Littleton are the top contenders for starting safety spots.

The special teams must fill key positions as top PR-KR James Hickenbotham has departed along with P Mike Alexander. P-PK Eric Neihouse must improve on his 10-of-22 FG kicking.


TEAM STATS 2002 6-7 (3-3)

ARKANSAS STATE	OPP
Points	19.9
1st Downs	15.9
Rush Att	41.3
Rush Yds	182.6
Pass Att	22.3
Compl %	51.7
Pass Yds	143.7
Intercept	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Jacobs	259	136	52.5	1756	7	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
D. Smith	256	1385	5.4	14		
Warren	134	554	4.1	3		
Jacobs	82	193	2.4	6		
Tolleson	24	131	5.5	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Hickenbotham	27	468	3			
Wood	22	183	1			
Cox	19	357	1			
Walker	19	237	0			



IDAHO VANDALS

more experienced defensive unit gives head coach Tom Cable reason to believe the Vandals will have more success this season than they did last year when they gave up nearly 36 points per game.

Turnovers are also a major point of emphasis for Cable as he tries to get his players to value every possession and cut down on mistakes that lead to losing the ball.

Defensively, the Vandals welcome junior DE Brandon Kania, along with DTs Brian Howard and Kelly Talavou up front. One new starter must be found from a deep group of backups.

The LB corps is deeper than in the past with three returning veterans — seniors Patrick Libey and Chad Kodama and sophomore Mike Anderson — and converted DE Kody Kraus, a senior.

Senior Rod Bryant has a big

upside in the secondary as the team's top cover corner. He showed marked improvement by the end of last season after transferring to Idaho from junior college.

Seniors Darryl Murphy and Robert Ortega return at safety. The other starting CB spot will be filled by either red-shirt freshman Brandon Mascorro, converted RB Shung Peoples or juco transfer J.R. Ruffin.

The biggest battles offensively will come in the backfield.

Senior QB Brian Lindgren enters his third year as a starter but could be pushed by sophomore

Michael Harrington, who played in Idaho's last two games last year after Lindgren went down with a shoulder injury.

Three solid running backs are in contention to take over for the departed Blair Lewis at starting tailback. Zach Gerstner has been

in the program three years while Malfred Shaw has one year under his belt. They'll be pushed by juco transfer Kevin McKenzie.

There are a number of players battling for the starting fullback spot.

The TE position has depth and experienced, as does the WR position that welcomes three transfers to mix with three returning non-starters.

Three veterans lead the offensive line corps — senior OT Jake Scott, senior OG Jason Cobb and senior C Matt Martinez, who's back for his third year as starter.


Senior P Ryan Downes is a good one, averaging 41.8 yards on 56 punts in 2002, and placing 17 kicks inside the 20.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (1-5)

IDAHO	OPP
Points	23.8
1st Downs	22.4
Rush Att	33.0
Rush Yds	115.0
Pass Att	42.7
Compl %	59.0
Pass Yds	289.6
Intercept	1.5
Fumb Lost	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATISTICS						
PASSING						
	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Lindgren	382	240	62.8	2763	18	10
Mallette	70	32	45.7	351	2	2
Harrington	57	27	47.4	272	2	6
RUSHING						
	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Lewis	176	930	5.3	11		
Shaw	60	301	5.0	1		
Gerstner	68	281	4.1	1		
RECEIVING						
	REC	YDS	TD			
Jelmeberg	59	727	7			
Winston	50	624	1			
Beiser	47	596	4			



MVP

Sportsbook.com

Racebook, Casino, Poker, Bingo & More!
where everybody scores!

MVPsportsbook.com, MVPpracebook.com, MVPcasino, MVPpoker.com, and MVPbingo.com are Licensed & Bonded by the government of Costa Rica to accept wagers world-wide.

No longer a need to have multiple accounts & balances with multiple companies -- "MVP Has It All!"

One account grants access to all the action you desire, including: sport, race, casino, poker, and bingo gaming.

Payouts may be requested 7 days a week.

All client information is held in strict confidentiality.

Open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week
for your gaming pleasure.

Call now to open your account.


**Biggest Bonuses
in the Industry!!**

Sign-up bonus

Re-up bonus

Referral bonus

We have it
All!!



SECURE BLUX

NETELLER

24

VISA

MasterCard

Money

Transfers


GPX

PrePaid

Bank Wire

& Check

ATM



Free Sports Pager!

*RESTRICTIONS APPLY

Free Cell Phone!

*RESTRICTIONS APPLY

Free Sports Schedules!

INTRA
GAME

ACTION

NOW AVAILABLE!

1-888-771-9076

WWW.MVPSPORTSBOOK.COM

WWW.MVPRACEBOOK.COM - WWW.MVPCASINO.COM

WWW.MVPPOKER.COM - WWW.MVPBINGO.COM

ONE ACCOUNT: ACCESS TO MVPSPORTSBOOK.COM, MVPRACEBOOK.COM, MVPCASINO.COM, MVPPOKER.COM, MVPBINGO.COM AND OTHER PARTICIPATING MVP SITES.

LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE RAGIN' CAJUNS

Head coach Rickey Bustle will look for ways to generate more offense this season after the Ragin' Cajuns fell short of the 17-point per game mark last season.

Bustle will have to do that without QB Jon Van Cleave, who departed with several Cajuns passing records. Battling for the starting spot will be senior Eric Rekieta, who started three games last season but missed spring and summer drills after breaking his throwing hand and having surgery on his elbow. Also in the mix are redshirt freshman Jerry Babb and junior Matt Lane.

Whoever is throwing will enjoy working with standout WR Fred Stamps, who last year became only the second player in school history to collect 1,000 receiving yards in a season. Bill Sampy and junior-college transfer Kemmie Lewis are also expected to play key roles.

Leading rusher Jerome Coleman is gone, leaving behind second-year players Chester Johnson and Dwight Lindon and freshman Abdulle LeVier to battle for the starting RB spot.

The inexperienced backfield will be protected by a deep offensive line. Four-year mainstay at left tackle Jonathon Raush is gone but last year's right tackle Demetrios Brooks moves over to fill the void. Sophomore Corey Glover steps in at right tackle, while Ronnie Harvey returns at center and Greg Hodges at left guard. TE Josh Joerg also returns.

The defensive secondary has been decimated by departures the last two years, including NFL draft picks CB-FS Charles Tillman and CB Ivan Taylor. Bustle and his staff hope to fill in the gaps with some promising junior-college players.

Senior S Jamal Smith is the only player on the Cajuns' two-

deep with more than one year of experience at safety or corner.

The LB corps will be the defense's strength. Leader Ross Brupbacher returns after a medical redshirt year in 2002. The former all-conference pick is healthy and ready to go. Junior Stanley Smith also returns.

Up front, the Cajuns must replace eight letter-winners. Daniel Taylor and Justin Taylor are the top candidates to earn starting spots while several juco transfers are also in the mix.

The Cajuns' schedule is interesting as they don't play league rivals Utah State or Arkansas State. Instead, Louisiana Tech and Florida International will count as conference games.


TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (2-4)

	LAFAYETTE	OPP
Points	16.9	29.3
1st Downs	15.8	18.3
Rush Att	32.0	42.3
Rush Yds	61.2	182.2
Pass Att	36.0	25.4
Compl %	54.2	52.1
Pass Yds	227.8	197.9
Intercept	1.6	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.5
Season T.O. Margin	-8	8

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Van Cleave	320	170	53.1	2075	9	15
Rekieta	112	64	57.1	648	4	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Coleman	171	483	2.8			
Johnson	53	163	3.1			
Bourque	11	42	3.8			
RECEIVING	REC	YDS		TD		
Stamps	54	1002				
George	50	525				
Sampy	27	294				
Stein	20	116				

OFFENSE - 5 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 4



LOUISIANA-MONROE INDIANS

It was a dramatic and difficult spring for the Louisiana-Monroe program as Mike Collins, who had taken over as interim coach from Bobby Keasler after three games of the 2002 season then was named head coach after the season, resigned April 4 following his early morning arrest on suspicion of driving while intoxicated. He had been in a one-car accident earlier that day.

The Indians waited until early May before naming ex-Navy coach Charlie Weatherbie to lead the program.

Weatherbie hopes a healthy defense will bounce back to be a strength this season after struggling mightily in '02.

The Indians' defense welcomes back LB Maurice Sonnier, a Butkus Award candidate, and DE Marbrae Wilson, the team's best pass rusher, after both suffered season-ending injuries early last season. Both are expected to

be healthy by fall.

Junior John Winchester is back after a knee injury last season and teams with Sonnier to make a formidable LB duo. Josh Alexander and LeKeith Robinson are also in the mix.

Senior NT Corey Conde will start for his fourth straight season while senior DE John Thompson, who transferred from South Carolina, was a second-team All-Sun Belt pick in '02. ULM's secondary is deep and experienced with five players who have started — FS Chris Harris (127 tackles in '02), CBs Cash Mouton and Travin Moore and SS Damien Richard and Shaune Maynard.

The offense hopes that after struggling with injuries, depth problems and QB inconsistency the last three years, this year will be much different.

Sophomore QB Steven Jyles electrified Indians fans with his

great potential last season, setting freshman school records for pass attempts, completions and yards.

His favorite targets return in All-Sun Belt WR Mack Vincent and junior Floyd Smith, sophomore Drouzon Quillen and senior H.J. Adams.

RB Krishun Williams hopes to take over for departed 1,000-yard rusher Bryant Jacobs. Redshirt frosh Kevin Payne and sophomore Errol Hogan are in the mix.

The Indians return four of their five starters and seven of the 10 linemen in the two-deep last year. C Hayden Wadsworth and OLT Bruce Hampton are the best bets for postseason honors.

ULM must find a new punter and placekicker.


TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (2-4)

	MONROE	OPP
Points	19.7	37.6
1st Downs	18.3	22.8
Rush Att	34.4	42.0
Rush Yds	121.8	195.7
Pass Att	35.2	29.6
Compl %	48.3	60.0
Pass Yds	222.0	238.3
Intercept	1.2	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.1	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-7	7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002					
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD INT
Jyles	368	181	49.2	2318	17 9
DaPrato	45	19	42.2	195	0 5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD	FUM
Jacobs	205	1043	5.1	7	1
Jyles	85	165	1.9	3	0
K. Williams	30	90	3.0	1	0
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD	FUM	INT
Vincent	79	1198	7	0	0
Quillen	32	514	3	0	0
F. Smith	30	320	3	0	0
Adams	15	171	1	0	0

OFFENSE - 8 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 9



MIDDLE TENNESSEE BLUE RAIDERS

The Blue Raiders struggled with injuries and adversity last year, beginning with five straight losses and never recovering in a disappointing 4-8 season.

Add in the fact that top offensive weapons like RB Dwone Hicks and WR Tyrone Calico have departed, and things don't look that good for the Blue Raiders.

However, head coach Andy McCollum welcomes a number of returners who got valuable experience last year and feels this team will rebound strong.

Senior QB Andrico Hines returns as the leader of the offense. He had a growing season as starter last year and McCollum thinks he learned from his past mistakes.

With multiple wide receivers in the spread offense, the Blue Raiders are excited about their depth. Sophomore Chris Henry and senior Wardell Alsop should

be the leading returners but juniors Tommy Manus and Pedro Holiday show promise.

Three strong running backs have departed, but senior Don Calloway, Kelvin German and Kevin Davis will all be in the mix for carries this season.

Three standout linemen return to block for Hines & Co., including senior OLT Brandon Westbrook, a two-time All-Sun Belt first-teamer, junior ORT Julius Gant and senior ORG Josh Willoughby. Center is a hole to fill, but McCollum is happy with sophomore Shavaskey Brown's progress there.

Defensively, the Raiders struggled in '02 and hope that a promising group of returners up front will lead to marked improvement. The LB corps and secondary are loaded with experience too.

Junior DE Jerry Vanderpool is the leader of a group that includes senior Demetrios Walker, junior

Thomas Johnson, senior Dominic Jones and sophomore Jeff Littlejohn.

Seniors Randy Arnold and Kenny Edwards and sophomore Dennis Burke are a solid trio at linebacker while Michael Woods and Brandon Lynch are three-year starters at outside linebacker/safety spots.

All three starters in last year's secondary return, giving the Raiders some needed maturity. Seniors Tony Sutton and Muhammad Rashada are the corners while Will Martin is the free safety. Aaron Pitts adds depth.

Senior PK Brian Kelly and senior P Robert Billings also return. Both were all-conference selections a year ago.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (2-4)

MIDDLE TENNESSEE	OPP
Points	24.8 27.7
1st Downs	18.2 19.7
Rush Att	44.0 39.7
Rush Yds	208.7 171.7
Pass Att	21.3 28.9
Compl %	57.8 61.4
Pass Yds	154.0 229.1
Intercept	0.7 0.8
Fumb Lost	1.1 0.9
Season T.O. Margin	0 0


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Hines	236	149	63.1	1753	6	7
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Hicks	184	1011	5.5	11		
Lee	110	642	5.8	6		
Hines	150	486	3.2	10		
Calloway	30	181	6.0	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Calico	45	606	4			
Youell	26	325	0			
Hicks	15	250	0			
Lee	12	78	0			

OFFENSE - 6	RETURNING STARTERS	DEFENSE - 8

SPORTS DESK

DELIVERING PIGSKIN PREDICTIONS
FROM FOOTBALL'S LEADING ANALYSTS.
JOIN CHIP CHIRIMBES, MIKE LEE
AND SPECIAL GUESTS SCOTT SPREITZER,
GLENN MCGREW AND DAVE COKIN.



TELEVISION'S BRIGHTEST & MOST EXCITING
SPORTS HANDICAPPING SHOW!

SATURDAY MORNINGS 8:00 A.M. EST/PST

ON USA NETWORK • DISH TV CH 105 EST
• DIRECT TV CH 242 EST

Visit JimFeist.com for more information

NEW MEXICO STATE AGGIES

The Aggies have fallen shy each of the past two seasons in their attempts to win the Sun Belt Conference title.

In 2001, they blew fourth-quarter leads to co-conference champs North Texas and Middle Tennessee. In 2002, they held a 27-24 lead over eventual conference champ North Texas with 10 minutes left but lost 38-27.

Now, coming off their first seven-win season and first unbeaten home record since 1967, the Aggies hope it's their turn to wear the elusive Sun Belt crown.

Aggies' head coach Tony Samuel feels his team returns one of the most efficient QB duos in the country in Buck Pierce and Paul Dombrowski. They'll be joined by starting I-back Eric Higgins (three 100-yard games, including 111 vs. South Carolina) and starting FB Marcus Dixon (4.3 yards per carry).

Tony Bostic and Keith Mouton also return.

The top two pass-catchers from 2002 are gone but plenty of experience returns thanks to seniors Chris Lumpkin and Ronshay Jenkins. The Aggies expect red-shirt freshman Tim Tolbert to make an impact.

TE Alex Davis has started 32 straight games.

Up front, the Aggies must find three new starters — at center, guard and right tackle. The returners include OLT Trevor Kine and OG Steve Subia. Senior Andy Dale has started eight games at center the last two years.

Defensively, the Aggies bring back five players up front who started at least five games in '02. NT Joe Olivo, a first-team All-Sun Belt selection, has started 32 games the last three years. He'll be joined by rush ends Traydell Bullock and Greg Scaffidi, DT Lionel Apineru

and DL Tommy Laborin, who can play either tackle or end.

Three solid starting linebackers return in sophomore Jimmy Cottrell (team-high 102 tackles), Richard Glover (second-team All-Sun Belt) and Tim Patrick.

All four starters have departed from the secondary, but some talented players return to fill the void. Sophomores Cameron Wright and Chris Davis look to be the starters at cornerback after seeing significant playing time in '02. Jeremiah Imperial is also in the mix. Several players are up for the starting safety spots.


PK Dario Aguiniga (school record 83 points) was a first-team All-Sun Belt selection in '02. The Aggies must find a new punter.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (5-1)

NEW MEXICO STATE	OPP
Points	27.2 27.3
1st Downs	21.6 19.1
Rush Att	47.8 35.5
Rush Yds	214.6 160.3
Pass Att	23.0 28.3
Comp %	61.2 61.9
Pass Yds	194.8 201.0
Intercept	0.5 0.6
Fumb Lost	0.9 0.8
Season T.O. Margin	0 0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Dombrowski	158	95	60.1	1327	7	5
Pierce	107	68	63.6	949	6	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Dombrowski	191	864	4.5	12		
Higgins	94	499	5.3	3		
Taylor	97	458	4.7	5		
Bostic	52	254	4.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Briscoe	50	880	5			
Winston	30	488	2			
Lumkin	19	224	1			



NORTH TEXAS MEAN GREEN

The Mean Green continued to make progress under head coach Darrell Dickey in 2002, winning eight games in all, including a 24-19 win over Cincinnati in the New Orleans Bowl. It was North Texas' first bowl win in 56 years.

Dickey guided the team to a perfect Sun Belt season and seven straight wins to close the season. The key was the Mean Green's defense, which held 10 of 13 opponents below their season average for total offense. The team ranked in the top 15 in the nation in passing defense, scoring defense and total defense.

Now, with eight returning starters on the defense, the Mean Green looks to improve upon last season's accomplishments.

Sun Belt Player of the Year Brandon Kennedy returns to anchor the defensive line. Kennedy, a senior tackle, was

second in the nation with 25 tackles for loss, adding 10 sacks. Junior-college All-American Michael Pruitt should join him in the starting lineup.

Adrian Awason is the top returner at end.

The LB corps is top-notch, with MLB Cody Spencer (recovered after missing five games with an injured elbow) and OLBs Taylor Casey and Chris Hurd. Senior Koryee Wyatt adds quality depth.

The all-conference safety duo of Jonas Buckles and Craig Jones is back and so are corners Jeremy Pearl and Walter Priestley, who split a starting spot last year opposite the departed

Don McGee.

The offense welcomes back last year's starting QB Andrew Smith along with 2001's starter Scott Hall, who tore a pectoral muscle prior to last year's opener and missed the rest of the season. Each shared time as starter in

spring practices.

The biggest hole to fill is at running back where leading rusher Kevin Galbreath is gone. Sophomore Patrick Cobbs, a change-of-pace back in '02, should step up as starter.

TE Jeff Muenchow, an All-Sun Belt pick, has departed, so senior Randy Gardner will take over.

The WR corps is young, with junior Ja'Mel Branch looking like the big-play guy. In addition to receiving, he will carry the ball and perform kickoff and punt return duties. Watch out for red-shirt freshman Johnny Quinn.


Up front, ORG Nick Zuniga and C Andy Brewster are stand-out returning starters but both starting OT spots must be filled.

TEAM STATS 2002 7-5 (6-0)

NORTH TEXAS	OPP
Points	18.8 14.4
1st Downs	14.6 15.8
Rush Att	45.3 39.6
Rush Yds	181.7 121.4
Pass Att	16.3 27.8
Comp %	45.6 54.1
Pass Yds	100.1 167.3
Intercept	0.8 1.3
Fumb Lost	0.8 0.8
Season T.O. Margin	1 -1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Smith	174	82	47.1	1080	7	8
Hall	13	4	30.8	35	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Galbreath	244	1168	4.8	8		
Cobbs	155	732	4.7	7		
Branch	34	188	5.5	4		
Hickmon	24	92	3.8	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Marshall	29	377	2			
Branch	24	357	2			
Howard	7	113	2			



UTAH STATE AGGIES

The Aggies had no trouble putting points on the board last season. The problem was trying to stop their opponents from doing the same much, much more frequently.

Utah State ranked 38th in the nation in total offense last year (392.45 yards per game) and seventh in passing offense (308.00 yards per game). But it also was 111th in both total defense (471.09) and scoring defense.

Now, with QB Jose Fuentes (who holds most of Utah State's passing records), WR Kevin Curtis (USU's career receptions leader) and James Samuel (the top rusher) all gone, head coach Mick Dennehy must find answers from other sources.

He'll do that in a new setting as the Aggies move into the Sun Belt Conference after playing as a Division I-A independent the past two seasons.

Junior QB Travis Cox takes over the offense, having thrown nine passes in his career. He'll hand the ball off to Richard Watson and David Fiefla.

The WR corps will definitely miss Curtis and second-leading receiver Gary Coleman. The leader this year should be senior Chris Stallworth. Jerome Eason and Jason Thomas are big and talented.

The FB position is like a second tight end in Utah State's scheme and senior Chris Cooley might be one of the top tight ends in the nation. He closed 2002 with back-to-back 100-yard receiving games.

The left side of the line has departed, although ex-ORT Donald Penn moves to left tackle this season. Also back are Aric Galliano at center and ORG Trevor Hutton, who was named USU's top lineman last year.

USU has switched defensive coordinators and will play a 3-4

alignment this season.

Up front, both ends return in John Chick (four sacks as a freshman) and Justin Jackson (8½ tackles for loss), along with DT Ronald Tupea, a two-year starter.

The Aggies will miss LB Jesse Busta, the third-leading tackler in school history. However, Robert Watts (85 tackles) and two-year starter Rodney Wilson return. Add in Nate Putnam, who missed 2002 with a shoulder injury, and the LB corps should be solid.

RCB Mark Estelle and SS Derrek Shank are the two returners in the secondary. Junior Jerome Dennis should step in at the other CB spot.

Utah State must find replacements at punter and placekicker.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-7

	UTAH STATE	OPP
Points	27.7	39.3
1st Downs	19.1	22.4
Rush Att	27.5	46.3
Rush Yds	84.5	234.8
Pass Att	44.3	30.2
Comp %	53.0	53.6
Pass Yds	308.0	236.3
Intercept	1.5	0.6
Fumb Lost	1.2	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	-11	11


INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Fuentes	454	246	54.2	3268	20	15
Crosbie	14	6	42.9	93	1	1
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Samuel	120	633	5.3	7		
Watson	75	260	3.5	5		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Curtis	74	1258	9			
Coleman	45	555	2			
Cooley	31	502	4			
Samuel	18	130	0			
Stallworth	17	231	3			

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 28	at Utah
Sept. 6	at Nebraska
Sept. 13	at Arizona State
Sept. 27	LOUISIANA-MONROE
Oct. 4	at New Mexico
Oct. 11	WYOMING
Oct. 18	at North Texas
Oct. 25	ARKANSAS STATE
Nov. 1	MIDDLE TENNESSEE
Nov. 8	at New Mexico State
Nov. 15	TROY STATE
Nov. 22	at Idaho

FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 245



houseofsports.com

FREE \$25 Super Pick Play

FRIDAYS ARE FREE DAYS!

Log on to the **HOUSEOFSPORTS.COM** website on **Fridays** throughout the football season and receive Marc Lawrence's \$25 Super Pick Play **FREE OF CHARGE!**

HOUSE OF SPORTS - HOME OF CHAMPIONS

Free Picks - Team Matchups - Team Trends
Super Systems - Guaranteed Bets



RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	GM	YDS/
New Mexico State	12	573	2575	4.49	25	214.58	
Middle Tennessee	12	528	2504	4.74	30	208.67	
Arkansas State	13	537	2374	4.42	25	182.62	
North Texas	12	544	2180	4.01	21	181.67	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	413	1461	3.54	12	121.75	
Idaho	12	396	1380	3.48	14	115.00	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	384	734	1.91	9	61.17	

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	GM	YDS/
Idaho	12	512	302	3475	23	289.58	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	432	234	2733	13	227.75	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	422	204	2664	17	222.00	
New Mexico State	12	276	169	2337	13	194.75	
Middle Tennessee	12	256	148	1848	6	154.00	
Arkansas State	13	290	150	1868	7	143.69	
North Texas	12	195	89	1201	8	100.08	

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	GM	YDS/
New Mexico State	12	849	4912	5.79	39	409.33	
Idaho	12	908	4855	5.35	38	404.58	
Middle Tennessee	12	784	4352	5.55	38	362.67	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	835	4125	4.94	32	343.75	
Arkansas State	13	827	4242	5.13	33	326.31	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	816	3467	4.25	25	288.92	
North Texas	12	739	3381	4.58	29	281.75	

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	GM	YDS/
North Texas	12	473	1461	3.09	13	121.75	
New Mexico State	12	427	1927	4.51	26	160.58	
Arkansas State	13	524	2149	4.10	21	165.31	
Middle Tennessee	12	476	2060	4.33	20	171.67	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	508	2187	4.31	26	182.25	
Idaho	12	489	2282	4.67	32	190.17	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	504	2348	4.66	33	195.67	

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	GM	YDS/
North Texas	12	334	181	2008	7	167.33	
Arkansas State	13	316	183	2293	19	176.38	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	305	159	2375	18	197.92	
New Mexico State	12	339	210	2412	16	201.00	
Middle Tennessee	12	347	213	2749	19	229.08	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	355	213	2860	22	238.33	
Idaho	12	354	208	3293	21	274.42	

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	GM	YDS/
North Texas	12	4.30	807	3469	21	289.08	
Arkansas State	13	5.29	840	4442	47	341.69	
New Mexico State	12	5.66	766	4339	44	361.58	
Louisiana-Lafayette	12	5.61	813	4562	46	380.17	
Middle Tennessee	12	5.84	823	4809	43	400.75	
Louisiana-Monroe	12	6.06	859	5208	59	434.00	
Idaho	12	6.61	843	5575	54	464.58	

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD	YDS/
Danny Smith, Arkansas State	Sr.	13	254	1390	1168	8	97.33	14	106.92
Kevin Galbreath, North Texas	Sr.	12	244	1168	1168	8	97.33	14	106.92
Blair Lewis, Idaho	Sr.	10	176	930	1011	11	91.91	11	91.91
Dwone Hicks, Middle Tennessee	Sr.	11	184	1011	1011	11	91.91	11	91.91
Bryant Jacobs, Louisiana-Monroe	Sr.	12	205	1043	1043	7	86.92	7	86.92
Paul Dombrowski, New Mexico State	Sr.	12	189	868	1043	12	72.33	12	72.33
Patrick Cobbs, North Texas	So.	12	155	732	732	7	61.00	7	61.00
Frederick Stamp, Louisiana-Lafayette	So.	11	110	642	642	6	58.36	6	58.36
Antonio Warren, Arkansas State	So.	12	134	554	554	3	46.17	3	46.17
Walter Taylor, New Mexico State	Sr.	11	97	458	458	5	41.64	5	41.64

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD	YDS/
Paul Dombrowski, New Mexico State	Fr.	12	158	95	60.13	5	1327	7	112.25
Brian Lindgren, Idaho	Jr.	11	382	240	62.83	10	2763	19	251.18
Andrico Hines, Middle Tennessee	Jr.	12	243	142	58.44	7	1753	6	149.54
Elliot Jacobs, Arkansas State	So.	13	258	136	52.71	7	1751	7	175.1
Steven Jyles, Louisiana-Monroe	Fr.	12	368	181	49.18	9	2318	17	193.17
Jon VanCleave, Louisiana-Lafayette	Jr.	11	316	169	53.48	15	2081	9	138.73
Andrew Smith, North Texas	Fr.	12	174	82	47.13	8	1080	7	135.00

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	GM	YDS/
Mack Vincent, Louisiana-Monroe	Jr.	12	79	1198	7	99.83	
Frederick Stamp, Louisiana-Lafayette	Jr.	12	54	1002	8	83.50	
H.B. Briscoe, New Mexico State	Sr.	11	50	880	5	80.00	
Josh Jelmberg, Idaho	Sr.	10	64	785	8	78.50	
Orlando Winston, Idaho	Jr.	11	50	624	1	56.73	
Tyrone Calico, Middle Tennessee	Sr.	12	45	606	4	50.50	
Christopher Belser, Idaho	Jr.	12	47	595	4	49.58	
Andre George, Louisiana-Lafayette	Sr.	11	49	531	2	48.27	
P.J. Winston, New Mexico State	Sr.	12	39	574	3	47.83	
Drouzon Guillen, Louisiana-Monroe	Fr.	12	32	514	3	42.83	

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/
Blair Lewis, Idaho	Sr.	10	12	0	0	72	7.20
Dario Aguiniga, New Mexico State	Jr.	12	0	32	17	83	6.92
Danny Smith, Arkansas State	Sr.	13	14	0	0	84	6.46
Paul Dombrowski, New Mexico State	Fr.	12	12	0	0	76	6.33
Dwone Hicks, Middle Tennessee	Sr.	11	11	0	0	66	6.00
Brian Kelly, Middle Tennessee	Jr.	12	0	31	10	63	5.25
Andrico Hines, Middle Tennessee	Jr.	12	10	0	0	62	5.17
Josh Jelmberg, Idaho	Sr.	10	8	0	0	48	4.80
Keith Stamp, Idaho	Sr.	12	0	36	7	57	4.75
Eric Neihouse, Arkansas State	Fr.	13	0	31	10	61	4.69

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PN	KN	YDS
Bryant Jacobs, Louisiana-Monroe	Sr.	12	1043	32	0	357	1432
Danny Smith, Arkansas State	Sr.	13	1390	121	0	0	1511
Dwone Hicks, Middle Tennessee	Sr.	11	1011	250	0	0	1261
Blair Lewis, Idaho	Sr.	10	930	203	0	0	1133
James Hickenbottom, Arkansas State	Sr.	11	46	468	247	483	1244
Cedric Thompson, Idaho	Jr.	11	50	245	836	1510	1251
Mack Vincent, Louisiana-Monroe	Jr.	12	53	119	0	0	1189
Kevin Galbreath, North Texas	Sr.	12	1168	21	0	0	1189
P.J. Winston, New Mexico State	Sr.	12	67	574	-1	437	1077
Frederick Stamp, Louisiana-Lafayette	Jr.	12	9	100	0	54	1065


TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	GM	YDS/
Brian Lindgren, Idaho	Jr.	11	-97	2763	2666	242.36	
Steven Jyles, Louisiana-Monroe	Fr.	12	165	2318	2483	206.92	
Andrico Hines, Middle Tennessee	Jr.	12	486	1753	2239	186.58	
Jon VanCleave, Louisiana-Lafayette	Jr.	11	-50	2081	2031	184.64	
Paul Dombrowski, New Mexico State	Fr.	12	868	1327	2195	182.92	
Elliot Jacobs, Arkansas State	So.	13	193	1751	1944	149.54	
Danny Smith, Arkansas State	Sr.	13	1390	0	1390	106.92	
Kevin Galbreath, North Texas	Sr.	12	1168	0	1168	97.33	
Blair Lewis, Idaho	Sr.	10	930	0	930	93.00	
Dwone Hicks, Middle Tennessee	Sr.	11	1011	0	1011	91.91	



TRADEWINDS

SPORTSBOOK AND CASINO



"...THERE ISN'T AN OPERATION AROUND THAT WILL
GAMBLE HIGHER AND PAY IT OFF QUICKER..."

JIMMY VACARRO

FMR. RACE AND SPORTSBOOK DIR. - MIRAGE HOTEL AND CASINO

YOU PLAY - WE PAY

bettradewinds.com

800.387.9358

TRADEWINDS SPORTSBOOK & CASINO • EST. 1995 •

WAC PREDICTIONS 2003

ONE FRESNO STATE bulldogs

The Bulldogs were impressive in coming back from a 1-3 start in 2002 to win nine games and whip Georgia Tech in the Silicon Valley Classic. Head coach Pat Hill welcomes a strong class of recruits, along with All-America candidate WR Bernard Berrian, who is back after missing all but one quarter of last season after injuring his knee in the season opener. The key: Fresno plays host to Boise State Nov. 22.

TWO BOISE STATE broncos

It will be very difficult for the Broncos to follow up on last year's success when they had the greatest season in school history, posting 12 wins, a bowl victory and a national ranking. With eight offensive starters, four defensive starters and both kickers gone, head coach Dan Hawkins will be happy to have his team in contention for another league title.

THREE HAWAII warriors

QB Timmy Chang returns to lead head coach June Jones' high-powered aerial attack. The Warriors must find replacements for star OG Vince Manuwai and OT Wayne Hunter. They also lost two top line-backers and their well-respected defensive coordinator. Early road games at USC and UNLV will be tests. Both Fresno State and Boise State will visit the islands later on.

FOUR LOUISIANA TECH bulldogs

After winning the WAC in 2001, the Bulldogs disappointed in 2002, falling to 4-8 overall. With 12 starters back and some highly touted junior-college recruits, head

ALL-CONFERENCE 2003



OFFENSE

- QB Ryan Dinwiddie, Boise State, Sr.
- RB Rodney Davis, Fresno State, Sr.
- RB Keylon Kincade, SMU, Sr.
- WR Bernard Berrian, Fresno State, Sr.
- WR D.J. Curry, Louisiana Tech, Sr.
- TE Caleb Blankenship, Tulsa, Jr.
- OL Daryn Colledge, Boise State, Soph.
- OL Logan Mankins, Fresno State, Jr.
- OL Uriah Moenaa, Hawaii, Jr.
- OL Aaron Lips, Louisiana Tech, Soph.
- OL Trey Darilek, Texas-El Paso, Sr.

DEFENSE

- DL Allan Adams, SMU, Jr.
- DL Phillip Perry, San Jose State, Sr.
- DL Jorge Cordova, Nevada, Sr.
- DL Isaac Sopoaga, Hawaii, Sr.
- LB Andy Avalos, Boise State, Jr.
- LB Bryce McGill, Fresno State, Sr.
- LB Jeff Vanover, Rice, Sr.
- DB Gabe Franklin, Boise State, Jr.
- DB Gerald Jones, San Jose State, Sr.
- DB Kevin Millhouse, Hawaii, Sr.
- DB Hyrum Peters, Hawaii, Sr.

SPECIALISTS

- PK Josh Scobee, Louisiana Tech, Sr.
- P Bryce Benekos, Texas-El Paso, Jr.

SIX SAN JOSE STATE spartans

After playing a brutal 13 games in 13 weeks last season, the Spartans play a more relaxed 11-game schedule, with three byes, in 2003. They open at home against Grambling on ESPN2 on Aug. 23, then play at Florida the following week. QB Scott Rislov is an efficient, effective passer while S Gerald Jones is a ballhawk, with eight interceptions last season.

SEVEN NEVADA wolf pack

The departure of the top pitch-and-catch duo in the league — QB Zack Threadgill



QB RYAN DINWIDDIE
BOISE STATE

and WR Nate Burleson — makes things tough for the Wolf Pack to improve on last year's 5-7 record. Head coach Chris Tormey hopes 2001 NCAA rushing champ Chance Kretschmer can come back after missing all of last season with a knee injury. Hawaii, Louisiana Tech and Fresno State all visit Reno this season.

EIGHT SMU mustangs

Head coach Phil Bennett and his staff are optimistic that the Mustangs have turned the corner and are on their way to being a competitor in the WAC title race — though that's not likely this season. QB Richard Bartel led the Mustangs to all three of their wins a year ago and the RB tandem of Keylon Kincade and ShanDerrick Charles is the best in the WAC.

NINE TEXAS-EL PASO minors

New defensive coordinator Mike Roach comes in from Calgary of the CFL to rebuild a defense that allowed 440 yards per game and 63 touchdowns in 2002. How he does will dictate the Miners' success this season. Redshirt freshman QB Jordan Palmer is the brother of Heisman winner Carson Palmer. He will challenge Jon Schaper for the starting QB spot this season.

TEN TULSA golden hurricans

New head coach Steve Kragthorpe, the former Buffalo Bills QB coach, knows it will take time to turn around a program that has stumbled to a 2-21 record the past two seasons and hasn't had a winning season since 1991. The schedule offers Kragthorpe no favors, starting with back-to-back road games to open the season against Minnesota and Arkansas.

2002 CONFERENCE STANDINGS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Boise State	8	0	409	111	4-0	4-0	0-0
Hawaii	7	1	304	202	4-0	3-1	0-0
Fresno State	6	2	236	204	3-1	3-1	0-0
San Jose State	4	4	262	271	2-2	2-2	0-0
Nevada	4	4	248	259	3-1	1-3	0-0
Rice	3	5	216	235	2-2	1-3	0-0
Louisiana Tech	3	5	248	277	3-1	0-4	0-0
SMU	3	5	164	247	2-2	1-3	0-0
Texas-El Paso	1	7	147	305	1-3	0-4	0-0
Tulsa	1	7	156	279	1-3	0-4	0-0

2002 OVERALL STANDINGS EXCLUDING BOWLS

TEAM	W	L	PF	PA	HOM	ROAD	NEUT
Boise State	11	1	559	224	6-0	5-1	0-0
Hawaii	10	3	474	353	7-1	3-2	0-0
Fresno State	8	5	348	358	5-1	3-4	0-0
San Jose State	6	7	376	467	2-2	4-5	0-0
Nevada	5	7	331	371	4-2	1-4	0-1
Rice	4	7	253	296	2-3	2-4	0-0
Louisiana Tech	4	8	320	426	4-1	0-7	0-0
SMU	3	9	207	378	2-4	1-5	0-0
Texas-El Paso	2	10	220	511	2-3	0-7	0-0
Tulsa	1	11	233	417	1-5	0-6	0-0

BOISE STATE BRONCOS

It will be awfully hard for the Broncos to duplicate the success they had in 2002 when they had what arguably was the greatest season in school history. The Broncos won 12 of 13 games (including a 34-16 win over Iowa State in the Humanitarian Bowl) and finished ranked among the top 15 teams nationally.

Now, with most of the team's top offensive threats departed, head coach Dan Hawkins will rely on a revamped offense and a veteran defense to contend once again for the WAC title and a bowl berth.

The Broncos boasted one of the most potent offenses in the nation last season and welcome back first-team All-WAC QB Ryan Dinwiddie to run the show once again.

He will miss the running ability and school-record 32 touchdowns of RB Brock Forsey, who has departed. However, senior RB David Mikell, who served as

Forsey's backup the last two seasons, has shown explosiveness and big-play ability. Junior Donny Heck and some talented newcomers are also in the mix.

The Broncos lost their top three receivers and must find replacements to keep the offense moving efficiently. Part-time starter Tim Gilligan returns, as does junior Jerry Smith and junior-college transfer Tony McPherson.

The anchors of the offensive line will be tackles Daryn Colledge and Rusty Colburn. All-WAC performer Scott Huff, a four-year starter at Boise State, has left and leaves a void. All-WAC ORG Rob Vian has also

departed.

The defense should be solid with eight returnees, highlighted by a standout pair of cornerbacks. Junior Gabe Franklin is an All-WAC candidate on the right side while Julius Brown anchors the left side. Senior Wes Nurse

returns at free safety and also is an all-star candidate. Only SS Quinton Mikell has departed.

Two starting linebackers return in junior Andy Avalos (team-high 107 tackles in 2002) and senior Travis Burgher. The Broncos must find a replacement, however, for departed MLB Chauncey Ako, an All-WAC performer.

Up front, seniors Dane Oldham and Paul Allen were both part-time starters last year. Senior Fernando Yanez and sophomore Alex Guerrero are also in the DT rotation. The team must find another end to pair up with junior DLE Julius Roberts.


Junior Tyler Jones fills the big shoes of departed PK Nick Calaycay.

TEAM STATS 2002 11-1 (8-0)

	BOISE STATE	OPP
Points	46.6	18.7
1st Downs	24.5	18.9
Rush Att	43.3	31.5
Rush Yds	212.8	106.4
Pass Att	29.8	40.5
Comp %	65.4	53.1
Pass Yds	304.0	239.8
Intercept	0.7	1.6
Fumb Lost	1.3	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	7	-7

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

MEMPHIS STATE 2002						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Dinwiddie	173	117	67.6	2123	19	3
Rhodes	176	113	64.2	1444	11	5
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Forsey	271	1533	5.7	23		
Mikell	97	565	5.8	8		
Heck	64	275	4.3	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Wingfield	57	1074	7			
Fanucchi	35	685	4			
Forsey	33	257	6			
Swille	31	570	6			



FRESNO STATE BULLDOGS

The Bulldogs enter the 2003 season on a five-game winning streak and hope to keep that momentum going as long as they can. It won't be easy with games against powerhouse teams like Tennessee, Oregon State and Oklahoma to kick things off but

the Bulldogs are used to top competition. In fact, all five of their losses in '02 were to teams that spent time ranked in the top 25 and went to bowls.

Head coach Pat Hill (46-30 record) brings in the top recruiting class in his seven years at Fresno and welcomes back 2001 All-American WR Bernard Berrian, who redshirted last year after suffering a knee injury in the season opener.

With nine returning starters on offense (including Berrian), Hill and the Bulldogs will try to put points on the board as quickly as possible using multiple-WR sets. Sophomore QB Paul Pinegar,

who beat out veteran QB Jeff Grady and went on to win WAC Freshman of the Year honors, returns to orchestrate the offense. He'll get help from top skill-position players like senior RB Rodney Davis, Berrian, senior WR Marque Davis (Rodney's brother), sophomore WR

Jermaine Jamison, a Freshman All-American, and sophomore WR Adam Jennings. Add into the mix junior TE Stephen Spach and H-back Alec Greco and Pinegar should have more than enough weapons at his disposal.

Up front, the Bulldogs will miss three key offensive linemen who have departed — OLG Fitu Tu'ua, C Rodney Micheal, and ORT Joe Schey. Junior OLT Logan Mankins is an honors candidate while ORG Dartagnon Shack is a promising junior.

Five key defensive starters have graduated, but Hill will rely

on senior LBs Bryce McGill (80 tackles, six sacks) and Marc Dailey to lead the way.

Junior DE Claude Sanders (five sacks) and senior NT Del Hawkins are the leaders up front. Sophomore DT Garrett McIntyre has shown great promise.

Sophomore SS James Sanders (83 tackles) and sophomore CB Raymond Washington (game-winning blocked kick vs. San Diego State) return to anchor the secondary.


The Bulldogs must replace two-time All-WAC PK Asen Asparuhov, two-time WAC punting leader Jason Simpson and four-year long snapper Kevin Murphy, who was errorless in 520 career snapping attempts.

TEAM STATS 2002 8-5 (6-2)

	FRESNO STATE	OPP
Points	26.8	27.5
1st Downs	19.7	22.0
Rush Att	35.6	41.3
Rush Yds	128.3	161.8
Pass Att	34.8	33.3
Comp %	55.3	56.6
Pass Yds	245.7	247.2
Intercept	1.1	1.0
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	1	-1

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Pinegar	372	214	57.5	2741	20	10
Grady	78	36	46.2	453	3	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
R. Davis	276	1433	5.2	7		
Sumlin	56	234	4.2	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
M. Davis	64	956	7			
Jamison	34	589	4			
Jennings	33	295	1			
Gilbert	28	386	5			
Reid	23	307	0			



HAWAII WARRIORS

As long as QB Timmy Chang is in the pocket throwing the ball, the Warriors will have a chance.

That's because Chang, healthy at last after battling injuries last season, is one of the top offensive weapons in the country as the commander of head coach June Jones' run-and-shoot offense.

Chang, a junior who holds 21 school records and is chasing Ty Detmer's career passing yardage record, welcomes back four of his top five receivers along with his top running back. However, the Warriors were decimated up front, losing NFL-bound starters C Lui Fuata, ORG Vince Manuwa and OLT Wayne Hunter.

Returning on the O-line are senior OLG Shayne Kajioka and junior ORT Uriah Moenoa, who both started in each game in '02. Sophomore Derek Faavi takes

over at center, a position he played late last season.

Chang will be joined in the backfield by either senior RB John West or junior RB Mike Bass, who struggled with injuries last year. Both are talented runners and pass receivers.

The WR corps is solid with seniors Jeremiah Cockheran and Clifton Herbert, junior Chad Owens (a top return man) and sophomores Britton Komine and Nate Ilaoa.

Senior Jason Whieldon and sophomore Kainoa Akina, a transfer from Eastern Michigan, are the backup QBs.

The defense seems poised to have a breakout season.

Six players with game experience return up front, led by senior DRE Travis LaBoy (21 QB hurries, seven sacks) and senior DT-DE Houston Ala (six sacks). Senior DTs Isaac Sopoaga and Lance Samuseva anchor the DT

spots.

All three starting linebackers from last year have departed but senior Keani Alapa has experience to offer. Jones hopes that senior Chad Kalilimoku is ready to step out of the shadow of All-WAC LB Chris Brown.

The secondary returns its four starters to complement the front seven. Senior Kelvin Millhouse a two-time All-WAC honoree and junior Abraham Elimimian are at the CB spots while senior Hyrum Peters (a first-team All-WAC pick) returns at strong safety. The FS spot will be handled by senior David Gilmore and sophomore Leonard Peters.

PK Justin Ayat returns, but the team must find a new punter.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	APPALACHIAN STATE
Sept. 13	at USC
Sept. 20	at UNLV
Sept. 27	RICE
Oct. 4	at Tulsa
Oct. 11	FRESNO STATE
Oct. 18	at Louisiana Tech
Oct. 25	TEXAS-EL PASO
Nov. 1	at San Jose State
Nov. 15	at Nevada
Nov. 22	ARMY
Nov. 29	ALABAMA
Dec. 6	BOISE STATE


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 165

TEAM STATS 2002 10-3 (7-1)

	HAWAII	OPP
Points	36.5	27.2
1st Downs	24.2	21.4
Rush Att	22.0	42.5
Rush Yds	112.8	170.6
Pass Att	52.2	35.1
Comp %	55.2	51.1
Pass Yds	387.9	225.2
Intercept	1.9	1.4
Fumb Lost	1.0	0.6
Season T.O. Margin	-12	12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Chang	603	335	55.6	4350	25	22
Withy-Allen	55	31	56.4	531	5	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
West	57	442	7.8	5		
Mitchell	53	314	5.9	10		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Colbert	83	1144	6			
Komine	56	850	10			
Owens	44	538	2			
Ilaoa	42	498	3			
Cockheran	40	644	5			



LOUISIANA TECH BULLDOGS

Turnovers were a big problem for the Bulldogs last season and that has been the focus of head coach Jack Bicknell and his staff in preparation of the 2003 season.

In the Bulldogs' eight losses in '02, they had 19 interceptions and 10 fumbles. That's the bad news.

The good news is Bicknell believes the problem can be solved.

Senior QB Luke McCown assumed much of the blame for the team's miscues last year, with 19 interceptions. However, the four-year starter is a talented passer and runner who is the unquestioned leader of the offense.

Tech will miss the departed RB Joe Smith, but senior Ralph Davis, a special-teams player last year, will try to fill Smith's big shoes. Also in the mix is quick sophomore Ryan Moats and converted wide receiver junior Danny Wilson.

The fact that Louisiana Tech has eight of its top 10 receivers back is great news for McCown. D.J. Curry, Chris Norwood, Erick Franklin and Tramission Davis all have starting experience, and Curry is poised for a big season.

The offensive line is very young as it tries to replace three departed starters. The fact that sophomore Marcus Stewart, penciled in as the starting center this fall, broke his leg in the spring and left his status in doubt didn't help things.

Michael Gilmore returns as the starting right tackle and Aaron Lips will be the starting left guard, unless Bicknell decides to move him to center.

The defense also is focused on turnovers as it only created 11 fumbles and five interceptions last season.

The Bulldogs also must do a better job of preventing big plays, having given up 50 plays of 20 or

more yards in 2002.

Junior Chris Van Hoy returns at tackle while senior Booker T. Washington started the last six games at left end last year and is No. 1 at that spot this year.

The starting linebackers are all gone. Junior John Nash takes over in the middle with senior Antonio Crow, and Jonte Price or Jeremy Hamilton at the outside spots.

A young and inexperienced secondary last year should be a strength this year as Michael Johnson, Lee Johnson and Gavin Cato return at safety and Corey Brazil and Kevin Brown return at the CB spots.


Senior PK Josh Scobee is an All-American candidate.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8 (3-5)

	LOUISIANA TECH	OPP
Points	26.7	35.5
1st Downs	19.5	22.5
Rush Att	25.8	43.1
Rush Yds	115.2	176.2
Pass Att	43.9	31.9
Comp %	57.9	62.1
Pass Yds	302.8	265.0
Intercept	1.6	0.4
Fumb Lost	0.8	0.9
Season T.O. Margin	-13	13

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002						
PASSING	ATT	COMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
McCown	505	296	58.6	3539	19	19
Causey	20	9	45.0	94	0	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
J. Smith	207	1217	5.9	16		
Davis	11	54	4.9	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Curry	57	730	3			
Norwood	56	654	4			
J. Smith	47	352	0			
Harris	28	428	4			
Franklin	28	356	4			



NEVADA WOLF PACK

One thing is certain in Reno — it will be a surprise if the Wolf Pack throw the ball as often as they did last year. That's because the prolific pitch-and-catch combo of QB Zack Threadgill and WR Nate Burleson have departed after huge senior seasons.

Luckily, the Wolf Pack welcome back RB Chance Kretschmer, who played in just two games last year before tearing an ACL in his knee. A freshman American two years ago, Kretschmer will be backed up by sophomore B.J. Mitchell, who looked impressive this spring. Matt Milton, who rushed for 1,108 yards last year as a freshman, is transferring for academic reasons.

Kretschmer and Mitchell will take some pressure off Threadgill's successor, who was unknown at presstime. Three players are battling for the QB

spot — junior Andy Heiser, red-shirt freshman Travis Moore and sophomore Jeff Rowe.

With Burleson and his 138 catches gone, the receiving burden falls on seniors Tim Fleming and Maurice Mann along with sophomore Nichiren Flowers and talented junior-college transfers Willie Johnson and Del McGee.

Up front, the Wolf Pack have four-fifths of their line returning. Tackles Harvey Dahl and Alan Parker are the anchors along with OGs Isaiah Ross, Chris Hines and John Tennert, who is back after missing last season with injury. Senior Steve Church will start at center.

The defense boasts 10 starters and several key reserves back.

DE Jorge Cordova is the top returner along the line. He led the WAC in sacks last season with nine and added 14 tackles for loss. Junior DTs Chris Barry and Derek Kennard plug the middle

in front of ILBs Logan Carter and J.D. Morscheck. Senior OLB Carl LaGrone was Nevada's most improved defensive player in '02.

Ronnie Hardiman moves to rover (strong safety) after playing free safety most of last season. He's also a top return man. Junior FS Keone Kauo (team-high 85 tackles) is an honors candidate.

Standout sophomore Chris Handy and junior Marlon McLaughlin head a talented group of cornerbacks. Leondre Lewis is back from injury, while senior Ali Jones and Nebraska transfer Randy Landingham are also in the mix.

Four-year starting P Derek Jones returns, while PK Damon Fine must improve his accuracy.


TEAM STATS 2002 5-7 (4-4)

	NEVADA	OPP
Points	27.6	30.9
1st Downs	23.8	19.7
Rush Att	35.0	37.7
Rush Yds	137.8	178.0
Pass Att	39.8	29.8
Compl %	61.2	54.5
Pass Yds	296.3	241.6
Intercept	1.4	0.8
Fumb Lost	0.7	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-6	6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Threadgill	450	275	61.1	3418	26	16
Rowe	26	17	65.4	138	1	0
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Milton	225	1108	4.9	9		
Mitchell	59	232	3.9	3		
Threadgill	57	116	2.0	1		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Burleson	138	1627	12			
Fleming	39	430	3			
Flowers	33	450	4			
Streelman	30	345	3			

OFFENSE - 7 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 10



RICE OWLS

Don't overlook this team because they run the archaic triple-option offense or because they are coming off a sub-par season. Head coach Ken Hatfield, one of the most underrated coaches in the country, will have this team ready, especially with some winnable non-conference games on the slate. Much of the senior leadership is gone from last year's team, but strong junior and freshman classes should keep this team afloat.

Kyle Herm and Greg Henderson are not the tallest QBs in the country (5-8 and 5-10), but they each have experience running the offense. Herm is the better passer of the two, but Henderson is a better runner. They will split time again.

FB Robbie Beck and HB Clint Hatfield have a chance to be nice producers on the ground. Beck, the team's leading rusher, is solidly built and hard to bring down.

Hatfield will likely average more than 3.2 yards per carry with more attempts.

Don't underestimate the top pass catchers. SE Marcus Battle should be fantastic as a sophomore, and Jeremy Hurd has speed to stretch the field. The only problem: no one else caught more than seven passes.

The offensive line will see some shifting but there is experience. Returning starters Mike Holman, who moves from left tackle to right guard, and ORT Chris DeMunbrun make a nice tandem.

The defensive line must replace three key members, including Brandon Green, the school's all-time sack leader. DT Jeremy Calahan, the only returning starter up front, must get more push this season. DEs Jimmy Shaw and John Syptak are raw and undersized, but the coaches are counting on them to chase opponents' quarterbacks around.

SLB Jeff Vanover will need another big season — he leads all returners in sacks, tackles and tackles for loss — if the defense is to overcome its youth. Vanover looked completely healed from his 2001 knee injury. Adam Herrin and Brandon Boyd are young, active defenders who will battle for the weakside spot.

In the secondary, all five starters must be replaced, but the unit had a nice spring as a whole. Rover Terry Holley and FS Ray Morris Barnes, who has the most experience in the backfield, are solid tacklers. The weak spot could be at corner. Projected starters Patrick Dendy and Andray Downs are relative unknowns, though Dendy played well in a reserve role.


TEAM STATS 2002 4-7 (3-5)

	RICE	OPP
Points	23.0	26.9
1st Downs	19.2	21.1
Rush Att	55.1	37.9
Rush Yds	247.7	143.1
Pass Att	13.7	33.0
Compl %	44.4	61.2
Pass Yds	99.2	242.0
Intercept	0.6	0.7
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	0	0

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Herrin	86	42	48.8	685	4	3
Henderson	65	25	38.5	406	2	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Beck	120	601	5.0	8		
Henderson	95	482	5.1	4		
Herrin	113	416	3.7	1		
Hawkins	69	397	5.8	2		
Bailey	79	277	3.5	7		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Battle	26	430	2			
Hurd	11	284	2			

OFFENSE - 6 RETURNING STARTERS DEFENSE - 3



SAN JOSE STATE SPARTANS

It's safe to say that the Spartans were one of the most pleasant surprises in all of college football in 2002. After posting a 32-70 record in their previous nine seasons and having pre-season prognosticators pick them last in the WAC, head coach Fitz Hill and the Spartans produced six victories in 13 games (which were amazingly played in 13 consecutive weeks), finishing 4-4 and tied for fourth in the WAC.

Now, Hill should reap the benefits of last season's experience as he welcomes back 44 letterwinners, including 27 starters or part-time starters.

The trigger man for the Spartans' offense is senior QB Scott Rislov, who ranked among the top 30 quarterbacks in the nation in total offense and passing efficiency. He set five school passing records in 2002.

Four-fifths of a senior-dominated offensive line return —

OGs Joseph Hayes and Justin Arrington, C LaMons Walker and OLT Jeff Gordon. The big battle is at right tackle between senior Reggie Candler and junior Osmar Staples as they fight to replace departed four-year starter Tim Provost.

Rislov will be throwing to three of his top four receivers from a year ago. Seniors Jamall Broussard, Kendrick Starling and Tuati Wooden all are poised to improve their '02 totals to make up for the loss of Charles Pauley.

Junior RB Lamar Ferguson returns, although he is recovering from a season-ending knee injury and missed spring practice. Junior Lance Martin, senior Damarcus Ingram, FB Ezekiel Staples and highly touted junior-college transfer Tyson Thompson will also get work carrying the ball.

The Spartans will once again employ an "all-or-nothing"

defensive strategy as they try to create turnovers while hoping not to get burned too badly by the big play.

Senior DE Philip Perry (third nationally in forced fumbles with seven) and senior S Gerald Jones (eight interceptions) are the top returners. Jones, junior S Josh Powell and senior CB-S Melvin Cook combined for 16 of the team's 23 interceptions in '02.

Senior returning starters Onyeka Ossai and Paul Okumu lead an experienced LB corps.

Perry (seven sacks) anchors a defensive front along with senior Steve Nash, and junior juco transfers DT Eddie Brown Jr., DE Michael Hodges and DE Andrew Paopao.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 23	GRAMBLING STATE
Aug. 30	at Florida
Sept. 13	at Stanford
Sept. 18	NEVADA
Oct. 4	at Rice
Oct. 11	SMU
Oct. 25	at Boise State
Nov. 1	HAWAII
Nov. 8	at Texas-El Paso
Nov. 15	at Fresno State
Nov. 22	TULSA


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 221

TEAM STATS 2002 6-7 (4-4)

	SAN JOSE STATE	OPP.
Points	28.9	35.9
1st Downs	19.5	24.6
Rush Att	31.5	39.8
Rush Yds	112.2	192.9
Pass Att	37.2	36.5
Compl %	60.0	57.9
Pass Yds	263.4	300.5
Intercept	1.3	1.8
Fumb Lost	1.2	1.2
Season T.O. Margin	6	-6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Rislov	452	278	61.5	3270	22	14
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Ferguson	128	629	4.9	8		
Martin	41	249	6.1	3		
Ingram	36	209	5.8	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Broussard	62	688	5			
Pauley	60	804	4			
Starling	49	603	5			
Wooden	35	539	2			
Helfman	23	189	2			



SMU MUSTANGS

Heading into the 2003 season, momentum is on the Mustangs' side for the first time in many years. The question is: can second-year head coach Phil Bennett keep things moving up?

SMU won three of its last five games last season, then Bennett brought in the program's best recruiting class since the mid-1980s. That has led to hopes of a breakthrough season in Dallas.

Bennett looks to open up the attack more after the promise shown by sophomore QB Richard Bartel (three 200+ yard passing games) late last season.

Bartel, who suffered a separated shoulder in the season finale but was fully healthy for spring drills, will get a lot of help from a loaded backfield highlighted by workhorse senior TB Keylon Kincade (school-record 327 carries, eight 100-yard rushing games). Also

back is junior ShanDerrick Charles, a standout as a freshman but hobbled by a back injury last year. Redshirt freshman Johnnie Fitzgerald and freshman Richel Massey are also in the mix.

The biggest news on the offense is the return of senior WR Chris Cunningham, who missed the 2002 season with a broken foot. Cunningham had 45 catches for 516 yards and seven touchdowns in '01. Sophomore Daniel Francis should be the second wideout with junior Trey Griffin and converted defensive backs Wesley Medlock and Matt Rushbrook showing promise.

Up front, the Mustangs welcome back four starters — senior C Steve Reindl, senior ORT Sterling Harris, junior ORG Townsend Hargis and sophomore OLG Brad Kieschnick. Junior Eric Neal and redshirt freshman Martin Guidry will battle for the OLT spot.

Leading the way defensively are senior LBs Brian Bischoff and D.D. Johnson, senior CB Jonas Rutledge and junior DT Allan Adami.

Adami is one of three veteran starters up front along with junior DE Melvin Williams and sophomore DE Don Jeremiah-Stansbury. Three-time All-WAC pick MLB Vic Vilorio is gone but junior D.D. Lee is talented enough to fill Vilorio's big shoes.


Rutledge hopes to return to his 2001 form when he was an All-WAC first-team pick after a down '02 season. Senior Ruben Moodley and sophomore Rolando Humphrey will battle for the other CB spot while junior Rico Harris is at strong safety.

TEAM STATS 2002 3-9 (3-5)

	SMU	OPP.
Points	17.2	31.5
1st Downs	19.2	18.2
Rush Att	45.9	35.3
Rush Yds	182.2	159.4
Pass Att	27.5	30.8
Compl %	52.1	54.1
Pass Yds	159.3	244.3
Intercept	1.5	0.7
Fumb Lost	0.9	0.8
Season T.O. Margin	-12	12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Bartel	148	89	60.1	1078	8	8
Wallis	182	83	45.6	834	3	10
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Kincade	327	1279	3.9	7		
K. Briggs	43	290	6.7	5		
B. Briggs	41	257	6.3	3		
Wallis	72	232	3.2	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Cardwell	49	674	3			
Hampton	31	439	3			
Francis	29	276	1			



TEXAS-EL PASO MINERS

Head coach Gary Nord has been patient during his first three seasons at the helm of the Miners' program. The rebuilding process has been slow thus far, with back-to-back two-win seasons. However, Nord believes that this season could be a breakout one for the Miners as what basically was a junior-varsity team the past two seasons now has experienced players ready to battle opponents on a level playing field.

With 16 returning starters (including both kickers), Nord is also pushing his team to achieve more than in the recent past.

Three seniors anchor a veteran line that should be the strength of UTEP's offense. ORT Trey Darilek, ORG Robert Clayton and C Chris Kerr have combined to make 75 starts. The left side of the line needs to be rebuilt after the departure of OLT Ariel Famaligi and OLG Curtis Castle.

One of four players will emerge to be the team's starting quarterback. Three returners — juniors Jon Schaper (back after a fractured clavicle suffered late last season) and Omar Duarte and sophomore Orlando Cruz (back after a torn ACL last season) — will battle redshirt freshman Jordan Palmer, the brother of Heisman Trophy winner Carson Palmer.

Whichever QB wins the job, he will be sharing the backfield with junior TB Howard Jackson, sophomore Matt Austin and junior-college transfer Victor Jones. Senior Rovann Cleveland and junior Jimmy Smith will battle for the starting fullback position.

The WR corps has excellent depth with sophomores Chris Francies, Jacob Gonzales and Aaron Givens joining juniors Dirk Dillard and Will Smith.

The defense boasts speed and talent at the LB position in

Robert Rodriguez and Godwin Akinduro at the MLB spot and sophomores Thomas Howard and J.D. Hearn at the WLB spot.

DRE Sam Clarke and DLT Dan Kerr are seniors who have started for three years. Sophomore DT Chris Mineo showed promise last season while fellow sophomore DLE Brandon Johnson is a talented pass rusher.


UTEP will miss departed FS D.J. Walker, a three-time All-WAC performer. They must also replace All-WAC LCB Weldon Cooks. Nord hopes that juco transfer Adrian Ward can step in at cornerback while sophomore Marshall Sanford takes over at free safety. Rover Tim Woodard is UTEP's top returning tackler.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10 (1-7)

TEXAS-EL PASO	OPP
Points	18.3
1st Downs	18.4
Rush Att	42.4
Rush Yds	154.1
Pass Att	31.3
Comp %	45.3
Pass Yds	178.8
Intercept	1.8
Fumb Lost	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	-19

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Duarte	161	79	49.1	1000	6	4
Schaper	139	59	42.4	649	3	8
Cruz	58	28	48.3	466	3	6
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Jackson	173	838	4.8	7		
Austin	79	284	3.6	2		
J. Smith	53	236	4.5	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Minor	41	630	7			
Francies	27	347	1			
Crafts	19	231	0			



TULSA GOLDEN HURRICANE

Tulsa welcomes aboard new head coach Steve Kragthorpe, whose previous job was as QB coach for the Buffalo Bills.

After working with Pro Bowler Drew Bledsoe, Kragthorpe must now choose a starting quarterback from two

contrasting players — 5-11 junior Tyler Gooch or 6-4 junior James Kilian. Gooch, the returning starter, can run and throw the ball while Kilian offers more size.

There are nine returning offensive starters, totalling 95 percent of the team's production last year.

Senior RB Eric Richardson returns as the team's top ball carrier. Sophomore Uril Parrish and redshirt freshman Larry Lane add depth.

Caleb Blankenship returns at TE and teams with a talented WR corps that includes returning starters Romby Bryant and Montiesse Culton. Sophomores

Richard McQuillar, Jermaine Landrum and Sean Yoder are also in the mix.

Up front, the line welcomes three returning starters — senior OLT Austin Chadwick, ORG Matt Black and C Derek Warehime, who started eight games at guard last year but has moved to center.

On defense, the Golden Hurricane will have a new look after switching to a 3-3-5 alignment.

The defensive line boasts two ends — seniors Jeremy Davis and Sammy Umobong — who are poised for breakout seasons. Junior Josh Walker and redshirt freshman Lui Saafi battle for the other starting end spot.

Senior Tse Ogisi, junior Brandon Lohr and senior Antwan Floyd give Tulsa three experienced players at nose guard.

Two-year starter Jorma Bailey leads the LB corps from his outside position. Josh DuPree and

Leroy White battle for the other starting OLB spot. Junior Brendon Swisher and redshirt freshman Robert Latu are inside.

Junior FS Jeff Thibodeaux leads the secondary. Sophomore Kedrick Alexander and junior Clint Rountree will battle for one safety spot while senior Max Kraus and redshirt freshman Jared Brejcha are in the mix at the bandit safety spot.

Sherman Steptoe is healthy and ready to regain his RCB starting spot while three players — C.J. Scott, Jermaine Hope and Darrell Wimberly are in the mix at the other corner.


The team welcomes back sophomore PK Brad DeVault and senior P Cort Moffitt.

TEAM STATS 2002 1-11 (1-7)

TULSA	OPP
Points	19.4
1st Downs	17.7
Rush Att	35.8
Rush Yds	123.3
Pass Att	18.9
Comp %	52.9
Pass Yds	193.4
Intercept	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	6

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS 1962						
PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Gooch	347	190	54.8	2100	17	7
Kilian	53	22	41.5	208	1	3
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Richardson	182	957	5.3	6		
Gooch	127	219	1.7	1		
Parrish	54	89	1.6	3		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Bryant	49	593	7			
Culton	35	415	4			
Richardson	34	249	0			
Blankenship	25	290	2			



TEAM STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Rice	11	606	2725	4.50	24	247.73
Boise State	12	520	2554	4.91	39	212.83
SMU	12	551	2187	3.97	15	182.25
Texas-El Paso	12	509	1849	3.63	13	154.08
Nevada	12	420	1654	3.94	15	137.83
Fresno State	13	463	1668	3.60	13	128.31
Tulsa	12	430	1480	3.44	12	123.33
Louisiana Tech	12	310	1382	4.46	18	115.17
Hawaii	13	286	1467	5.13	24	112.85
San Jose State	13	410	1459	3.56	18	112.23

PASSING OFFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Hawaii	13	679	375	5043	33	387.92
Boise State	12	358	234	3648	31	304.00
Louisiana Tech	12	527	305	3633	19	302.75
Nevada	12	477	292	3556	27	296.33
San Jose State	13	483	290	3424	24	263.38
Fresno State	13	452	250	3194	23	245.69
Tulsa	12	403	213	2321	18	193.42
Texas-El Paso	12	375	170	2145	13	178.75
SMU	12	330	172	1912	11	159.33
Rice	11	151	67	1091	6	99.18

TOTAL OFFENSE

TEAM	G	PLAYS	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Boise State	12	878	6202	7.06	74	516.83
Hawaii	13	965	6510	6.75	62	500.77
Nevada	12	897	5210	5.81	44	434.17
Louisiana Tech	12	837	5015	5.99	39	417.92
San Jose State	13	893	4883	5.47	49	375.62
Fresno State	13	915	4862	5.31	41	374.00
Rice	11	757	3816	5.04	33	346.91
SMU	12	881	4099	4.65	27	341.58
Texas-El Paso	12	884	3994	4.52	28	332.83
Tulsa	12	833	3801	4.56	31	316.75

RUSHING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	CAR	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM
Boise State	12	377	1277	3.39	8	106.42
Rice	11	417	1573	3.77	14	143.00
SMU	12	423	1913	4.52	21	159.42
Fresno State	13	537	2104	3.92	22	161.85
Hawaii	13	552	2218	4.02	21	170.62
Louisiana Tech	12	516	2121	4.11	30	176.75
Nevada	12	452	2136	4.73	25	178.00
San Jose State	13	518	2508	4.84	25	192.92
Texas-El Paso	12	480	2331	4.86	33	194.25
Tulsa	12	537	3075	5.73	38	256.25

PASSING DEFENSE

TEAM	G	ATT	CMP	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Tulsa	12	342	201	2429	15	202.42
Rice	11	363	222	2663	23	242.09
Boise State	12	487	259	2878	19	239.83
Nevada	12	358	195	2899	19	241.58
Hawaii	13	456	233	2928	21	225.23
SMU	12	370	200	2932	22	244.33
Texas-El Paso	12	352	199	2951	30	245.92
Louisiana Tech	12	383	238	3173	24	264.42
Fresno State	13	433	245	3214	19	247.23
San Jose State	13	475	275	3906	32	300.46

TOTAL DEFENSE

TEAM	G	AVG	PLAYS	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Boise State	12	4.81	864	4155	29	346.25
Rice	11	5.43	780	4236	38	385.09
Hawaii	13	5.11	100	5146	44	395.85
SMU	12	6.11	793	4845	50	403.75
Fresno State	13	5.48	970	5318	44	409.08
Nevada	12	6.22	810	5035	49	419.58
Texas-El Paso	12	6.35	832	5282	70	440.17
Louisiana Tech	12	5.89	899	5294	57	441.17
Tulsa	12	6.26	879	5504	55	458.67
San Jose State	13	6.46	993	6414	59	493.38

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

EXCLUDING BOWLS

RUSHING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Brock Forsey, Boise State	Sr.	12	271	1533	23	127.75
Rodney Davis, Fresno State	Jr.	13	276	1433	7	110.23
Keylon Kincade, SMU	Jr.	12	327	1279	7	106.58
Joe Smith, Louisiana Tech	Sr.	12	207	1217	16	101.42
Matt Milton, Nevada	Fr.	12	225	1108	9	92.33
Eric Richardson, Tulsa	Jr.	12	182	957	6	79.75
Howard Jackson, Texas-El Paso	So.	12	173	844	7	70.33
David Mikell, Boise State	Jr.	10	97	565	8	56.50
Robbie Beck, Rice	Jr.	11	120	601	8	54.64
Lamar Ferguson, San Jose State	So.	12	128	634	8	52.83

PASSING EFFICIENCY

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	ATT	CMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD
B.J. Rhode, Boise State	Sr.	12	176	113	64.20	5	1444	11
Zack Threadgill, Nevada	Sr.	12	451	275	60.98	17	3418	26
Scott Rislav, San Jose State	Jr.	13	449	275	61.25	14	3251	22
Paul Pinegar, Fresno State	Fr.	13	372	214	57.53	10	2741	20
Richard Bartel, SMU	Fr.	11	148	89	60.14	8	1078	8
Timmy Chang, Hawaii	So.	13	603	335	55.56	22	4350	25
Luke McCown, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	12	505	296	58.61	19	3539	19
Tyler Gooch, Tulsa	So.	12	348	190	54.60	8	2100	17
Omar Duarte, Texas-El Paso	So.	11	161	79	49.07	4	1000	6
Tate Wallis, SMU	Fr.	12	182	83	45.60	10	834	3

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	REC	YDS	TD	YDS/GM
Nate Burleson, Nevada	Sr.	12	138	1629	12	135.75
Billy Wingfield, Boise State	Sr.	12	57	1074	7	89.50
Justin Colbert, Hawaii	Sr.	13	83	1144	6	88.00
Marque Davis, Fresno State	Jr.	13	64	956	7	73.54
Chris Norwood, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	11	61	748	4	68.00
Britton Kormine, Hawaii	So.	13	56	850	10	65.38
Charles Pauley, San Jose State	Sr.	13	60	804	4	61.85
D.J. Curry, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	12	57	730	3	60.83
Lou Fanucchi, Boise State	Sr.	12	35	685	4	57.08
Cody Cardwell, SMU	Sr.	12	49	674	3	56.17

SCORING

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	TD	PAT	FG	PTS	PTS/GM
Brock Forsey, Boise State	Sr.	12	29	0	0	174	14.50
Nick Calaycay, Boise State	Sr.	9	0	59	11	92	10.22
Joe Smith, Louisiana Tech	Sr.	12	16	0	0	98	8.17
Asen Asparuhov, Fresno State	Sr.	13	0	40	20	100	7.69
Justin Ayat, Hawaii	So.	13	0	51	15	96	7.38
Josh Scobee, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	12	0	30	16	78	6.50
Nate Burleson, Nevada	Sr.	12	13	0	0	78	6.50
Nick Gilliam, San Jose State	Sr.	13	0	42	12	78	6.00
Damon Fine, Nevada	So.	12	0	35	10	65	5.42
David Mikell, Boise State	Jr.	10	9	0	0	54	5.40

ALL-PURPOSE RUNNERS

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	REC	PR	KR	YDS
Brock Forsey, Boise State	Sr.	12	1533	257	0	165	1955
Charles Pauley, San Jose State	Sr.	13	67	804	237	978	2086
Nate Burleson, Nevada	Sr.	12	115	162	24	67	1835
Joe Smith, Louisiana Tech	Sr.	12	1217	352	0	0	1569
David Mikell, Boise State	Jr.	10	565	193	0	517	1275
Rodney Davis, Fresno State	Jr.	13	1433	159	0	0	1592
Keylon Kincade, SMU	Jr.	12	1279	143	0	24	1446
Howard Jackson, Texas-El Paso	So.	12	844	55	0	507	1406
Marque Davis, Fresno State	Jr.	13	18	956	0	420	1394
Erick Franklin, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	12	-7	356	0	887	1236

TOTAL OFFENSE

PLAYER/TEAM	CL	GM	RUSH	PYD	YDS	YDS/GM
Timmy Chang, Hawaii	So.	13	-13	4350	4337	333.62
Luke McCown, Louisiana Tech	Jr.	12	30	3539	3569	297.42
Zack Threadgill, Nevada	Sr.	12	116	3418	3534	294.50
Scott Rislav, San Jose State	Jr.	13	13	3251	3264	251.08
Paul Pinegar, Fresno State	Fr.	13	-151	2741	2590	199.23
Tyler Gooch, Tulsa	So.	12	219	2100	2319	193.25
Brock Forsey, Boise State	Sr.	12	1533	0	1533	127.75
B.J. Rhode, Boise State	Sr.	12	-9	1444	1435	119.58
Rodney Davis, Fresno State	Jr.	13	1433	0	1433	110.23
Keylon Kincade, SMU	Jr.	12	1279	0	1279	106.58

FOOTBALL FOOTBALL
All the information you
need this season is at

JIMFEIST.COM

The Most Complete Handicapping site on the Web!

Live lines & odds

Expert picks

In-depth analyses

Current and archived scores

Up-to-date handicapping stats

Contests

Injuries

Weather reports

Veteran advice

Pro selections AND MORE!!!

• Featuring Jim Feist, Dave Cokin, Scott Spreitzer,
Glenn McGrew, Chip Chirimbis, Mike Lee and more!

ALL FREE ONLINE @ JIMFEIST.com

WORLD CHAMPION HANDICAPPER

JIM FEIST



CONNECTICUT HUSKIES

The UConn football program is clearly headed in the right direction and 2003 promises to be a big year for head coach Randy Edsall and his team.

The Huskies, coming off a 6-6 record in '02, begin play in a new home — 40,000-seat Rentschler Field — vs. Indiana on Aug. 30.

Edsall and staff have a lot to build on with the 19th-ranked defense in the nation last year and a sensational sophomore running back in Terry Caudley. The 5-7, 180-pounder was the nation's leading freshman rusher in '02 with 1,247 rushing yards in 10 games. He scored 16 touchdowns (15 rushing, 1 receiving). Sophomore FB Deon Anderson also starts.

QB Dan Orlovsky is entrenched as the starter. He will throw to a veteran WR corps that returns five of the top seven pass catchers, including senior Shaun

Feldeisen, sophomore Jason Williams, sophomore Brandon Young and junior O'Neil Wilson.

Former QB Keron Henry also makes the move to wide receiver.

The team's leading receiver in '02, TE Tommy Collins, has departed and there is a six-player battle to fill his starting spot.

A trio of juniors — OLT Ryan Krug, C Billy Irwin and OLG Brian Markowski — return on the line. Look for sophomore Grant Preston, an 11-game starter at left guard in '02, to move to right tackle and junior LeAndre Dupree to take over at right guard.

Eight starters return to the UConn defense, led by an experienced defensive line.

Senior DTs Ryan Bushey and Sean Mulcahy will be flanked by senior DE Hakeem Kashama and junior DE Tyler King. Senior Uyi Osunde (a team-high 9½ sacks) missed spring practice after undergoing offseason shoulder

surgery but is expected to be back in time for the season.

The LB corps features the team's top two tacklers from a year ago in juniors Alfred Fincher (92 tackles) and Maurice Lloyd (team-high 113 tackles, 18 for loss, four interceptions). Sophomore James Hargrave tries to fill the departed Jamal Lundy's big shoes at the other LB spot.

Junior CBs Marlon Jones (shoulder) and Justin Perkins (knee) are coming off injuries but add experience. The safeties are experienced with seniors SS Chris Meyer (97 tackles, 12 passes defended), FS Terrance Smith and Jason Dellaseva.

Senior P Adam Coles is solid but the PK duties are wide open.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Aug. 30	INDIANA
Sept. 6	at Army
Sept. 13	BOSTON COLLEGE
Sept. 20	at Buffalo
Sept. 27	at Virginia Tech
Oct. 4	LEHIGH
Oct. 11	at North Carolina State
Oct. 18	at Kent State
Oct. 25	AKRON
Nov. 1	WESTERN MICHIGAN
Nov. 8	RUTGERS
Nov. 15	at Wake Forest


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 154

TEAM STATS 2002 6-6

	CONNECTICUT	OPP
Points	31.1	22.5
1st Downs	19.3	16.3
Rush Att	37.5	38.3
Rush Yds	136.6	155.7
Pass Att	32.7	26.8
Compl %	59.2	50.3
Pass Yds	222.6	160.4
Intercept	1.0	1.7
Fumb Lost	0.7	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	12	-12

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Orlovsky	367	221	60.2	2488	19	11
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Caulley	220	1247	5.7	15		
Poole	53	215	4.1	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Collins	39	497	6			
Feldeisen	33	425	2			
Timko	29	309	3			
Caulley	25	205	1			
Ja. Williams	22	349	3			
Young	22	269	2			



NAVY MIDSHIPMEN

Although the Midshipmen only won two of 12 games a year ago, they showed promise during the last month of the season.

Head coach Paul Johnson's explosive spread offense started to produce points and positive plays by the end of the season, as Navy proved in losses to bowl teams Notre Dame (30-23) and Wake Forest (30-27) and a huge 58-12 win over Army in the season finale.

The Army victory showed the kind of attack Johnson envisions for his team and with seven starters returning on that side of the ball, the Midshipmen are hopeful of big things in 2003.

Leading the way will be senior QB Craig Candeto, the leading rusher and passer last year. Candeto set a school record with six rushing touchdowns in the win over Army.

He'll be handing off to three

veteran running backs in junior Kyle Eckel (the fullback), senior Tony Lane and junior Eric Roberts. FB Michael Brimage also is in the mix.

Junior QB Aaron Polanco returns to back up Candeto. Polanco played in nine games, starting three in relief of Candeto.

Returning blockers up front include junior OLT Josh Goodin, senior OG Shane Todd and junior C August Roitsch.

The wide receivers are mostly blockers for Navy since the team doesn't throw the ball very often, but juniors Amir Jenkins and Lionel Wesley came on at the end of the season and showed pass-receiving talent.

The defense is led by senior LB Eddie Carthan, who is considered to be Navy's best all-around player. He was second on the team with 74 tackles in '02 with a team-high eight tackles for loss.

Filling out a solid LB corps is senior Ben Mathews and juniors Lane Jackson, Dustin Elliott and Bobby McClarin and sophomore Jeremy Chase.

The defensive line has promise with senior DT Babatunde Akingbemi, senior DEs Ralph Henry and Steve Adair, and junior DE Jeff Vanak.

Junior S Josh Smith (two INTs) came out of nowhere to lead Navy in tackles with 127. He'll be joined in the secondary by S Eli Sanders and solid CBs Shalimar Brazier (59 tackles) and Vaughn Kelley (64 tackles).


P John Skaggs is one of the nation's best, averaging 41.2 yards on 46 punts last year. Aaron Weedo is a dangerous return man.

TEAM STATS 2002 2-10

	NAVY	OPP
Points	24.2	36.3
1st Downs	18.8	22.0
Rush Att	54.3	46.8
Rush Yds	270.8	202.5
Pass Att	13.4	23.9
Compl %	46.0	65.9
Pass Yds	98.7	220.3
Intercept	0.8	0.4
Fumb Lost	2.1	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	-14	14

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Candeto	103	51	49.5	843	5	4
Polanco	47	21	44.7	253	0	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Candeto	177	775	4.4	16		
Eckel	144	510	3.5	4		
Lane	57	480	8.4	1		
Roberts	58	469	8.1	4		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Roberts	17	429	2			
Sims	14	197	1			
Lane	11	174	1			



NOTRE DAME FIGHTING IRISH

Tyronne Willingham's first season at Notre Dame started auspiciously with eight straight victories and a top-four national ranking. Then, it all came crashing down in the final weeks of the season as the Irish lost three of their last five, including a one-sided 28-6 loss to North Carolina State in the Gator Bowl.

Now, Willingham enters Season 20 looking to lift the Irish's offense to the level of its standout defense. It won't be easy since ND lost its top wideout, four offensive linemen, and fullback.

Leading the way is senior QB Carlyle Holiday, a two-year starter. Sophomore Chris Olsen could push him for the job.

Junior RB Ryan Grant is a workhorse with junior Marcus Wilson and sophomore Jeff Jenkins also in the mix. Ex-starter Julius Jones hopes to be back in school, and on the team,

by fall. The fullback is junior Rashon Powers-Neal.

WR Arnaz Battle will be greatly missed but senior Omar Jenkins and sophomores Maurice Stovall and Rhema McKnight add needed experience. Both top tight ends return in seniors Gary Godsey and Jared Clark.

The offensive line must be rebuilt, with the loss of All-America C Jeff Faine being the biggest hole to fill.

Senior OG Sean Milligan is the top returner on the line. He'll mostly be surrounded by newcomers, although senior Jim Molinaro provides experience at tackle.

The Irish lost All-American CB Shane Walton from the secondary, but do return senior CB Vontez Duff (also a top return man). Look for senior Jason Beckstrom (out in '02 with a torn bicep) and senior Preston Jackson to battle for the other starting spot. Senior Glenn Earl

returns at safety but the Irish must replace Gerome Sapp.

Two senior starters return at middle linebacker in Mike Goolsby and Courtney Watson. They'll be joined by senior OLBs Derek Curry and Jerome Collins.

Senior DE Kyle Budinscak returns at one end position while junior Justin Tuck, junior Brian Beidatsch and senior Jason Sapp are in the mix for the other spot.

The Irish should be solid up the middle of the line with three veteran seniors returning — Cedric Hilliard, Darrell Campbell and Greg Pauly.

Senior Nicholas Setta will again fill the placekicking duties and may have to also punt with Joey Hildbold departed.

2003 SCHEDULE

DATE	OPPONENT
Sept. 6	WASHINGTON STATE
Sept. 13	at Michigan
Sept. 20	MICHIGAN STATE
Sept. 27	at Purdue
Oct. 11	at Pittsburgh
Oct. 18	USC
Oct. 25	at Boston College
Nov. 1	FLORIDA STATE
Nov. 8	NAVY
Nov. 15	BYU
Nov. 29	at Stanford
Dec. 6	at Syracuse


FOR 10-YEAR HISTORY, SEE PAGE 207

TEAM STATS 2002 10-2

	NOTRE DAME	OPP
Points	23.6	15.8
1st Downs	14.8	16.3
Rush Att	40.8	34.3
Rush Yds	143.8	98.3
Pass Att	24.8	34.3
Compl %	50.2	48.1
Pass Yds	172.0	200.6
Intercept	0.8	1.8
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.0
Season T.O. Margin	8	-8

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Holiday	251	126	50.2	1766	10	5
Dillingham	44	22	50.0	268	1	4
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Grant	240	1017	4.2	9		
Powers-Neal	72	317	4.4	2		
Holiday	90	197	2.2	3		
Wilson	39	110	2.8	0		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Battle	48	702	6			
Jenkins	34	591	4			
Stovall	15	150	3			



TROY STATE TROJANS

Such is the life of a small independent football team playing at the Division I-A level in today's NCAA. The Trojans, from the heart of Alabama, will play eight road games in 2003, traveling the outrageous total of 7,270 miles.

The good news is that the Trojans will be earning some big paydays to add to their meager athletic budget when they travel to Kansas State, Minnesota, Nebraska and Virginia.

The bad news is that Troy State has lost 13 of its last 19 road games, including seven of its last eight.

This promises to be a difficult rebuilding year for head coach Larry Blakeney (102-42-1 in 12 years at Troy State, though most of his success has been at the I-AA level) as he must deal with a young squad that returns just 10 starters and 25 letterwinners off last year's 4-8 team.

The Trojans do welcome back starting QB Hansell Bearden, a junior, who should have more success in the team's new offensive scheme that features a multiple-I formation rather than a spread attack. Junior-college transfer Franco Johnson is the backup.

Junior RB DeWhitt Betterson returns after sharing time with the departed LeBaron Black. Marrio Fraser and Jermaine Richardson are also in the mix.

Junior WR Jason Samples returns as the top wideout with big sophomore Toris Rutledge and juco transfer Bryant Stewart showing promise. Three-year

starter Adrian Moore returns at tight end along with Rob Austin.

Up front, the Trojans lost their left tackle, left guard, top two centers and top reserve. Senior ORT Branden Hall has all-star potential, and he'll anchor the line with OLT Henry Tellis.

Defensively, the Trojans lost five of the team's top six D-line-men and all three starting linebackers.

Junior DE Demarcus Ware leads the way with 22 tackles for loss and nine sacks last year.

The LB corps will feature sophomores Bernard Davis and Leverne Johnson and junior Robby Farmer. All three saw significant action in '02.

Six experienced players return in the secondary, including three starters. Junior FS Derrick Ansley is the best of the bunch, but the team welcomes back senior CB Rayshun Reed and junior CB Freeman White from injury.


Sophomore Thomas Olmsted is a solid punter and placekicker.

TEAM STATS 2002 4-8

	TROY STATE	OPP
Points	18.2	21.0
1st Downs	17.8	15.3
Rush Att	44.7	38.0
Rush Yds	176.3	105.4
Pass Att	25.4	27.3
Compl %	43.3	52.1
Pass Yds	127.2	171.6
Intercept	1.6	0.8
Fumb Lost	1.3	1.3
Season T.O. Margin	-10	10

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

PASSING	ATT	CMP	PCT	YDS	TD	INT
Bearden	287	124	43.2	1449	6	16
Ray	11	7	63.6	65	0	2
RUSHING	ATT	YDS	AVG	TD		
Black	209	757	3.6	8		
Betterson	101	711	7.0	5		
Fraser	48	255	5.3	1		
Bearden	98	211	2.2	2		
RECEIVING	REC	YDS	TD			
Samples	45	552	3			
Skipper	23	387	2			
Felton	13	119	0			





Exclusive "WIN OR YOUR MONEY BACK" promo



Payouts processed in 24 hours



World's Highest Parlay Payouts 14/5



FREE Pool Contests all year long



Biggest Bonuses in the Industry



GET FREE American Airlines Miles
With Every Deposit*

A Advantage

Join Now

- Home
- Open Account
- Banking
- Rules
- Odds
- Questions
- Glossary
- Contact Us
- Esports
- Endorsements
- Handicapping
- Forum

Jaguar Casino

- Need Help?
- Email Us
- Sign Up
- Customer Service
- Wagering
- Live Support

CLICK HERE!!

GUESTS

Scores & Stats

(Click Here)



Join & Get Jagbet's **HOT** New Promo! Win or Your Money Back! (Click for Details)

Toll Free 888-888-9984 or 877-275-6278
International Direct 011-506-234-4515

Welcome to Jaguar Sports.

As a pioneering sportsbook and casino, Jagbet.com has learned from the feedback and suggestions of our clients during the past 8 years. We consider this to be one of our strongest assets in improving our services as we step into the future of the online gaming industry. Thanks to your feedback, we have developed the worlds fastest, and easiest to use betting program. Log-in as a guest, and sample the exhilaration of our system, and the ease of use you will experience as the next client of Jagbet.com

Special of the Day

"Marquee Night"

2 NBA Marquee Matchups
at Even Money

Exclusive Jaguar Benefits ::

- Signup Bonkroll Bonus*
- Bonus on every Deposit thereafter *
- World's Highest Parlays 2-Teaser Pays 14/5!
- No transfer Fees
- \$100 Minimum Deposit
- \$10 Minimum Wagers
- Payouts Guaranteed 24 - 48 Hours*
- Bank deposits Guaranteed in Trust

Jaguar Sports offers the World's Highest Parlay Odds ::

HIGHEST PARLAY PAYOFFS - ANYWHERE!	EXCLUSIVE "LAY-SIX" SUPERPARLAY	CHECK OUR 2-TEAMS TEASERS
2 Teams 14 : 5	2 Teams 6 : 1	6 Points 100 : 110
3 Teams 6.5 : 1	3 Teams 15 : 1	6.5 Points 100 : 115
4 Teams 13 : 1		7 Points 100 : 120
5 Teams 25 : 1		

Endorsements ::



The International Sports Book Council (ISBC) has certified Jaguar Sports International as a charter member. Jaguar Sports International met stringent standards set by the council, whose memberships are comprised of the largest sports book wagering services in the world. The primary focus of the ISBC is to educate and protect the sport bettor; protecting each individual account with insurance up to \$100,000. Among other things, the ISBC is committed to providing a fair and honest gaming environment.

Follow your instincts...
Bet with Jaguar

*Void where prohibited by law



Experience complete satisfaction every time you call. We think you'll like doing business with Jaguar. We handle each call pleasantly and professionally, treating it with the respect and confidence it deserves. We offer the fairest lines and fastest payouts, maintaining a Jaguar tradition of excellence. So bet smarter this season - bet with Jaguar.

Wagering is simple: just point, click and bet! Wager from the convenience of your office or the comfort of home. Jaguar's online wagering service includes live score updates, and up-to-the-minute daily odds - just like Vegas, only higher parlay payoffs! Opening an account is easy, and takes only minutes. Open 24/7. When you wager with Jaguar Sports, you get the highest parlay payoffs in the industry. 2-teams pay 14/5! And bonuses are ongoing - Get the "Win or your money back" promo when you join, or up to 20%. Plus, re-up deposits get 10% bonuses. That's like money in the bank for you.


ENDORSED BY



WIN OR YOUR MONEY BACK*

An EXCLUSIVE Jagbet.com Promo

Call for details or
visit www.jagbet.com



call now:

877-275-6278

*RESTRICTIONS APPLY

www.jagbet.com

10-YEAR EXPLANATION KEY COMPLETE 10-YEAR HISTORY

2002

	overall	str. up	vs. spr.
home	11-6	8-8-1	
away	6-2	5-3	
as fav	5-4	3-5-1	
as dog	8-4	5-6-1	
	3-2	3-2	

opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Syracuse	34-33	-2 P	A
Florida †	20-17*	+3 W	N
Houston †	42-7	-27 -	W N
@ Auburn	17-9	-6 -	W N
@ Georgia	22-3	+3 W	N
Alabama	35-18	-17 -	L N
@ So. Carol.	49-14	-17 -	W N
Ala.-Birm.	37-13	-42 -	L N
Arkansas	28-24	-9 -	L N
Kentucky	59-21	-10 -	W N
@ Vanderbilt	40-0	-29 -	W A

S.E.C. Championship at Atlanta

§ Miss St. † 24-14 -14 - L A

Fiesta Bowl at Tempe

§ Florida St. † 23-16 +5 - W N

A. GAME LOG BY YEAR

WIN/LOSS RECORDS FOR SEASON

Example: 12-6-1 means 12 wins, 6 losses, and 1 tie

Str. up: Straight-up record, the actual win or loss of the game

vs. spr.: record against the points spread

overall: for all games home: for home games away: for away games

as fav: record of the team as a favorite as dog: record of team as an underdog

LIST OF GAMES

@: away game §: neutral site †: night game *: overtime game

Examples of points spreads: -4: favored by 4 points +3: underdog by 3 points

+3-: underdog by 3 1/2 points P: pick, no favorite

W: win vs the spread L: loss vs the spread P: push (tie) vs the spread

surf: A - artificial surface N: grass

B. STATISTICS SUMMARY

1-YR HISTORY, 2-YR HISTORY, 3-YR HISTORY, 5-YR HISTORY, 10-YR HISTORY

The top line in each history section gives the win/loss record for all games in that section.

HOME: Win/loss record for home games AWAY: Win/loss record for away games

NAT.: Win/loss record for games played on natural grass ART.: Win/loss record for games played on artificial turf

POINTS SPREAD CATEGORIES

The columns across the top of the chart divide the games according to points spread.

OVERALL: Win/loss record for all games regardless of points spread, straight-up and vs. spread

AS FAV. straight-up: Straight-up win/loss record for games played as a favorite

AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD: Win/loss record vs. the spread for games played as a favorite

Columns indicate the particular points spread range covered.

AS DOG straight-up: Straight-up win/loss record for games played as an underdog

AS DOG VS. SPREAD: Win/loss record vs. the spread for games played as an underdog

Columns indicate the particular points spread range covered.

Pick games are not included in either of the categories "As Favorite" or as "Dog."

Jim Feist's

WEEKEND LINESHEET

The Official International Rotation

You asked for it, you got it! Back by popular demand,
the Jim Feist Weekend Linesheet!

Available exclusively online this football season
only from Jim Feist Sports.

Each weekly Linesheet has the weekend's most
current start times, TV listings and plenty of writing
room for both Saturday's and Sunday's football
games, all on one easily downloadable, printable grid!

Subscribe FREE ONLINE at
FreeSportsSchedule.com

A MUST FOR THE SERIOUS WEEKEND PLAYER!



2002	str.up vs.spr.			2001	str.up vs.spr.			2000	str.up vs.spr.			1999	str.up vs.spr.			1998	str.up vs.spr.						
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away				
	as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog				
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf				
N'western	52-3	-	1	W N	Oklahoma	3-44	+17-	L N	CS Northrg.	55-6	—	-	N	Villanova	37-13	—	-	N	Wk. Forest	42-0	-	1	W N
New Mexico	38-31*	-	6	W N	Tenn. Tech	42-0	—	-	N	BYU	31-23	+ 2	W N	@ Wash.	31-21	+ 4	W A	@ UNLV †	52-10	-17-	W A		
@ California	23-21	+ 9-	W N	N	@ SDSU †	45-21	+ 3-	W N	@ Utah †	23-14	+ 2-	W N	Wyoming	7-10	- 8-	L N	Colo. St. †	30-27	- 2-	W N			
@ Utah	30-26	+ 8-	W A	A	§ Navy at Landover	24-18	-14	L N	@ UNLV	13-34	- 5-	L N	@ SDSU †	23-22	- 2	L N	@ TCU †	34-35	- 8-	L N			
Navy	48-7	-22	W N	N	Wyoming	24-13	-13	L N	Navy	27-13	-15-	L N	§ Navy at Landover	19-14	- 9-	L N	New Mexico	56-14	-16-	W N			
BYU †	52-9	- 4	W N	N	@ BYU	33-63	+11-	L N	@ Wyoming	51-34	-15-	W N	Utah †	15-21	- 4	L N	Navy	49-7	-14-	W N			
Notre Dame †	14-21	- 3	L N	N	@ New Mexico	33-52	+ 2	L N	New Mexico	23-29	-11-	L N	@ BYU	20-27	+ 8	W N	@ Tulsa	42-21	-11	W A			
@ Wyoming	26-34	-17-	L N	N	Army	34-24	-13-	L N	@ Notre Dame	31-34*	+13-	W N	Army	28-0	-15	W N	SMU	31-7	-20-	W N			
Colo. St. †	12-31	+ 1	L N	N	@ Colo. St. †	21-28	+ 8	W N	@ Army	41-27	- 7-	W A	UNLV	35-16	-24-	L N	@ Army	35-7	-12	W A			
@ Army	49-30	-17-	W A	A	UNLV	10-34	- 3	L N	Colo. St.	44-40	+ 3	W N	@ Colo. St. †	21-41	+ 2	L N	@ Wyoming	10-3	- 6	W N			
@ UNLV	49-32	- 5	W N	N	@ Hawaii †	30-52	+11	L A	SDSU	45-24	- 9	W N	@ New Mexico	28-33	-10-	L N	Rice	22-16	-22	L N			
SDSU	34-38	-16-	L N	N	Utah	38-37	+17	W N	Silicon Valley Bowl at San Jose							WAC Championship at Las Vegas							
San Francisco Bowl at San Francisco									§ Fresno St.	37-34	+ 1	W N				§ BYU	20-13	- 3-	W A				
§ Va. Tech †	13-20	+11	W N	N												Oahu Bowl at Honolulu							
																§ Wash. †	45-25	- 3-	W A				

1997	str.up vs.spr.			1996	str.up vs.spr.			1995	str.up vs.spr.			1994	str.up vs.spr.			1993	str.up vs.spr.				
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		
	as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		as dog	as dog	as dog		
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf		
Idaho	14-10	-17	L N	N	San Jose St.	45-0	-22	W N	BYU	38-12	+ 3-	W N	Colo. St.	21-34	+ 6-	L N	Indiana St.	63-21	—	-	N
@ Rice †	41-12	+ 7	W A	A	@ UNLV †	65-17	-31	W A	Wyoming	34-10	- 8-	W N	BYU	21-45	+16	L N	@ Colo. St.	5-8	- 2-	L N	
UNLV	25-24	-21	L N	N	@ Wyoming	19-22	+ 2	L N	Colo. St.	20-27	- 7	L N	N'western	10-14	+ 2-	L N	SDSU	31-38	+ 4	L N	
@ Colo. St. †	24-0	+10-	W N	N	Rice	45-17	-16-	W N	@ N'western	6-30	- 3-	L A	§ UTEP † at San Antonio	47-7	- 7	W A	@ BYU	3-30	+16	L N	
SDSU †	24-18*	- 7	L N	N	Navy	17-20	-11-	L N	@ New Mexico †	27-24	- 5-	L N	@ SDSU †	36-35	+ 9-	W N	Wyoming	18-31	- 1	L N	
Citadel	17-3	—	-	N	@ Notre Dame	20-17*	+21	W N	UTEP	56-46	-26-	L N	Navy	43-21	-24	L N	@ Navy	24-28	- 2	L N	
Navy	10-7	- 1	W N	N	Hawaii	34-7	-35	L N	@ Navy	30-20	- 8	W N	Fresno St.	42-7	+ 3-	W N	@ Fresno St. †	20-33	+17-	W N	
Fresno St.	17-20	-12	L N	N	Colo. St. †	41-42	-11	L N	@ Utah	21-22	+ 2	W N	@ Wyoming	34-17	+ 3-	W N	Citadel	35-0	—	-	N
@ San Jose St. †	22-25	-15	L N	N	@ Army	7-23	- 3	L A	@ Fresno St.	31-20	+ 3-	W N	@ Army	10-6	-13-	L A	UTEP	31-10	- 8	W N	
@ Hawaii †	34-27	-11-	L A	A	@ Fresno St.	44-38*	-10-	L N	Army	38-20	- 8	W N	Utah	40-33	+ 7-	W N	Army	25-6	- 9	W N	
Army	24-0	-14	W N	N	@ SDSU †	23-28	+ 1	L N	Notre Dame †	14-44	- 7	L N	@ Notre Dame	30-42	+15	W N	@ Utah	24-41	+ 6	L N	
Wyoming	14-3	+ 1-	W N	N					@ Hawaii †	45-28	+12	W A	@ Hawaii †	37-24	- 5	W A	@ Hawaii †	17-45	+ 7	L A	
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas									Copper Bowl at Tucson												
§ Oregon	13-41	+ 3-	L	A					§ Texas Tech †	41-55	+ 3-	L	N								

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	9-4	6-3	6-3	1-1	3-0	—	2-2	2-2	3-1	0-1	—	2-0	1-0	57
HOME	4-3	4-3	4-2	4-2	1-1	2-0	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	55
AWAY	4-1	4-1	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	2-0	—	64
NAT.	6-5	7-4	5-3	5-3	1-1	3-0	—	1-2	1-2	2-1	0-1	—	1-0	1-0	55
ART.	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	67
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-11	12-12	9-4	6-7	1-2	3-0	—	2-5	4-7	6-5	0-2	1-0	3-0	2-3	58
HOME	8-5	5-7	6-3	4-5	1-2	2-0	—	1-3	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	—	1-1	53
AWAY	5-5	6-4	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	3-4	4-3	0-1	1-0	3-0	0-2	69
NAT.	12-10	10-11	8-4	5-7	1-2	3-0	—	1-5	3-6	5-4	0-2	1-0	2-0	2-2	56
ART.	2-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	—	—	1-0	0-1	72
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-14	20-15	13-6	9-10	1-2	3-1	2-0	3-7	8-8	11-5	4-2	1-0	3-0	3-3	59
HOME	13-6	8-9	8-4	5-7	1-2	2-0	1-0	1-5	3-2	3-2	2-1	—	—	1-1	55
AWAY	8-7	10-5	4-2	4-2	—	1-1	1-0	2-1	4-5	6-3	1-1	1-0	3-0	1-2	66
NAT.	20-13	17-14	11-6	7-10	1-2	3-1	1-0	2-7	7-7	10-4	4-2	1-0	2-0	3-2	58
ART.	3-1	3-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-1	—	—	1-0	0-1	71
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	41-20	34-24	29-10	21-18	3-3	6-2	2-3	10-10	9-10	13-6	4-3	2-0	4-0	3-3	54
HOME	22-8	14-13	16-6	11-11	3-2	2-1	1-1	5-7	3-2	3-2	2-1	—	—	1-1	51
AWAY	14-11	16-9	9-4	8-5	0-1	2-1	1-1	5-2	5-7	8-4	1-2	2-0	4-0	1-2	60
NAT.	32-19	25-23	22-10	14-18	3-3	4-2	1-3	6-10	7-9	11-5	4-3	1-0	3-0	3-2	53
ART.	9-1	9-1	7-0	7-0	—	2-0	1-0	4-0	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	60
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	77-45	60-56	52-20	34-38	4-7	8-6	7-3	15-22	19-25	26-18	6-6	7-7	6-0	7-5	52
HOME	42-18	25-29	29-11	18-22	3-3	2-3	5-1	8-15	7-7	7-7	3-2	2-3	1-0	1-2	50
AWAY	29-24	30-23	18-9	13-14	1-4	3-3	2-1	7-6	11-15	17-9	2-4	5-2	5-0	5-3	54
NAT.	61-40	46-49	39-18	23-34	4-6	4-5	6-3	9-20	16-22	23-15	6-6	5-5	5-0	7-4	51
ART.	16-5	14-7	13-2	11-4	0-1	4-1	1-0	6-2	3-3	3-3	—	2-2	1-0	0-1	56

AKRON ZIPS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
overall					overall					overall					overall					overall				
home					home					home					home					home				
away					away					away					away					away				
as fav					as fav					as fav					as fav					as fav				
as dog					as dog					as dog					as dog					as dog				
str.up					str.up					str.up					str.up					str.up				
vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.				
score					score					score					score					score				
vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread				
surf					surf					surf					surf					surf				
@ Iowa 21-57 +20 L N					@ Ohio U. † 31-29 + 6 W A					@ Va. Tech 23-52 +31- W N					@ Penn State 24-70 +45- L N					Marshall † 16-27 +16- W A				
@ Maryland † 14-44 +21 L N					@ Ohio State 14-28 +25- W N					@ C. Mich. 7-17 - 5 L A					@ Buffalo † 17-10 -20- L N					@ Temple at Franklin Field 35-28 + 9- W A				
C. Mich. 17-24 P L A					@ Purdue 14-33 +19- W N					C. Florida † 35-24 + 2- W A					Temple 25-15 + 1 W A					Ball St. † 52-14 - 7- W A				
@ Virginia 29-48 +23- W N					Kent State † 14-10 -16- L A					@ Ohio U. 23-20 + 8- W N					@ E. Mich. † 17-38 P L A					@ Pittsburgh 0-35 +12- L A				
Miami-OH † 31-48 + 9 L A					@ W. Mich. † 14-31 +15 L N					Miami-OH † 37-20 + 5- W A					@ Ball St. 31-9 - 1 W N					@ Kent State 45-16 - 9- W A				
@ E. Mich. † 34-42 -11 L A					@ Miami-OH 27-30 + 9- W N					@ Bowl. Green 27-21 - 8 L N					Ohio U. 41-28 - 1- W A					@ Ohio U. 14-28 + 5- L N				
Liberty † 49-21 - - A					Bowl. Green † 11-16 + 4- L A					N. Illinois † 35-52 + 1 L A					Bowl. Green 55-25 - 3- W A					Toledo 17-24 + 6 L N				
@ C. Florida 17-28 +18- W N					@ Marshall † 33-50 +17 P A					Connecticut † 35-38 -21 L A					@ Navy 35-29 +10 W N					@ C. Mich. 27-28 + 2- W A				
Marshall 34-20 +17- W A					@ C. Florida † 17-57 +17- L N					Marshall † 28-31 + 4- W A					W. Mich. 10-24 + 1 L A					@ Bowl. Green 21-58 + 7 L N				
@ Ohio U. 10-27 + 4 L A					@ Buffalo 41-14 - 5 W N					Buffalo † 49-14 -28- W A					@ Miami-OH 23-32 + 9 P N					E. Mich. 24-21 - 8- L A				
Buffalo 21-10 -15- L A					E. Mich. † 65-62* -16 L A					@ Kent State 34-6 -12 W A					Kent State 37-34 -16 L A					Miami-OH 14-20 +16 W A				
@ Kent State 48-10 - 8 W A																								

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
overall					overall					overall					overall					overall				
home					home					home					home					home				
away					away					away					away					away				
as fav					as fav					as fav					as fav					as fav				
as dog					as dog					as dog					as dog					as dog				
str.up					str.up					str.up					str.up					str.up				
vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.				
score					score					score					score					score				
vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread				
surf					surf					surf					surf					surf				
@ Nebraska 14-59 +58 W A					@ Ohio U. † 14-44 + 5 L N					E. Mich. † 29-49 +11 L A					Temple † 7-32 - 6- L A					@ C. Mich. † 23-13 - - A				
@ Miami-OH 20-49 +19 L N					Va. Tech † 18-21 +39 W A					@ Bowl. Green 12-50 +27 L N					Bowl. Green † 0-45 - - A					Kent State † 42-7 - - A				
Bowl. Green † 28-31 + 8- W A					Toledo † 10-27 +14- L A					@ Kansas St. † 0-67 +38- L A					@ Kent State 16-32 - - N					@ W. Mich. 3-20 - - N				
@ LSU † 0-56 +42- L N					@ Illinois 7-38 +27 L A					C. Mich. † 16-13 +21 W A					@ W. Mich. † 6-19 - - N					@ Army 14-35 - 1 L A				
C. Mich. † 53-14 + 4- W A					@ W. Mich. † 27-7 + 8- W N					@ W. Mich. 3-7 +24 W N					@ Miami-OH 14-50 - - N					Miami-OH † 31-13 - - A				
@ Marshall † 17-52 +29- L A					@ Kent State 17-32 - 3 L N					@ Va. Tech 27-77 +37- L N					C. Mich. † 0-47 - - A					@ Bowl. Green 7-49 - - N				
@ E. Mich. † 0-45 + 7- L A					@ C. Mich. 0-42 +13 L A					Ohio U. † 23-29 P L A					Toledo † 25-48 - - A					@ Temple 31-7 - - A				
Ohio U. 17-21 +24 W A					Miami-OH 10-7 +24- W A					Kent State † 14-6 - 2 W A					@ Youngstown 7-41 - - A					@ Ohio U. 13-21 - - N				
@ Ball St. 14-31 + 9- L N					@ N. Illinois 34-17 + 5 W A					Youngstown † 10-24 - - A					@ E. Mich. 18-42 - - A					E. Mich. 19-7 - - A				
Kent State 45-35 - 1- W A					Bowl. Green 21-14 + 5- W A					@ Toledo 7-41 +28- L A					@ Ball St. 28-38 - - N					Ball St. 9-31 - - A				
@ Toledo 10-42 +21 L A					@ E. Mich. 17-20 + 9 W A					@ Miami-OH 0-65 +31 L N					Ohio U. 24-10 - - A					Youngstown 0-19 - - A				

STATISTICS SUMMARY		OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
		STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002		4-8	4-7	2-1	1-2	-	-	1-0	0-2	1-6	3-4	-	0-1	0-1	3-2	58		
HOME		3-2	1-3	1-0	0-1	-	-	-	0-1	1-1	1-1	-	-	0-1	1-0	55		
AWAY		1-6	3-4	1-1	1-1	-	-	1-0	0-1	0-5	2-3	-	0-1	-	2-2	61		
NAT.		0-5	2-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	0-5	2-3	-	0-1	-	2-2	59		
ART.		4-3	2-4	2-1	1-2	-	-	1-0	0-2	1-1	1-1	-	-	0-1	1-0	58		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002		8-15	9-12-1	5-1	2-4	-	1-0	1-0	0-4	2-13	7-7-1	-	1-2	1-1	5-4-1	58		
HOME		6-3	2-6	3-0	0-3	-	-	-	0-3	2-2	2-2	-	1-1	0-1	1-0	57		
AWAY		2-12	7-6-1	2-1	2-1	-	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-11	5-5-1	-	0-1	1-0	4-4-1	59		
NAT.		1-10	6-5	1-0	1-0	-	1-0	-	-	0-10	5-5	-	0-1	1-0	4-4	55		
ART.		7-5	3-7-1	4-1	1-4	-	-	1-0	0-4	2-3	2-2-1	-	1-1	0-1	1-0-1	60		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002		14-20	16-16-1	8-3	4-7	-	1-1	1-1	2-5	5-16	12-8-1	1-1	3-2	2-1	6-4-1	58		
HOME		9-6	6-8	4-1	1-4	-	-	-	1-4	4-4	5-3	1-1	3-1	0-1	1-0	60		
AWAY		5-14	10-8-1	4-2	3-3	-	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-12	7-5-1	-	0-1	2-0	5-4-1	55		
NAT.		3-11	8-6	2-0	1-1	-	1-0	0-1	-	1-11	7-5	-	0-1	2-0	5-4	55		
ART.		11-9	8-10-1	6-3	3-6	-	0-1	1-0	2-5	4-5	5-3-1	1-1	3-1	0-1	1-0-1	59		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002		25-31	27-26-2	16-3	9-10	2-0	2-1	3-2	2-7	8-26	18-14-2	3-2	3-5	4-1-1	8-6-1	56		
HOME		15-10	12-12	9-1	4-6	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-5	5-8	8-5	2-2	3-2	0-1	3-0	57		
AWAY		10-21	15-14-2	7-2	5-4	1-0	1-1	2-1	1-2	3-18	10-9-2	1-0	0-3	4-0-1	5-6-1	55		
NAT.		6-15	10-10-1	4-0	2-2	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-1	2-15	8-8-1	-	0-3	3-0-1	5-5	56		
ART.		19-16	17-16-1	12-3	7-8	1-0	1-1	3-1	2-6	6-11	10-6-1	3-2	3-2	1-1	3-1-1	56		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002		39-72	41-46-2	18-6	11-13	4-2	2-2	3-2	2-7	14-48	30-30-2	3-2	6-6	7-3-1	14-19-1	52		
HOME		25-23	21-16	11-2	6-7	3-0	1-1	1-1	1-5	9-13	15-7	2-2	5-2	1-1	7-2	50		
AWAY		14-49	20-30-2	7-4	5-6	1-2	1-1	2-1	1-2	5-35	15-23-2	1-0	1-4	6-2-1	7-17-1	53		
NAT.		7-31	12-18-1	4-1	2-3	1-1	1-0	0-1	0-1	3-23	10-15-1	-	0-4	4-1-1	6-10	53		
ART.		32-41	29-28-1	14-5	9-10	3-1	1-2	3-1	2-6	11-25	20-15-1	3-2	6-2	3-2	8-9-1	51		

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 10-3 7-6 home 5-2 3-4 away 5-1 4-2 as fav 8-2 4-6 as dog 2-1 3-0	overall 7-5 5-7 home 4-3 3-4 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 6-3 3-6 as dog 1-2 2-1	overall 3-8 3-8 home 3-3 2-4 away 0-5 1-4 as fav 3-5 2-6 as dog 0-3 1-2	overall 10-3 8-5 home 5-2 4-3 away 4-0 3-1 as fav 7-1 5-3 as dog 3-1 3-1	overall 7-5 7-5 home 6-1 5-2 away 1-3 2-2 as fav 5-1 3-3 as dog 2-4 4-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Mid. Tenn. at Birm. 39-34 -17 L N @ Oklahoma 27-37 +12- W N N. Texas † 33-7 -21- W N So. Miss. † 20-7 -7 W N @ Arkansas † 30-12 +6- W N Georgia 25-27 -4 L N Mississippi 42-7 -10 W N @ Tennessee † 34-14 +2- W N @ Vanderbilt 30-8 -22 L N Miss. St. 28-14 -21- L N @ LSU † 31-0 -1- W N Auburn 7-17 -11 L N @ Hawaii 21-16 -11- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf UCLA † 17-20 -2- L N @ Vanderbilt 12-9 -10- L N Arkansas 31-10 -10 W N @ So. Carolina 36-37 +6- W N UTEP at Birm. 56-7 -22 W N @ Mississippi 24-27 -8- L N Tennessee 24-35 +2 L N LSU 21-35 -5 L N Miss. St. 24-17 -11 L N @ Auburn 31-7 +1- W N So. Miss. † 28-15 -7 W N Independence Bowl at Shreveport \$ Iowa St. † 14-13 -6 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ UCLA 24-35 -6- L N Vanderbilt at Birm. 28-10 -21- L N So. Miss. † 0-21 -7- L N @ Arkansas † 21-28 -2- L N So. Carolina 27-17 -9- W N Mississippi † 45-7 -4 W N @ Tennessee 10-20 +3 L N C. Florida 38-40 -18 L N @ LSU 28-30 +3 W N @ Miss. St. 7-29 +6- L N Auburn 0-9 -1- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Vanderbilt 28-17 -14- L N Houston at Birm. 37-10 -13- W N La. Tech at Birm. 28-29 -13- L N Arkansas 35-28 +1 W N @ Florida 40-39* +16 W N @ Mississippi 30-24 -3 W N Tennessee 7-21 +3 L N So. Miss. 35-14 -1- W N LSU 23-17 -20 L N Miss. St. 19-7 -5- W N @ Auburn † 28-17 -3- W N SEC Championship at Atlanta \$ Florida † 34-7 +7 W A Orange Bowl at Miami \$ Michigan † 34-35* P L N	opponent score vs. spread surf BYU † 38-31 -4- W N Vanderbilt at Birm. 32-7 -20 W N @ Arkansas † 6-42 -3 L N Florida 10-16 +17 W N Mississippi 20-17* -5- L N E. Carolina at Birm. 23-22 -9 L N @ Tennessee 18-35 +17- W N So. Miss. 30-20 +3 W N @ LSU 22-16 +8 W N @ Miss. St. 14-26 +3 L N Auburn † 31-17 -3- W N Music City Bowl at Nashville \$ Va. Tech † 7-38 +5 L A
overall 4-7 5-6 home 2-5 2-5 away 2-2 3-1 as fav 3-4 3-4 as dog 0-3 1-2	overall 10-3 6-7 home 6-0 2-4 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 9-1 4-6 as dog 1-2 2-1	overall 8-3 5-6 home 5-2 2-5 away 3-1 3-1 as fav 8-1 4-5 as dog 0-2 1-1	overall 12-1 8-4 home 7-0 2-4 away 4-0 4-0 as fav 9-0 5-4 as dog 2-1 3-0	overall 9-3-1 4-8 home 5-2-1 3-4 away 3-1 0-4 as fav 7-1-1 3-6 as dog 1-2 1-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Houston at Birm. 42-17 -14- W N @ Vanderbilt † 20-0 -12 W A Arkansas 16-17 -17 L N So. Miss. at Birm. 27-13 -6- W N @ Kentucky † 34-40* -6 L N Tennessee † 21-38 +9 L N @ Mississippi 29-20 P W N La. Tech 20-26 -18- L N LSU 0-27 +5- L N Miss. St. 20-32 -3 L N @ Auburn † 17-18 +11- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Bowl. Green at Birm. 21-7 -25- L N So. Miss. at Birm. 20-10 -10- L N Vanderbilt 36-26 -17 L N @ Arkansas at Little Rock 17-7 -8- W N Kentucky 35-7 -22 W N @ N.C. State 24-19 -11 L N Mississippi † 37-0 -12- W N @ Tennessee 13-20 +14 W N @ LSU † 26-0 +3 W N @ Miss. St. † 16-17 -12- L N Auburn † 24-23 -7 L N SEC Championship at Atlanta \$ Florida † 30-45 +14- L A Outback Bowl at Tampa \$ Michigan 17-14 -1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Vanderbilt † 33-25 -13 L A So. Miss. at Birm. 24-20 -12 L N Arkansas 19-20 -7- L N @ Georgia 31-0 -3 W N N.C. State 27-11 -14 W N Tennessee † 14-41 +4- L N @ Mississippi 23-9 -6 W N N. Texas 38-19 -33- L N LSU 10-3 -2- W N Miss. St. 14-9 -12 L N @ Auburn † 27-31 +6 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Chattanooga at Birm. 42-13 - - A Vanderbilt 17-7 -21 L N @ Arkansas 13-6 -6 W A Tulane at Birm. 20-10 -29 L A Georgia † 29-28 -5- L N So. Miss. 14-6 -15 L N @ Tennessee † 17-13 +5 W N Mississippi 21-10 -10 W N @ LSU † 35-17 -6- W N @ Miss. St. 29-25 -3 W N Auburn at Birm. 21-14 +1 W A SEC Championship at Atlanta \$ Florida 23-24 +6- W A Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando \$ Ohio State 24-17 -3- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Tulane at Birm. 31-17 -34 L A @ Vanderbilt 17-6 -13 L A Arkansas 43-3 -17- W N La. Tech at Birm. 56-3 -33- W A @ So. Carolina † 17-6 -14 L N Tennessee at Birm. 17-17 -5- L A @ Mississippi 19-14 -7 L N So. Miss. 40-0 -20- W N LSU 13-17 -23- L N Miss. St. 36-25 - - N @ Auburn 14-22 +1 L N SEC Championship at Birm. Florida 13-28 +4- L A Gator Bowl at Jacksonville \$ No. Carolina † 24-10 +3- W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-3	7-6	8-2	4-6	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-5	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	43
HOME	5-2	3-4	5-2	3-4	—	1-1	1-0	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	43
AWAY	5-1	4-2	3-0	1-2	1-0	—	—	0-2	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	43
NAT.	9-3	7-5	7-2	4-5	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-4	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	44
ART.	1-0	0-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	37
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-8	12-13	14-5	7-12	1-1	2-3	2-1	2-7	3-3	5-1	2-1	2-0	—	1-0	44
HOME	9-5	6-8	9-4	6-7	0-1	2-2	2-0	2-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	46
AWAY	7-3	6-4	4-1	1-4	1-0	—	0-1	0-3	3-2	5-0	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	44
NAT.	15-8	12-11	12-5	7-10	1-1	2-2	2-1	2-6	3-3	5-1	2-1	2-0	—	1-0	45
ART.	2-0	0-2	2-0	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	32
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-16	15-21	17-10	9-18	1-3	3-4	3-2	2-9	3-6	6-3	3-2	2-1	—	1-0	44
HOME	12-8	8-12	12-7	8-11	0-2	3-2	3-1	2-6	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	44
AWAY	7-8	7-8	4-3	1-6	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-3	3-5	6-2	3-1	2-1	—	1-0	45
NAT.	18-16	15-19	15-10	9-16	1-3	3-3	3-2	2-8	3-6	6-3	3-2	2-1	—	1-0	44
ART.	2-0	0-2	2-0	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	32
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	37-24	30-31	29-12	17-24	3-4	7-5	3-3	4-12	8-11	13-6	5-4	3-2	1-0	4-0	45
HOME	23-11	17-17	21-8	14-15	1-2	6-3	3-2	4-8	2-3	3-2	2-2	—	—	1-0	44
AWAY	12-11	12-11	7-4	3-8	2-2	1-1	0-1	0-4	5-7	9-3	3-2	2-1	1-0	3-0	46
NAT.	34-23	29-28	27-12	17-22	3-4	7-4	3-3	4-11	7-10	12-5	5-4	2-1	1-0	4-0	46
ART.	3-1	1-3	2-0	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	37
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	80-41-1	58-62	65-19-1	36-49	7-5	12-10	5-4	12-30	12-21	21-12	7-5	7-5	1-1	6-1	42
HOME	48-20-1	28-39	43-13-1	24-33	2-3	7-6	4-3	11-21	3-7	4-6	3-2	0-3	0-1	1-0	42
AWAY	27-17	25-19	19-6	10-15	4-2	4-3	1-1	1-9	7-11	14-4	4-3	4-1	1-0	5-0	42
NAT.	68-37	52-52	56-19	33-42	7-5	11-8	5-4	10-25	10-17	18-9	6-5	5-3	1-1	6-0	43
ART.	12-4-1	6-10	9-0-1	3-7	—	1-2	—	2-5	2-4	3-3	1-0	2-2	—	0-1	40

ARIZONA WILDCATS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

[illegible]

ARIZONA STATE SUN DEVILS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 8-6 home 5-2 away 3-3 as fav 5-2 as dog 2-4	overall 4-7 home 4-3 away 0-4 as fav 4-2 as dog 0-5	overall 6-6 home 3-3 away 3-2 as fav 4-2 as dog 2-4	overall 6-6 home 4-2 away 2-3 as fav 2-4 as dog 4-2	overall 5-6 home 4-2 away 1-4 as fav 5-3 as dog 0-3
str.up vs.spr. 8-5 4-2	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 5-6	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 6-5-1	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 7-5	str.up vs.spr. 5-6 4-7
opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf
@ Nebraska † 10-48 +22 L A	SDSU † 38-7 -7 W N	SDSU † 10-7 -4 L N	Texas Tech † 31-13 -6 W N	Wash. † 38-42 -5 L N
E. Wash. † 38-2 - - N	Stanford † 28-51 +3 L N	Colo. St. † 13-10 +3 W N	N. Mex. St. † 7-35 -25 L N	@ BYU † 6-26 -6 L N
C. Florida † 46-13 -4 W N	San Jose St. † 53-15 -16 W N	Utah St. † 44-20 -21 W N	@ California 23-24 -1 L N	N. Texas † 34-15 -37 L N
@ SDSU † 39-28 -6 W N	La-Lafayette † 63-27 -33 W N	@ UCLA † 31-38 +6 L N	UCLA 28-27 +1 W N	Oregon St. † 24-3 -10 W N
Stanford 65-24 -1 W N	@ USC 17-48 +1 L N	California 30-10 -7 W N	@ Notre Dame 17-48 +12 L N	@ USC 24-35 +3 L N
No. Carolina † 35-38 -9 L N	Oregon St. 41-24 -3 W N	Wash. † 15-21 +6 P N	@ Wash. 28-7 +10 W A	Notre Dame 9-28 -3 L N
Oregon St. † 13-9 +1 W N	Wash. † 31-33 -3 L N	@ Wash St. 23-20* -1 W A	Wash St. 33-21 -7 W N	Stanford † 44-38* -15 L N
@ Oregon 45-42 +10 W A	@ Oregon † 24-42 +10 L A	Oregon 55-56* +5 W N	@ Oregon 17-20 +8 W A	@ Wash St. 38-28 -6 W A
Wash. † 27-16 -3 W N	Wash St. 16-28 +2 L N	USC † 38-44* -3 L N	@ USC 26-16 +6 W N	California 55-22 -5 W N
@ Wash St. 22-44 +7 L A	Arizona 21-34 -7 L N	@ Stanford 7-29 +2 L N	Stanford 30-50 -3 L N	@ Oregon 19-51 +8 L A
California 38-55 -5 L N	@ UCLA 42-52 +10 W N	@ Arizona 30-17 +6 W N	Arizona 42-27 +1 W N	@ Arizona 42-50 +12 W N
@ USC 13-34 +15 L N		Aloha Bowl at Honolulu \$ Bost. Coll. 17-31 -3 L A	Aloha Bowl at Honolulu \$ Wk. Forest 3-23 -2 L A	
@ Arizona 34-20 -6 W N				
Holiday Bowl at San Diego \$ Kansas St. † 27-34 +17 W N				

1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 9-3 home 4-2 away 4-1 as fav 6-2 as dog 3-1	overall 11-1 home 7-0 away 4-0 as fav 10-0 as dog 1-1	overall 6-5 home 4-2 away 2-3 as fav 3-1 as dog 2-4	overall 3-8 home 2-4 away 1-4 as fav 1-1 as dog 2-7	overall 6-5 home 4-2 away 2-3 as fav 3-4 as dog 3-1
str.up vs.spr. 9-3 7-5	str.up vs.spr. 11-1 9-2-1	str.up vs.spr. 6-5 6-4-1	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 4-6-1	str.up vs.spr. 6-5 5-6
opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf	opponent score vs. spread surf
N. Mex. St. † 41-10 -41 L N	Wash. † 45-42 -3 P N	@ Wash. 20-23 +12 W A	Oregon St. † 22-16 -11 L N	Utah † 38-0 -14 W N
@ Miami-Fla 23-12 +9 W N	N. Texas † 52-7 -32 L N	UTEP † 45-20 -25 L A	Miami-Fla † 10-47 +14 L N	@ Louisville 17-35 -3 L A
BYU † 10-13 -8 L N	Nebraska † 19-0 +24 W N	@ Nebraska 28-77 +27 L A	Louisville † 22-25 -8 L N	Okla. St. † 12-10 -14 L N
@ Oregon St. 13-10 -11 L A	Oregon † 48-27 -12 W N	Oregon St. † 20-11 -9 P N	@ California 21-25 +7 W A	@ Oregon St. 14-30 -18 L A
@ Wash. 14-26 +15 W A	Boise St. † 56-7 -38 W N	@ USC 0-31 +20 L N	Stanford † 36-35 +1 W N	@ Wash St. 25-44 +2 L A
USC 35-7 -2 W N	@ UCLA 42-34 -5 W N	Stanford † 28-30 +3 W N	@ Wash. 14-35 +17 L A	Oregon † 36-45 -2 L N
@ Stanford 31-14 +1 W N	USC † 48-35* -6 W N	BYU † 29-21 -1 W N	Wash St. † 21-28 +7 P N	@ Stanford 38-30 +10 W N
Wash St. † 44-31 -4 W N	@ Stanford 41-9 -14 W N	@ Oregon 35-24 +13 W A	@ BYU 36-15 +8 W N	Wash. † 32-17 +9 W N
@ California 28-21 -11 L N	@ Oregon St. 29-14 -23 L A	UCLA 37-33 +6 W N	@ Oregon 10-34 +6 L A	California † 41-0 -6 W N
Oregon 52-31 -9 W N	California 35-7 -15 W N	@ California 38-29 P W N	UCLA † 23-59 +1 L N	@ UCLA 9-3 +10 W N
Arizona 16-28 -8 L N	@ Arizona † 56-14 -7 W N	Arizona 28-31 -3 L N	@ Arizona 27-28 +15 W N	Arizona 20-34 -2 L N
Sun Bowl at El Paso \$ Iowa 17-7 +7 W A	Rose Bowl at Pasadena \$ Ohio State 17-20 +2 L N			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-6	8-5	5-2	5-2	1-0	4-1	0-1	—	2-4	3-3	1-0	0-1	1-0	1-2	61
HOME	5-2	4-2	3-2	3-2	1-0	2-1	0-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	59
AWAY	3-3	3-3	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	1-0	0-2	63
NAT.	7-4	7-3	5-2	5-2	1-0	4-1	0-1	—	1-2	2-1	1-0	—	—	1-1	58
ART.	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	1-2	—	0-1	1-0	0-1	70
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-13	13-11	9-4	9-4	1-0	6-3	0-1	2-0	2-9	4-7	1-3	0-1	1-0	2-3	63
HOME	9-5	8-5	7-4	7-4	1-0	4-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	60
AWAY	3-7	4-6	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	1-7	2-6	0-2	0-1	1-0	1-3	68
NAT.	11-10	12-8	9-4	9-4	1-0	6-3	0-1	2-0	1-6	3-4	1-3	—	—	2-1	62
ART.	1-3	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	1-0	0-2	69
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-19	19-16-1	13-6	12-7	2-2	7-4	0-1	3-0	4-13	7-9-1	2-4	2-2-1	1-0	2-3	59
HOME	12-8	12-6-1	9-5	9-5	1-1	5-3	0-1	3-0	2-3	3-1-1	2-1	1-0-1	—	—	60
AWAY	6-9	6-9	4-0	3-1	1-0	2-1	—	—	2-9	3-8	0-3	1-2	1-0	1-3	59
NAT.	16-15	17-12-1	12-5	11-6	1-1	7-4	0-1	3-0	3-10	6-6-1	2-4	2-1-1	—	2-1	59
ART.	2-4	2-4	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	1-0	0-2	61
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	29-31	30-28-1	20-13	17-16	2-5	10-7	1-1	4-3	8-18	13-12-1	4-4	3-3-1	3-1	3-4	57
HOME	20-12	18-12-1	15-9	13-11	1-2	7-5	1-1	4-3	4-3	5-1-1	4-1	1-0-1	—	—	59
AWAY	9-16	11-14	5-2	4-3	1-1	3-2	—	—	4-14	7-11	0-3	2-3	3-1	2-4	57
NAT.	25-24	25-22-1	18-11	15-14	1-3	9-7	1-1	4-3	6-13	10-8-1	4-4	3-2-1	—	3-2	58
ART.	4-7	5-6	2-2	2-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	2-5	3-4	—	0-1	3-1	0-2	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	64-53	61-51-4	43-21	31-31-2	4-8-1	14-8	3-4-1	10-11	19-32	29-20-2	6-7	7-4-2	7-1	9-8	55
HOME	41-22	34-24-4	32-15	24-21-2	3-4-1	10-6	2-4-1	9-7	8-7	10-3-2	5-2	3-0-2	1-0	1-1	57
AWAY	22-27	25-24	11-4	7-8	1-2	4-2	1-0	1-4	10-23	17-16	1-4	3-4	6-1	7-7	54
NAT.	56-37	51-37-4	39-17	29-25-2	3-5-1	13-8	3-4-1	10-8	15-20	21-12-2	6-6	5-2-2	4-0	6-4	56
ART.	8-16	10-14	4-4	2-6	1-3	1-0	—	0-3	4-12	8-8	0-1	2-2	3-1	3-4	51

ARKANSAS RAZORBACKS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
		overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.
		home	9-5 6-8			home	7-5 7-3-1			home	6-6 5-6			home	8-4 7-5			home	9-3 7-5
		away	6-2 3-5			away	6-1 4-2			away	5-2 3-3			away	6-0 5-1			away	6-0 5-1
		as fav	3-1 3-1			as fav	1-3 2-1-1			as fav	1-4 2-3			as fav	1-4 1-4			as fav	3-2 2-3
		as dog	8-3 4-7			as dog	3-0 1-2			as dog	2-2 1-3			as dog	6-4 5-5			as dog	8-1 5-4
			1-2 2-1				2-5 5-1-1				3-4 4-3				2-0 2-0				1-2 2-1
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
Boise St. † 41-14 - 8 W N				UNLV † 14-10 - 5 L N				S.W. Mo. St. † 38-0 - - N				@ SMU † 26-0 -13 W N				La-Lafayette † 38-17 -32 L N			
So. Florida † 42-3 -14 W N				at Little Rock				at Little Rock				La-Monroe † 44-6 -35 W N				SMU † 44-17 -10 W N			
Alabama † 12-30 - 6 L N				Tennessee † 3-13 +13 W N				Boise St. † 38-31 -13 L N				@ Alabama 28-35 - 1 L N				Alabama † 42-6 + 3 W N			
@ Tennessee † 38-41* +10 W N				@ Alabama 10-31 +10 L N				Alabama † 28-21 + 2 W N				@ Kentucky 20-31 - 8 L N				Kentucky † 27-20 - 4 W N			
@ Auburn 38-17 + 5 W N				@ Georgia † 23-34 +11 P N				Georgia † 7-38 + 4 L N				Tennessee 20-31 - 8 L N				@ Memphis 23-9 -18 L N			
Kentucky 17-29 -11 L N				Weber St. † 42-19 - - N				La-Monroe † 52-6 -34 W N				Mid. Tenn. † 58-6 -36 W N				@ So. Carolina 41-28 - 7 W N			
Mississippi 48-28 - 8 W N				So. Carolina at Little Rock 10-7 + 9 W N				@ So. Carolina 7-27 + 7 L N				So. Carolina † 48-14 -22 W N				Auburn 24-21 - 4 L N			
Troy St. at Little Rock 23-0 -24 L N				Auburn 42-17 P W N				@ Auburn 19-21 +10 W N				Auburn 34-10 - 7 W N				Mississippi 34-0 - 9 W N			
@ So. Carolina 23-0 - 5 W N				@ Mississippi † 58-56* + 6 W N				Mississippi 24-38 - 1 L N				@ Mississippi 16-38 - 1 L N				@ Tennessee 24-28 + 9 W N			
La-Lafayette 24-17 -34 L N				C. Florida 27-20 - 5 W N				@ Tennessee 20-63 +13 L N				Tennessee 28-24 + 8 W N				@ Miss. St. 21-22 - 3 L N			
@ Miss. St. 26-19 -12 L N				Miss. St. 24-21 - 8 L N				@ Miss. St. 17-10* +18 W N				Miss. St. † 14-9 - 7 L N				LSU 41-14 - 6 W N			
LSU 21-20 - 5 L N				@ LSU 38-41 + 9 W N				LSU 14-3 + 3 W N				@ LSU 10-35 -11 L N				at Little Rock			
at Little Rock				Cotton Bowl at Dallas				Las Vegas Bowl				Cotton Bowl at Dallas				Citrus Bowl at Orlando			
Sec Championship at Atlanta				§ Oklahoma 3-10 +12 W N				@ UNLV † 14-31 - 2 L N				§ Texas 27-6 + 5 W N				§ Michigan 31-45 + 3 L N			
§ Georgia † 3-30 + 8 L A																			
Music City Bowl at Nashville																			
§ Minnesota 14-29 - 9 L N																			
		overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.
		home	4-7 5-6			home	4-7 3-8			home	4-7 3-8			home	4-7 3-8			home	5-5-1 5-6
		away	3-3 3-3			away	3-4 2-5			away	5-1 2-4			away	4-2 3-3			away	2-3-1 3-3
		as fav	1-3 2-2			as fav	1-3 1-3			as fav	2-2 2-2			as fav	0-5 0-5			as fav	3-2 2-3
		as dog	2-1 0-3			as dog	3-1 2-2			as dog	6-1 3-4			as dog	4-2 3-3			as dog	2-1-1 1-3
			2-6 5-3				1-6 1-6				2-4 2-4				0-5 0-5				3-4 4-3
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
La-Monroe † 28-16 -22 L N				SMU 10-23 -11 L N				@ SMU † 14-17 - 9 L N				SMU 34-14 - 9 W N				@ SMU † 10-6 -13 L N			
§ SMU † at Shreveport 9-31 - 6 L N				Alabama 7-17 + 8 L N				So. Carolina 51-21 - 2 W N				at Little Rock				at Cotton Bowl			
@ Alabama 17-16 +17 W N				La-Monroe † at Little Rock 38-21 -22 L N				@ Alabama 20-19 + 7 W N				@ So. Carolina † 0-14 + 3 L N				So. Carolina 18-17 + 2 W A			
La. Tech † at Little Rock 17-13 -10 L N				Florida † 7-42 +31 L N				Memphis † at Little Rock 27-20 -10 L N				Alabama 6-13 + 6 L A				@ Alabama 3-43 +17 L N			
@ Florida † 7-56 +36 L N				La. Tech † at Little Rock 38-21 -12 W N				@ Vanderbilt † 35-7 - 8 W A				@ Memphis † 15-16 - 3 L N				Memphis at Little Rock 0-6 - 4 L A			
So. Carolina † at Little Rock 13-39 + 1 L N				@ So. Carolina 17-23 + 5 L N				Tennessee 31-49 + 7 L N				Vanderbilt at Little Rock 42-6 - 6 W N				@ Georgia 20-10 +13 W N			
Auburn † 21-26 +16 W N				@ Auburn † 7-28 +15 L N				§ Mississippi at Memphis 13-6 - 6 W N				@ Tennessee 21-38 + 9 L N				Tennessee at Little Rock 14-28 +17 W A			
@ Mississippi † 9-19 + 9 L N				Mississippi 13-7 - 5 W N				Auburn † at Little Rock 30-28 + 5 W N				Mississippi 31-7 - 2 W A				@ Mississippi at Jackson 0-19 +11 L N			
Tennessee † at Little Rock 22-30 +25 W N				@ Tennessee 14-55 +24 L N				Miss. St. at Little Rock 26-21 -11 L N				@ Auburn 14-31 +11 L N				Auburn 21-31 + 6 L A			
Miss. St. 17-7 + 4 W N				@ Miss. St. 16-13* + 6 W N				La-Lafayette 24-13 -21 L N				@ Miss. St. 7-17 + 6 L N				Miss. St. at Little Rock 13-13 - 3 L A			
@ LSU 21-31 +19 W N				LSU 7-17 + 9 L N				@ LSU 0-28 + 3 L N				N. Illinois 30-27 -21 L A				Tulsa 24-11 - 3 W A			
				at Little Rock				§ Florida † at Atlanta 3-34 +24 L A				LSU at Little Rock 12-30 - 6 L N				@ LSU 42-24 + 2 W N			
								Carquest Bowl at Miami											
								§ No. Carolina † 10-20 + 1 L N											
STATISTICS SUMMARY			OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. / GAME		
			STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002			9-5	6-8	8-3	4-7	—	1-2	2-1	1-4	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	46		
HOME			6-2	3-5	6-2	3-5	—	0-2	2-0	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	46		
AWAY			3-1	3-1	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	1-1	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	50		
NAT.			9-4	6-7	8-3	4-7	—	1-2	2-1	1-4	1-1	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	47		
ART.			0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	33		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002			16-10	13-11-1	11-3	5-9	—	2-3	2-2	1-4	3-7	7-2-1	—	2-0	2-2	3-0-1	46		
HOME			12-3	7-7	9-2	4-7	—	1-3	2-1	1-3	1-1	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	42		
AWAY			4-4	5-2-1	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	2-4	4-1-1	—	2-0	1-1	1-0-1	61		
NAT.			16-9	13-10-1	11-3	5-9	—	2-3	2-2	1-4	3-6	7-1-1	—	2-0	2-1	3-0-1	47		
ART.			0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	33		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002			22-16	18-17-1	13-5	6-12	0-2	2-3	2-2	2-5	6-11	11-5-1	2-0	2-1	3-3	4-1-1	47		
HOME			17-5	10-10	11-3	5-9	0-1	1-3	2-1	2-4	3-2	4-1	2-0	0-1	1-0	1-0	44		
AWAY			5-8	7-5-1	2-1	1-2	0-1	1-0	—	0-1	3-7	6-3-1	—	2-0	2-2	2-1-1	55		
NAT.			22-15	18-16-1	13-5	6-12	0-2	2-3	2-2	2-5	6-10	11-4-1	2-0	2-1	3-2	4-1-1	47		
ART.			0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	33		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002			39-23	32-27-1	27-10	16-21	0-5	5-5	5-3	6-8	9-13	15-6-1	3-1	3-1	5-3	4-1-1	47		
HOME			29-5	20-12	21-3	13-11	0-1	3-4	5-1	5-5	5-2	6-1	3-0	0-1	2-0	1-0	46		
AWAY			9-14	10-12-1	6-6	3-9	0-4	2-1	0-1	1-3	3-8	7-3-1	—	2-0	3-2	2-1-1	52		
NAT.			39-22	32-26-1	27-10	16-21	0-5	5-5	5-3	6-8	9-12	15-5-1	3-1	3-1	5-2	4-1-1	48		
ART.			0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	33		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002			64-54-1	53-63-1	44-16-1	25-36	3-6	8-9	7-4	7-17	17-38	27-27-1	5-5	6-6	6-7	10-9-1	44		
HOME			46-18-1	33-30	35-6-1	20-22	3-2	5-6	6-1	6-13	8-12	12-8	4-1	2-4	2-2	4-1	44		
AWAY			16-29	17-27-1	8-8	4-12	0-4	2-2	1-2	1-4	8-21	13-15-1	1-2	3-2	4-4	5-7-1	45		
NAT.			59-48	48-56-1	40-15	22-33	1-5	8-8	6-4	7-16	16-33	25-23-1	4-5	6-4	6-6	9-8-1	45		
ART.			5-6-1	5-7	4-1-1	3-3	2-1	0-1	1-0	0-1	1-5	2-4	1-0	0-2	0-1	1-1	35		

ARKANSAS STATE INDIANS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	str.up vs.spr.			2001	str.up vs.spr.			2000	str.up vs.spr.			1999	str.up vs.spr.			1998	str.up vs.spr.		
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away
	6-7	7-4		2-9	5-4		1-10	4-6		4-7	7-3		4-8	3-8	5-6				
	4-2	4-0		2-4	3-1		1-4	2-2		3-2	3-1		3-3	3-3	1-4				
	2-4	3-3		0-5	2-3		0-6	2-4		1-5	4-2		1-5	4-2	4-2				
	as fav	—		as fav	1-1	1-1	as fav	1-0	1-0	as fav	1-0	0-1	as fav	1-1	0-2				
	as dog	4-6	7-3	as dog	1-6	4-3	as dog	0-9	3-6	as dog	1-7	6-2	as dog	2-7	5-4				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf				
@ Va. Tech	7-63	+38- L	N	@ Georgia	17-45	+34 W	N	@ N.C. State †	31-38*	+15- W	N	@ Illinois	3-41	+14- L	A				
§ San Jose St. † at Little Rock	†14-33	P	L N	@ Baylor †	3-24	+ 7- L	N	@ Oklahoma †	7-45	+32 L	N	@ Mississippi †	14-38	+32- W	N				
Tulsa †	21-19	+ 5	W N	Jacksnvl. St. †	28-31	— -	N	Memphis †	17-19	+ 7 W	N	@ Memphis †	26-31	+17- W	N				
@ Illinois	7-59	+32 L	A	Mississippi †	17-35	+21- W	N	@ TCU †	3-52	+26- L	N	TCU †	21-24	+16 W	N				
@ La-Monroe †	33-21	+ 2- W	N	La-Lafayette	26-20	- 4 W	N	Richmond †	27-30	— -	N	Sam Houston	45-20	— -	N				
Tenn.-Martin †	30-10	— -	N	@ N. Texas	0-45	+ 7 L	A	@ Mississippi	10-35	+25- W	N	@ Utah St.	14-20	+12 W	N				
Mid. Tenn.	13-7	+14- W	N	Idaho	34-31	+ 3- W	N	@ Idaho †	25-42	+13 L	A	Idaho	24-30*	+ 8 W	N				
@ Mississippi	17-52	+27 L	N	@ Mid. Tenn.	6-54	+24 L	A	N. Mex. St	29-35*	+ 3- L	N	@ N. Texas	14-10	P	W A				
N. Texas †	10-13	+ 7- W	N	@ N. Mex. St	17-28	+20 W	N	@ Utah St.	31-44	+ 5 L	N	La-Lafayette	31-27	-10- L	N				
N. Mex. St. †	21-26	+ 6- W	N	La-Monroe	7-16	- 5 L	N	Boise St.	14-42	+14 L	N	@ Boise St.	10-63	+10 L	A				
S. Utah	38-16	— -	N	Nicholls St.	22-28	— -	N	N. Texas	53-28	- 8- W	N	Nevada	44-28	+ 3- W	N				
@ La-Lafayette	10-13	+ 3- W	N																
@ Idaho	38-29	+ 4 W	A																

1997	str.up vs.spr.			1996	str.up vs.spr.			1995	str.up vs.spr.			1994	str.up vs.spr.			1993	str.up vs.spr.		
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away
	2-9	3-5		4-7	4-1-1		6-5	5-2-1		1-10	2-2		2-8-1	2-1					
	2-3	1-1		4-1	1-0-1		5-1	2-1		1-3	—		2-3	—					
	0-6	2-4		0-6	3-1		1-4	3-1-1		0-7	2-2		0-5-1	2-1					
	as fav	0-1		as fav	—	—	as fav	—	—	as fav	—		as fav	—					
	as dog	0-7	3-4	as dog	1-5	4-1-1	as dog	3-5	5-2-1	as dog	0-4	2-2	as dog	0-2-1	2-1				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf				
@ Georgia	7-38	+34 W	N	@ BYU †	9-58	+33 L	N	Utah St. †	21-17	+ 5- W	N	@ Va. Tech †	7-34	+36 W	N				
Central Ark. †	36-35	— -	N	Austin Peay †	24-0	— -	N	@ UNLV †	23-28	+ 9 W	A	@ Nevada	0-18	— -	N				
S. Utah †	24-34	— -	N	@ UAB †	17-42	— -	N	S. Illinois †	14-9	— -	N	S. Illinois †	41-14	— -	N				
@ Va. Tech	0-50	+41 L	N	N. Illinois †	30-31	+ 1 P	N	@ La. Tech †	25-28	+10- W	N	@ N. Mex. St. †	17-24	— -	N				
La-Lafayette †	38-41	- 5- L	N	Central Ark. †	17-7	— -	N	@ Minnesota †	7-55	+26 L	A	@ Miss. St. †	3-49	+30 L	N				
@ Memphis †	9-38	+22- L	N	@ La-Lafayette	31-42	+21 W	N	La-Lafayette †	9-33	+ 9- L	N	@ La-Lafayette	0-26	— -	N				
@ La. Tech	14-42	+12 L	N	S.E. MO St.	38-9	— -	N	@ Texas Tech †	25-63	+38 P	A	@ Memphis †	6-15	+23- W	N				
@ N. Mex. St. †	20-34	+ 5 L	N	@ Mississippi †	21-38	+24 W	N	Jacksnvl. St.	37-6	— -	N	Pacific †	16-30	— -	N				
@ Miami-Fla.	10-42	+41 W	N	@ E. Carolina	16-34	+36 W	N	San Jose St.	21-7	+ 3- W	N	N. Illinois	16-38	— -	N				
S.W. Mo. St.	35-27	— -	N	La. Tech	55-38	+11- W	N	@ N. Illinois	28-21	+ 9 W	A	@ Colo. St.	3-48	+31 L	N				
UAB	7-13	+12 W	N	@ Nevada	14-66	— -	N	Miss. Valley	55-3	— -	N	La. Tech	14-20	— -	N				

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	6-7	7-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	4-6	7-3	1-0	4-0	1-0	1-3	47
HOME	4-2	4-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-2	4-0	—	2-0	1-0	1-0	37
AWAY	2-4	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-4	3-3	1-0	2-0	—	0-3	58
NAT.	5-6	6-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-5	6-2	1-0	3-0	1-0	1-2	44
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	66
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-16	12-8	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	5-12	11-6	1-0	5-1	1-1	4-4	48
HOME	6-6	7-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	3-3	6-0	—	3-0	1-0	2-0	43
AWAY	2-9	5-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-9	5-6	1-0	2-1	0-1	2-4	53
NAT.	7-13	11-5	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	4-9	10-3	1-0	4-0	1-1	4-2	45
ART.	1-3	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	1-1	—	0-2	59
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	9-26	16-14	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	5-21	14-12	1-0	6-3	1-1	6-8	51
HOME	7-10	9-3	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	3-6	7-2	—	4-1	1-0	2-1	47
AWAY	2-15	7-10	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-15	7-10	1-0	2-2	0-1	4-7	55
NAT.	8-22	15-10	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	4-17	13-8	1-0	5-2	1-1	6-5	50
ART.	1-4	1-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-4	1-4	—	1-1	—	0-3	61
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	17-41	28-23	4-2	2-4	—	1-3	1-0	0-1	8-35	25-18	2-0	7-5	2-2	14-11	51
HOME	13-15	13-8	4-1	2-3	—	1-2	1-0	0-1	5-11	11-5	1-0	5-3	2-0	3-2	52
AWAY	4-25	15-14	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	3-24	14-13	1-0	2-2	0-2	11-9	50
NAT.	14-34	24-17	4-2	2-4	—	1-3	1-0	0-1	6-28	22-12	2-0	6-4	2-1	12-7	51
ART.	3-7	4-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-7	3-6	—	1-1	0-1	2-4	49
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	32-80-1	44-34-2	4-3	2-5	—	1-4	1-0	0-1	12-58-1	41-28-2	2-0-1	9-6	4-3	26-19-1	49
HOME	27-26	17-10-1	4-2	2-4	—	1-3	1-0	0-1	8-14	15-6-1	1-0-1	7-3	2-1	5-2	49
AWAY	5-53-1	27-23-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	4-44-1	26-22-1	1-0	2-3	2-2	21-17-1	49
NAT.	28-69-1	38-27-1	4-3	2-5	—	1-4	1-0	0-1	9-48-1	36-21-1	2-0-1	8-5	2-2	24-14	49
ART.	4-11	6-7-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-10	5-7-1	—	1-1	2-1	2-5-1	51

ARMY BLACK KNIGHTS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	1-11 0-6 1-4 0-1 1-9	vs. spr. 4-7 2-3 2-3 0-1 4-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Holy Cross	21-30	—	- A
@ Rutgers †	0-44	- 4	L N
Louisville	14-45	+27	L A
So. Miss.	6-27	+29	W A
@ E. Carolina	24-59	+20	L N
TCU	27-46	+18	L A
@ Houston	42-56	+16	W N
UAB	26-29	+ 7	W A
Air Force	30-49	+17	L A
@ Tulane	14-10	+21	W A
@ Memphis	10-38	+14	L N
§ Navy at E. Ruth.	12-58	+ 3	L N
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	3-8 2-3 0-5 1-1 1-7	vs. spr. 6-5 3-2 2-3 1-1 4-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Cincinnati	21-24	+ 4	W A
@ UAB	3-55	+16	L N
@ Bost. Coll.	10-31	+24	W A
Houston	28-14	+ 1	W A
E. Carolina	26-49	+14	L A
TCU	20-38	+12	L N
Tulane	42-35	P	W A
@ Air Force	24-34	+13	W N
Buffalo	19-26	-15	L A
@ Memphis	10-42	+16	L N
§ Navy † at Phila.	26-17	- 1	W A
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	1-10 1-4 0-5 0-1 1-9	vs. spr. 4-7 1-4 3-2 0-1 4-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Cincinnati	17-23	+15	W A
Bost. Coll.	17-55	+ 7	L A
@ Houston †	30-31	+16	W N
Memphis	16-26	+ 6	L A
@ N. Mex. St †	23-42	+ 4	L N
@ E. Carolina †	21-42	+25	W N
Tulane	21-17	+ 4	W A
Air Force	27-41	+ 7	L A
@ Louisville	17-38	+19	L N
UAB	7-27	+ 8	L A
§ Navy at Baltimore	28-30	- 2	L N
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	3-8 3-3 0-4 2-0 1-8	vs. spr. 4-6-1 3-3 1-2-1 2-0 2-6-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Wk. Forest	15-34	+ 2	L A
@ Tulane	28-48	+14	L A
Ball St.	41-21	- 9	W A
E. Carolina	14-33	+11	L A
Louisville †	59-52*	+14	W A
@ So. Miss.	0-24	+24	P N
N. Mex. St	35-18	- 6	W A
@ Air Force	0-28	+15	L N
@ Memphis	10-14	+12	W N
Houston	14-26	+ 4	L A
§ Navy at Phila.	9-19	+ 7	L A
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	3-8 1-4 1-1 1-1 2-7	vs. spr. 6-5 3-2 0-2 0-2 6-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Miami-OH	13-14	+ 7	W A
Cincinnati	37-20	+ 2	W A
@ Rutgers †	15-27	-10	L N
@ E. Carolina	25-30	+13	W N
@ Houston	38-28	+ 7	W N
So. Miss.	13-37	+ 9	L A
@ Notre Dame	17-20	+25	W N
Air Force	7-35	+12	L A
Tulane	35-49	+16	W A
@ Louisville	23-35	+10	L N
§ Navy at Phila.	34-30	- 6	L A
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	4-7 4-2 0-4 2-3 0-4	vs. spr. 2-7 1-3 1-3 1-4 1-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Marshall	25-35	- 2	L A
Lafayette	41-14	—	- A
@ Duke †	17-20	- 5	L N
Miami-OH	14-38	- 4	L A
@ Tulane	0-41	+ 6	L A
Rutgers	37-35	-16	L A
Colgate	35-27	—	- A
@ Air Force	0-24	+14	L N
N. Texas	25-14	- 7	W A
@ Bost. Coll.	20-24	+13	W A
§ Navy at E. Ruth.	7-39	+12	L A
1996	overall home away as fav as dog	10-2 6-0 2-1 7-0 2-2	vs. spr. 8-3 4-1 2-1 5-2 3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Ohio U.	37-20	-13	W A
Duke	35-17	- 3	W A
§ N. Texas at Irving, TX	27-10	-17	L A
Yale	39-13	-36	L A
@ Rutgers at E. Ruth.	42-21	- 5	W A
Tulane	34-10	- 6	W A
@ Miami-OH	27-7	- 2	W N
Lafayette	41-21	—	- A
Air Force	23-7	+ 3	W A
@ Syracuse †	17-42	+18	L A
§ Navy at Phila.	28-24	+ 2	W A
Independence Bowl at Shreveport	29-32	+ 7	W N
1995	overall home away as fav as dog	5-5-1 3-2-1 1-2 1-0 1-5	vs. spr. 5-3 2-1 2-1 0-1 5-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Lehigh	42-9	—	- A
Duke	21-23	+ 9	W A
@ Wash.	13-21	+26	W A
Rice	21-21	+ 2	W A
§ Notre Dame at E. Ruth.	27-28	+23	W A
@ Bost. Coll.	49-7	+13	W A
Colgate	56-14	—	- A
E. Carolina	25-31	+ 1	L A
@ Air Force	20-38	+ 8	L N
Bucknell	37-6	—	- A
§ Navy at Phila.	14-13	- 3	L A
1994	overall home away as fav as dog	4-7 3-4 0-3 1-1 1-3	vs. spr. 2-3-1 2-2 0-1 0-1-1 2-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Holy Cross	49-3	—	- A
@ Duke †	7-43	+13	L N
Temple	20-23	- 9	L A
@ Wk. Forest	27-33	—	- N
@ Rutgers at E. Ruth.	14-16	—	- A
Louisville	30-29	+16	W A
Citadel	25-24	—	- A
Bost. Coll.	3-30	+20	L A
Air Force	6-10	+13	W A
Boston U.	12-21	—	- A
§ Navy at Phila.	22-20	- 2	P A
1993	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 4-2 1-3 0-1 1-4	vs. spr. 3-4 2-1 0-3 0-1 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Colgate	30-0	—	- A
@ Duke	21-42	+10	L N
V.M.I.	31-9	—	- A
Akron	35-14	+ 1	W A
@ Temple	56-21	—	- A
Rutgers	38-45	+ 9	W A
@ Bost. Coll.	14-41	+21	L A
W. Mich.	7-20	- 8	L A
@ Air Force	6-25	+ 9	L N
Lafayette	35-12	—	- A
§ Navy at E. Ruth.	16-14	P	W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	1-11	4-7	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-9	4-6	0-1	—	1-0	3-5	59
HOME	0-6	2-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	2-3	—	—	1-0	1-3	58
AWAY	1-4	2-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-3	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	59
NAT.	0-5	1-4	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-4	1-3	0-1	—	—	1-2	68
ART.	1-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	3-3	—	—	1-0	2-3	53
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	10-12	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	2-16	8-10	1-1	1-0	1-0	5-9	57
HOME	2-9	5-5	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-7	4-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-4	57
AWAY	1-9	4-6	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-8	4-5	—	—	—	4-5	56
NAT.	0-9	2-7	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-8	2-6	0-1	—	—	2-5	63
ART.	4-10	8-5	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	2-8	6-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	3-4	53
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	5-29	14-19	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-1	—	0-1	3-25	12-16	1-1	2-3	1-2	8-10	56
HOME	3-13	6-9	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	2-11	5-8	1-0	2-2	1-2	1-4	55
AWAY	1-14	7-8	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-13	7-7	—	0-1	—	7-6	56
NAT.	0-14	4-10	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-12	4-8	0-1	0-1	—	4-6	62
ART.	5-15	10-9	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	3-13	8-8	1-0	2-2	1-2	4-4	51
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	11-45	24-30-1	4-4	3-5	1-1	1-2	1-1	0-1	6-40	20-25-1	2-2	3-5	2-4	13-14-1	54
HOME	7-20	12-14	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	4-18	9-13	2-1	3-3	1-3	3-6	55
AWAY	2-22	11-12-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	2-20	11-10-1	—	0-1	1-1	10-8-1	52
NAT.	1-21	8-13-1	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	1-18	8-10-1	0-1	0-1	1-1	7-7-1	54
ART.	10-24	16-17	4-1	3-2	1-0	1-1	1-0	0-1	5-22	12-15	2-1	3-4	1-3	6-7	53
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	40-71-1	44-50-2	15-9	9-14-1	2-3-1	5-4	1-3	1-4	11-58-1	33-36-1	6-3	3-6	5-7	19-20-1	50
HOME	27-30-1	23-22	8-5	6-7	0-1	4-1	1-2	1-3	7-23-1	16-15	5-2	3-3	3-3	5-7	51
AWAY	6-35	16-21-1	2-3	2-3	1-0	1-2	0-1	—	3-30	14-18-1	—	0-2	1-4	13-12-1	50
NAT.	2-29	10-19-1	1-4	1-4	1-1	0-2	0-1	—	1-24	9-15-1	0-1	0-1	2-4	7-9-1	52
ART.	38-42-1	34-31-1	14-5	8-10-1	1-2-1	5-2	1-2	1-4	10-34-1	24-21	6-2	3-5	3-3	12-11	50

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 9-4 9-3 home 5-2 3-3 away 3-2 5-0 as fav 5-1 4-2 as dog 3-3 5-1	overall 7-5 4-8 home 5-1 3-3 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 5-1 2-4 as dog 2-3 2-3	overall 9-4 7-6 home 7-0 4-3 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 7-0 4-3 as dog 2-4 3-3	overall 5-6 4-6 home 3-4 2-4 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 2-1 1-2 as dog 2-5 3-4	overall 3-8 3-8 home 2-5 2-5 away 1-3 1-3 as fav 2-0 1-1 as dog 1-7 2-6
opponent score vs. spread surf @ USC † 17-24 + 7 W N W. Carolina † 56-0 — - N Vanderbilt † 31-6 -21 W N @ Miss. St. † 42-14 -3 W N Syracuse † 37-34* -15 L N Arkansas † 17-38 -5 L N @ Florida † 23-30* + 8 W N LSU † 31-7 + 4 W N @ Mississippi † 31-24 -4 W N La-Monroe † 52-14 -35 W N Georgia † 21-24 + 2 L N @ Alabama † 17-7 +11 W N Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando § Penn State † 13-9 + 7 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Ball St. † 30-0 -20 W N Mississippi † 27-21 -5 W N @ Syracuse † 14-31 + 3 L A @ Vanderbilt † 24-21 -5 L N Miss. St. † 16-14 -2 L N Florida † 23-20 +24 W N La. Tech † 48-41* -12 L N @ Arkansas † 17-42 P L N @ Georgia † 24-17 + 7 W N Alabama † 7-31 -1 L N @ LSU † 14-27 + 7 L N Peach Bowl at Atlanta § No. Carolina † 10-16 + 2 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Wyoming † 35-21 -12 W N @ Mississippi † 35-27 + 4 W N LSU † 34-17 -6 W N N. Illinois † 31-14 -23 L N Vanderbilt † 33-0 -14 W N @ Miss. St. † 10-17 + 1 L N @ Florida † 7-38 + 8 L N La. Tech † 38-28 -32 L N Arkansas † 21-19 -10 L N Georgia † 29-26* -1 W N @ Alabama † 9-0 + 1 W N SEC Championship at Atlanta § Florida † 6-28 + 9 L A Citrus Bowl at Orlando § Michigan † 28-31 + 7 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Appal. St. † 22-15 — - N Idaho † 30-23 -10 L N @ LSU † 41-7 + 8 W N Mississippi † 17-24* -4 L N @ Tennessee † 0-24 +19 L N Miss. St. † 16-18 + 4 W N Florida † 14-32 +16 L N @ Arkansas † 10-34 + 7 L N C. Florida † 28-10 -10 W N @ Georgia † 38-21 +10 W N Alabama † 17-28 + 3 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Virginia † 0-19 + 1 L N @ Mississippi † 17-0 + 2 W N LSU † 19-31 + 5 L N Tennessee † 9-17 + 6 L N @ Miss. St. † 21-38 P L N @ Florida † 3-24 +19 L N La. Tech † 32-17 -6 W N Arkansas † 21-24 + 4 W N C. Florida † 10-6 -5 L N Georgia † 17-28 + 6 L N @ Alabama † 17-31 + 3 L N at Birm.
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 10-3 7-5 home 4-2 2-4 away 5-0 4-1 as fav 8-1 4-5 as dog 2-2 3-1	overall 8-4 5-6 home 5-2 2-4 away 2-2 3-1 as fav 7-2 4-5 as dog 0-2 1-1	overall 8-4 3-8 home 6-1 2-4 away 2-2 1-3 as fav 7-2 3-6 as dog 0-2 0-2	overall 9-11 6-4 home 6-0-1 4-2 away 3-1 2-2 as fav 7-1-1 5-4 as dog 1-0 1-0	overall 11-0 8-2 home 7-0 5-1 away 4-0 3-1 as fav 8-0 5-2 as dog 2-0 2-0
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Virginia † 28-17 -4 W N Mississippi † 19-9 -20 L N @ LSU † 31-28 + 4 W N C. Florida † 41-14 -15 W N @ So. Carolina † 23-6 -7 W N La. Tech † 49-13 -23 W N Florida † 10-24 + 7 L N @ Arkansas † 26-21 -16 L N Miss. St. † 0-20 -17 L N @ Georgia † 45-34 + 5 W N Alabama † 18-17 -11 L N SEC Championship at Atlanta § Tennessee † 29-30 + 7 W A Peach Bowl at Atlanta § Clemson † 21-17 -4 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Ala.-Birm. † 29-0 — - N Fresno St. † 62-0 -16 W N @ Mississippi † 45-28 -10 W N LSU † 15-19 -7 L N So. Carolina † 28-24 -19 L N @ Miss. St. † 49-15 -11 W N @ Florida † 10-51 +22 L N @ Arkansas † 28-7 -15 W N La-Monroe † 28-24 -41 L N Georgia † 49-56* -10 L N @ Alabama † 23-24 + 7 W N Independence Bowl at Shreveport § Army † 32-29 -7 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Mississippi † 46-13 -16 W N Chattanooga † 76-10 — - N @ LSU † 6-12 -5 L N @ Kentucky † 42-21 -16 W N Miss. St. † 48-20 -16 W N Florida † 38-49 + 4 L N W. Mich. † 34-13 -36 L N @ Arkansas † 28-30 -5 L N La-Monroe † 38-14 -36 L N @ Georgia † 37-31 -9 L N Alabama † 31-27 -6 L N Outback Bowl at Tampa § Penn State † 14-43 + 2 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Mississippi † 22-17 -6 L N La-Monroe † 44-12 -25 W N LSU † 30-26 -9 L N E. Tenn. St. † 38-0 — - N Kentucky † 41-14 -25 W N @ Miss. St. † 42-18 -1 W N @ Florida † 36-33 +17 W N Arkansas † 31-14 -11 W N E. Carolina † 38-21 -14 W N Georgia † 23-23 -12 L N @ Alabama † 14-21 -1 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Mississippi † 16-12 -3 W N Samford † 35-7 — - N @ LSU † 34-10 -2 W N So. Miss. † 35-24 -15 L N @ Vanderbilt † 14-10 -7 L A Miss. St. † 31-17 -6 W N Florida † 38-35 + 7 W N @ Arkansas † 31-21 -6 W A N. Mex. St. † 55-14 -30 W N @ Georgia † 42-28 + 1 W N Alabama † 22-14 -1 W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-4	9-3	5-1	4-2	1-0	1-1	—	2-1	3-3	5-1	0-1	1-0	3-0	1-0	47
HOME	5-2	3-3	3-1	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	52
AWAY	3-2	5-0	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-2	3-0	—	—	2-0	1-0	45
NAT.	9-4	9-3	5-1	4-2	1-0	1-1	—	2-1	3-3	5-1	0-1	1-0	3-0	1-0	47
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	16-9	13-11	10-2	6-6	1-2	2-2	—	3-2	5-6	7-4	0-2	2-2	3-0	2-0	46
HOME	10-3	6-6	7-2	4-5	0-2	1-1	—	3-2	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	49
AWAY	5-5	6-4	3-0	2-1	1-0	1-1	—	—	2-4	4-2	—	1-2	2-0	1-0	46
NAT.	16-7	13-9	10-2	6-6	1-2	2-2	—	3-2	5-4	7-2	0-1	2-1	3-0	2-0	47
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	35
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	25-13	20-17	17-2	10-9	2-2	3-2	0-1	5-4	7-10	10-7	1-3	4-2	3-2	2-0	45
HOME	17-3	10-9	14-2	8-8	1-2	2-1	0-1	5-4	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	49
AWAY	7-7	8-6	3-0	2-1	1-0	1-1	—	—	4-6	6-4	1-1	2-2	2-1	1-0	43
NAT.	25-10	20-14	17-2	10-9	2-2	3-2	0-1	5-4	7-7	10-4	1-2	4-1	3-1	2-0	46
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	35
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	33-27	27-31	21-3	12-12	2-2	4-4	0-1	6-5	10-22	15-17	2-4	6-7	5-3	2-3	43
HOME	22-12	14-18	18-3	10-11	1-2	3-3	0-1	6-5	2-9	4-7	0-2	3-4	—	1-1	45
AWAY	10-12	11-11	3-0	2-1	1-0	1-1	—	—	7-10	9-8	2-1	2-3	4-2	1-2	42
NAT.	33-24	27-28	21-3	12-12	2-2	4-4	0-1	6-5	10-19	15-14	2-3	6-6	5-2	2-3	43
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	35
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	79-39-1	56-57	58-9-1	34-34	5-3	8-11	1-5	20-15	15-28	22-21	3-5	10-9	6-3	3-4	47
HOME	50-17-1	29-33	41-6-1	24-24	2-2	5-5	0-3	17-14	3-11	5-9	0-2	3-6	1-0	1-1	48
AWAY	26-17	24-19	15-3	10-8	3-1	3-5	1-1	3-1	11-12	14-9	3-1	5-3	4-2	2-3	47
NAT.	76-34-1	54-51	55-8-1	33-31	5-2	7-9	1-5	20-15	15-24	21-18	3-4	9-8	6-2	3-4	48
ART.	3-5	2-6	3-1	1-3	0-1	1-2	—	—	0-4	1-3	0-1	1-1	0-1	—	39

BALL STATE CARDINALS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	6-6 4-1 2-5 2-0 3-6	vs. spr. 7-4 3-1 4-3 2-0 5-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Missouri †	6-41	+16	L N
Indiana St.	23-21	—	N
Clemson	7-30	+28	W N
Connecticut	24-21*	+6	W N
N. Illinois	29-41	+2	L N
Toledo †	17-37	+18	L A
E. Mich.	42-17	-14	W N
Bowl. Green	20-38	+20	W N
W. Mich.	17-7	+6	W N
C. Mich.	38-21	+2	W A
Buffalo	41-21	-16	W N
Marshall	14-38	+14	L A
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	5-6 2-3 3-3 3-1 2-4	vs. spr. 5-5 1-3 4-2 1-3 4-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Auburn †	0-30	+20	L N
Kentucky	20-28	+10	W N
N. Iowa	39-42	—	N
Miami-OH	20-28	+6	L N
E. Mich. †	35-14	-10	W A
Toledo	24-20	+23	W N
Connecticut	10-5	-10	L N
C. Mich.	38-34	-12	L N
Kent State	18-31	-6	L N
N. Illinois	29-33	+12	W A
W. Mich. †	35-31	+9	W N
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	5-6 2-3 3-3 4-0 1-5	vs. spr. 5-5 2-2 3-3 2-2 3-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Florida †	19-40	+45	W N
W. Illinois	14-24	—	N
Kansas St. †	0-76	+46	L A
N. Illinois	14-43	+11	L N
Miami-OH	15-10	+27	W N
E. Mich.	33-14	-5	W N
Buffalo	44-35	-10	L N
C. Mich.	38-34	-5	L A
W. Mich.	3-42	+21	L N
Toledo	3-31	+31	W A
Connecticut	29-0	-16	W N
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	0-11 0-4 0-7 — 0-11	vs. spr. 2-9 0-4 2-5 — 2-9
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Indiana †	9-21	+24	W N
Wisconsin	10-50	+36	L A
Toledo	10-23	+6	L N
Akron	21-41	+9	L A
9-31	+1	L N	
N. Illinois	17-37	+9	L A
W. Mich.	0-28	+18	L N
Ohio U.	25-37	+7	L N
E. Mich. †	21-31	+11	W A
Bowl. Green	14-35	+15	L N
C. Mich.	21-27	+2	L N
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	1-10 1-3 0-7 1-1 0-9	vs. spr. 4-7 2-2 2-5 0-2 4-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
So. Carolina †	20-38	+19	W N
E. Mich.	7-13	-8	L N
Iowa St.	0-38	+11	L N
Akron †	14-52	+7	L A
N. Illinois	18-13	-12	L N
Toledo	6-27	+20	L A
Miami-OH	17-28	+19	W N
Marshall	10-42	+29	L A
W. Mich.	23-24	+10	W N
C. Florida	14-37	+30	W N
C. Mich.	21-31	+7	L A
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	5-6 4-1 1-5 3-0 1-6	vs. spr. 3-7 2-2 1-5 1-2 2-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Miami-OH	10-27	+7	L N
J. Madison	24-6	—	N
Indiana †	6-33	+13	L N
Purdue	14-28	+16	W N
Marshall	16-42	+14	L N
W. Mich. †	13-21	+1	L N
E. Mich. †	32-38	+2	L A
C. Mich.	37-34*	-10	L N
N. Illinois	21-14	-9	L A
Akron	31-14	-9	W N
Toledo	35-3	+11	W N
1996	overall home away as fav as dog	8-4 4-1 4-2 6-0 1-4	vs. spr. 7-4 1-3 5-1 4-2 3-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Kansas †	10-35	+21	L A
Miami-OH	6-16	+6	L N
Minnesota †	23-26	+14	W A
C. Florida	31-10	—	N
W. Mich.	28-5	-7	W N
Ohio U.	30-27*	-12	L N
Bowl. Green	16-11	+1	W N
C. Mich.	24-17	-2	W A
E. Mich.	39-25	-4	W A
Kent State	50-6	-23	W N
Toledo	24-14	-12	L N
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ Nevada	15-18	+6	W A
1995	overall home away as fav as dog	7-4 4-1 3-3 3-1 2-3	vs. spr. 5-4 3-1 2-3 1-2 3-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Miami-OH	17-15	+4	W N
W. Illinois	20-7	—	N
Minnesota †	7-31	+14	L A
W. Mich.	10-0	P	W N
Purdue	13-35	+18	L N
Toledo †	14-17	+10	W A
Bowl. Green	30-10	+1	W N
E. Mich.	35-40	-7	L N
Ohio U.	6-3	-13	L N
Kent State	28-13	-15	P N
C. Mich.	24-16	-5	W N
1994	overall home away as fav as dog	5-5 4-1 1-4 — 0-2	vs. spr. 1-1 — 1-1 — 1-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
W. Virginia	14-16	+19	W A
Purdue	21-49	+14	L N
Ohio U.	21-14	—	N
C. Mich.	31-28	—	N
Toledo †	24-24	—	A
W. Mich.	16-13	—	N
Bowl. Green	36-59	—	N
E. Mich.	20-41	—	N
Miami-OH	21-24	—	N
Akron	38-28	—	N
Kent State	34-0	—	N
1993	overall home away as fav as dog	8-3 4-0 4-2 — 0-3	vs. spr. 1-2 — 1-1 — 1-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Syracuse †	12-35	+36	W A
Illinois St.	45-30	—	N
Ohio U.	24-16	—	N
C. Mich.	20-17	—	A
Toledo	31-30	—	N
Cincinnati †	12-44	+7	L A
Bowl. Green	26-26	—	N
E. Mich.	18-13	—	A
Miami-OH	21-0	—	N
Akron	31-9	—	A
Kent State	28-3	—	N
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ Utah St. †	33-42	+2	L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	6-6	7-4	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	3-6	5-4	1-1	2-0	—	2-3	50
HOME	4-1	3-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	51
AWAY	2-5	4-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-5	4-3	1-0	1-0	—	2-3	50
NAT.	5-4	6-2	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	2-4	4-2	0-1	2-0	—	2-1	49
ART.	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	0-2	55
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-12	12-9	5-1	3-3	—	0-1	1-0	2-2	5-10	9-6	1-1	2-1	2-0	4-4	51
HOME	6-4	4-4	3-1	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	2-2	2-2	0-1	1-1	—	1-0	55
AWAY	5-8	8-5	2-0	1-1	—	—	1-0	0-1	3-8	7-4	1-0	1-0	2-0	3-4	47
NAT.	9-9	9-7	4-1	2-3	—	0-1	—	2-2	4-7	7-4	0-1	2-1	2-0	3-2	49
ART.	2-3	3-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-3	2-2	1-0	—	—	1-2	55
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	16-18	17-14	9-1	5-5	—	1-2	1-0	3-3	6-15	12-9	1-1	2-1	2-0	7-7	51
HOME	8-7	6-6	5-1	4-2	—	1-1	—	3-1	2-4	2-4	0-1	1-1	—	1-2	51
AWAY	8-11	11-8	4-0	1-3	—	0-1	1-0	0-2	4-11	10-5	1-0	1-0	2-0	6-5	50
NAT.	13-13	13-10	7-1	4-4	—	1-1	—	3-3	5-10	9-6	0-1	2-1	2-0	5-4	49
ART.	3-5	4-4	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	1-5	3-3	1-0	—	—	2-3	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	17-39	23-30	10-2	5-7	—	1-2	1-1	3-4	6-35	18-23	1-3	2-2	2-5	13-13	49
HOME	9-14	8-12	6-2	4-4	—	1-1	0-1	3-2	2-10	4-8	0-3	1-2	0-1	3-2	47
AWAY	8-25	15-18	4-0	1-3	—	0-1	1-0	0-2	4-25	14-15	1-0	1-0	2-4	10-11	50
NAT.	14-26	18-19	8-2	4-6	—	1-1	0-1	3-4	5-22	14-13	0-3	2-2	2-1	10-7	46
ART.	3-13	5-11	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	1-13	4-10	1-0	—	0-4	3-6	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	50-61-2	40-48-1	22-3	11-13-1	1-0	4-2	2-4	4-7-1	10-53	28-35	3-6	4-4	3-6	18-19	46
HOME	29-18-1	14-18	12-3	7-8	—	2-1	1-3	4-4	4-12	6-10	1-3	1-3	0-1	4-3	46
AWAY	21-41-1	25-29-1	10-0	4-5-1	1-0	2-1	1-1	0-3-1	6-39	21-24	2-2	2-1	3-5	14-16	46
NAT.	41-38-1	28-31-1	17-3	8-11-1	—	3-1	1-3	4-7-1	9-30	19-20	2-4	3-4	2-1	12-11	45
ART.	9-23-1	12-17	5-0	3-2	1-0	1-1	1-1	—	1-23	9-15	1-2	1-0	1-5	6-8	50

Here's Your Best Bet!

Jim Feist
World Champion Handicapper

Sports Hotline
1.900.484.2900
\$12 per call

High impact action.
Rated five-star in power.

Inner Circle
1.900.726.4477
\$50 per call

Simply the strongest
play on the board

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris #24
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Dave Cokin
Documented National Champion

Gold Key selection
1.900.988.1310
\$15 per call

As many as 3 star-rated
releases daily

Pure Gold
1.900.990.2274
\$50 per call

BIG WINNERS
Pure Profit

Must be 18+ 3888 W. Sahara #79
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Scott Spreitzer
The Home of SmashMouth Sports

SmashMouth Winners
1.900.988.9990
\$10 per call

Plays to put heat on your man

Bully's Big Play Line
1.900.438.8244
\$50 per call

SmashMouth handicapping
at its best!

Must be 18+ 330 S. Decatur Blvd. #268
Las Vegas, NV 89107

Glenn McGrew
The EXPERIENCE of a WINNER!

Triple Play Line
1.900.289.9288
\$12 per call

3-5 strong plays daily

Best Bet
1.900.678.0414
\$50 per call

Best Bet from today's action

Must be 18+ 3395 S. Jones #213
Las Vegas, NV 89146

Chip Chirimbis
The Big Game Handicapper

Vegas Angle
1.900.990.8936
\$12 per call

Big Money Plays Daily

A-Play Megabucks
1.900.990.8322
\$50 per call

Special releases from the home
of the BIG PLAY

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris #24
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Mike Lee
A Vegas Legend

Money Maker
1.900.329.2300
\$10 per call

Daily Money Maker

Premium Winner
1.900.263.7300
\$50 per call

Mike's Strongest plays

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris #24
Las Vegas, NV 89102

L.V.S.S.

Las Vegas Sports Services

L.V.S.S. TV Play's Line
1.900.678.0081
\$12 per call

Great Action, Big Specials
Fantastic Results!

Best Bet
1.900.448.5877
\$50 per call

When you need that ONE
big winner you can count on!

Must be 18+ 3140 S. Polaris #24
Las Vegas, NV 89102

BAYLOR BEARS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-9	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-9
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
California	22-70	+ 6	L	N	Arkansas St. †	24-3	- 7-	W	N
Samford †	50-12	—	-	N	New Mexico †	16-13*	+ 4	W	N
New Mexico †	0-23	+ 9-	L	N	Iowa St.	0-41	+14-	L	N
Tulsa †	37-25	-11-	W	N	Texas A&M	10-16	+25-	W	N
Kansas	35-32	- 4-	L	N	Nebraska	7-48	+33	L	N
Texas A&M	0-41	+ 21	L	N	Oklahoma	17-33	+35-	W	N
Colorado	0-34	+28	L	N	Texas Tech	19-63	+12-	L	N
Kansas St.	10-44	+31-	L	N	Texas	10-49	+33	L	N
Texas Tech	11-62	+32	L	A	Missouri	24-41	+14-	L	N
Texas	0-41	+40	L	N	Okl. St.	22-38	+ 7	L	N
Oklahoma	9-49	+38	L	N	S. Illinois	56-12	—	-	N
Okl. St.	28-63	+28	L	A					
2001	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-9	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-9
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
California	22-70	+ 6	L	N	Arkansas St. †	24-3	- 7-	W	N
Samford †	50-12	—	-	N	New Mexico †	16-13*	+ 4	W	N
New Mexico †	0-23	+ 9-	L	N	Iowa St.	0-41	+14-	L	N
Tulsa †	37-25	-11-	W	N	Texas A&M	10-16	+25-	W	N
Kansas	35-32	- 4-	L	N	Nebraska	7-48	+33	L	N
Texas A&M	0-41	+ 21	L	N	Oklahoma	17-33	+35-	W	N
Colorado	0-34	+28	L	N	Texas Tech	19-63	+12-	L	N
Kansas St.	10-44	+31-	L	N	Texas	10-49	+33	L	N
Texas Tech	11-62	+32	L	A	Missouri	24-41	+14-	L	N
Texas	0-41	+40	L	N	Okl. St.	22-38	+ 7	L	N
Oklahoma	9-49	+38	L	N	S. Illinois	56-12	—	-	N
Okl. St.	28-63	+28	L	A					
2000	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-9	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-9
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
N. Texas †	20-7	- 6	W	A	Minnesota	9-34	+ 8-	L	N
Minnesota	9-34	+ 8-	L	N	So. Florida †	28-13	—	-	N
So. Florida †	28-13	—	-	N	Iowa St. †	17-31	+14-	W	N
Iowa St. †	17-31	+14-	W	N	Texas Tech †	0-28	+21-	L	A
Texas Tech †	0-28	+21-	L	A	Texas A&M	0-24	+27-	W	N
Texas A&M	0-24	+27-	W	N	Nebraska	0-59	+45	L	A
Nebraska	0-59	+45	L	A	Texas	14-48	+39-	W	N
Texas	14-48	+39-	W	N	Oklahoma	7-56	+39	L	N
Oklahoma	7-56	+39	L	N	Missouri	22-47	+12-	L	N
Missouri	22-47	+12-	L	N	Okl. St.	22-50	+16-	L	A
Okl. St.	22-50	+16-	L	A					
1999	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-9	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-9
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Bost. Coll.	29-30*	+ 4	W	A	UNLV †	24-27	-10-	L	N
UNLV †	24-27	-10-	L	N	Oklahoma	10-41	+12-	L	N
Oklahoma	10-41	+12-	L	N	Texas †	0-62	-16	L	N
Texas †	0-62	-16	L	N	N. Texas †	23-10	-11-	W	N
N. Texas †	23-10	-11-	W	N	Texas A&M	13-45	+30	L	N
Texas A&M	13-45	+30	L	N	Texas Tech †	7-35	+ 8-	L	N
Texas Tech †	7-35	+ 8-	L	N	Kansas St.	7-48	+38	L	A
Kansas St.	7-48	+38	L	A	Kansas	10-45	+14-	L	A
Kansas	10-45	+14-	L	A	Colorado	0-37	+21-	L	N
Colorado	0-37	+21-	L	N	Okl. St.	14-34	+20	P	N
Okl. St.	14-34	+20	P	N					
1998	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-9	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-9
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Oregon St.	17-27	+ 7	L	A	N.C. State	33-30	+ 3-	W	N
N.C. State	33-30	+ 3-	W	N	Colorado †	16-18	+16	W	N
Colorado †	16-18	+16	W	N	Texas Tech †	29-31	+12-	W	A
Texas Tech †	29-31	+12-	W	A	Kansas †	31-24	- 5-	W	N
Kansas †	31-24	- 5-	W	N	Texas A&M †	14-35	+ 7	L	N
Texas A&M †	14-35	+ 7	L	N	Texas †	20-30	+17-	W	N
Texas †	20-30	+17-	W	N	Notre Dame	3-27	+14	L	N
Notre Dame	3-27	+14	L	N	Kansas St.	6-49	+30	L	N
Kansas St.	6-49	+30	L	N	Oklahoma	16-28	- 4	L	N
Oklahoma	16-28	- 4	L	N	Okl. St.	10-24	+15	W	A
Okl. St.	10-24	+15	W	A					
1997	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Miami-Fla	14-45	+15-	L	A	La. Tech †	24-16	-20	L	N
Fresno St. †	37-35	+ 1	W	N	Miss. St. †	21-30	- 6-	L	A
Michigan	3-38	+26	L	N	N.C. State	14-0	+ 1-	W	N
Texas Tech †	14-35	+ 5	L	A	Texas Tech †	9-7	P	W	A
Nebraska †	21-49	+39	W	A	Houston †	47-7	-17-	W	A
Oklahoma	23-24	+15-	W	N	Texas A&M	9-24	+ 2	L	A
Iowa St.	17-24	- 3-	L	N	TCU	27-24	-13	L	A
Texas	23-21	+ 9	W	A	Miami-Fla	14-35	+ 5-	L	N
Texas A&M	10-38	+21	L	N	SMU	48-7	-16	W	N
Missouri	24-42	+16-	L	N	Rice	34-6	-15-	W	A
Okl. St.	14-24	+ 9-	L	A	Texas †	13-21	+ 4	L	A
1996	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
La. Tech †	24-16	-20	L	N	Louisville	14-13	+ 1	W	A
Miss. St. †	21-30	- 6-	L	A	Oregon St.	42-10	-17-	W	A
N.C. State	14-0	+ 1-	W	N	Texas Tech †	24-45	+ 7	L	A
Texas Tech †	9-7	P	W	A	Nebraska	0-49	+38	L	A
Houston †	47-7	-17-	W	A	Oklahoma †	24-28	- 6-	L	A
Texas A&M	9-24	+ 2	L	A	Iowa St.	49-21	- 7	W	A
TCU	27-24	-13	L	A	Texas	23-28	+12	W	N
Miami-Fla	14-35	+ 5-	L	N	Texas A&M	7-24	+ 5	L	A
SMU	48-7	-16	W	N	Missouri	42-49*	-10	L	A
Rice	34-6	-15-	W	A	Okl. St.	17-37	- 2-	L	A
Texas †	13-21	+ 4	L	A					
1995	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Tulsa †	37-5	- 9	W	A	La. Tech †	44-3	-18	W	A
Miss. St. †	21-30	- 6-	L	A	San Jose St. †	54-20	-16	W	N
N.C. State	14-0	+ 1-	W	N	Okl. St. †	14-10	-12	L	A
Texas Tech †	9-7	P	W	A	USC †	27-37	+13	W	N
Houston †	47-7	-17-	W	A	TCU	42-18	- 2-	W	N
Texas A&M	9-24	+ 2	L	A	SMU	44-10	-14-	W	A
TCU	27-24	-13	L	A	Texas A&M	21-41	+10-	L	A
Miami-Fla	14-35	+ 5-	L	N	Texas Tech	7-38	P	L	A
SMU	48-7	-16	W	N	Houston	52-13	-25-	W	A
Rice	34-6	-15-	W	A	Rice	19-14	- 3	W	A
Texas †	13-21	+ 4	L	A	Texas	35-63	- 3	L	A
1994	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
La. Tech †	44-3	-18	W	A	Fresno St. †	42-39	P	W	A
San Jose St. †	54-20	-16	W	N	Colorado	21-45	+14-	L	A
Okl. St. †	14-10	-12	L	A	Utah St.	28-24	- 5-	L	N
USC †	27-37	+13	W	N	Texas Tech	28-26	- 3	L	A
TCU	42-18	- 2-	W	N	Houston	3-24	- 5-	L	A
SMU	44-10	-14-	W	A	SMU	31-12	- 5-	W	A
Texas A&M	21-41	+10-	L	A	Texas A&M	17-34	+15	L	A
Texas Tech	7-38	P	L	A	TCU	13-38	-14	L	A
Houston	52-13	-25-	W	A	Ga. Tech	27-37	+10-	W	A
Rice	19-14	- 3	W	A	Rice	38-14	- 2-	W	A
Texas	35-63	- 3	L	A	Texas	17-38	+ 6-	L	A
1993	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Fresno St. †	42-39	P	W	A	La. Tech †	44-3	-18	W	A
Colorado	21-45	+14-	L	A	San Jose St. †	54-20	-16	W	N
Utah St.	28-24	- 5-	L	N	Okl. St. †	14-10	-12	L	A
Texas Tech	28-26	- 3	L	A	USC †	27-37	+13	W	N
Houston	3-24	- 5-	L	A	TCU	42-18	- 2-	W	N
SMU	31-12	- 5-	W	A	SMU	44-10	-14-	W	A
Texas A&M	17-34	+15	L	A	Texas A&M	21-41	+10-	L	A
TCU	13-38	-14	L	A	Texas Tech	7-38	P	L	A
Ga. Tech	27-37	+10-	W	A	Houston	52-13	-25-	W	A
Rice	38-14	- 2-	W	A	Rice	19-14	- 3	W	A
Texas	17-38	+ 6-	L	A	Texas	35-63	- 3	L	A
1992	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Fresno St. †	42-39	P	W	A	La. Tech †	44-3	-18	W	A
Colorado	21-45	+14-	L	A	San Jose St. †	54-20	-16	W	N
Utah St.	28-24	- 5-	L	N	Okl. St. †	14-10	-12	L	A
Texas Tech	28-26	- 3	L	A	USC †	27-37	+13	W	N
Houston	3-24	- 5-	L	A	TCU	42-18	- 2-	W	N
SMU	31-12	- 5-	W	A	SMU	44-10	-14-	W	A
Texas A&M	17-34	+15	L	A	Texas A&M	21-41	+10-	L	A
TCU	13-38	-14	L	A	Texas Tech	7-38	P	L	A
Ga. Tech	27-37	+10-	W	A	Houston	52-13	-25-	W	A
Rice	38-14	- 2-	W	A	Rice	19-14	- 3	W	A
Texas	17-38	+ 6-	L	A	Texas	35-63	- 3	L	A
1991	overall			str.up			vs.spr.		
	home	away	as fav	as dog	0-1	1-4	2-3	4-7	0-6
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Fresno St. †	42-39	P	W	A	La. Tech †	44-3	-18	W	A
Colorado	21-45	+14-	L	A	San Jose St. †	54-20	-16	W	N
Utah St.	28-24	- 5-	L	N	Okl. St. †	14-10	-12	L	A
Texas Tech	28-26	- 3	L	A	USC †	27-37	+13	W	N
Houston	3-24	- 5-	L	A	TCU	42-18	- 2-	W	N
SMU	31-12	- 5-	W	A	SMU	44-10	-14-	W	A</

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	1-10	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	0-9	0-9	—	0-1	0-1	0-7	58
HOME	3-3	1-4	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	0-3	57
AWAY	0-6	0-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	0-6	—	0-1	0-1	0-4	59
NAT.	3-7	1-8	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	0-7	0-7	—	0-1	0-1	0-5	53
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	82
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	6-17	5-16	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-17	3-15	—	1-2	0-1	2-12	54
HOME	6-7	3-8	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-7	1-7	—	1-1	—	0-6	55
AWAY	0-10	2-8	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-10	2-8	—	0-1	0-1	2-6	53
NAT.	6-15	5-14	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-15	3-13	—	1-2	0-1	2-10	52
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	82
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	8-26	9-22	4-0	3-1	—	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-26	6-21	—	1-2	0-2	5-17	52
HOME	7-12	5-11	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-12	3-10	—	1-1	0-1	2-8	53
AWAY	1-14	4-11	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-14	3-11	—	0-1	0-1	3-9	52
NAT.	7-21	8-17	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-21	6-16	—	1-2	0-2	5-12	51
ART.	1-5	1-5	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-5	0-5	—	—	—	0-5	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	11-45	17-35-1	6-2	5-3	—	2-2	1-0	2-1	2-43	12-32-1	—	3-4	0-3	9-25-1	51
HOME	10-20	8-18-1	5-2	4-3	—	1-2	1-0	2-1	2-18	4-15-1	—	2-2	0-2	2-11-1	51
AWAY	1-25	9-17	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-25	8-17	—	1-2	0-1	7-14	50
NAT.	10-33	12-27-1	5-2	4-3	—	1-2	1-0	2-1	2-31	8-24-1	—	2-3	0-3	6-18-1	50
ART.	1-12	5-8	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-12	4-8	—	1-1	—	3-7	53
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	36-76	42-56-1	25-10	19-16	3-3	4-7	2-1	10-5	6-65	21-49-1	3-1	3-11	1-4	14-33-1	50
HOME	23-32	19-32-1	15-7	11-11	1-2	2-4	1-1	7-4	3-25	6-21-1	0-1	2-4	1-3	3-13-1	52
AWAY	12-43	23-32	9-3	8-4	2-1	2-3	1-0	3-0	3-39	15-27	3-0	1-6	0-1	11-20	50
NAT.	17-41	20-34-1	10-3	7-6	1-0	1-4	1-0	4-2	4-38	13-28-1	2-0	2-4	0-3	9-21-1	50
ART.	19-35	22-32	15-7	12-10	2-3	3-3	1-1	6-3	2-27	8-21	1-1	1-7	1-1	5-12	50

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.
overall		12-1	10-3	overall		8-4	8-4	overall		10-2	8-2	overall		10-3	8-3	overall		6-5	4-4
home		7-0	5-2	home		5-1	4-2	home		6-0	4-0	home		8-0	6-0	home		4-3	2-2
away		5-1	5-1	away		3-3	4-2	away		4-2	4-2	away		2-3	2-3	away		2-2	2-2
as fav		12-0	10-2	as fav		6-2	5-3	as fav		8-1	7-2	as fav		2-2	2-2	as fav		1-1	1-1
as dog		0-1	0-1	as dog		2-2	3-1	as dog		0-1	1-0	as dog		6-1	6-1	as dog		2-4	3-3
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Idaho †	38-21	-23	L	A	So. Carolina †	13-32	+19-	W	N	New Mexico †	31-14	- 1	W	N	UCLA †	7-38	+27	L	N
Arkansas †	14-41	+ 8	L	N	Wash St. †	20-41	+ 6	L	A	N. Iowa †	42-17	—	-	A	S. Utah	35-27	—	-	A
Wyoming	35-13	- 6	W	N	UTEP †	42-17	- 6	W	A	Arkansas †	31-38	+13-	W	N	Hawaii †	19-34	- 7	L	A
Utah St. †	63-38	-21	W	A	Idaho †	45-13	-11-	W	A	at Little Rock					New Mexico †	20-9	+ 3	W	A
Hawaii †	58-31	- 5	W	A	Rice †	14-45	- 4	L	A	C. Mich.	47-10	-10-	W	A	Utah †	26-20	+16-	W	A
Tulsa †	52-24	-24	W	A	Tulsa †	41-10	-12-	W	A	Wash St.	35-42	- 2-	L	A	E. Wash.	41-7	—	-	A
Fresno St. †	67-21	- 7	W	A	Fresno St. †	35-30	+16-	W	N	E. Wash.	41-23	—	-	A	N. Texas	10-17	- 7	L	A
San Jose St.	45-8	-16-	W	N	Nevada †	49-7	-22	W	A	N. Texas	59-0	-27	W	A	Nevada	52-17	+ 1-	W	A
UTEP †	58-3	-33	W	A	La. Tech	42-48	- 1	L	N	N. Mex. St †	34-31	- 8-	L	N	Utah St.	33-27	+ 3	W	N
Rice	49-7	-27-	W	A	Hawaii †	28-21	+ 3-	W	A	Arkansas St.	42-14	-14	W	N	Arkansas St.	63-10	-10	W	A
La. Tech	36-10	-28	L	A	San Jose St.	56-6	-18	W	A	Utah St.	66-38	-16	W	A	N. Mex. St	45-26	- 7-	W	A
Nevada	44-7	-18-	W	A	C. Mich.	26-10	-24	L	A	Idaho	66-24	-14-	W	A	Idaho	45-14	+ 2-	W	A
Humanitarian Bowl					Humanitarian Bowl					Humanitarian Bowl					Humanitarian Bowl				
Iowa St.	34-16	-12	W	A	UTEP	38-23	- 9	W	A	Louisville	34-31	+ 1	W	A					

1997	str.up vs.spr.			1996	str.up vs.spr.			1995	str.up vs.spr.			1994	str.up vs.spr.			1993	str.up vs.spr.														
	overall	4-7	7-2		overall	2-10	2-6		overall	7-4	—		overall	13-2	—		overall	3-8	—												
	home	2-3	3-0		home	1-6	0-4		home	5-2	—		home	10-0	—		home	3-4	—												
	away	2-4	4-2		away	1-4	2-2		away	2-2	—		away	3-2	—		away	0-4	—												
	as fav	1-0	1-0		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—												
as dog	2-6	6-2	as dog	1-7	2-6	as dog	—	—	as dog	—	—	as dog	—	—																	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf																
CS Northrdg.	†23-63	—	-	A	C. Mich.	†	21-42	+ 1	L	A	Utah St.	†	38-14	—	-	N	La-Monroe	†	36-26	—	-	A	R. Island	†	31-10	—	-	A			
Wisconsin	24-28	+40	W	A	Port. St.	†	33-22	—	-	A	Sam Hous.	†	38-14	—	-	A	CS Northrdg.	†40-19	—	-	A	Nevada	†	10-38	—	-	N				
C. Mich.	26-44	+16	-	L	A	E. Wash.	†	21-27	—	-	A	Montana	28-54	—	-	N	Nevada	†	37-27	—	-	A	La-Monroe	†	27-13	—	-	A			
Weber St.	†	24-7	—	-	A	Hawaii	†	14-20	+ 6	-	W	A	N'western St.	†	17-22	—	-	A	Liberty	†	35-7	—	-	A	SF Austin St.	†	7-30	—	-	A	
Wash St.	0-58	+39	-	L	A	N'western St.	†	16-20	—	-	A	N. Arizona	†	13-32	—	-	A	N. Arizona	†	28-16	—	-	A	Montana	†	24-38	—	-	N		
N. Mex. St.	†	52-10	-	3	W	A	Arizona St.	†	7-56	+39	-	L	N	Weber St.	†	40-14	—	-	N	Weber St.	†	24-17	—	-	A	N. Arizona	†	9-23	—	-	A
N. Texas	†	17-14	+11	W	A	Nevada	28-66	—	-	N	Idaho St.	†	27-17	—	-	A	Idaho St.	†	31-32	—	-	A	Idaho St.	†	14-21	—	-	N			
La. Tech	27-31	+13	-	W	A	Utah St.	†	14-39	+ 9	-	L	A	Port. St.	†	49-14	—	-	A	Montana St.	38-14	—	-	N	Idaho St.	†	34-27	—	-	A		
Utah St.	20-24	+24	-	W	N	Fresno St.	†	7-41	+19	-	L	N	E. Wash.	63-44	—	-	A	Montana	38-14	—	-	A	Montana St.	21-42	—	-	A				
Nevada	42-56	+15	-	W	A	N. Texas	27-30	+ 2	-	L	A	Montana St.	35-7	—	-	A	E. Wash.	16-13	—	-	A	E. Wash.	17-28	—	-	A					
Idaho	30-23*	+10	-	W	A	N. Mex. St.	33-32	+ 3	-	W	N	Idaho	13-33	—	-	A	Idaho	27-24	—	-	A	Idaho	16-49	—	-	A					
					Idaho	19-64	+10	-	L	A							N. Texas	24-20	—	-	A										
																	Appel. St.	17-14	—	-	A										
																	Marshall	28-24	—	-	A										
																	Youngstown	14-28	—	-	A										

STATISTICS SUMMARY	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD	AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD	ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV/GAME
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	12-1	10-3	12-0	10-2	0-1	0-1	64
HOME	7-0	5-2	7-0	5-2	—	—	69
AWAY	5-1	5-1	5-0	5-0	—	—	57
NAT.	2-1	2-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	52
ART.	10-0	8-2	10-0	8-2	—	—	67
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	20-5	18-7	18-2	15-5	2-3	3-2	60
HOME	12-1	9-4	12-0	9-3	0-1	0-1	62
AWAY	8-4	9-3	6-2	6-2	0-1	1-0	59
NAT.	3-3	4-2	2-1	2-1	0-1	0-1	59
ART.	17-2	14-5	16-1	13-4	1-1	1-1	61
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	30-7	26-9	26-3	22-7	2-4	4-2	62
HOME	18-1	13-4	16-0	13-3	0-1	0-1	65
AWAY	12-6	13-5	10-3	9-4	0-1	1-0	59
NAT.	6-4	7-3	5-1	4-2	1-1	1-0	59
ART.	24-3	19-6	21-2	18-5	1-1	1-1	64
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	46-15	38-16	29-6	25-10	10-9	13-6	60
HOME	30-4	21-6	19-1	16-4	4-3	5-2	60
AWAY	16-11	17-10	10-5	9-6	6-6	8-4	61
NAT.	9-7	10-6	5-1	4-2	4-6	6-4	64
ART.	37-8	28-10	24-5	21-8	6-3	7-2	59
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	75-46	47-24	30-6	26-10	13-22	21-14	57
HOME	51-19	24-10	20-1	17-4	4-9	7-6	57
AWAY	24-27	23-14	10-5	9-6	9-13	14-8	57
NAT.	13-15	12-8	5-1	4-2	5-9	8-6	61
ART.	62-31	35-16	25-5	22-8	8-13	13-8	56

BOSTON COLLEGE EAGLES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-4 6-1 2-3 8-0 1-4	vs.spr. 8-5 4-3 3-2 5-2 3-2	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 5-1 2-3 7-0 1-4	vs.spr. 8-4 5-1 2-3 5-2 3-2	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-5 5-1 1-4 6-0 1-5	vs.spr. 8-4 6-0 1-4 6-0 2-4	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-1 4-2 4-1 3-3	vs.spr. 6-4-1 3-1 3-2-1 2-3 4-1-1	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-4 2-3 2-3 1-5	vs.spr. 5-6 3-3 2-3 3-2 2-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Connecticut †	24-16	-32	L A	W. Virginia	34-10	-4	W A	W. Virginia	14-34	+2	L A	Baylor	30-29*	-4	L A	Ga. Tech	41-31	+13	W N
Stanford	34-27	-10	L A	Stanford †	22-38	+5	L N	Army	55-17	-7	W A	Navy	14-10	-7	L N	Rutgers	41-14	-22	W A
@ Miami-Fla †	6-38	+26	L N	Navy	38-21	-18	L N	Navy	48-7	-18	W A	Rutgers	27-7	-7	W N	Temple	31-7	-17	W A
C. Mich.	43-0	-20	W A	Army	31-10	-24	L A	Va. Tech	34-48	+14	W A	N'eastern	33-22	-	A	@ Louisville	28-52	-6	L N
Va. Tech †	23-28	+9	W A	Temple	33-10	-12	W A	Connecticut	55-3	-28	W A	@ Temple	14-24	-14	L A	Va. Tech †	0-17	+7	L A
Navy	46-21	-29	L A	@ Va. Tech †	20-34	+20	W N	Syracuse	20-13	-1	W A	Pittsburgh †	20-16	+3	W A	Syracuse	25-42	+13	L A
@ Pittsburgh	16-19*	+5	W N	Pittsburgh	45-7	-12	W A	@ Pittsburgh	26-42	+3	L A	Miami-Fla	28-31	+18	W A	Navy	31-32	-14	L A
@ Notre Dame	14-7	+9	W N	Notre Dame †	21-17	-3	W A	Rutgers	42-13	-22	W A	@ Syracuse	24-23	+19	W A	@ Miami-Fla	17-35	+14	L N
@ W. Virginia	14-24	+3	L A	Miami-Fla	7-18	+20	W A	Temple	31-3	-10	W A	W. Virginia	34-17	-4	W A	Notre Dame	26-31	+11	W A
Syracuse	41-20	-7	W A	@ Rutgers	38-7	-30	W N	@ Notre Dame	16-28	+9	L N	@ Notre Dame	31-29	+8	W N	@ Pittsburgh	23-15	-2	W A
@ Temple	36-14	-8	W A	@ Syracuse	28-39	+3	L A	@ Miami-Fla	6-52	+27	L N	@ Va. Tech	14-38	+24	P N	@ W. Virginia	10-35	+13	L A
Rutgers	44-14	-28	W A	Music City Bowl at Nashville				Aloha Bowl at Honolulu				Insight.com Bowl at Tucson							
Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, Mi				§ Georgia	20-16	+4	W N	§ Arizona St.	31-17	+3	W A	§ Colorado	28-62	+7	L N				
§ Toledo †	51-25	-4	W A																

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-3 1-4 3-1 1-6	vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 0-4 0-3	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 3-3 2-4 4-1 1-6	vs.spr. 4-8 1-5 3-3 1-4 3-4	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-8 1-4 3-3 3-3 1-5	vs.spr. 5-7 2-3 2-3 2-4 3-3	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4-1 3-1-1 3-3 5-2-1 2-2	vs.spr. 7-5 2-3 4-2 4-4 3-1	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 4-2 4-1 7-2 2-1	vs.spr. 9-3 4-2 4-1 7-2 2-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Temple	21-28	-12	L A	@ Hawaii	24-21	-13	L A	§ Ohio State at E. Ruth.	6-38	+4	L A	@ Michigan	26-34	+16	W N	Miami-Fla	7-23	+7	L A
W. Virginia	31-24	+7	W A	Va. Tech	7-45	+2	L A	@ Va. Tech †	20-14	+2	W N	Va. Tech	7-12	-2	L A	@ N'western	21-22	-7	L A
@ Rutgers	35-21	-14	L N	@ Michigan	14-20	+22	W N	Michigan †	13-23	+5	L A	@ Pittsburgh	21-9	-2	W A	Temple	66-14	-31	W A
Cincinnati †	6-24	+2	L A	Navy	43-38	-6	L A	@ Mich. St.	21-25	-4	L A	Notre Dame	30-11	+10	W A	@ Syracuse	33-29	+7	W A
Ga. Tech	14-42	+5	L A	@ W. Virginia	17-34	+13	L A	Pittsburgh	17-0	-10	W A	Temple	45-28	-27	L A	@ Rutgers at E. Ruth.	31-21	-2	W A
@ Va. Tech	7-17	+18	W N	@ Cincinnati	24-17	+2	W A	W. Virginia	19-31	-6	L A	Rutgers	7-7	-12	L A	Army	41-14	-21	W A
Miami-Fla	44-45*	+6	W A	Rutgers	37-13	-14	W A	Army	7-49	-13	L A	@ Army	30-3	-20	W A	Tulane	42-14	-27	W A
@ Notre Dame	20-52	+14	L N	Syracuse	17-45	+13	L A	@ Notre Dame	10-20	+19	W N	@ Louisville †	35-14	-5	W A	Va. Tech	48-34	-2	W A
Pittsburgh	22-21	-4	L A	@ Pittsburgh †	13-20	-11	L A	@ Temple	10-9	-11	L A	Syracuse	31-0	-7	W A	@ Pittsburgh	33-0	-16	W A
@ Syracuse	13-20	+27	W A	Notre Dame	21-48	+23	L A	Miami-Fla	14-17	+7	W A	@ W. Virginia	20-21	-8	L A	@ Notre Dame	41-39	+15	W N
Army	24-20	-13	L A	Temple	21-20	-9	L A	@ Syracuse	29-58	+8	L A	@ Miami-Fla †	7-23	+13	L N	W. Virginia	14-17	-1	L A
				@ Miami-Fla	26-43	+21	W N	@ Rutgers	41-38	-2	W N	Aloha Bowl at Honolulu				Carquest Bowl at Miami			
												§ Kansas St.	12-7	+1	W A	§ Virginia	31-13	-6	W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-4	8-5	8-0	5-3	—	2-0	1-0	2-3	1-4	3-2	—	1-1	2-0	0-1	49
HOME	6-1	4-3	6-0	3-3	—	1-0	—	2-3	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	54
AWAY	2-3	3-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-3	2-2	—	1-1	1-0	0-1	37
NAT.	1-2	2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	33
ART.	8-2	6-4	8-0	5-3	—	2-0	1-0	2-3	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	54
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-8	16-9	15-0	10-5	—	4-0	1-0	5-5	2-8	6-4	—	2-3	2-0	2-1	48
HOME	11-2	9-4	11-0	7-4	—	3-0	—	4-4	0-2	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	48
AWAY	4-6	5-5	3-0	2-1	—	—	1-0	1-1	1-6	3-4	—	1-3	1-0	1-1	47
NAT.	4-4	5-3	2-0	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	2-4	4-2	—	2-1	1-0	1-1	44
ART.	13-4	11-6	13-0	9-4	—	4-0	1-0	4-4	0-4	2-2	—	0-2	1-0	1-0	50
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-13	24-13	21-0	16-5	1-0	5-0	2-0	8-5	3-13	8-8	1-1	2-4	2-1	3-2	50
HOME	16-3	15-4	16-0	12-4	1-0	3-0	1-0	7-4	0-3	3-0	—	—	1-0	2-0	49
AWAY	5-10	6-9	4-0	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-10	3-8	0-1	1-4	1-1	1-2	50
NAT.	4-6	5-5	2-0	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	2-6	4-4	—	2-1	1-1	1-2	45
ART.	20-7	19-8	19-0	15-4	1-0	5-0	2-0	7-4	1-7	4-4	1-1	0-3	1-0	2-0	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	36-24	35-23-1	28-3	21-10	2-0	7-3	2-0	10-7	7-21	14-13-1	1-1	3-5	3-2	7-5-1	50
HOME	22-8	21-8	20-1	15-6	1-0	4-1	1-0	9-5	1-7	6-2	—	1-1	1-0	4-1	49
AWAY	11-15	11-14-1	7-2	5-4	1-0	2-2	1-0	1-2	4-13	6-10-1	0-1	1-4	2-1	3-4-1	50
NAT.	8-10	8-9-1	4-1	2-3	—	1-2	—	1-1	4-9	6-6-1	—	2-1	2-2	2-3-1	51
ART.	28-14	27-14	24-2	19-7	2-0	6-1	2-0	9-6	3-12	8-7	1-1	1-4	1-0	5-2	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	65-53-1	64-53-1	50-12-1	35-28	6-2	10-7	3-3	16-16	14-41	29-25-1	4-3	5-9	5-3	15-10-1	49
HOME	36-21-1	32-25	32-5-1	22-16	2-2	5-4	2-1	13-9	3-16	10-9	0-2	3-4	2-0	5-3	49
AWAY	24-30	27-26-1	16-7	11-12	4-0	3-3	1-2	3-7	8-23	16-14-1	2-1	1-4	3-2	10-7-1	48
NAT.	13-17	17-12-1	7-1	4-4	1-0	2-2	—	1-2	6-16	13-8-1	1-0	2-1	2-2	8-5-1	51
ART.	52-36-1	47-41	43-11-1	31-24	5-2	8-5	3-3	15-14	8-25	16-17	3-3	3-8	3-1	7-5	48

BOWLING GREEN *FALCONS*

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 6-0 3-3 7-1 1-2	vs.spr. 5-6 3-2 2-4 4-4 1-2		
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-3 4-1 3-3 4-1 4-2	vs.spr. 7-4 3-2 4-2 3-2 4-2		
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-4 1-5 1-1 1-8	vs.spr. 5-6 4-1 1-5 1-1 4-5		
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 4-1 1-5 2-1 2-5	vs.spr. 6-4 3-1 3-3 2-1 4-3		
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 4-1 1-5 3-1 2-5	vs.spr. 8-3 5-0 3-3 3-1 5-2		
2002	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
	Tenn. Tech †	41-7	—	-	N
	Missouri	51-28	+4	W	N
Ⓢ	Kansas †	39-16	-10	W	A
	Ohio U.	72-21	-24	W	N
Ⓢ	C. Mich.	45-35	-16	L	A
	W. Mich. †	48-45*	-17	L	N
	Ball St.	38-20	-20	L	N
Ⓢ	Kent State	45-14	-20	W	A
Ⓢ	N. Illinois	17-26	-3	L	A
Ⓢ	So. Florida †	7-29	+3	L	N
	E. Mich. †	63-21	-34	W	N
Ⓢ	Toledo †	24-42	+2	L	A
2001	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Missouri †	20-13	+13	W	N
	Buffalo †	35-0	-23	W	N
	Temple †	42-23	+1	W	N
Ⓢ	Marshall †	31-37	+5	L	A
	Kent State	24-7	-18	L	N
Ⓢ	W. Mich.	28-37	+7	L	N
Ⓢ	Akron †	16-11	-4	W	A
	Miami-OH	21-24	-4	L	N
Ⓢ	Ohio U.	17-0	-6	W	N
Ⓢ	N'western	43-42	+5	W	N
	Toledo †	56-21	+3	W	N
2000	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Michigan	7-42	+30	L	N
	Pittsburgh	16-34	+6	L	N
Ⓢ	Temple † at Franklin Field	14-31	+10	L	A
Ⓢ	Buffalo †	17-20	-20	L	N
Ⓢ	Kent State †	18-11	+5	W	A
	Akron	21-27	+8	W	N
Ⓢ	Miami-OH	10-24	+13	L	N
	E. Mich. †	20-6	-9	W	N
	Marshall	13-20	+8	W	N
	Ohio U.	21-23	+9	W	N
Ⓢ	Toledo †	17-51	+24	L	A
1999	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Pittsburgh	10-30	+5	L	A
	Tenn. Tech	40-15	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Marshall †	16-35	+22	W	A
Ⓢ	Kent State †	27-41	-16	L	A
	Toledo †	34-23	+2	W	N
	Miami-OH	31-45	+7	L	N
Ⓢ	Akron	25-55	+3	L	A
Ⓢ	Ohio U.	14-17	+6	W	N
	C. Mich.	31-7	-5	W	N
	Ball St.	35-14	-15	W	N
Ⓢ	C. Florida	33-30*	+11	W	N
1998	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Missouri †	0-37	+26	L	N
Ⓢ	Penn State	3-48	+38	L	N
	C. Florida	31-38	+20	W	N
	Ohio U.	35-7	+8	W	N
Ⓢ	Miami-OH	12-24	+14	W	N
Ⓢ	Toledo †	16-24	+9	W	A
	Kent State	42-21	-20	W	N
	Marshall	34-13	+11	W	N
	Akron	58-21	-7	W	N
Ⓢ	W. Mich.	27-56	-2	L	N
Ⓢ	N. Illinois	34-23	-9	W	A
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-2 1-6 2-2 1-6	vs.spr. 4-7 2-2 2-5 1-3 3-4		
1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-2 1-5 3-4 1-2	vs.spr. 3-7 1-4 2-3 1-6 2-1		
1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 2-3 3-3 4-4 1-2	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 3-5 3-2		
1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-2 4-1 5-1 2-0 0-1	vs.spr. 3-0 1-0 2-0 2-0 1-0		
1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-3-2 4-0-1 2-3-1 4-1 0-1	vs.spr. 1-2 1-0 0-2 1-1 0-1		
1997	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	La. Tech †	23-30	+8	W	N
	Miami-OH	28-21	+6	W	N
Ⓢ	Ohio State	13-44	+39	W	N
Ⓢ	Akron †	31-28	-8	L	A
Ⓢ	Kansas St.	0-58	+27	L	A
	N. Illinois	35-10	-14	W	N
	W. Mich.	21-34	-4	L	N
Ⓢ	Ohio U.	0-24	+19	L	N
	Toledo	20-35	+10	L	N
Ⓢ	Kent State	20-29	-3	L	A
Ⓢ	Marshall	0-28	+23	L	A
1996	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Alabama at Birm.	7-21	+25	W	N
	Temple	20-16	-3	W	N
Ⓢ	Miami-OH	14-10	+11	W	N
	C. Mich.	31-27	-6	L	N
Ⓢ	Toledo †	16-24	+2	L	A
	Kent State	31-24	-22	L	N
	Ball St.	11-16	-1	L	N
Ⓢ	Ohio U.	0-38	-1	L	A
Ⓢ	Akron	14-21	-5	L	N
	W. Mich.	13-16*	-7	L	N
Ⓢ	C. Florida	19-27	—	-	N
1995	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
La. Tech †	21-28	-15	-	L	N
Ⓢ	Missouri	17-10	+4	W	N
	Akron	50-12	-27	W	N
Ⓢ	C. Mich.	16-22	-7	L	A
Ⓢ	Temple †	37-31	-4	W	A
	Miami-OH	0-21	-5	L	N
Ⓢ	Ball St.	10-30	-1	L	N
	Toledo	16-35	+1	L	N
Ⓢ	W. Mich.	0-17	+5	L	N
	Ohio U.	33-7	-14	W	N
Ⓢ	Kent State	26-15	-14	L	N
1994	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	N.C. State †	15-20	+13	W	N
Ⓢ	Akron †	45-0	—	-	A
	Navy	59-21	-19	W	N
Ⓢ	E. Mich.	30-13	—	-	A
Ⓢ	Cincinnati †	38-0	-4	W	A
	Ohio U.	32-0	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Toledo †	31-16	—	-	A
	Ball St.	59-36	—	-	N
	Miami-OH	27-16	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Kent State	22-16	—	-	N
	C. Mich.	33-36	—	-	N
1993	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ⓢ	Va. Tech	16-33	+13	L	N
	Cincinnati	21-7	-1	W	N
Ⓢ	Navy	20-27	-4	L	N
	Toledo	17-10	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Ohio U.	20-0	—	-	N
	Akron	49-7	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Ball St.	26-26	—	-	N
Ⓢ	Miami-OH	30-25	—	-	N
	Kent State	40-7	—	-	N
Ⓢ	C. Mich.	15-17	—	-	A
	W. Mich.	14-14	—	-	N

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-2 1-6 2-2 1-6	vs.spr. 4-7 2-2 2-5 1-3 3-4	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-2 1-5 3-4 1-2	vs.spr. 3-7 1-4 2-3 1-6 2-1	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 2-3 4-4 1-2 1-2	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 3-5 1-2	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-2 4-1 5-1 2-0 0-1	vs.spr. 3-0 1-0 2-0 2-0 1-0	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-3 4-0 2-3 1-1 0-1	vs.spr. 1-2 1-0 0-2 1-1 0-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
La. Tech †	23-30	+8	W	N	Alabama	7-21	+25	W	N	La. Tech †	21-28	-15	L	N	N.C. State †	15-20	+13	W	N
Miami-OH	28-21	+6	W	N	Temple	20-16	-3	W	N	Missouri	17-10	+4	W	N	Akron †	45-0	—	-	A
Ohio State	13-44	+39	W	N	Miami-OH	14-10	+11	W	N	Akron	50-12	-27	W	N	Navy	59-21	-19	W	N
Akron †	31-28	-8	L	A	C. Mich.	31-27	-6	L	N	C. Mich.	16-22	-7	L	A	E. Mich.	30-13	—	-	A
Kansas St.	0-58	+27	L	A	Toledo †	16-24	+2	L	A	Temple †	37-31	-4	W	A	Cincinnati †	38-0	-4	W	A
N. Illinois	35-10	-14	W	N	Kent State	31-24	-22	L	N	Miami-OH	0-21	-5	L	N	Ohio U.	32-0	—	-	N
W. Mich.	21-34	-4	L	N	Ball St.	11-16	-1	L	N	Ball St.	10-30	-1	L	N	Toledo †	31-16	—	-	A
Ohio U.	0-24	+19	L	N	Ohio U.	0-38	-1	L	N	Toledo	16-35	+1	L	N	Ball St.	59-36	—	-	N
Toledo	20-35	+10	L	N	Akron	14-21	-5	L	A	W. Mich.	0-17	+5	L	N	Miami-OH	27-16	—	-	N
Kent State	20-29	-3	L	A	W. Mich.	13-16*	-7	L	N	Ohio U.	33-7	-14	W	N	Kent State	22-16	—	-	N
Marshall	0-28	+23	L	A	C. Florida	19-27	—	-	N	Kent State	26-15	-14	L	N	C. Mich.	33-36	—	-	N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD	AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD	AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD	ACTUAL TOTAL PTS									
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	AVG/GAME
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-3	5-6	7-1	4-4	0-1	—	—	4-3	1-2	1-2	0-2	1-0	—	—	66
HOME	6-0	3-2	4-0	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	75
AWAY	3-3	2-4	3-1	2-2	0-1	—	—	2-1	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	56
NAT.	6-1	3-3	4-0	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	70
ART.	3-2	2-3	3-1	2-2	0-1	—	—	2-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-6	12-10	11-2	7-6	0-1	2-1	—	5-4	5-4	5-4	2-2	2-2	—	1-0	58
HOME	10-1	6-4	6-1	3-4	—	0-1	—	3-3	3-0	3-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	64
AWAY	7-5	6-6	5-1	4-2	0-1	2-0	—	2-1	2-4	2-4	0-2	1-2	—	1-0	52
NAT.	13-3	9-6	7-1	4-4	—	1-1	—	3-3	5-2	5-2	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	59
ART.	4-3	3-4	4-1	3-2	0-1	1-0	—	2-1	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	56
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	19-15	17-16	12-3	8-7	0-1	2-1	1-0	5-5	6-12	9-9	2-2	3-3	3-1	1-3	53
HOME	11-5	10-5	7-1	4-4	—	0-1	1-0	3-3	3-4	6-1	2-0	1-1	3-0	—	56
AWAY	8-10	7-11	5-2	4-3	0-1	2-0	—	2-2	3-8	3-8	0-2	2-2	0-1	1-3	49
NAT.	14-10	13-10	8-2	5-5	—	1-1	1-0	3-4	5-8	8-5	2-1	2-2	3-0	1-2	52
ART.	5-5	4-6	4-1	3-2	0-1	1-0	—	2-1	1-4	1-4	0-1	1-1	0-1	0-1	54
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	29-27	31-23	17-5	13-9	0-2	4-1	2-0	7-6	10-22	18-14	3-2	4-6	5-1	6-5	53
HOME	19-7	18-6	11-1	8-4	—	2-1	1-0	5-3	6-6	10-2	3-0	1-2	4-0	2-0	57
AWAY	10-20	13-17	6-4	5-5	0-2	2-0	1-0	2-3	4-16	8-12	0-2	3-4	1-1	4-5	51
NAT.	23-17	24-14	12-3	9-6	0-1	3-1	1-0	5-4	9-14	15-8	3-1	3-3	4-0	5-4	53
ART.	6-10	7-9	5-2	4-3	0-1	1-0	1-0	2-2	1-8	3-6	0-1	1-3	1-1	1-1	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	56-53-2	46-46	29-16	21-24	1-5	7-8	2-2	11-9	13-34	25-22	3-4	6-7	6-1	10-10	48
HOME	34-15-1	25-15	19-6	14-11	1-1	3-4	1-1	9-5	7-8	11-4	3-1	2-2	4-0	2-1	52
AWAY	22-38-1	21-31	10-10	7-13	0-4	4-4	1-1	2-4	6-26	14-18	0-3	4-5	2-1	8-9	45
NAT.	44-36-2	37-30	21-11	15-17	1-4	4-5	1-1	9-7	12-23	22-13	3-2	5-4	5-0	9-7	48
ART.	12-17	9-16	8-5	6-7	0-1	3-3	1-1	2-2	1-11	3-9	0-2	1-3	1-1	1-3	50

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-11 0-6 1-5 — 1-10	vs.spr. 4-6-1 0-4-1 4-2 — 4-6-1	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Lehigh †	26-37	—	-	N
@ Rutgers †	34-11	+ 8	W	N
Connecticut †	3-24	+ 2	L	N
@ Minnesota	17-41	+31	W	A
@ Ohio U. †	32-34	+ 8	W	N
W. Mich.	17-31	+11-	L	N
@ Marshall	21-66	+31	L	A
Miami-OH	0-49	+16-	L	N
Kent State	12-16	+ 4	P	N
C. Florida	21-45	+18	L	N
@ Akron	10-21	+15-	W	A
@ Ball St.	21-41	+16	L	N

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-4 2-4 — 3-8	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 — 6-5	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Rutgers †	15-31	+15	L	N
@ Bowl. Green †	0-35	+23	L	N
@ Connecticut	37-20	+ 6-	W	N
C. Mich. †	8-16	+ 9-	W	N
@ Miami-OH	14-31	+25-	W	N
Marshall	14-34	+25	W	N
@ Kent State	13-35	+12	L	A
@ E. Mich.	20-24	+ 3	L	A
Ohio U.	44-0	+16	W	N
@ Army	26-19	+15	W	A
Akron	14-41	+ 5	L	N

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-3 0-6 — 2-9	vs.spr. 7-4 5-0 2-4 — 7-4	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Syracuse †	7-63	+43	L	A
@ Rutgers †	0-59	+24-	L	N
Connecticut †	21-24	+14-	W	N
Bowl. Green †	20-17	+20-	W	N
@ Marshall †	14-47	+43-	W	A
@ Ohio U. †	20-42	+31	W	N
Ball St.	35-44	+10-	W	N
@ N. Illinois	10-73	+35	L	A
Kent State	20-17*	+ 7	W	N
@ Akron †	14-49	+28-	L	A
Miami-OH	16-17	+21-	W	N

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-11 0-5 0-6 — 0-9	vs.spr. 3-6 1-3 2-3 — 3-6	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Akron †	10-17	+20-	W	N
@ Connecticut	0-23	—	-	N
@ Ohio U. †	6-45	+23	L	N
N. Illinois †	21-45	+16	L	N
C. Mich.	19-38	+17	L	N
@ W. Mich. †	17-45	+33	W	N
Marshall	3-59	+43	L	N
@ Kent State	20-41	+14	L	A
Hofstra	13-20	—	-	N
@ Virginia	21-50	+49	W	N
@ Miami-OH	0-43	+33	L	N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-1 1-6 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Maine †	13-30	—	-	N
Lock Haven †	40-17	—	-	N
@ Lafayette	16-14	—	-	N
@ Mass.	27-51	—	-	N
@ Cornell	31-34	—	-	A
Morgan St.	35-17	—	-	N
Canisius †	47-0	—	-	N
@ Liberty	24-27*	—	-	A
W. Illinois	6-41	—	-	N
@ Villanova	40-65	—	-	A
@ Hofstra	36-44	—	-	A

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-4 0-5 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Lock Haven †	30-7	—	-	N
@ Illinois St. †	28-40	—	-	A
Delaware St.	40-30	—	-	N
@ Ohio U. †	0-50	—	-	N
West Chester	27-30	—	-	N
@ Connecticut	0-55	—	-	N
@ Youngstown	17-52	—	-	A
Mass.	20-26	—	-	N
Hofstra	26-37	—	-	N
Maine	13-52	—	-	N
@ Villanova	28-42	—	-	A

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-3 4-2 4-1 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Illinois St. †	41-35	—	-	N
Connecticut †	3-20	—	-	N
@ Colgate	36-16	—	-	N
@ Lehigh	34-20	—	-	N
Edinboro †	17-7	—	-	N
Cornell	41-24	—	-	N
@ Youngstown	6-17	—	-	N
@ Mass.	20-41	—	-	N
@ Hofstra	14-10	—	-	A
New Haven	24-20	—	-	N
@ Maine	35-31	—	-	N

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-6 2-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Fordham †	49-13	—	-	N
Lafayette	17-24	—	-	N
@ Illinois St. †	19-6	—	-	A
@ Connecticut †	25-26	—	-	N
Villanova †	3-28	—	-	N
Maine †	6-19	—	-	N
Mass.	9-33	—	-	N
@ Hofstra †	14-17	—	-	A
Central St.	7-33	—	-	N
@ Youngstown	9-6	—	-	A
Boston U.	40-54	—	-	N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-4 0-4 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ J. Madison †	0-35	—	-	A
@ Towson St.	16-32	—	-	N
Lehigh †	19-48	—	-	N
Cheyney	36-10	—	-	N
Colgate	23-10	—	-	N
Illinois St. †	7-17	—	-	N
Hofstra †	21-34	—	-	N
§ Maine † at Portland, ME	24-21	—	-	N
Youngstown	3-27	—	-	N
@ W. Illinois	7-49	—	-	N
@ C. Florida	0-48	—	-	N

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 0-6 1-4 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Maine	27-30	—	-	N
New Haven	6-38	—	-	N
Lafayette	15-29	—	-	N
@ Hofstra	20-28	—	-	A
@ Fordham	33-14	—	-	N
Buffalo St.	6-13	—	-	N
Towson St.	14-38	—	-	N
Edinboro	17-28	—	-	N
@ Youngstown	12-38	—	-	A
@ Boston U.	33-61	—	-	A
@ C. Florida	7-42	—	-	N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PT. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	1-11	4-6-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	4-6-1	0-1	0-0-1	2-0	2-5	52
HOME	0-6	0-4-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	0-4-1	0-1	0-0-1	—	0-3	46
AWAY	1-5	4-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	4-2	—	—	2-0	2-2	58
NAT.	1-8	2-5-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-7	2-5-1	0-1	0-0-1	2-0	0-4	50
ART.	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	58
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	10-11-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	4-18	10-11-1	0-2	1-1-1	3-0	6-8	48
HOME	1-10	3-6-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-9	3-6-1	0-1	0-1-1	1-0	2-4	45
AWAY	3-9	7-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-9	7-5	0-1	1-0	2-0	4-4	51
NAT.	3-14	7-8-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-13	7-8-1	0-1	1-1-1	3-0	3-6	47
ART.	1-5	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	3-3	0-1	—	—	3-2	52
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	6-28	17-15-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	6-27	17-15-1	0-2	2-1-1	3-0	12-12	51
HOME	3-13	8-6-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-12	8-6-1	0-1	1-1-1	1-0	6-4	45
AWAY	3-15	9-9	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-15	9-9	0-1	1-0	2-0	6-8	56
NAT.	5-19	13-9-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	5-18	13-9-1	0-1	2-1-1	3-0	8-7	48
ART.	1-9	4-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-9	4-6	0-1	—	—	4-5	59
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	10-46	20-21-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	6-36	20-21-1	0-2	2-1-1	3-0	15-18	52
HOME	6-19	9-9-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-16	9-9-1	0-1	1-1-1	1-0	7-7	47
AWAY	4-27	11-12	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-20	11-12	0-1	1-0	2-0	8-11	57
NAT.	9-32	16-14-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	5-26	16-14-1	0-1	2-1-1	3-0	11-12	49
ART.	1-14	4-7	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	4-7	0-1	—	—	4-6	63
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	27-84	20-21-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	6-36	20-21-1	0-2	2-1-1	3-0	15-18	50
HOME	15-41	9-9-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-16	9-9-1	0-1	1-1-1	1-0	7-7	46
AWAY	11-43	11-12	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-20	11-12	0-1	1-0	2-0	8-11	54
NAT.	23-62	16-14-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	5-26	16-14-1	0-1	2-1-1	3-0	11-12	48
ART.	4-22	4-7	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	4-7	0-1	—	—	4-6	56

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
overall 5-7 4-8 home 4-2 2-4 away 1-5 2-4 as fav 5-3 2-6 as dog 0-4 2-2					overall 12-2 7-7 home 6-0 5-1 away 6-1 2-5 as fav 12-1 7-6 as dog 0-1 0-1					overall 6-6 5-7 home 4-2 2-4 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 4-2 2-4 as dog 2-4 3-3					overall 8-4 5-7 home 4-2 2-4 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 5-3 5-6 as dog 0-1 0-1					overall 9-5 5-6-2 home 6-0 3-2 away 3-3 2-2-2 as fav 6-1 3-3-1 as dog 2-4 2-3-1				
opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf				
Syracuse † 42-21 - 3 W N					Tulane † 70-35 -10- W N					Pigskin Classic at Jacksonville § Florida St. † 3-29 +26- W N					Wash. † 35-28 - 3- W N					@ Alabama † 31-38 + 4- L N				
Hawaii † 35-32 -11- L N					Nevada † 52-7 -25- W N					@ Virginia 38-35* + 6- W N					Colo. St. † 34-13 - 7 W N					Arizona St. † 26-6 + 6- W N				
@ Nevada 28-31 -13 L A					@ California 44-16 - 4 W N					@ Air Force 23-31 - 2 L N					Virginia † 40-45 - 7 L N					@ Wash. 10-20 +10 P A				
@ Ga. Tech 19-28 +10- W N					@ UNLV 35-31 - 7 L N					Miss. St. † 28-44 + 1- L N					@ Utah St. † 34-31* -17 L N					Murray St. 43-9 - - L N				
@ Utah St. † 35-34 -18 L N					Utah St. † 54-34 -19- W N					UNLV 10-7 - 9 L N					California 38-28 -13 L N					@ Fresno St. † 21-31 - 7 L N				
@ Air Force † 9-52 + 4 L N					@ New Mexico 24-20 -14 L N					@ Syracuse † 14-42 + 7- L A					@ New Mexico † 31-7 -16- W N					UNLV 38-14 -32 L N				
UNLV † 3-24 - 9 L N					Air Force 63-33 -11- W N					Utah St. † 38-14 -20- W N					@ UNLV † 29-0 -17- W N					@ Hawaii † 31-9 -22 P A				
@ Colo. St. † 10-37 +12- L N					@ SDSU † 59-21 -14 W N					SDSU 15-16 -14 L N					Air Force 27-20 - 8 L N					San Jose St. 46-43 -18 L N				
SDSU 34-10 - 1- W N					Colo. St. † 56-34 -14 W N					Wyoming † 19-7 -17 L N					@ SDSU † 30-7 - 6- W N					SDSU † 13-0 -10 W N				
Wyoming 35-31 -11- L N					@ Wyoming 41-34 -24 L N					@ Colo. St. † 21-45 + 7- L N					@ Wyoming 17-31 - 7- L N					New Mexico 46-21 -16- W N				
New Mexico 16-20 - 4 L N					Utah † 24-21 - 6 L N					New Mexico 37-13 - 6- W N					Utah 17-20 - 6- L N					@ UTEP 31-14 -10 W A				
@ Utah 6-13 + 8 W A					@ Miss. St. † 41-38 - 5 L N					@ Utah 34-27 + 5- W N					Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI § Marshall 3-21 + 3 L A					@ Utah 26-24 + 2 W N				
					Liberty Bowl at Memphis § Louisville 10-28 + 3 L N															WAC Championship at Las Vegas § Air Force 13-20 + 3- L A				
																				Liberty Bowl at Memphis § Tulane 27-41 + 6- L N				

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
overall 6-5 2-9 home 4-2 1-5 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 5-3 1-7 as dog 1-2 1-2					overall 14-1 10-5 home 7-0 4-3 away 5-1 5-1 as fav 12-0 8-4 as dog 2-1 2-1					overall 7-4 5-6 home 4-2 2-4 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 6-2 4-4 as dog 1-2 1-2					overall 10-3 6-7 home 4-2 1-5 away 5-1 4-2 as fav 8-2 3-7 as dog 1-1 2-0					overall 6-6 4-8 home 3-3 2-4 away 3-2 1-4 as fav 5-3 2-6 as dog 1-3 2-2				
opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf				
Wash. 20-42 + 8 L N					Texas A&M 41-37 + 7 W N					@ Air Force 12-38 - 3- L N					@ Hawaii † 13-12 - 8- L A					@ New Mexico † 34-31 -16- L N				
@ Arizona St. † 13-10 + 8 W N					Arkansas St. † 58-9 -33 W N					UCLA 9-23 +12 L N					@ Air Force 45-21 -16 W N					Hawaii 41-38 -13- L N				
@ SMU 19-16* -11 L N					@ Wash. 17-29 + 4 L A					SDSU † 31-19 - 3 W N					Colo. St. 21-28 - 7 L N					@ Colo. St. 27-22 -11- L N				
Utah St. † 42-35 -13- L N					New Mexico 17-14 -13 L N					@ Colo. St. 28-21 + 4 W N					New Mexico 49-47 -18 L N					Air Force 30-3 -16 W N				
@ Rice † 14-27 - 2- L A					SMU 31-3 -14 W N					@ Wyoming St. † 21-29 + 1 L N					Utah St. † 34-6 -24 W N					@ UCLA † 14-68 + 7 L N				
Hawaii 17-3 -27- L N					@ Utah St. † 45-17 -14 W N					Wyoming 23-20 - 7- L N					@ Fresno St. † 32-30 P W N					Notre Dame † 20-45 +17 L N				
TCU 31-10 -18- W N					UNLV 63-28 -38 L N					Hawaii 45-7 -15 W N					@ Notre Dame 21-14 +15 W N					Fresno St. 45-48 - 1 L N				
@ UTEP † 3-14 -20 L A					@ Tulsa † 55-30 -10 W A					Tulsa 45-35 -16 L N					@ UTEP † 34-28 -22 L A					@ Utah St. 56-58 - 7- L N				
Tulsa 49-39 -18 L N					@ TCU 45-21 -16 W N					@ New Mexico 31-14 - 5 W N					Arizona St. 15-36 - 8- L N					@ SDSU 45-44 + 5- W N				
@ New Mexico 28-38 + 3- L N					UTEP 40-18 -30 L N					Utah 17-34 - 5- L N					La-Monroe 24-10 -28 L N					Utah 31-34 - 7- L N				
Utah 14-20 - 4 L N					Rice 49-0 -12 W N					@ Fresno St. 45-28 - 4 W N					SDSU † 35-28 -10 L N					UTEP 47-16 -21 W N				
					@ Hawaii † 45-14 -30- W A										@ Utah 31-34 + 5- W N					Holiday Bowl at San Diego § Ohio State † 21-28 +14 W N				
					@ Utah 37-17 - 6- W N										Copper Bowl at Tucson § Oklahoma † 31-6 - 1 W N									
					WAC Championship at Las Vegas § Wyoming 28-25* - 9- L A																			
					Cotton Bowl at Dallas § Kansas St. 19-15 + 3- W N																			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	4-8	5-3	2-6	2-0	0-1	0-1	0-4	0-4	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	50
HOME	4-2	2-4	4-2	2-4	2-0	0-1	0-1	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	50
AWAY	1-5	2-4	1-1	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	0-4	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	50
NAT.	5-5	3-7	5-2	2-5	2-0	0-1	0-1	0-3	0-3	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	52
ART.	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	39
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-9	11-15	17-4	9-12	2-1	1-4	0-1	6-6	0-5	2-3	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-1	63
HOME	10-2	7-5	10-2	7-5	2-0	0-2	0-1	5-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	65
AWAY	7-6	4-9	7-2	2-7	0-1	1-2	—	1-4	0-4	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	63
NAT.	17-6	10-13	17-2	9-10	2-0	1-4	0-1	6-5	0-4	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	63
ART.	0-3	1-2	0-2	0-2	0-1	—	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	65
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-15	16-22	21-6	11-16	2-2	2-4	0-2	7-8	2-9	5-6	0-2	2-1	1-2	2-1	58
HOME	14-4	9-9	14-3	9-8	2-0	1-2	0-2	6-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	57
AWAY	9-9	6-12	7-3	2-8	0-2	1-2	—	1-4	2-6	4-4	—	2-1	1-2	1-1	62
NAT.	23-11	15-19	21-4	11-14	2-1	2-4	0-2	7-7	2-7	4-5	0-2	2-1	0-1	2-1	58
ART.	0-4	1-3	0-2	0-2	0-1	—	—	0-1	0-2	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	62
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	40-24	26-35-2	35-10	19-25-1	2-2	5-7	2-4	10-12-1	4-14	7-10-1	1-3	3-4	1-2-1	2-1	54
HOME	24-6	14-15	22-5	13-14	2-0	3-4	1-3	7-7	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	56
AWAY	16-13	11-16-2	13-5	6-11-1	0-2	2-3	1-1	3-5-1	3-8	5-5-1	1-0	2-2	1-2-1	1-1	56
NAT.	38-17	24-30	33-8	18-23	2-1	5-7	1-4	10-11	4-9	6-7	1-2	3-3	0-1	2-1	56
ART.	2-7	2-5-2	2-2	1-2-1	0-1	—	—	0-1-1	0-5	1-3-1	0-1	0-1	1-1-1	—	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	83-43	53-70-2	71-20	37-53-1	4-4	8-10	3-12	22-27-1	10-23	15-17-1	1-4	8-7	2-3-1	4-3	55
HOME	46-15	24-36	43-11	22-32	3-1	3-6	1-8	15-17	2-4	2-4	0-1	2-0	0-1	0-2	56
AWAY	34-22	25-29-2	26-9	14-20-1	0-3	5-4	2-3	7-10-1	7-13	10-9-1	1-1	5-5	2-2-1	2-1	56
NAT.	76-33	49-59	64-16	34-46	4-2	8-10	1-10	21-24	10-17	14-13	1-3	8-5	1-2	4-3	56
ART.	7-10	4-11-2	7-4	3-7-1	0-2	—	2-2	1-3-1	0-6	1-4-1	0-1	0-2	1-1-1	—	47

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.
overall	home	7-5	8-4	overall	home	1-10	3-8	overall	home	3-8	7-4	overall	home	4-7	5-6	overall	home	5-4	6-5
away	4-3	4-3		away	0-6	1-5		away	2-3	3-2		away	3-2	3-2		away	2-4	3-3	
as fav	3-2	4-1		as fav	1-4	2-3		as fav	1-5	4-2		as fav	1-5	2-4		as fav	3-2	3-2	
as dog	4-3	5-2		as dog	1-1	0-2		as dog	0-2	0-2		as dog	1-1	0-2		as dog	3-1	1-3	
as dog	4-3	5-2		as dog	0-9	3-6		as dog	3-6	7-2		as dog	3-6	5-4		as dog	2-5	5-2	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Baylor	70-22	- 6	W	N	Illinois	17-44	- 3-	L	N	Utah	24-21	+ 3	W	N	Rutgers	21-7	-20	L	N
N. Mex. St.	34-13	-13-	W	N	BYU	16-44	+ 4	L	N	@ Illinois	15-17	+13-	W	A	@ Nebraska	0-45	+32	L	A
@ Mich. St.	46-22	+13-	W	N	@ Wash. St.	20-51	+14	L	A	@ Fresno St. †	3-17	+ 3	L	N	Arizona St.	24-23	+ 1-	W	N
Air Force	21-23	- 9-	L	N	Wash.	28-31	+15	W	N	Wash. St.	17-21	- 6-	L	N	@ Wash. St.	7-31	- 4	L	A
Wash. St.	38-48	+ 2-	L	N	Oregon	7-48	+12-	L	N	@ Arizona St.	10-30	+ 7	L	N	@ BYU	28-38	+13-	W	N
@ Wash.	34-27	+11	W	A	@ UCLA †	17-56	+31	L	N	UCLA	46-38*	+10	W	N	@ USC	17-0	+13	W	N
@ USC	28-30	+12-	W	N	@ Oregon St.	10-19	+17-	W	A	@ Wash.	24-36	+16	W	A	Wash.	27-31	+ 3	L	N
UCLA	17-12	+ 3-	W	N	Arizona	24-38	+ 3-	L	N	@ USC	28-16	+ 8-	W	N	USC	17-7	+ 5-	W	N
@ Oregon St.	13-24	+ 6	L	A	USC	14-55	+13	L	N	Oregon St.	32-38	+ 6-	W	N	@ Oregon St.	7-17	+ 9	L	A
@ Arizona St.	55-38	+ 5	W	N	@ Stanford	28-35	+24	W	N	@ Oregon	17-25	+12	W	A	@ Arizona St.	22-55	+ 5	L	N
Arizona	41-52	-17	L	N	@ Rutgers	20-10	-11-	L	N	Stanford	30-36*	- 1	L	N	Arizona	23-27	+10-	W	N
Stanford	30-7	-10	W	N										@ Stanford	13-31	+10	L	N	
1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.
overall	home	3-8	6-5	overall	home	6-6	5-7	overall	home	3-8	5-5-1	overall	home	4-7	5-6	overall	home	9-4	8-3
away	2-3	2-3		away	4-2	3-3		away	2-4	3-3		away	4-2	3-3		away	4-2	3-2	
as fav	1-4	4-1		as fav	2-3	2-3		as fav	1-4	2-2-1		as fav	0-5	2-3		as fav	4-2	4-1	
as dog	2-1	1-2		as dog	4-2	2-4		as dog	2-2	1-2-1		as dog	0-2	2-4		as dog	6-1	5-2	
as dog	1-7	5-3		as dog	2-3	3-2		as dog	1-5	4-2		as dog	0-5	3-2		as dog	2-2	3-1	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Houston	35-3	+ 2	W	A	@ San Jose St.	45-25	-24	L	N	@ SDSU †	9-33	- 5-	L	N	@ SDSU †	20-22	- 7	L	N
Oklahoma	40-36	- 4-	L	N	SDSU	42-37	- 3	W	N	Fresno St.	24-25	- 5	L	N	Hawaii	7-21	-14-	L	A
USC	17-27	+ 3-	L	N	Nevada	33-15	-14	W	N	San Jose St.	40-7	-23	W	N	Arizona St.	25-21	- 7	L	A
\$ La. Tech † at Shreveport	34-41	- 8-	L	N	Oregon St.	48-42*	-15-	L	N	@ Arizona †	15-20	+ 6	W	N	San Jose St.	55-0	-25	W	A
Wash.	3-30	+16	L	N	@ USC	22-15	+16	W	N	USC	16-26	+14	W	N	UCLA	26-7	- 2-	W	A
@ Wash. St.	37-63	+16-	L	A	@ Wash. St. †	18-21	+ 3-	W	A	Oregon	30-52	+ 5	L	N	@ Oregon	7-23	+ 2	L	A
@ UCLA	17-35	+23-	W	N	UCLA	29-38	- 2-	L	N	@ Oregon St.	13-12	- 1	P	A	@ USC	0-61	+10	L	N
Oregon St.	33-14	- 5	W	N	Arizona	56-55*	+ 1-	W	N	@ UCLA	16-33	+14	L	N	Wash. St.	23-26	+11	W	A
Arizona St.	21-28	+11	W	N	@ Arizona St.	7-35	+15	L	N	Wash. St.	27-11	+ 3	W	N	@ Arizona †	6-13	+19	W	N
@ Arizona †	38-41*	+11-	W	N	@ Oregon	23-40	+ 2	L	A	Arizona St.	29-38	P	L	N	@ Wash.	19-31	+15	W	A
@ Stanford	20-21	+ 3-	W	N	Stanford	21-42	- 2	L	N	@ Stanford	24-29	+ 8-	W	N	Stanford	24-23	- 3	L	A
					Aloha Bowl at Honolulu														
					\$ Navy	38-42	P	L	A										

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PT. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-5	8-4	3-2	3-2	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	4-3	5-2	0-1	2-1	—	3-0	62
HOME	4-3	4-3	3-2	3-2	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	61
AWAY	3-2	4-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-2	4-1	—	1-1	—	3-0	63
NAT.	6-4	7-3	3-2	3-2	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	3-2	4-1	0-1	2-0	—	2-0	64
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	49
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-15	11-12	4-3	3-4	—	1-1	1-1	1-2	4-12	8-8	0-1	2-3	—	6-4	59
HOME	4-9	5-8	3-3	3-3	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-6	2-5	0-1	1-2	—	1-2	61
AWAY	4-6	6-4	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	3-6	6-3	—	1-1	—	5-2	58
NAT.	7-12	9-10	4-3	3-4	—	1-1	1-1	1-2	3-9	6-6	0-1	2-2	—	4-3	62
ART.	1-3	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	49
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	11-23	18-16	4-5	3-6	0-1	1-2	1-1	1-2	7-18	15-10	1-2	3-4	2-0	9-4	56
HOME	6-12	8-10	3-5	3-5	0-1	1-2	1-1	1-1	3-7	5-5	1-1	2-2	1-0	1-2	60
AWAY	5-11	10-6	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	4-11	10-5	0-1	1-2	1-0	8-2	51
NAT.	10-17	13-14	4-5	3-6	0-1	1-2	1-1	1-2	6-12	10-8	1-2	3-3	2-0	4-3	58
ART.	1-6	5-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-6	5-2	—	0-1	—	5-1	47
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-36	29-27	8-7	4-11	0-2	2-4	1-1	1-4	12-29	25-16	3-3	5-5	3-2	14-6	49
HOME	11-18	14-15	6-6	4-8	0-1	2-3	1-1	1-3	5-12	10-7	2-2	4-2	1-0	3-3	51
AWAY	9-18	15-12	2-1	0-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	7-17	15-9	1-1	1-3	2-2	11-3	47
NAT.	18-26	23-21	7-6	4-9	0-1	2-3	1-1	1-4	11-20	19-12	3-3	5-4	2-1	9-4	51
ART.	2-10	6-6	1-1	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	1-9	6-4	—	0-1	1-1	5-2	42
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	45-69	58-53-1	26-15	15-25-1	2-5-1	3-10	3-2	7-8	18-51	43-26	8-5	9-8	4-3	22-10	51
HOME	27-31	28-29	19-11	12-18	2-4	3-7	2-1	5-6	7-19	16-10	4-2	5-4	1-0	6-4	54
AWAY	17-36	29-22-1	6-3	2-6-1	0-1-1	0-3	1-0	1-2	11-32	27-16	4-3	4-4	3-3	16-6	48
NAT.	30-48	40-38	14-12	8-18	1-3	3-7	1-2	3-6	16-35	32-19	7-3	7-7	3-2	15-7	53
ART.	15-21	18-15-1	12-3	7-7-1	1-2-1	0-3	2-0	4-2	2-16	11-7	1-2	2-1	1-1	7-3	48

CENTRAL MICHIGAN CHIPPEWAS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-8 2-4 2-4 2-1 0-7	vs.spr. 5-6 3-2 2-4 2-1 2-5
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
Sam Hous. †	34-10	—	- A
Wyoming	32-20	- 3	W A
② Akron	24-17	P	W A
② Indiana	29-39	+ 5	L N
② Bost. Coll.	0-43	+20	L A
Bowl. Green	35-45	+16	W A
② N. Illinois	0-49	+ 8	L A
Marshall	18-23	+20	W A
② E. Mich.	47-21	- 9	W A
② Toledo †	17-44	+14	L A
Ball St.	21-38	- 2	L A
W. Mich.	10-35	+ 2	L A

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 2-0 0-8	vs.spr. 5-4-1 0-3-1 5-1 0-2 5-2-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
Eastern Ky. †	42-28	—	- A
② Mich. St.	21-35	+27	W A
Toledo	28-52	+24	P A
② Buffalo †	16-8	- 9	L N
Ohio U.	3-34	+ 7	L A
② Marshall	21-42	+23	W A
N. Illinois	24-33	+ 8	L A
② Ball St.	34-38	+12	W N
E. Mich.	35-30	-13	L A
② W. Mich.	17-20	+17	W N
② Boise St.	10-26	+24	W A

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-3 0-6 0-1 2-9	vs.spr. 3-8 3-2 0-6 0-1 3-7
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
② Purdue	0-48	+38	L N
Akron	17-7	+ 5	W A
② Wyoming	10-31	+12	L N
Boise St.	10-47	+10	L A
② Toledo †	0-41	+22	L A
Kent State	21-24*	- 3	L A
② Ohio U.	3-52	+24	L N
Ball St.	34-38	+ 5	W A
② E. Mich.	15-31	+ 9	L A
W. Mich.	21-17	+35	W A
② N. Illinois	6-40	+24	L A

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-1 1-6	vs.spr. 4-6 2-2 2-4 2-1 2-5
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
E. Illinois	33-17	—	- A
② Syracuse †	7-47	+30	L A
② Purdue	16-58	+37	L N
Miami-OH	16-24	+19	W A
② W. Mich. †	16-38	+14	L N
② Buffalo	38-19	-17	W N
N. Illinois	27-31	- 1	L A
② Bowl. Green	7-31	+ 5	L N
Toledo	13-32	+ 6	L A
E. Mich.	29-26	+ 3	W A
② Ball St.	27-21	- 2	W N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 5-0 1-5 3-1 2-4	vs.spr. 5-5 3-1 2-4 2-2 3-3
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
② Iowa	0-38	+34	L N
W. Illinois	35-14	—	- A
Kent State	46-7	- 7	W A
② Mich. St.	7-38	+27	L A
② E. Mich. †	36-23*	+ 3	W A
② N. Illinois	6-16	-10	L A
W. Mich.	26-24	+ 4	W A
Akron	28-27	- 2	L A
② Marshall	0-28	+18	L A
② Toledo	14-17	+13	W A
Ball St.	31-21	- 7	W A

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-3 0-6 2-3 0-6	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-3 2-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
N. Illinois †	44-10	-14	W A
② Florida †	6-82	+43	L N
Boise St.	44-26	-16	W A
② La. Tech †	28-56	+ 6	L N
E. Mich.	24-31	- 3	L A
② Akron †	14-53	- 4	L A
Toledo	10-41	+12	L A
② Ball St.	34-37*	+10	W N
② Kent State †	37-60	- 2	L A
Marshall	17-45	+24	L A
② W. Mich.	24-38	+15	W N

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-2 2-4 5-1 0-5	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 3-3 1-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
② Boise St. †	42-21	- 1	W A
② Virginia †	21-55	+25	L N
La. Tech	37-38	- 3	L A
W. Mich.	38-28	- 4	W A
② Bowl. Green	27-31	+ 6	W N
② Miami-OH	14-46	+11	L N
Akron	42-0	-13	W A
E. Mich.	41-36	- 8	L A
Ball St.	17-24	+ 2	L A
② Kent State	52-51	-13	L N
② Toledo	20-23	+ 2	L A

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-2 1-5 1-1 1-6	vs.spr. 3-6 2-2 1-4 0-2 3-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
Weber St.	39-31	—	- A
② E. Carolina	17-30	+19	W N
Bowl. Green	22-16	+ 7	W A
② Akron †	13-16	-21	L A
② E. Mich. †	24-34	+ 1	L A
② Youngstown	46-25	—	- A
Kent State	27-16	-22	L A
Miami-OH	13-17	+ 7	W A
Toledo	7-19	+ 7	L A
② Ball St.	16-24	+ 5	L N
② W. Mich.	31-48	+ 8	L N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 5-0 4-3 0-1 0-1	vs.spr. 0-2 — 0-2 0-1 0-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
② Iowa	21-52	+13	L N
UNLV	35-23	—	- A
② E. Mich.	30-29	—	- A
Kent State	45-0	—	- A
② Ball St.	28-31	—	- N
W. Mich.	35-28	—	- A
② Akron †	47-0	—	- A
Miami-OH	32-30	—	- A
② Ohio U.	22-10	—	- N
Toledo	45-27	—	- A
② Bowl. Green	36-33	—	- N
Las Vegas Bowl	24-52	- 5	L A
② UNLV †			

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 2-3 3-3 — 0-1	vs.spr. 1-0 — 1-0 — 1-0
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
Akron †	13-23	—	- A
Ohio U.	38-0	—	- A
② UNLV †	20-33	—	- A
② Mich. St.	34-48	+22	W A
Ball St.	17-20	—	- A
② W. Mich.	23-18	—	- N
E. Mich.	21-28	—	- A
② Kent State	33-28	—	- N
② Toledo	38-7	—	- A
Bowl. Green	17-15	—	- A
② Miami-OH	21-24	—	- N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	5-6	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	—	0-7	2-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	2-2	54
HOME	2-4	3-2	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	0-3	2-1	0-1	—	—	2-0	53
AWAY	2-4	2-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	0-4	0-4	—	0-1	0-1	0-2	55
NAT.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	68
ART.	4-7	5-5	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	—	0-6	2-4	0-1	—	0-1	2-2	53
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	7-16	10-10-1	4-1	2-3	0-1	1-0	1-1	0-1	0-15	7-7-1	0-1	0-1	0-3	7-2-1	54
HOME	4-7	3-5-1	2-1	1-2	0-1	1-0	—	0-1	0-6	2-3-1	0-1	—	0-2	2-0-1	57
AWAY	3-9	7-5	2-0	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	0-9	5-4	—	0-1	0-1	5-2	51
NAT.	1-3	2-2	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-3	2-1	—	0-1	—	2-0	50
ART.	6-13	8-8-1	3-1	2-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-12	5-6-1	0-1	—	0-3	5-2-1	55
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	9-25	13-18-1	4-2	2-4	0-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	2-23	10-14-1	0-1	2-1	0-4	8-8-1	51
HOME	6-10	6-7-1	2-2	1-3	0-1	1-1	—	0-1	2-8	5-4-1	0-1	2-0	0-2	3-1-1	54
AWAY	3-15	7-11	2-0	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	0-15	5-10	—	0-1	0-2	5-7	49
NAT.	1-6	2-5	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-6	2-4	—	0-1	—	2-3	49
ART.	8-19	11-13-1	3-2	2-3	0-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	2-17	8-10-1	0-1	2-0	0-4	6-5-1	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	19-37	22-29-1	9-4	6-7	1-3	1-1	3-1	1-2	5-33	15-22-1	0-1	5-3	0-4	10-14-1	50
HOME	13-13	11-10-1	5-3	3-5	0-3	1-1	2-0	0-1	4-10	8-5-1	0-1	4-1	0-2	4-1-1	52
AWAY	6-24	11-19	4-1	3-2	1-0	—	1-1	1-1	1-23	7-17	—	1-2	0-2	6-13	48
NAT.	3-10	4-9	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	0-1	1-0	0-10	2-8	—	0-2	—	2-6	50
ART.	16-27	18-20-1	6-4	4-6	0-3	1-1	3-0	0-2	5-23	13-14-1	0-1	5-1	0-4	8-8-1	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	44-68	34-51-1	17-10	11-16	2-6	2-3	3-2	4-5	6-52	22-35-1	0-4	8-6	1-5	13-20-1	53
HOME	28-23	17-18-1	11-5	7-9	0-5	2-1	2-1	3-2	5-15	10-9-1	0-2	6-2	0-2	4-3-1	52
AWAY	16-45	17-33	6-5	4-7	2-1	0-2	1-1	1-3	1-37	12-26	0-2	2-4	1-3	9-17	55
NAT.	8-23	8-17	4-0	2-2	1-0	—	0-1	1-1	0-21	6-15	—	1-4	1-1	4-10	58
ART.	36-45	26-34-1	13-10	9-14	1-6	2-3	3-1	3-4	6-31	16-20-1	0-4	7-2	0-4	9-10-1	52

CINCINNATI BEARCATS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998					
overall	7-7	9-5	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	7-5	6-6	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	7-5	7-5	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	3-8	6-4	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	2-9	4-7	str.up	vs.spr.	
home	4-2	3-3			home	3-3	4-2			home	6-0	5-1			home	2-4	2-3			home	1-5	2-4			
away	3-3	5-1			away	4-1	2-3			away	1-4	2-3			away	1-4	4-1			away	1-4	2-3			
as fav	6-4	5-5			as fav	5-1	2-4			as fav	5-1	4-2			as fav	1-1	1-1			as fav	1-1	1-1			
as dog	1-3	4-0			as dog	2-4	4-2			as dog	2-4	3-3			as dog	2-6	5-3			as dog	1-8	3-6			
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		
TCU	36-29*	-3	W	A	Purdue	14-19	+8	W	A	Army	23-17	-15*	L	A	Kent State †	41-3	-19	W	A		Tulane †	34-52	+3	L	A
W. Virginia †	32-35	-4	L	A	@ Army	24-21	-4*	L	A	Syracuse	12-10	+7*	W	A	Troy St.	24-31	—	-	A		Miami-Fla	12-38	+13*	L	A
§ Ohio State	19-23	+19*	W	N	@ Miami-OH	14-21	-2*	L	N	@ Wisconsin	25-28*	+14*	W	A	Wisconsin	17-12	+27*	W	A		@ Army	20-37	-2	L	A
at Paul Brown Stadium					Tulane †	46-33	-9*	W	A	@ Indiana	6-42	+5	L	N	@ Ohio State	20-34	+33	W	N		Indiana †	14-48	+2*	L	A
@ Temple	35-22	-4*	W	A	@ UAB	31-17	+7*	W	N	@ Tulane †	19-24	+3*	L	A	@ Houston	20-23	+7	W	N		@ Louisville	19-62	+14*	L	N
Miami-OH	26-31	-5	L	A	@ Houston †	29-28	-9*	L	N	Houston	48-31	-5	W	A	UAB	21-24*	-6	L	A		@ Syracuse †	21-63	+42*	W	A
@ Tulane	17-35	-5	L	A	Louisville	13-28	+4	L	A	@ Louisville	24-38	+6*	L	N	@ So. Miss.	20-28	+17*	W	N		@ Memphis †	23-41	+10	L	N
@ So. Miss.	14-23	+10*	W	N	Connecticut	45-28	-27	L	A	Miami-OH	45-15	-5	W	A	@ Miami-OH	52-42	+4	W	N		Miami-OH	0-41	+14	L	A
Memphis	48-10	-6*	W	A	E. Carolina	26-28	+8	W	A	UAB	33-21	-5*	W	A	Louisville	13-23	+1	L	A		E. Carolina †	21-24	+14*	W	A
@ Louisville †	24-14	+9*	W	N	@ Memphis	36-34	+3*	W	N	@ Memphis	13-10*	-2	W	N	@ E. Carolina	34-48	+10	L	N		Houston	44-43	+10	W	A
Houston	47-14	-15	W	A	La-Monroe	42-10	-26*	W	A	So. Miss.	27-24	+5	W	A	Memphis	13-21	+2	L	A		@ Arkansas St.	51-7	-4	W	N
@ Hawaii †	19-20	+3*	W	A	Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI					Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI															
UAB	31-23	-17	L	A	§ Toledo	16-23	+4*	L	A	§ Marshall	14-25	-2*	L	A											
@ E. Carolina †	42-26	-8	W	N																					
New Orleans Bowl at New Orleans																									
§ N. Texas †	19-24	-7*	L	A																					

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993					
overall	8-4	5-7	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	6-5	6-4	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	6-5	8-3	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	2-8-1	3-7	str.up	vs.spr.	overall	8-3	8-2	str.up	vs.spr.	
home	5-1	2-4			home	4-2	3-3			home	3-2	4-1			home	2-3	1-3			home	5-0	4-0			
away	2-3	2-3			away	2-3	3-1			away	3-3	4-2			away	0-5-1	2-4			away	3-3	4-2			
as fav	7-3	4-6			as fav	4-2	3-3			as fav	3-3	3-3			as fav	0-0-1	0-1			as fav	3-0	5-0			
as dog	1-1	1-1			as dog	1-3	3-1			as dog	3-2	5-0			as dog	1-8	3-6			as dog	2-3	3-2			
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		
Tulsa †	34-24	-10*	L	A	Tulane †	14-34	-12*	L	A	@ Kansas	18-23	+15*	W	A	@ Indiana	3-28	+12	L	N		Austin Peay †	42-10	—	-	A
@ Tulane †	17-31	-8*	L	A	Kentucky	24-3	-3*	W	A	Kansas St. †	21-23	+13	W	A	Syracuse †	19-34	+7*	L	A		@ Bowl. Green	7-21	+1	L	N
Kansas †	34-7	-3*	W	A	@ Kansas St.	0-35	+16	L	A	@ Va. Tech	16-0	+14*	W	N	@ Miami-OH	17-17	-3*	L	N		Miami-OH †	30-23	-5*	W	A
@ Bost. Coll. †	24-6	-2	W	A	Miami-OH †	30-23*	-3	W	A	@ Miami-OH	16-23	-7	L	N	Bowl. Green †	0-38	+4*	L	A		@ Syracuse †	21-24	+28*	W	A
Memphis †	20-17	-11*	L	A	@ Memphis †	16-18	+2*	W	N	Toledo †	31-45	-8	L	A	Vanderbilt †	24-34	+6	L	A		@ Tulsa	22-15	+9	W	A
Ala.-Birm. †	33-29	-19	L	A	Bost. Coll.	17-24	-2*	L	A	E. Carolina †	13-10	+4*	W	A	@ Rutgers	9-14	+14*	W	N		@ Vanderbilt †	7-17	+7	L	A
@ Houston †	38-41*	-7*	L	A	Houston	31-20	-2*	W	A	So. Miss. †	16-13	+3*	W	A	@ Memphis †	3-26	+11	L	N		Bail St. †	44-12	-7*	W	A
@ Miami-OH	34-31*	+5	W	N	@ Louisville	10-7	+7	W	A	Memphis	28-3	-3*	W	A	@ E. Carolina	21-35	+17	W	N		@ Toledo	31-24	-5*	W	A
So. Miss.	17-24	+3*	L	A	@ So. Miss.	17-21	+11*	W	N	@ N. Illinois	55-19	-10*	W	A	Troy St.	28-24	—	-	A		Memphis	23-20	+4*	W	A
Louisville	28-9	-13*	W	A	@ Ala.-Birm.	34-14	—	-	N	@ Kentucky	14-33	-3	L	N	@ Wisconsin	7-38	+25	L	A		@ Houston	41-17	-1*	W	A
@ E. Carolina †	7-14	-3*	L	N	La-Monroe	35-13	-22*	L	A	@ Tulsa	24-5	-5	W	A	Tulsa	28-13	+2*	W	A		E. Carolina	34-14	-12*	W	A
Humanitarian Bowl at Boise, ID																									
§ Utah St.	35-19	-2	W	A																					

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-7	9-5	6-4	5-5	1-0	2-3	1-1	1-1	1-3	4-0	—	1-0	1-0	2-0	52		
HOME	4-2	3-3	4-2	3-3	1-0	1-2	—	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	60		
AWAY	3-3	5-1	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	1-2	3-0	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	48		
NAT.	2-2	4-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-2	3-0	—	—	1-0	2-0	46		
ART.	5-5	5-5	5-4	4-5	1-0	2-3	0-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	55		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-12	15-11	11-5	7-9	1-1	2-4	2-2	2-2	3-7	8-2	—	2-2	4-0	2-0	52		
HOME	7-5	7-5	7-2	5-4	1-0	1-2	1-0	2-2	0-3	2-1	—	0-1	2-0	—	57		
AWAY	7-4	7-4	4-2	2-4	0-1	1-2	1-1	—	3-2	5-0	—	2-0	2-0	1-0	49		
NAT.	5-3	6-2	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	1-1	—	3-2	5-0	—	1-0	2-0	2-0	49		
ART.	9-9	9-9	9-4	6-7	1-0	2-4	1-1	2-2	0-5	3-2	—	1-2	2-0	—	53		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-17	22-16	16-6	11-11	2-2	5-4	2-2	2-3	5-11	11-5	—	3-5	5-0	3-0	51		
HOME	13-5	12-6	11-2	8-5	1-0	4-2	1-0	2-3	2-3	4-1	—	1-1	3-0	—	55		
AWAY	8-8	9-7	5-2	3-4	1-1	1-2	1-1	—	3-6	6-3	—	2-3	2-0	2-0	48		
NAT.	6-5	7-4	3-1	2-2	1-1	—	1-1	—	3-4	5-2	—	1-2	2-0	2-0	48		
ART.	15-12	15-12	13-5	9-9	1-1	5-4	1-1	2-3	2-7	6-3	—	2-3	3-0	1-0	52		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	26-34	32-27	18-8	13-13	2-3	6-5	2-2	3-3	8-25	19-14	0-4	5-5	6-2	8-3	53		
HOME	16-14	16-13	12-3	9-6	1-0	4-3	1-0	3-3	4-10	7-7	0-4	1-1	4-0	2-2	53		
AWAY	10-16	15-11	6-3	4-5	1-2	2-2	1-1	—	4-13	11-6	—	4-3	2-2	5-1	55		
NAT.	8-11	12-7	4-1	3-2	1-1	1-0	1-1	—	4-10	9-5	—	3-2	2-2	4-1	55		
ART.	18-23	20-20	14-7	10-11	1-2	5-5	1-1	3-3	4-15	10-9	0-4	2-3	4-0	4-2	52		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	56-59-1	62-50	37-16-1	28-26	7-6	12-7	3-5	6-8	16-42	34-24	2-5	10-9	7-3	15-7	48		
HOME	35-22	30-24	25-6	18-13	3-1	8-3	2-1	5-8	8-15	12-11	1-4	4-4	4-1	3-2	50		
AWAY	20-33-1	30-23	11-8-1	9-11	3-4	4-4	1-3	1-0	8-25	21-12	1-1	6-4	3-2	11-5	47		
NAT.	11-21-1	18-14	4-4-1	3-6	1-3	1-2	1-1	—	6-17	15-8	1-1	4-2	2-2	8-3	47		
ART.	45-38	44-36	33-12	25-20	6-3	11-5	2-4	6-8	10-25	19-16	1-4	6-7	5-1	7-4	49		

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.					
	home	7-6	5-7-1		home	7-5	5-5-1		home	9-3	3-8		home	6-6	7-5		home	3-8	4-5-1					
	away	5-2	3-3-1		away	3-3	3-1-1		away	6-1	2-4		away	3-2	4-1		away	3-4	3-3					
	as fav	2-3	2-3		as fav	3-2	3-1-1		as fav	3-1	1-3		as fav	3-3	3-3		as fav	0-4	1-2-1					
	as dog	6-1	3-3-1		as dog	5-2	3-3-1		as dog	8-1	3-6		as dog	4-2	3-3		as dog	2-2	2-2					
		1-5	2-4			1-3	2-2			0-2	0-2			2-4	4-2			0-6	2-3-1					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
@ Georgia †	28-31	+ 8	W	N	C. Florida	21-13	-16	L	N	Citadel †	38-0	—	-	N	Marshall †	10-13	+ 2-	L	N	Furman	33-0	—	-	N
La. Tech	33-13	-10	W	N	Wofford	38-14	—	-	N	Missouri	62-9	-17	W	N	Virginia	33-14	+ 5	W	N	Va. Tech	0-37	- 2-	L	N
Ga. Tech	24-19	+ 1	W	N	Virginia †	24-26	-12-	L	N	Wk. Forest	55-7	-32-	W	N	@ Va. Tech †	11-31	+12-	L	N	@ Virginia	18-20	+14-	W	N
Ball St.	30-7	-28	L	N	@ Ga. Tech	47-44*	+13	W	N	@ Virginia	31-10	- 8-	W	N	No. Carolina	31-20	- 5-	W	N	Wk. Forest	19-29	- 5-	L	N
@ Florida St. †	31-48	+14	L	N	@ N.C. State	45-37	- 2-	W	N	@ Duke	52-22	-37	L	N	@ N.C. State †	31-35	- 1-	L	N	@ No. Carolina	14-21	+ 7	P	N
@ Virginia	17-22	- 6	L	N	No. Carolina	3-38	- 5	L	N	N.C. State	34-27	-21	L	N	@ Maryland	42-30	+ 2	W	N	Maryland	23-0	- 7	W	N
Wk. Forest	31-23	- 8	P	N	@ Wk. Forest	21-14	- 7	P	N	Maryland	35-14	-32-	L	N	Florida St. †	14-17	+16	W	N	@ Florida St. †	0-48	+26-	L	N
N.C. State †	6-38	+ 1-	L	N	Florida St.	27-41	+ 8	L	N	@ No. Carolina †	38-24	-19-	L	N	@ Wk. Forest	12-3	- 4	W	N	@ Duke	23-28	+ 2-	L	N
@ Duke	34-31	-10	L	N	@ Maryland †	20-37	+ 7	L	N	Ga. Tech	28-31	-12	L	N	Duke	58-7	-12	W	N	N.C. State	39-46	+ 4-	L	N
@ No. Carolina	42-12	-10-	W	N	@ So. Carolina	15-20	+ 5-	W	N	@ Florida St. †	7-54	+19-	L	N	@ Ga. Tech	42-45	+ 4-	W	N	Ga. Tech †	21-24	+ 7-	W	N
Maryland †	12-30	+ 7	L	N	Duke	59-31	-27	W	N	So. Carolina	16-14	- 7-	L	N	@ So. Carolina	31-21	-13-	L	N	So. Carolina	28-19	- 5-	W	N
So. Carolina †	27-20	- 3-	W	N	Humanitarian Bowl at Boise, ID				Gator Bowl at Jacksonville				Peach Bowl at Atlanta											
Tangerine Bowl at Orlando					§ La. Tech	49-24	- 6-	W	A	§ Va. Tech	20-41	+ 5	L	N	§ Miss. St. †	7-17	- 3	L	A					
§ Texas Tech †	15-55	+ 4-	L	N																				

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.					
	home	7-5	5-4-2		home	7-5	6-5		home	8-4	7-4		home	5-6	6-4		home	9-3	4-6					
	away	3-3	1-3-1		away	5-1	3-2		away	3-3	3-2		away	4-2	2-3		away	6-1	3-2					
	as fav	4-1	3-1-1		as fav	2-3	2-3		as fav	5-0	4-1		as fav	1-4	4-1		as fav	2-2	1-3					
	as dog	5-2	2-3-2		as dog	4-2	2-4		as dog	6-2	5-3		as dog	3-2	2-3		as dog	4-1	1-4					
		1-3	3-1			2-3	4-1			1-2	2-1			1-4	4-1			2-2	2-2					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
Appal. St.	23-12	—	-	N	@ No. Carolina	0-45	+ 3-	L	N	W. Carolina	55-9	—	-	N	Furman	27-6	—	-	N	UNLV	24-14	—	-	N
@ N.C. State	19-17	+ 2-	W	N	Furman	19-3	—	-	N	Florida St.	26-45	+20-	W	N	N.C. State	12-29	- 7	L	N	@ Florida St.	0-57	+22-	L	N
Florida St.	28-35	+19-	W	N	@ Missouri †	24-38	- 7-	L	N	@ Wk. Forest †	29-14	-20-	L	N	@ Virginia	6-9	+ 8	W	A	Ga. Tech	16-13	- 5	L	N
@ Ga. Tech †	20-23	- 1-	L	N	Wk. Forest	21-10	-15	L	N	Virginia	3-22	+ 3-	L	N	Maryland	13-0	- 7	W	N	N.C. State	20-14	+ 1	W	N
UTEP	39-7	-32	P	N	@ Florida St. †	3-34	+32	W	N	@ N.C. State	43-22	- 3	W	N	@ Georgia	14-40	+11	L	N	@ Duke	13-10	- 6-	L	N
Virginia †	7-21	- 5-	L	N	@ Duke	13-6	- 9-	L	N	Georgia †	17-19	- 6-	L	N	@ Duke	13-19	+ 7	W	N	Wk. Forest	16-20	-14	L	N
@ Maryland	20-9	-11	P	N	Ga. Tech	28-25	+ 7	W	N	@ Maryland	17-0	- 2-	W	N	@ Florida St.	0-17	+30	W	N	E. Tenn. St.	27-0	—	-	N
@ Wk. Forest	33-16	- 3	W	N	Maryland	35-3	- 6	W	N	@ Ga. Tech	24-3	+ 2	W	N	Wk. Forest	24-8	-20-	L	N	Maryland	29-0	-12-	W	N
Duke	29-20*	-14-	L	N	@ Virginia	24-16	+16	W	N	No. Carolina	17-10	- 2	W	N	@ No. Carolina	28-17	+12	W	N	@ No. Carolina †	0-24	+13	L	N
No. Carolina	10-17	+ 6	L	N	N.C. State	40-17	- 9-	W	N	Duke	34-17	-15	W	N	Ga. Tech	20-10	- 6-	W	N	Virginia	23-14	+ 7	W	N
@ So. Carolina †	47-21	- 2	W	N	So. Carolina †	31-34	- 5	L	N	@ So. Carolina	38-17	- 4	W	N	So. Carolina	7-33	- 3	L	N	@ So. Carolina	16-13	P	W	N
Peach Bowl at Atlanta				Peach Bowl at Atlanta				Gator Bowl at Jacksonville				Peach Bowl at Atlanta												
§ Auburn	17-21	+ 4-	W	A	§ LSU †	7-10	+ 6-	W	A	§ Syracuse	0-41	- 2-	L	N					§ Kentucky †	14-13	- 2-	L	A	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	5-7-1	6-1	3-3-1	—	1-1	1-1-1	1-1	1-5	2-4	1-1	0-2	1-0	0-1	52
HOME	5-2	3-3-1	4-0	2-1-1	—	1-0	1-0-1	0-1	1-2	1-2	1-1	0-1	—	—	44
AWAY	2-3	2-3	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	0-2	1-1	—	—	1-0	0-1	59
NAT. ART.	7-6	5-7-1	6-1	3-3-1	—	1-1	1-1-1	1-1	1-5	2-4	1-1	0-2	1-0	0-1	52
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-11	10-12-2	11-3	6-6-2	1-0	2-2-1	1-1-1	2-3	2-8	4-6	1-1	1-3	1-1	1-1	55
HOME	8-5	4-7-1	6-2	3-4-1	—	1-1	1-0-1	1-3	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	49
AWAY	5-5	5-4-1	4-1	2-2-1	1-0	0-1-1	0-1	1-0	1-4	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	59
NAT. ART.	13-11	9-12-2	10-3	5-6-2	1-0	1-2-1	1-1-1	2-3	2-8	4-6	1-1	1-3	1-1	1-1	54
	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	73
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-14	13-20-2	19-4	9-12-2	1-0	2-2-1	2-2-1	4-8	2-10	4-8	1-1	1-4	1-1	1-2	55
HOME	14-6	6-11-1	11-3	5-8-1	—	1-1	1-1-1	3-6	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	50
AWAY	8-6	6-7-1	7-1	3-4-1	1-0	0-1-1	1-1	1-2	1-5	3-3	—	1-1	1-0	1-2	59
NAT. ART.	22-14	12-20-2	18-4	8-12-2	1-0	1-2-1	2-2-1	4-8	2-10	4-8	1-1	1-4	1-1	1-2	55
	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	73
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	32-28	24-30-3	25-8	14-17-2	1-3	6-3-1	2-2-1	5-9	4-20	10-13-1	2-3	3-5-1	2-1	3-4	52
HOME	20-12	13-15-1	15-5	9-10-1	0-1	4-2	1-1-1	4-6	2-7	4-5	1-2	1-2	1-1	1-0	48
AWAY	11-13	10-12-2	9-2	4-6-1	1-1	1-1-1	1-1	1-3	2-11	6-6-1	1-1	2-1-1	1-0	2-4	55
NAT. ART.	31-27	23-29-3	24-7	13-16-2	1-2	5-3-1	2-2-1	5-9	4-20	10-13-1	2-3	3-5-1	2-1	3-4	52
	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	68-51	52-53-5	47-17	26-34-4	6-7	10-9-1	3-4-1	7-14-2	11-34	25-19-1	5-3	8-8-1	3-1	9-7	45
HOME	41-22	25-27-2	27-11	16-20-2	1-2	7-7	2-1-1	6-10-1	5-11	9-7	2-2	3-4	1-1	3-0	43
AWAY	25-23	24-21-3	18-4	9-11-2	5-2	2-2-1	1-3	1-4-1	6-19	14-10-1	3-1	3-2-1	2-0	6-7	47
NAT. ART.	66-47	48-51-5	45-16	25-32-4	6-5	9-9-1	3-4-1	7-14-2	11-31	22-19-1	5-3	6-8-1	2-1	9-7	45
	2-4	4-2	2-1	1-2	0-2	1-0	—	—	0-3	3-0	—	2-0	1-0	—	32

COLORADO BUFFALOES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE § = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
str.up vs.spr. overall 9-5 8-6 home 5-1 4-2 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 6-3 5-4 as dog 3-2 3-2	str.up vs.spr. overall 10-3 8-5 home 5-1 4-2 away 3-1 2-2 as fav 7-2 5-4 as dog 3-1 3-1	str.up vs.spr. overall 3-6 5-6 home 1-4 1-4 away 2-3 4-1 as fav 2-4 2-4 as dog 1-3 3-1	str.up vs.spr. overall 7-5 8-4 home 4-1 4-1 away 2-3 3-2 as fav 5-3 4-4 as dog 2-2 4-0	str.up vs.spr. overall 8-4 5-7 home 5-1 2-4 away 1-3 1-3 as fav 1-1 1-6 as dog 2-3 4-1
opponent score vs. spread surf § Colo. St. at Denver 14-19 - 9 L N SDSU † 34-14 -22 L N USC 3-40 - 3 L N @ UCLA 31-17 +12 W N Kansas St. 35-31 + 4 W N @ Kansas 53-29 -20 W N Baylor 34-0 -28 W A Texas Tech 37-13 - 5 W N @ Oklahoma 11-27 +13- L N @ Missouri 42-35* - 5 W N Iowa St. † 41-27 -10 W N @ Nebraska 28-13 + 1 W A Big 12 Championship at Houston § Oklahoma † 7-29 + 7- L N Alamo Bowl at San Antonio § Wisconsin † 28-31* - 7 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Fresno St. † 22-24 - 8- L N § Colo. St. at Denver 41-14 - 4- W N San Jose St. 51-15 -17 W N Kansas 27-16 -25- L N @ Kansas St. 16-6 +11 W A Texas A&M 31-21 - 9 W N @ Texas 7-41 +12 L N @ Okla. St. † 22-19 - 9- L A Missouri 38-24 -13- W N @ Iowa St. † 40-27 - 5 W N Nebraska 62-36 + 8- W N Big 12 Championship at Irving, TX § Texas † 39-37 + 7 W A Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ § Oregon 16-38 - 3 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf § Colo. St. † 24-28 - 4- L N @ USC † 14-17 + 7 W N Wash. 14-17 - 4 L N Kansas St. 21-44 + 6- L N @ Texas A&M 26-19 + 8- W N Texas 14-28 - 1- L N @ Kansas 15-23 P L A Okla. St. 37-21 -10- W N @ Missouri 28-18 - 6 W N Iowa St. 27-35 - 5- L N @ Nebraska 32-34 +27 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Colo. St. † 14-41 -12- L N San Jose St. 63-35 -24 W N Kansas 51-17 -16 W N @ Wash. 24-31 - 3- L A Missouri 46-39* -12- L N @ Texas Tech 10-31 - 5 L A @ Iowa St. 16-12 + 3 W N Oklahoma 38-24 + 4- W N @ Kansas St. 14-20 +16 W A @ Baylor 37-0 -21- W N Nebraska 30-33* +14- W N Insight.com Bowl at Tucson § Bost. Coll. 62-28 - 7- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf § Colo. St. † 42-14 + 3- W N Fresno St. 29-21 -21 L A Utah St. 25-6 -23 L A Baylor † 18-16 -16 L A @ Oklahoma 27-25 - 4 L N Kansas St. † 9-16 + 14 W A Texas Tech 19-17 - 5- L A @ Kansas † 17-33 - 7 L A @ Missouri 14-38 + 5- L N Iowa St. 37-8 -16 W A @ Nebraska 14-16 +19- W A Aloha Bowl at Honolulu § Oregon 51-43 + 5- W A
str.up vs.spr. overall 5-6 3-8 home 3-3 2-4 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 2-3 2-6 as dog 0-3 1-2	str.up vs.spr. overall 10-2 5-6 home 5-1 1-5 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 10-1 5-6 as dog 0-1 1-0	str.up vs.spr. overall 10-2 7-5 home 4-2 3-3 away 5-0 3-2 as fav 5-1 5-4 as dog 2-1 2-1	str.up vs.spr. overall 11-1 5-7 home 6-0 2-4 away 4-1 2-3 as fav 10-1 4-7 as dog 1-0 1-0	str.up vs.spr. overall 8-3-1 6-6 home 4-2 3-3 away 3-1-1 2-3 as fav 7-1-1 5-4 as dog 1-2 1-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Colo. St. 31-21 -15- L A @ Michigan 3-27 + 1 L N Wyoming 20-19 -14- L A Texas A&M 10-16 - 3- L A @ Okla. St. † 29-33 - 3 L A Kansas 42-6 -17 W A @ Texas 47-30 - 5 W N Missouri 31-41 -14 L A @ Iowa St. 43-38 -19- L N @ Kansas St. 20-37 + 9- L A Nebraska 24-27 +21 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Wash. St. 37-19 -21 L A @ Colo. St. † 48-34 -16- L N Michigan 13-20 - 7- L A @ Texas A&M 24-10 - 4 W N Okla. St. † 35-13 -32- L A @ Kansas 20-7 - 8- W A Texas 28-24 -10- L A @ Missouri 41-13 -22 W N Iowa St. 49-42 -27 L A Kansas St. † 12-0 - 5- W A @ Nebraska 12-17 +18 W A Holiday Bowl at San Diego § Wash. † 33-21 - 5- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Wisconsin † 43-7 + 2- W A Colo. St. † 42-14 -18 W A La-Monroe 66-14 -38 W A Texas A&M 29-21 - 4- W A @ Oklahoma † 38-17 - 4 W N Kansas 24-40 -22 L A @ Iowa St. 50-28 -24- L A Nebraska 21-44 + 8- L A @ Okla. St. 45-32 -23 L A Missouri 21-0 -28 L A @ Kansas St. 27-17 + 4 W A Cotton Bowl at Dallas § Oregon 38-6 - 7 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf La-Monroe 48-13 -39 L A Wisconsin † 55-17 - 5- W A @ Michigan 27-26 + 5 W N @ Texas 34-31 - 7 L A @ Missouri 38-23 -32 L A Oklahoma † 45-7 -11- W A Kansas St. † 35-21 -14- L A @ Nebraska 7-24 - 1 L A Okla. St. 17-3 -29- L A @ Kansas 51-26 -13 W A Iowa St. 41-20 -34 L A Fiesta Bowl at Tempe § Notre Dame 41-24 - 7 W X	opponent score vs. spread surf Texas † 36-14 -13- W A Baylor 45-21 -14- W A @ Stanford † 37-41 - 5 L N Miami-Fia 29-35 + 1 L A Missouri 30-18 -27 L A @ Oklahoma 27-10 + 5 W A @ Kansas St. 16-16 -14 L A Nebraska 17-21 + 1 L A @ Okla. St. 31-14 -16- W A Kansas 38-14 -16 W A @ Iowa St. 21-16 -16 L A Aloha Bowl at Honolulu § Fresno St. 41-30 - 7 W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-5	8-6	6-3	5-4	0-1	2-1	1-1	2-1	3-2	3-2	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-1	51
HOME	5-1	4-2	4-1	3-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	51
AWAY	4-1	4-1	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	2-1	2-1	1-0	—	—	1-1	57
NAT.	7-4	6-5	5-2	4-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	1-1	2-2	2-2	—	1-0	0-1	1-1	49
ART.	2-1	2-1	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	19-8	16-11	13-5	10-8	0-2	4-1	2-3	4-2	6-3	6-3	1-0	2-0	1-1	2-2	53
HOME	10-2	8-4	8-2	6-4	0-1	1-0	2-1	3-2	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	56
AWAY	7-2	6-3	4-0	3-1	—	2-0	0-1	1-0	3-2	3-2	1-0	—	—	2-2	51
NAT.	14-7	12-9	11-4	9-6	0-2	4-0	2-2	3-2	3-3	3-3	—	1-0	1-1	1-2	53
ART.	5-1	4-2	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	53
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	22-16	21-17	15-9	12-12	0-3	5-4	2-3	5-2	7-6	9-4	1-0	3-1	2-1	3-2	52
HOME	11-6	9-8	9-5	7-7	0-2	1-2	2-1	4-2	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	54
AWAY	9-5	10-4	5-0	4-1	—	3-0	0-1	1-0	4-4	6-2	1-0	1-0	1-0	3-2	49
NAT.	17-13	16-14	13-8	11-10	0-3	5-3	2-2	4-2	4-5	5-4	—	2-1	2-1	1-2	52
ART.	5-3	5-3	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	3-1	4-0	1-0	1-0	—	2-0	53
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	37-25	34-28	26-13	17-22	0-3	5-9	3-3	9-7	11-11	17-5	2-0	6-2	2-1	7-2	52
HOME	20-8	15-13	17-5	10-12	0-2	1-3	2-1	7-6	3-3	5-1	—	2-1	1-0	2-0	54
AWAY	12-11	14-9	7-3	5-5	—	3-4	0-1	2-0	5-7	9-3	2-0	1-1	1-0	5-2	46
NAT.	26-16	24-18	19-9	15-13	0-3	5-4	3-2	7-4	7-7	9-5	1-0	4-2	2-1	2-2	55
ART.	11-9	10-10	7-4	2-9	—	0-5	0-1	2-3	4-4	8-0	1-0	2-0	—	5-0	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	81-39-1	61-60	66-20-1	38-49	0-5	15-12	4-4	19-28	15-18	23-10	3-3	9-2	2-3	9-2	52
HOME	42-16	26-32	39-9	20-28	0-2	4-4	2-2	14-20	3-7	6-4	0-2	2-1	1-1	3-0	53
AWAY	30-17-1	26-22	21-6-1	12-16	0-2	6-6	1-1	5-7	9-10	14-5	3-1	4-1	1-1	6-2	50
NAT.	35-18	31-22	27-10	21-16	0-3	10-5	3-2	8-6	8-8	10-6	1-1	5-2	2-1	2-2	55
ART.	45-21-1	29-38	38-10-1	16-33	0-2	4-7	1-2	11-22	7-10	13-4	2-2	4-0	0-2	7-0	50

COLORADO STATE RAMS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
		overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.
		home	10-4 7-7			home	7-5 7-5			home	10-2 6-5			home	8-4 7-5			home	8-4 5-7
		away	4-1 2-3			away	3-2 2-3			away	5-0 1-3			away	4-1 3-2			away	3-1 2-2
		as fav	5-2 4-3			as fav	3-2 4-1			as fav	3-2 3-2			as fav	3-2 2-3			as fav	5-2 3-4
		as dog	7-3 4-6			as dog	4-1 2-3			as dog	6-2 3-5			as dog	6-2 4-4			as dog	7-3 4-6
			2-1 2-1				3-4 2-3				3-0 3-0				2-2 3-1				1-1 1-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Virginia †	35-29	P	W	N	§ Colorado at Denver	14-41	+ 4-	L	N	§ Colorado † at Denver	28-24	+ 4-	W	N	§ Colorado † at Denver	41-14	+12-	W	N
§ Colorado at Denver	19-14	+ 9	W	N	Nevada	35-18	-30-	L	N	E. Tenn. St.	41-7	—	-	N	Nevada	38-33	-17-	L	N
@ UCLA †	19-30	+ 7	L	N	SDSU †	7-14	- 6-	L	N	@ Arizona St. †	10-13	- 3	L	N	@ BYU †	13-34	+ 7	L	N
Louisville †	36-33	- 2-	W	N	@ Wyoming	42-14	- 4	W	N	@ Nevada	45-14	-20	W	A	N. Mex. St.	46-7	-15	W	N
@ Nevada	32-28	-13	L	A	@ Louisville †	2-7	+ 7-	W	N	New Mexico	17-14	-19	L	N	@ Fresno St. †	13-44	- 3-	L	N
@ Fresno St. †	30-32	- 6-	L	N	Fresno St. †	22-25*	+ 7-	W	N	UNLV †	20-19	- 7	L	N	SDSU	10-17	- 6	L	N
Wyoming	44-36	-24-	L	N	@ UNLV	26-24	+ 5	W	N	@ Utah †	24-17	+ 2	W	N	@ Wyoming †	24-13	+ 2-	W	N
@ Utah	28-20	+ 2	W	A	Utah	19-17	+ 2-	W	N	@ SDSU	34-22	- 8	W	N	Utah †	31-24	- 1-	W	N
BYU †	37-10	-12-	W	N	@ BYU †	34-56	+14-	L	N	BYU †	45-21	- 7-	W	N	@ New Mexico	36-22	- 7-	W	N
@ Air Force †	31-12	- 1	W	N	Air Force †	28-21	- 8	L	N	@ Air Force	40-44	- 3	L	N	Air Force †	41-21	- 2	W	N
@ SDSU †	49-21	-13-	W	N	@ New Mexico	24-17	+ 2	W	N	Wyoming	37-13	-28-	L	N	@ UNLV	35-17	-23	L	N
New Mexico	22-14	-16-	L	N	New Orleans Bowl at New Orleans					Liberty Bowl at Memphis					Liberty Bowl at Memphis				
UNLV	33-36	-17	L	N	§ N. Texas †	45-20	-11	W	A	§ Louisville	22-17	+ 1	W	N	§ So. Miss.	17-23	+ 7	W	N
Liberty Bowl at Memphis																			
§ TCU	3-17	- 3-	L	N															

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
		overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.			overall	str.up vs.spr.
		home	11-2 11-2			home	7-5 6-5			home	8-4 7-4			home	10-2 7-5			home	5-6 6-5
		away	4-1 4-1			away	4-2 4-1			away	3-2 2-2			away	5-1 3-3			away	3-2 4-1
		as fav	5-1 5-1			as fav	3-3 2-4			as fav	5-1 5-1			as fav	5-0 4-1			as fav	2-4 2-4
		as dog	10-1 9-2			as dog	4-0 2-2			as dog	4-2 4-2			as dog	6-1 5-4			as dog	1-0 1-0
			1-1 2-0				2-5 4-3				2-2 2-2				2-1 2-1				4-6 5-5
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Nevada	45-13	- 7	W	N	Chattanooga	61-19	—	-	N	Montana St.	31-10	—	-	N	@ Air Force	34-21	- 6-	W	N
@ Colorado	21-31	+15	W	A	Colorado †	34-48	+16-	W	N	@ Colorado †	14-42	+18	L	A	Utah St.	41-16	-19	W	N
@ Utah St. †	35-24	-13	L	N	@ Oregon	28-35	+ 4	L	A	@ Air Force	27-20	+ 7	W	N	@ BYU	28-21	+ 7-	W	N
Air Force †	0-24	-10-	L	N	UNLV	35-16	-36-	L	N	BYU	21-28	- 4	L	N	SDSU	19-17	-10	L	N
Hawaii	63-0	-30	W	N	@ Nebraska	9-65	+36-	L	A	@ Utah St. †	59-17	-18	W	N	@ New Mexico †	38-31	- 4-	W	N
@ San Jose St.	55-20	-21-	W	N	@ Hawaii †	28-16	-13-	L	A	@ Utah	19-14	P	W	N	@ Arizona †	21-16	+16	W	N
@ Wyoming	14-7	+ 1-	W	N	@ Tulsa	14-20	+ 1	L	A	New Mexico	14-22	-12	L	N	UTEP	47-9	-23-	W	N
Tulsa	44-8	-24	W	N	San Jose St.	36-13	-20	W	N	@ Wyoming	31-24	- 1	W	N	Utah	31-45	- 1-	L	N
@ UNLV	45-19	-20-	W	A	SDSU	27-18	+ 3-	W	N	UTEP	56-10	-24-	W	N	Wyoming †	35-24	-12-	L	N
Fresno St.	41-3	-16-	W	N	@ Air Force †	42-41	+11	W	N	Hawaii	22-0	-17-	W	N	Arkansas St.	48-3	-30	W	N
@ SDSU †	38-17	-14-	W	N	@ Fresno St.	42-20	- 3-	W	N	@ SDSU	24-13	+ 2-	W	N	@ Fresno St. †	44-42	-10	L	N
WAC Championship at Las Vegas					Wyoming	24-25	+ 2	W	N	Holiday Bowl at San Diego					Holiday Bowl at San Diego				
§ New Mexico	41-13	- 9-	W	A						§ Kansas St. †	21-54	+ 9	L	N	§ Michigan †	14-24	+ 9-	L	N
Holiday Bowl at San Diego																			
§ Missouri †	35-24	- 3-	W	N															

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-4	7-7	7-3	4-6	2-0	0-2	—	2-4	2-1	2-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	—	53
HOME	4-1	2-3	4-1	2-3	1-0	—	—	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	60
AWAY	5-2	4-3	3-1	2-2	1-0	0-1	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	56
NAT.	8-4	6-6	6-3	4-5	2-0	0-2	—	2-3	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	53
ART.	2-0	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	54
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-9	14-12	11-4	6-9	2-0	1-3	0-1	3-5	5-5	7-3	3-0	1-2	3-0	0-1	50
HOME	7-3	4-6	6-2	2-6	1-0	0-1	0-1	1-4	1-1	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	50
AWAY	8-4	8-4	4-1	3-2	1-0	1-1	—	1-1	3-3	4-2	2-0	1-1	1-0	0-1	53
NAT.	14-9	12-11	9-4	5-8	2-0	1-3	0-1	2-4	4-5	6-3	2-0	1-2	3-0	0-1	49
ART.	3-0	2-1	2-0	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	57
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	27-11	20-17	17-6	9-14	2-2	1-4	2-1	4-7	8-5	10-3	5-0	2-2	3-0	0-1	50
HOME	12-3	5-9	10-2	3-9	1-0	0-2	1-1	1-6	1-1	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	49
AWAY	11-6	11-6	6-3	5-4	1-2	1-1	1-0	2-1	4-3	5-2	3-0	1-1	1-0	0-1	53
NAT.	23-11	17-16	14-6	7-13	2-2	1-4	2-1	2-6	7-5	9-3	4-0	2-2	3-0	0-1	49
ART.	4-0	3-1	3-0	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	43-19	32-29	30-11	17-24	4-2	2-7	4-3	7-12	11-8	14-5	6-1	4-3	3-0	1-1	50
HOME	19-5	10-13	17-4	8-13	3-0	0-3	2-2	3-8	1-1	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	50
AWAY	19-10	16-13	12-5	8-9	1-2	2-2	2-1	3-4	6-5	7-4	4-1	2-2	1-0	0-1	51
NAT.	37-18	28-26	26-10	15-21	4-2	2-7	4-2	5-10	9-8	12-5	5-1	3-3	3-0	1-1	50
ART.	6-1	4-3	4-1	2-3	—	—	0-1	2-2	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	52
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	84-38	69-50	57-15	38-34	6-3	7-8	5-5	20-18	22-23	29-16	11-2	6-6	5-3	7-5	51
HOME	38-13	27-21	30-8	18-20	3-1	1-4	2-3	12-12	5-5	9-1	4-0	1-0	1-1	3-0	50
AWAY	39-19	34-24	24-5	17-12	3-2	5-2	2-2	7-6	13-14	15-12	6-2	3-5	3-0	3-5	53
NAT.	74-30	61-40	49-14	33-30	5-3	7-8	4-4	17-15	20-16	26-10	10-1	5-4	5-3	6-2	51
ART.	10-8	8-10	8-1	5-4	1-0	—	1-1	3-3	2-7	3-6	1-1	1-2	—	1-3	52

CONNECTICUT HUSKIES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.
Bost. Coll. †	16-24	+32	W A	Va. Tech	10-52	+50	W N	E. Mich. †	25-32	+20	W A	Hofstra †	17-56	—	A	Colgate	45-35	—	N
Ga. Tech	14-31	+23	W N	E. Wash.	17-35	—	N	Colgate †	37-7	—	N	Kentucky	14-45	—	N	Maine	35-27	—	N
Buffalo †	24-3	-2	W N	Buffalo	20-37	-6	L N	Buffalo †	24-21	-14	L N	Buffalo	23-0	—	N	Yale	63-21	—	N
Ohio U.	37-19	-5	W N	Rutgers †	20-19	+19	W N	N'earstern	27-35	—	N	Maine	34-20	—	N	New Hamp.	20-34	—	N
Ball St.	21-24*	-6	L N	E. Mich.	19-0	+1	W N	Louisville	22-41	+30	W N	R. Island	20-9	—	N	Hofstra	40-18	—	N
Miami-Fla. †	14-48	+43	W N	So. Florida †	21-40	+18	L N	Bost. Coll.	3-55	+28	L A	Villanova	45-48*	—	N	Mass.	44-41*	—	N
Temple	24-38	+2	L N	Ball St.	5-10	+10	W N	Akron †	38-35	+21	W A	J. Madison	14-48	—	A	R. Island	31-17	—	N
Vanderbilt	24-28	+8	W N	Cincinnati	28-45	+27	W A	So. Florida	13-21	—	N	Richmond	21-28*	—	N	La-Monroe	32-22	—	N
Fla. Atlantic	61-14	—	N	Utah St.	31-38	+14	W N	Mid. Tenn.	10-66	+10	L N	N'earstern	29-24	—	N	Delaware	17-59	—	N
Kent State	63-21	-6	W N	Mid. Tenn.	14-38	+29	W A	R. Island	21-26	—	N	New Hamp.	18-43	—	N	W. & Mary	34-26	—	N
Navy	38-0	-6	W N	Temple	7-56	+17	L A	Ball St.	0-29	+16	L N	Mass.	20-62	—	N	Mass.	28-27	—	N
Iowa St.	37-20	+18	W N													Hampton	42-34	—	N
																Ga. Southern	30-52	—	N
1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	str.up	vs.spr.
La-Monroe †	38-26	—	N	Buffalo †	20-3	—	N	New Hamp. †	23-21	—	N	Nicholls St.	7-16	—	N	Furman	17-26	—	N
Hofstra	35-31	—	A	La-Monroe	21-19	—	N	C. Conn. St. †	54-9	—	N	Troy St. †	21-31	—	N	New Hamp.	24-23	—	N
Yale	28-0	—	N	New Hamp.	13-21	—	N	Buffalo †	26-25	—	N	Richmond †	35-21	—	N	J. Madison	45-34	—	N
Buffalo	55-0	—	N	Yale	42-6	—	N	Yale	39-20	—	N	New Hamp.	19-20	—	N	Yale	25-14	—	N
Maine	47-49*	—	N	Villanova	27-38	—	N	Villanova	14-13	—	A	Yale	17-28	—	N	Towson St.	27-28	—	N
W. & Mary †	17-38	—	N	Maine	16-17	—	N	Maine	31-30	—	N	Villanova	26-10	—	N	Villanova	14-17	—	A
R. Island	37-21	—	N	Hofstra	16-24	—	N	R. Island	19-24	—	N	Maine	31-35	—	N	Mass.	17-20	—	N
Boston U.	45-7	—	N	Boston U.	45-10	—	A	La-Monroe	9-10	—	N	R. Island	33-16	—	N	Maine	14-13	—	N
Delaware	29-37	—	N	J. Madison	6-14	—	N	Boston U.	28-17	—	N	Boston U.	9-26	—	A	Richmond	21-3	—	A
Mass.	49-16	—	N	Mass.	38-39	—	N	J. Madison	16-24	—	A	J. Madison	20-48	—	A	R. Island	41-9	—	N
New Hamp.	18-21	—	N					Mass.	20-7	—	N	Mass.	21-13	—	N	Boston U.	16-30	—	N
STATISTICS SUMMARY				OVERALL RECORD				AS FAV. STR. UP				AS DOG VS. SPREAD				AS DOG VS. SPREAD			
				STRAIGHT-UP				ALL				ALL				ALL			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002				6-6	9-2	4-1		4-1	1-0	3-1	—	1-5	5-1	0-1	—	1-0	4-0	53	
HOME				3-3	3-2	2-1		2-1	—	2-1	—	0-2	1-1	0-1	—	—	1-0	61	
AWAY				3-3	6-0	2-0		2-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-3	4-0	—	—	1-0	3-0	46	
NAT.				6-5	8-2	4-1		4-1	1-0	3-1	—	1-4	4-1	0-1	—	1-0	3-0	54	
ART.				0-1	1-0	—		—	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	40	
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002				8-15	16-5	4-2		4-2	1-0	3-2	—	3-12	12-3	1-1	—	1-0	10-2	52	
HOME				4-7	6-3	2-2		2-2	—	2-2	—	1-4	4-1	1-1	—	—	3-0	52	
AWAY				4-8	10-2	2-0		2-0	1-0	1-0	—	2-8	8-2	—	—	1-0	7-2	52	
NAT.				8-11	13-4	4-2		4-2	1-0	3-2	—	3-8	9-2	1-1	—	1-0	7-1	51	
ART.				0-4	3-1	—		—	—	—	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	57	
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002				11-23	19-9	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	4-17	15-6	1-1	—	1-0	13-5	52	
HOME				5-11	6-4	2-2		2-2	—	2-2	—	1-5	4-2	1-1	—	—	3-1	52	
AWAY				6-12	13-5	3-0		2-1	1-0	1-0	—	3-12	11-4	—	—	1-0	10-4	52	
NAT.				10-17	14-7	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	3-11	10-4	1-1	—	1-0	8-3	51	
ART.				1-6	5-2	—		—	—	—	—	1-6	5-2	—	—	—	5-2	59	
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002				25-33	19-9	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	4-17	15-6	1-1	—	1-0	13-5	56	
HOME				13-15	6-4	2-2		2-2	—	2-2	—	1-5	4-2	1-1	—	—	3-1	55	
AWAY				12-18	13-5	3-0		2-1	1-0	1-0	—	3-12	11-4	—	—	1-0	10-4	58	
NAT.				24-25	14-7	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	3-11	10-4	1-1	—	1-0	8-3	56	
ART.				1-8	5-2	—		—	—	—	—	1-6	5-2	—	—	—	5-2	61	
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002				54-58	19-9	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	4-17	15-6	1-1	—	1-0	13-5	52	
HOME				28-28	6-4	2-2		2-2	—	2-2	—	1-5	4-2	1-1	—	—	3-1	51	
AWAY				26-30	13-5	3-0		2-1	1-0	1-0	—	3-12	11-4	—	—	1-0	10-4	53	
NAT.				49-46	14-7	5-2		4-3	1-0	3-2	—	3-11	10-4	1-1	—	1-0	8-3	52	
ART.				5-12	5-2	—		—	—	—	—	1-6	5-2	—	—	—	5-2	52	

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 1-5 0-1 2-9	vs.spr. 8-4 3-3 5-1 0-1 8-3	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-11 0-6 0-5 — 0-11	vs.spr. 2-8 1-5 1-4 — 2-9	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-11 0-5 0-6 — 0-11	vs.spr. 5-6 3-2 2-4 — 5-6	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-4 2-3 0-4 3-4	vs.spr. 5-6 2-3 2-3 0-4 5-2	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-3 2-4 1-2 2-5	vs.spr. 4-6 1-3 3-3 1-2 3-4					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
E. Carolina	23-16	+14	W	N	Florida St. †	13-55	+30	L	N	E. Carolina †	0-38	+10-	L	N	E. Carolina †	9-27	+4	L	N	W. Carolina †	24-10	—	-	N
Louisville †	3-40	+18	L	N	† Rice †	13-15	+9	W	A	† N'western	5-38	+13	L	N	† N'western	12-15*	-8	L	N	† N'western	44-10	+13	W	N
† N'western	21-26	+6	W	N	† N'western †	7-44	+16	L	N	† Virginia †	10-26	+19-	W	N	† Vanderbilt †	14-31	-3-	L	N	† Florida St. †	13-62	+26-	L	N
† Florida St. †	17-48	+45	W	N	† Virginia	10-31	+16	L	N	† Vanderbilt †	7-26	+18	L	N	§ Florida St. at Jacksonville	23-51	+40	W	N	† Virginia	0-24	+10	L	N
† Navy	43-17	+2	W	N	† Ga. Tech	10-37	+24	L	N	† Clemson	22-52	+37	W	N	† Virginia	24-17*	+17	W	N	† Ga. Tech	13-41	+10	L	N
Virginia	22-27	+10-	W	N	† Wk. Forest	35-42	+8	W	N	† Florida St. †	14-63	+48-	L	N	† Ga. Tech	31-38	+16-	W	N	† Wk. Forest †	19-16	+4-	W	N
† Wk. Forest	10-36	+10-	L	N	† Maryland	17-59	+25	L	N	† Ga. Tech	10-45	+28	L	N	† N.C. State	24-31*	-1-	L	N	† N.C. State	24-27	+10	W	N
† N.C. State	22-24	+23-	W	N	† Vanderbilt	28-42	+7	L	N	† Maryland	9-20	+12	W	N	† Maryland	25-22	+9-	W	N	† Clemson	28-23	-2-	W	N
† Maryland	12-45	+13-	L	N	† N.C. State	31-55	+17	L	N	† Wk. Forest	26-28	+5	W	N	† Clemson	7-58	+12	L	N	† Vanderbilt	33-36*	-4	L	A
† Clemson	31-34	+10	W	N	† No. Carolina	17-52	+27-	L	N	† N.C. State	31-35	+19	W	N	† Wk. Forest	48-35	+3-	W	N	† Maryland	25-42	-9	L	N
† Ga. Tech	2-17	+16-	W	N	† Clemson	31-59	+27	L	N	† No. Carolina	21-59	+12	L	N	† No. Carolina	0-38	-3	L	N	† No. Carolina	6-28	+6-	L	N
† No. Carolina	21-23	-1-	L	N																				

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-4 0-5 2-9	vs.spr. 7-4 4-2 3-2 7-4	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-11 0-5 0-6 0-1 0-10	vs.spr. 5-6 4-1 1-5 0-1 5-5	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-4 3-1 0-7	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-3 2-2 2-5	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 5-1 3-2 3-4 5-2	vs.spr. 8-4 4-2 4-1 3-2 5-2	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 1-1 2-7	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 1-1 5-4					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
N.C. State †	14-45	+7	L	N	† Florida St.	7-44	+38	W	N	§ Florida St. at Orlando	26-70	+27	L	N	† Maryland	49-16	+3	W	N	† Florida St. †	7-45	+31	L	N
† N'western	20-24	+17	W	N	† N'western	13-38	+9-	L	N	† Rutgers †	24-14	-3-	W	N	† E. Carolina †	13-10	-6	L	N	† Rutgers † at E. Ruth.	38-39	+12	W	A
† Army †	20-17	+5-	W	N	† Army	17-35	+3-	L	A	† Army	23-21	-9-	L	A	† Army †	43-7	-13-	W	N	† Army	42-21	-10	W	N
† Navy	26-17	+10-	W	N	† Ga. Tech †	22-48	+17-	L	N	† Maryland †	28-41	+5	L	N	† Ga. Tech	27-12	+5-	W	A	† Virginia	0-35	+18-	L	A
† Maryland †	10-16	+3-	L	N	† Navy	27-64	+8	L	N	† Navy †	9-30	-14	L	N	† Navy	47-14	-23-	W	N	† Tennessee	19-52	+27-	L	A
† Florida St. †	27-51	+35-	W	N	† Clemson	6-13	+9-	W	N	† Ga. Tech	21-37	+4	L	N	† Clemson	19-13	-7	L	N	† Clemson	10-13	+6-	W	N
† Virginia	10-13	+16-	W	N	† Maryland	19-22	+7	W	N	† Virginia	30-44	+24	W	N	† Wk. Forest	51-26	-18	W	N	† Maryland	18-26	-3-	L	N
† Wk. Forest	24-38	+3-	L	N	† Virginia	3-27	+26-	W	N	† N.C. State	38-41	+1	L	N	† Florida St.	20-59	+23-	L	N	† Wk. Forest	21-13	+4	W	N
† Clemson	20-29*	+14-	W	N	† N.C. State	22-44	+10	L	N	† Wk. Forest	42-26	-9	W	N	† Virginia	28-25	+8	W	N	† Ga. Tech	14-47	+4	L	N
† Ga. Tech	38-41	+7-	W	N	† Wk. Forest	16-17	-1-	L	N	† Clemson	17-34	+15	L	N	† N.C. State	23-24	+3	W	N	† N.C. State	21-20	+9-	W	N
† No. Carolina	14-50	+21-	L	N	† No. Carolina	10-27	+31-	W	N	† No. Carolina	24-28	+15	W	N	† No. Carolina	40-41	+3	W	N	† No. Carolina	24-38	+23	W	N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	8-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-9	8-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	5-3	48
HOME	1-5	3-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-4	3-2	—	—	1-0	2-2	49
AWAY	1-5	5-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	5-1	1-0	1-0	—	3-1	47
NAT.	2-10	8-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-9	8-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	5-3	48
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	2-21	10-13	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-20	10-12	1-0	1-1	3-0	5-11	55
HOME	1-11	4-8	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-10	4-7	—	0-1	2-0	2-6	58
AWAY	1-10	6-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	6-5	1-0	1-0	1-0	3-5	53
NAT.	2-20	9-13	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-19	9-12	1-0	1-1	2-0	5-11	57
ART.	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	28
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	2-32	15-19	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-31	15-18	1-0	2-1	3-0	9-17	54
HOME	1-16	7-10	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-15	7-9	—	0-1	2-0	5-8	56
AWAY	1-16	8-9	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-16	8-9	1-0	2-0	1-0	4-9	53
NAT.	2-31	14-19	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-30	14-18	1-0	2-1	2-0	9-17	55
ART.	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	28
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	9-47	24-31	1-7	1-7	1-3	0-2	0-2	—	7-40	23-24	1-0	4-3	5-2	13-19	53
HOME	4-23	10-16	1-5	1-5	1-2	0-1	0-2	—	2-18	9-11	—	1-2	2-1	6-8	53
AWAY	5-23	13-15	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	5-21	13-13	1-0	3-1	3-1	6-11	52
NAT.	9-45	23-30	1-6	1-6	1-3	0-1	0-2	—	7-39	22-24	1-0	4-3	4-2	13-19	53
ART.	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	48
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	25-87	54-57	10-10	7-13	1-4	1-5	2-3	3-1	14-77	47-44	4-1	9-10	9-6	25-27	53
HOME	15-39	27-26	7-6	5-8	1-2	1-3	2-2	1-1	7-33	22-18	2-1	4-6	6-2	10-9	51
AWAY	10-45	26-29	3-4	2-5	0-2	0-2	0-1	2-0	7-41	24-24	2-0	5-4	3-3	14-17	53
NAT.	23-81	51-52	9-9	7-11	1-4	1-4	2-2	3-1	13-72	44-41	4-1	8-9	8-6	24-25	53
ART.	2-6	3-5	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	1-5	3-3	—	1-1	1-0	1-2	51

EAST CAROLINA PIRATES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
str.up. vs. spr. overall 4-8 3-8-1 home 3-2 2-3 away 1-6 1-5-1 as fav 2-2 1-3 as dog 1-6 1-5-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 6-6 5-6 home 2-3 1-3 away 4-2 4-2 as fav 5-4 4-5 as dog 0-2 1-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 8-4 6-6 home 4-2 2-4 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 5-3 3-5 as dog 3-1 3-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 9-3 9-3 home 5-1 5-1 away 3-1 3-1 as fav 6-2 6-2 as dog 2-1 2-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 6-5 4-6 home 3-2 1-3 away 3-3 3-3 as fav 4-1 2-3 as dog 1-4 2-3
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Duke 16-23 -14 L N @ Wk. Forest † 22-27 +5 P N Tulane † 24-20 -9 L N @ W. Virginia 17-37 +7- L A Army 59-24 -20 W N So. Florida 30-46 +1 L N @ Louisville 20-44 +14- L N @ Houston 54-48* P W N @ UAB 29-36 -4 L N TCU 31-28 +9 W N @ So. Miss. 7-24 +9- L N Cincinnati † 26-42 +8 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Wk. Forest † 19-21 -15- L N @ Tulane 51-24 -9- W A W. & Mary 38-23 - - N @ Syracuse 30-44 +5- L A @ No. Carolina 21-24 +6 W N @ Army 49-26 -14 W A Memphis 32-11 -11- W N @ TCU † 37-30 -5- W N @ Cincinnati 28-26 -8 L A Louisville † 34-39 -1- L N So. Miss. † 21-28 -3 L N Gmac Bowl at Mobile, AL § Marshall † 61-64* -2- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Duke † 38-0 -10- W N Va. Tech † 28-45 +5 L N Tulane 37-17 -21 L N Syracuse 34-17 -5- W N @ Memphis 10-17 -6- L N Army † 42-21 -25 L N @ Louisville † 28-25 +1 W N UAB 13-16 -13 L N @ Houston 62-20 -14- W N @ W. Virginia 24-42 -3- L A @ So. Miss. 14-9 +6 W N Gallery Furniture.com at Houston § Texas Tech † 40-27 +1 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf § W. Virginia at Charlotte 30-23 +5 W N Duke 27-9 -4 W N @ So. Carolina † 21-3 P W N Miami-Fla † 27-23 +11 W N @ Army 33-14 -11 W A So. Miss. 22-39 +2 L N Tulane 52-7 -10- W N @ Houston 19-3 -4- W N @ UAB 17-36 -13 L N Cincinnati 48-34 -10 W N N.C. State 23-6 -8 W N Mobile Alabama Bowl at Mobile § TCU † 14-28 -5 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Va. Tech 3-38 +9- L N Chattanooga 31-0 - - N @ Ohio U. 21-14 -1 W N Army 30-25 -13 L N Ala.-Birm. 26-7 -13- W N @ Alabama at Birm. 22-23 +9 W N @ So. Miss. 7-41 +10 L N Houston 31-34 -6 L N @ Cincinnati † 24-21 -14- L A Louisville 45-63 +3 L N @ Memphis 34-31 +2 W N
str.up. vs. spr. overall 5-6 3-6-2 home 3-2 2-2-1 away 2-4 1-4-1 as fav 4-0 2-2 as dog 1-5 1-3-2	str.up. vs. spr. overall 8-3 5-4 home 4-1 0-3 away 3-2 4-1 as fav 5-1 3-3 as dog 1-2 2-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 9-3 6-4-2 home 5-0 2-2-1 away 3-3 3-2-1 as fav 6-1 3-3-1 as dog 3-2 3-1-1	str.up. vs. spr. overall 7-5 5-6 home 3-2 1-3 away 4-2 4-2 as fav 4-0 2-2 as dog 2-5 3-4	str.up. vs. spr. overall 2-9 3-7 home 2-3 0-4 away 0-6 3-3 as fav 1-0 0-1 as dog 0-9 3-6
opponent score vs. spread surf @ W. Virginia 17-24 +7 P A Wk. Forest 25-24 -11- L N So. Carolina 0-26 P L N @ Syracuse 0-56 +15 L A So. Miss. 13-23 +10 P N @ Tulane † 16-33 +10- L A Memphis 32-10 -2- W N @ Louisville 45-31 -2- W A @ Houston 28-27 -2- L N Cincinnati † 14-7 +3 W N @ N.C. State 24-37 +10- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf E. Tenn. St. 45-21 - - N @ W. Virginia 9-10 +8 W A @ So. Carolina † 23-7 -1 W N C. Florida 28-7 - - N So. Miss. † 7-28 -7 L N @ Miami-Fla † 31-6 +17- W N Arkansas St. 34-16 -35 L N @ Va. Tech † 14-35 +6 L N Ohio U. 55-45 -17 L N @ Memphis 20-10 -7 W N § N.C. State at Charlotte 50-29 -9 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Tennessee † 7-27 +17 L N @ Syracuse 27-24 +4- W A C. Mich. 30-17 -19- L N @ Illinois 0-7 +7 P A W. Virginia 23-20 -3 P N @ Cincinnati † 10-13 -4- L A Temple 32-22 -17 L N @ So. Miss. 36-34 +4- W N @ Army 31-25 -1 W A Tulsa 28-7 -14 W N Memphis 31-17 -13 W N Liberty Bowl at Memphis § Stanford 19-13 +3- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Duke † 10-13 +6 W N @ Temple † 31-14 -13- W A Syracuse 18-21 +1- L N So. Miss. 31-10 -3 W N @ So. Carolina 56-42 +8 W N Va. Tech 20-27 +6 L N Tulsa † 28-21 -9 L A Cincinnati 35-21 -17 L N @ Auburn 21-38 +14 L N C. Florida 23-20 - - N @ Memphis 30-6 +1- W N Liberty Bowl at Memphis § Illinois 0-30 +7 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Syracuse † 22-41 +17- L N C. Florida 41-17 - - N @ Wash. 0-35 +31- L A Memphis 7-34 +8 L N @ So. Carolina 3-27 +16 L N La. Tech 31-28 -6- L N @ So. Miss. 16-24 +9 W N @ Va. Tech 12-31 +21 W N Tulsa 26-52 +4 L N @ Kentucky 3-6 +19 W N @ Cincinnati 14-34 +12- L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	3-8-1	2-2	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	1-6	1-5-1	0-1	0-0-1	1-3	0-1	61
HOME	3-2	2-3	2-0	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	1-1	—	66
AWAY	1-6	1-5-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	0-4	0-3-1	—	0-0-1	0-2	0-1	57
NAT.	4-7	3-7-1	2-2	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	1-5	1-4-1	0-1	0-0-1	1-2	0-1	61
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	54
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	10-14	8-14-1	7-6	5-8	0-3	1-1	1-2	3-2	1-8	2-6-1	0-1	1-1-1	1-3	0-1	63
HOME	5-5	3-6	3-3	2-4	0-2	—	0-1	2-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	1-1	—	59
AWAY	5-8	5-7-1	4-2	3-3	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-6	1-4-1	—	1-1-1	0-2	0-1	61
NAT.	7-12	6-11-1	4-6	3-7	0-3	1-1	0-1	2-2	1-6	2-4-1	0-1	1-0-1	1-2	0-1	62
ART.	3-2	2-3	3-0	2-1	—	—	1-1	1-0	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	66
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-18	14-20-1	12-9	8-13	0-3	2-3	1-2	5-5	4-9	5-7-1	2-1	2-2-1	1-3	0-1	59
HOME	9-7	5-10	7-4	4-7	0-2	1-0	0-1	3-4	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-1	1-1	—	59
AWAY	8-10	8-9-1	5-4	4-5	—	1-3	1-1	2-1	2-6	3-4-1	1-0	2-1-1	0-2	0-1	55
NAT.	14-15	11-16-1	9-8	6-11	0-3	2-2	0-1	4-5	3-7	4-5-1	1-1	2-1-1	1-2	0-1	57
ART.	4-3	3-4	3-1	2-2	—	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	—	66
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	33-26	27-29-1	22-12	16-18	1-3	4-5	3-2	8-8	7-14	9-11-1	3-3	3-2-1	2-5	1-1	55
HOME	17-10	11-14	13-5	9-9	0-2	2-1	2-1	5-5	2-5	2-5	0-3	0-1	1-1	1-0	57
AWAY	14-14	14-13-1	9-5	7-7	1-0	2-3	1-1	3-3	3-9	5-6-1	2-0	2-1-1	1-4	0-1	50
NAT.	27-23	23-24-1	17-11	13-15	1-3	4-4	2-1	6-7	6-12	8-9-1	2-3	3-1-1	2-4	1-1	54
ART.	6-3	4-5	5-1	3-3	—	0-1	1-1	2-1	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	—	61
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	64-52	49-56-5	42-14	26-29-1	6-4-1	5-8	4-3	11-14	14-37	21-26-4	5-4	7-6-3	5-6-1	4-10	50
HOME	34-18	16-28-2	25-6	13-17-1	2-2-1	2-3	2-1	7-11	3-11	3-10-1	1-4	0-3	1-2-1	1-1	53
AWAY	26-31	29-25-3	16-6	12-10	4-1	3-4	1-2	4-3	8-25	15-15-3	3-0	5-2-3	4-4	3-9	47
NAT.	53-41	40-45-3	33-12	20-24-1	4-4-1	5-6	3-1	8-13	12-28	18-20-2	4-4	6-5-1	4-5-1	4-6	50
ART.	11-11	9-11-2	9-2	6-5	2-0	0-2	1-2	3-1	2-9	3-6-2	1-0	1-1-2	1-1	0-4	50

EASTERN MICHIGAN EAGLES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 3-9 home 3-3 away 0-6 as fav 1-5 as dog 1-9	overall 2-9 home 2-3 away 0-6 as fav 1-1 as dog 0-7	overall 3-8 home 3-3 away 0-5 as fav 2-0 as dog 1-8	overall 4-7 home 3-1 away 1-6 as fav 2-1 as dog 1-6	overall 3-8 home 1-4 away 2-4 as fav 1-2 as dog 2-5
str.up 2-8 vs.spr. 1-3 opponent score vs. spread surf @ Mich. St. 7-56 +37 L N Toledo † 13-65 +21- L A S.E. MO St. † 35-32 — - A @ Maryland † 3-45 +35 L N S. Illinois † 48-45* — - A Akron † 42-34 +11 W A @ Ohio U. 27-55 + 8 L N @ Ball St. 17-42 +14 L N C. Mich. 21-47 + 9- L A @ W. Mich. 31-33 +26- W A N. Illinois 21-49 +23 L A @ Bowl. Green † 21-63 +34 L N	str.up 6-3 vs.spr. 2-1 opponent score vs. spread surf S.E. MO St. † 16-12 — - A @ Maryland † 3-50 +25- L N Indiana St. † 14-21 — - A W. Mich. † 10-31 +26 W A @ Connecticut 0-19 — - L N Ball St. † 14-35 +10 L A Buffalo 24-20 — 3 W A @ N. Illinois 17-40 +24 W A @ C. Mich. 30-35 +13 W A @ Toledo † 7-28 +34 W A @ Akron † 62-65* +16 W A	str.up 3-8 vs.spr. 2-4 opponent score vs. spread surf Connecticut † 32-25 —20 L A Miami-OH 17-34 + 7- L A @ So. Carolina † 6-41 +23- L N @ Temple † 40-49 +15 W A at Franklin Field C. Florida 10-31 + 6 L A Toledo 14-42 +18 L A @ Ball St. 14-33 + 5- L N @ Bowl. Green † 6-20 + 9 L N C. Mich. 31-15 — 9- W A N. Illinois 39-32 +15- W A @ W. Mich. 0-28 +27 L N	str.up 4-6 vs.spr. 2-1 opponent score vs. spread surf @ Mich. St. 7-51 +32 L A @ Miami-OH 14-35 +24- W N Akron † 38-17 P W A @ Louisville † 10-45 +22- L N W. Mich. † 37-40 + 8- W A Ohio U. † 27-26 — 1 P A @ Toledo 20-13 +10- W A @ C. Florida 6-31 + 8 L N Ball St. † 31-21 —11- L A @ C. Mich. 26-29 — 3- L A @ N. Illinois 23-30 + 4- L A	str.up 5-4 vs.spr. 2-2 opponent score vs. spread surf N. Iowa † 10-13 — - A @ Ball St. 13-7 + 8- W N @ Michigan 20-59 +35 L N Marshall 23-26 +14- W A @ Kent State † 26-17 — 8- W A C. Mich. † 23-36* — 3- L A @ W. Mich. 35-45 +10 P N N. Illinois † 14-26 —10 L A @ Ohio U. 21-49 +13- L N @ Akron 21-24 + 8- W A Toledo 10-7 +12 W A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 4-7 home 2-3 away 2-4 as fav 3-2 as dog 1-4	overall 3-8 home 2-4 away 1-4 as fav 2-0 as dog 1-8	overall 6-5 home 3-1 away 3-4 as fav 4-0 as dog 2-5	overall 5-6 home 2-3 away 3-3 as fav 0-1 as dog 0-1	overall 4-7 home 2-4 away 2-3 as fav 0-2 as dog 1-1
str.up 5-5 vs.spr. 2-3 opponent score vs. spread surf @ Missouri † 24-44 +16 L N @ Toledo † 35-38 + 6- W A Kent State † 38-41 —20- L A @ C. Mich. 31-24 + 3 W A Ohio U. † 7-47 + 8 L A Ball St. † 38-32 — 2- W A Akron † 45-0 — 7- W A @ Marshall 25-48 +20 L A W. Mich. 38-41 — 1 L A @ N. Illinois 38-10 — 9 W A @ C. Florida 10-27 — - N	str.up 5-6 vs.spr. 2-4 opponent score vs. spread surf Temple † 24-28 + 2- L A @ Wisconsin 3-24 +27 W A @ W. Mich. † 19-12 + 4 W N Toledo † 7-24 + 1 L A @ Mich. St. 0-47 +19 L A @ Ohio U. 0-7 + 2- L N Miami-OH † 25-35 +13 W A @ C. Mich. 36-41 + 8- W A Kent State 51-10 —13 W A Ball St. 25-39 + 4 L A Akron 20-17 — 9 L A	str.up 6-5 vs.spr. 2-3 opponent score vs. spread surf @ Akron † 49-29 —11 W A @ Pittsburgh † 30-66 +19- L A UNLV † 51-6 + 1- W A Ohio U. † 31-20 —15- L A C. Mich. † 34-24 — 1 W A @ Syracuse 24-52 +24 L A @ Ball St. 40-35 + 7- W N @ Toledo 28-34 +12- W A @ Miami-OH 23-39 + 9- L N W. Mich. † 13-23 + 1 L A @ Kent State 40-7 —14 W N	str.up 0-1 vs.spr. 0-1 opponent score vs. spread surf @ UNLV † 3-17 — - A @ Wisconsin 0-56 +38 L A C. Mich. 29-30 — - A Bowl. Green 13-30 — - A Miami-OH 17-21 — - A @ Kent State 24-10 — - N @ W. Mich. 14-33 — - N @ Ball St. 41-20 — - N Akron 42-18 — - A @ Ohio U. 24-13 — - N Toledo 40-37 — - A	str.up 1-1 vs.spr. 0-1 opponent score vs. spread surf @ W. Virginia 6-48 +36- L A Temple † 28-31 + 8- W A W. Illinois † 16-14 — - A @ Miami-OH 15-7 — - N Kent State † 20-15 — - A @ C. Mich. 28-21 — - A W. Mich. † 20-21 — - A Ball St. 13-18 — - A @ Akron 7-19 — - A Ohio U. 10-12 — - A @ Toledo † 0-14 — - A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	2-8	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-9	2-8	—	—	0-2	2-6	71
HOME	3-3	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	—	0-1	1-2	75
AWAY	0-6	1-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	1-5	—	—	0-1	1-4	66
NAT.	0-5	0-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	0-5	—	—	0-1	0-4	67
ART.	3-4	2-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-4	2-3	—	—	0-1	2-2	73
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	5-18	8-11	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-16	7-10	—	—	0-3	7-7	61
HOME	5-6	3-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	—	—	0-2	2-2	59
AWAY	0-12	5-7	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-11	5-6	—	—	0-1	5-5	63
NAT.	0-7	0-7	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-6	0-6	—	—	0-1	0-5	58
ART.	5-11	8-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-10	7-4	—	—	0-2	7-2	62
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	8-26	11-19	3-1	2-2	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	2-24	9-17	—	0-2	0-5	9-10	57
HOME	8-9	5-8	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	1-0	0-1	2-8	3-7	—	0-1	0-3	3-3	57
AWAY	0-17	6-11	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-16	6-10	—	0-1	0-2	6-7	58
NAT.	0-11	0-11	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-10	0-10	—	0-1	0-2	0-7	50
ART.	8-15	11-8	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	1-0	0-1	2-14	9-7	—	0-1	0-3	9-3	61
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	15-41	20-29-2	6-4	3-6-1	1-1-1	0-2	2-1	0-2	5-35	16-23-1	—	0-3	3-6-1	13-14	54
HOME	12-14	9-11-1	5-2	2-4-1	1-0-1	0-1	1-1	0-2	3-10	6-7	—	0-1	1-3	5-3	53
AWAY	3-27	11-18-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	0-1	1-0	—	2-25	10-16-1	—	0-2	2-3-1	8-11	55
NAT.	1-17	2-15-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-16	2-14-1	—	0-1	1-3-1	1-10	52
ART.	14-24	18-14-1	6-3	3-5-1	1-0-1	0-2	2-1	0-2	4-19	14-9	—	0-2	2-3	12-4	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	37-74	37-47-2	15-6	10-10-1	3-2-1	0-2	4-2	3-4	9-55	26-37-1	2-4	2-4	6-8-1	16-21	52
HOME	23-29	16-20-1	11-4	6-8-1	3-1-1	0-1	2-2	1-4	4-17	9-12	1-3	0-2	2-4	6-3	52
AWAY	14-45	21-27-1	4-2	4-2	0-1	0-1	2-0	2-0	5-38	17-25-1	1-1	2-2	4-4-1	10-18	53
NAT.	8-22	5-18-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	—	1-0	3-19	4-17-1	0-1	1-1	2-4-1	1-11	49
ART.	29-52	32-29-1	14-5	9-9-1	3-1-1	0-2	4-2	2-4	6-36	22-20	2-3	1-3	4-4	15-10	54

FLORIDA GATORS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-5 5-2 2-2 2-2	vs.spr. 4-9 2-5 1-3 2-7 2-2	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 5-1 3-1 10-2 —	vs.spr. 8-4 4-2 3-1 8-4 —	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-3 6-0 2-2 10-1 0-2	vs.spr. 9-4 5-1 2-2 9-2 0-2	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-4 4-2 4-0 9-3 0-1	vs.spr. 4-8-1 0-5-1 3-1 4-7-1 0-1	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-0 2-2 9-1 0-1	vs.spr. 4-7 1-4 1-3 4-6 0-1				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf				
UAB	51-3	-31-	W N	Marshall †	49-14	-31-	W N	Ball St. †	40-19	-45	L N	W. Mich. †	55-26	-35-	L N	Citadel †	49-10	—	N				
Miami-Fla. †	16-41	-2-	L N	La-Monroe †	55-6	-55	L N	Mid. Tenn. †	55-0	-40	W N	C. Florida †	58-27	-36	L N	La-Monroe †	42-10	-44	L N				
Ohio U.	34-6	-41-	L N	Ⓜ Kentucky	44-10	-30	W N	Ⓜ Tennessee	27-23	-3-	W N	Tennessee †	23-21	-2	P N	Ⓜ Tennessee †	17-20*	-3	L N				
Ⓜ Tennessee	30-13	+5	W N	Miss. St.	52-0	-18-	W N	Kentucky	59-31	-22	W N	Ⓜ Kentucky †	38-10	-20	W N	Kentucky	51-35	-21	L N				
Kentucky	41-34	-19	L N	Ⓜ LSU	44-15	-13-	W N	Ⓜ Miss. St.	35-47	-7-	L N	Alabama	39-40*	-16	L N	Ⓜ Alabama	16-10	-17	L N				
Ⓜ Mississippi	14-17	-13-	L N	Ⓜ Auburn †	20-23	-24	L N	LSU	41-9	-14	W N	Ⓜ LSU	31-10	-14	W N	LSU †	22-10	-13	L N				
LSU †	7-36	-8-	L N	§ Georgia	24-10	-19	L N	Auburn	38-7	-8	W N	Ⓜ Auburn †	32-14	-16-	W N	Auburn	24-3	-19	W N				
Auburn †	30-23*	-8	L N	at Jacksonville	Vanderbilt	71-13	-34	W N	§ Georgia	34-23	-9	W N	§ Georgia	30-14	-14	W N	§ Georgia	38-7	-11-	W N			
§ Georgia †	20-13	+1	W N	Ⓜ So. Carolina †	54-17	-11-	W N	Ⓜ Vanderbilt	43-20	-18-	W N	Vanderbilt	13-6	-28	L N	Ⓜ Vanderbilt	45-13	-29	W A				
Ⓜ Vanderbilt	21-17	-21-	L N	Florida St. †	37-13	-17-	W N	So. Carolina	41-21	-13-	W N	Ⓜ So. Carolina	20-3	-27	L N	So. Carolina	33-14	-32-	L N				
So. Carolina †	28-7	-14	W N	Tennessee	32-34	-17	L N	Ⓜ Florida St. †	7-30	+12	L N	Florida St.	23-30	+3	L N	Ⓜ Florida St.	12-23	+2	L N				
Ⓜ Florida St. †	14-31	+3	L N	Orange Bowl at Miami	§ Maryland †	56-23	-14	W N	SEC Championship at Atlanta	§ Auburn	28-6	-9-	W A	SEC Championship at Atlanta	§ Alabama †	7-34	-7	L A	Orange Bowl at Miami	§ Syracuse †	31-10	-7	W N
Outback Bowl at Tampa	§ Michigan	30-38	+1	L N				Sugar Bowl at New Orleans	§ Miami-Fla. †	20-37	+7	L A	Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando	§ Mich. St.	34-37	-3	L N						

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-0 3-1 9-2 1-0	vs.spr. 8-4 4-2 3-1 7-4 1-0	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 12-1 6-0 3-1 10-1 1-0	vs.spr. 8-4 3-2 2-2 7-4 1-0	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 12-1 6-0 5-0 12-0 0-1	vs.spr. 8-5 2-4 5-0 8-4 0-1	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2-1 6-1 3-0-1 10-2 0-0-1	vs.spr. 8-5 3-2 5-1 7-5 1-0	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-2 5-1 4-1 10-1 1-1	vs.spr. 6-7 3-3 2-3 5-6 1-1				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf				
So. Miss. †	21-6	-25	L N	La-Lafayette †	55-21	-41	L N	Houston †	45-21	-46-	L N	N. Mex. St. †	70-21	-44	W N	Arkansas St. †	44-6	-48	L N				
C. Mich. †	82-6	-43	W N	Ga. Southern †	62-14	—	N	Ⓜ Kentucky †	42-7	-26-	W N	Kentucky	73-7	-24-	W N	Ⓜ Kentucky †	24-20	-14	L N				
Tennessee	33-20	-4	W N	Ⓜ Tennessee	35-29	+1-	W N	Tennessee	62-37	-7-	W N	Ⓜ Tennessee †	31-0	-5-	W N	Tennessee	41-34	+1	W N				
Ⓜ Kentucky	55-28	-23	W N	Kentucky	65-0	-37-	W N	Mississippi	28-10	-29	L N	Ⓜ Mississippi	38-14	-21	W N	Miss. St.	38-24	-17-	L N				
Arkansas †	56-7	-36	W N	Ⓜ Arkansas †	42-7	-31-	W N	Ⓜ LSU †	28-10	-10-	W N	LSU	42-18	-26-	L N	Ⓜ LSU †	58-3	-15	W N				
Ⓜ LSU †	21-28	-17	L N	LSU	56-13	-19	W N	Ⓜ Auburn	49-38	-4	W N	Auburn	33-36	-17	L N	Ⓜ Auburn	35-38	-7-	L N				
Ⓜ Auburn	24-10	-7	W N	Auburn	51-10	-22-	W N	Ⓜ Georgia	52-17	-23-	W N	Georgia †	52-14	-20-	W N	§ Georgia	33-26	-11-	L N				
§ Georgia	17-37	-20	L N	§ Georgia	47-7	-35	W N	N. Illinois	58-20	-49	L N	So. Miss.	55-17	-29	W N	at Jacksonville	La-Lafayette	61-14	-28	W N			
at Jacksonville	Vanderbilt	20-7	-36	L N	Ⓜ Vanderbilt	28-21	-43	L A	Ⓜ So. Carolina †	63-7	-19-	W N	So. Carolina	48-17	-27	W N	Ⓜ So. Carolina	37-26	-14	L N			
Vanderbilt	48-21	-16	W N	So. Carolina	52-25	-37-	L N	Vanderbilt	38-7	-43	L N	Ⓜ Vanderbilt	24-7	-23-	L A	Vanderbilt	52-0	-24	W N				
Florida St.	32-29	+5-	W N	Ⓜ Florida St.	21-24	-3	L N	Florida St.	35-24	-3-	W N	Ⓜ Florida St.	31-31	+2-	W N	Florida St.	21-33	+10-	L N				
Citrus Bowl at Orlando	§ Penn State	21-6	-14	W N	SEC Championship at Atlanta	§ Alabama †	45-30	-14-	W A	§ Arkansas †	34-3	-24-	W A	SEC Championship at Atlanta	§ Alabama	24-23	-6-	L A	SEC Championship at Birm.	Ⓜ Alabama	28-13	-4-	W A
				Sugar Bowl at New Orleans	§ Florida St. †	52-20	-3	W A	Fiesta Bowl at Tempe	§ Nebraska †	24-62	+3-	L X	Sugar Bowl at New Orleans	§ Florida St. †	17-23	-1-	L A	Sugar Bowl at New Orleans	§ W. Virginia †	41-7	-6-	W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	4-9	6-3	2-7	0-1	—	0-2	2-4	2-2	2-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	47
HOME	5-2	2-5	5-2	2-5	0-1	—	0-2	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	51
AWAY	2-2	1-3	1-1	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	39
NAT.	8-5	4-9	6-3	2-7	0-1	—	0-2	2-4	2-2	2-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	47
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-7	12-13	16-5	10-11	0-1	—	0-2	10-8	2-2	2-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	53
HOME	10-3	6-7	10-3	6-7	0-1	—	0-2	6-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	56
AWAY	5-3	4-4	4-2	3-3	—	—	—	3-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	48
NAT.	18-7	12-13	16-5	10-11	0-1	—	0-2	10-8	2-2	2-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	53
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	28-10	21-17	26-6	19-13	0-1	1-0	3-3	15-9	2-4	2-4	1-2	1-1	—	0-1	54
HOME	16-3	11-8	16-3	11-8	0-1	—	1-2	10-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	57
AWAY	7-5	6-6	6-3	5-4	—	1-0	0-1	4-3	1-2	1-2	0-1	1-0	—	0-1	51
NAT.	27-9	20-16	25-6	18-13	0-1	1-0	2-3	15-9	2-3	2-3	1-2	1-0	—	0-1	55
ART.	1-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	45
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	47-16	29-32-1	44-10	27-26-1	0-3-1	2-1	3-3	22-19	2-6	2-6	1-4	1-1	—	0-1	52
HOME	26-5	12-17-1	25-4	12-16-1	0-1-1	—	1-2	11-13	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	56
AWAY	13-7	10-10	12-4	9-7	0-1	1-0	0-1	8-5	1-3	1-3	0-2	1-0	—	0-1	46
NAT.	45-14	27-30-1	42-9	25-25-1	0-3-1	2-0	2-3	21-19	2-5	2-5	1-4	1-0	—	0-1	52
ART.	2-2	2-2	2-1	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	102-24-1	67-57-1	95-16	61-49-1	1-5-1	9-2	4-4	47-38	5-8-1	6-8	4-4	2-2	—	0-2	55
HOME	55-7	29-30-1	51-5	27-28-1	0-1-1	2-0	2-2	23-25	2-2	2-2	1-1	1-0	—	0-1	60
AWAY	31-10-1	25-17	29-7	22-14	0-2	5-0	0-2	17-10	2-3	3-3	2-2	1-0	—	0-1	51
NAT.	92-20-1	60-50-1	85-14	54-44-1	0-4-1	7-0	3-4	44-36	5-6-1	6-6	4-4	2-0	—	0-2	56
ART.	10-3	7-6	10-2	7-5	1-1	2-2	1-0	3-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	48

FLORIDA STATE SEMINOLES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 9-5 6-8 home 5-1 2-4 away 3-3 4-2 as fav 9-3 5-7 as dog 0-2 1-1	overall 8-4 5-5 home 4-2 2-4 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 7-2 5-4 as dog 0-2 0-2	overall 11-2 7-6 home 6-0 5-1 away 4-1 2-3 as fav 11-2 7-6 as dog — —	overall 12-0 6-6 home 6-0 2-4 away 4-0 3-1 as fav 12-0 6-6 as dog — —	overall 11-2 7-6 home 6-0 5-1 away 4-1 2-3 as fav 11-2 7-6 as dog — —
opponent score vs. spread surf § Iowa St. † 38-31 -22 L N at Kansas City Virginia 40-19 -28 L N @ Maryland † 37-10 -18 W N Duke † 48-17 -45 L N @ Louisville † 20-26* -15 L N Clemson † 48-31 -14 W N @ Miami-Fla 27-28 +13 W N Notre Dame 24-34 -10 L N @ Wk. Forest 34-21 -10 W N @ Ga. Tech 21-13 -7 W N No. Carolina 40-14 -31 L N @ N.C. State 7-17 -6 L N Florida † 31-14 -3 W N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Georgia † 13-26 +7 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Duke † 55-13 -30 W N UAB 29-7 -27 L N @ No. Carolina 9-41 -17 L N Wk. Forest † 48-24 -25 L N Miami-Fla 27-49 +7 L N @ Virginia † 43-7 -13 W N Maryland 52-31 -9 W N @ Clemson 41-27 -8 W N N.C. State 28-34 -18 L N @ Florida † 13-37 +17 L N Ga. Tech 28-17 -10 W N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Va. Tech 30-17 P W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Pigskin Classic at Jacksonville § BYU † 29-3 -26 L N @ Ga. Tech † 26-21 -22 L N No. Carolina 63-14 -24 W N Louisville † 31-0 -34 L N @ Maryland † 59-7 -30 W N @ Miami-Fla 24-27 -6 L N Duke † 63-14 -48 W N Virginia 37-3 -31 W N @ N.C. State 58-14 -18 W N Clemson † 54-7 -19 W N @ Wk. Forest 35-6 -48 L N Florida † 30-7 -12 W N Orange Bowl at Miami § Oklahoma † 2-13 -10 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf La. Tech † 41-7 -36 L N Ga. Tech † 41-35 -18 L N N.C. State 42-11 -28 W N @ No. Carolina 42-10 -20 W N § Duke at Jacksonville 51-23 -40 L N Miami-Fla 31-21 -14 L N Wk. Forest † 33-10 -31 L N @ Clemson † 17-14 -16 L N @ Virginia † 35-10 -14 W N Maryland 49-10 -33 W N @ Florida 30-23 -3 W N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Va. Tech † 46-29 -6 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Texas A&M † 23-14 -15 L A at E. Ruth. @ N.C. State 7-24 -26 L N Duke † 62-13 -26 W N USC 30-10 -13 W N @ Maryland 24-10 -28 L N @ Miami-Fla 26-14 -9 W N Clemson † 48-0 -26 W N @ Ga. Tech 34-7 -13 W N No. Carolina † 39-13 -27 L N Virginia 45-14 -17 W N @ Wk. Forest 24-7 -28 L N Florida 23-12 -2 W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe § Tennessee † 16-23 -5 L X
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 11-1 7-5 home 5-0 4-1 away 5-1 2-4 as fav 11-1 7-5 as dog — —	overall 11-1 6-6 home 6-0 2-4 away 5-0 3-0 as fav 10-0 5-5 as dog 1-1 1-1	overall 10-2 6-5 home 6-0 5-0 away 2-2 0-4 as fav 9-1 6-4 as dog 0-1 0-1	overall 10-1 6-6 home 4-0 1-3 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 9-1 5-6 as dog 1-0 1-0	overall 12-1 10-3 home 6-0 6-0 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 12-1 10-3 as dog — —
opponent score vs. spread surf @ USC † 14-7 -11 L N Maryland 50-7 -34 W N @ Clemson 35-28 -19 L N Miami-Fla 47-0 -22 W N @ Duke † 51-27 -35 L N Ga. Tech 38-0 -23 W N @ Virginia † 47-21 -19 W N N.C. State 48-35 -29 L N @ No. Carolina † 20-3 -7 W N Wk. Forest 58-7 -34 W N @ Florida 29-32 -5 L N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Ohio State † 31-14 -6 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Duke 44-7 -38 L N @ N.C. State † 51-17 -28 W N No. Carolina 13-0 -16 L N Clemson † 34-3 -32 L N @ Miami-Fla 34-16 -5 W N Virginia 31-24 -17 L N @ Ga. Tech † 49-3 -19 W N § Wk. Forest at Orlando 44-7 -48 L N So. Miss. † 54-14 -28 W N § Maryland at Miami 48-10 -34 W N Florida 24-21 +3 W N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Florida † 20-52 +3 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Duke at Orlando 70-26 -27 W N @ Clemson 45-26 -20 L N N.C. State 77-17 -27 W N C. Florida † 46-14 — — @ Miami-Fla † 41-17 -20 W N Wk. Forest 72-13 -44 W N Ga. Tech 42-10 -31 W N @ Virginia † 28-33 -19 L N @ No. Carolina 28-12 -19 L N Maryland 59-17 -32 W N @ Florida 24-35 +3 L N Orange Bowl at Miami § Notre Dame † 31-26 -10 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Virginia 41-17 -20 W N @ Maryland 52-20 -34 L N @ Wk. Forest † 56-14 -39 W N No. Carolina † 31-18 -22 L N @ Miami-Fla † 20-34 -3 L N Clemson 17-0 -30 L N Duke 59-20 -23 W N @ Ga. Tech 41-10 -23 W A § Notre Dame at Orlando 23-16 -12 L N @ N.C. State † 34-3 -20 W N Florida 31-31 -2 L N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Florida † 23-17 +1 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Kansas at E. Ruth. 42-0 -25 W A @ Duke † 45-7 -31 W N Clemson 57-0 -22 W N @ No. Carolina † 33-7 -17 W N Ga. Tech 51-0 -34 W N Miami-Fla 28-10 -14 W N Virginia 40-14 -23 W N Wk. Forest 54-0 -44 W N @ Maryland 49-20 -42 L N @ Notre Dame 24-31 -6 L N N.C. State 62-3 -32 W N @ Florida 33-21 -10 W N Orange Bowl at Miami § Nebraska † 18-16 -16 L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-5	6-8	9-3	5-7	1-0	0-1	2-0	2-6	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	52
HOME	5-1	2-4	5-1	2-4	1-0	—	—	1-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	60
AWAY	3-3	4-2	3-2	3-2	—	0-1	2-0	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	43
NAT.	9-4	6-7	9-3	5-7	1-0	0-1	2-0	2-6	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	53
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	39
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-9	12-14	16-5	10-11	1-0	0-1	5-0	4-10	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	55
HOME	9-3	4-8	9-2	4-7	1-0	—	2-0	1-7	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	61
AWAY	6-5	7-4	6-3	6-3	—	0-1	3-0	3-2	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	49
NAT.	17-8	12-13	16-5	10-11	1-0	0-1	5-0	4-10	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	55
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	39
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	28-11	19-20	27-7	17-17	1-0	0-2	5-1	11-14	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	53
HOME	15-3	9-9	15-2	9-8	1-0	—	2-0	6-8	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	58
AWAY	10-6	9-7	10-4	8-6	—	0-2	3-0	5-4	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	51
NAT.	28-10	19-19	27-7	17-17	1-0	0-2	5-1	11-14	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	53
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	39
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	51-13	32-32	50-9	30-29	3-0	1-3	6-1	20-25	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	51
HOME	27-3	16-14	27-2	16-13	2-0	—	2-0	12-13	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	56
AWAY	18-7	14-11	18-5	13-10	1-0	0-2	4-0	8-8	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	47
NAT.	49-11	31-29	48-8	29-27	3-0	0-2	6-1	20-24	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	51
ART.	2-1	1-2	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	105-19-1	67-57	101-13-1	63-52	3-1	4-6	6-1	50-44	2-6	3-5	2-1	0-2	0-1	1-1	53
HOME	54-3-1	35-22	52-2-1	34-21	2-1	—	2-0	30-20	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	0-1	—	56
AWAY	36-12	25-23	36-9	24-21	1-0	2-5	4-0	17-16	0-3	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	51
NAT.	99-16-1	62-53	96-12-1	59-50	3-1	2-5	6-1	48-43	1-4	2-3	1-0	0-1	0-1	1-1	53
ART.	6-2	5-3	5-0	4-1	—	2-0	—	2-1	1-2	1-2	1-1	0-1	—	—	50



BetGuardian.com

Leading the international gaming business since 1991

**BEST PROMOTIONS
IN THE INDUSTRY!**

GENEROUS SIGN-UP BONUSES,
CASH BONUSES, REFERRAL BONUSES,
FREE BETS & MORE!

CALL NOW for more details.

* Terms and conditions may apply

Ask about our
105 Club, Gold Club
and Platinum Club!

INTEGRITY,
SECURITY,
TRUST



FAST PAYOUTS, HIGH LIMITS, WORLD-CLASS CUSTOMER SERVICE, SECURE,



ONE DEPOSIT - THREE BETTING LINES
Play with THREE PREMIER SPORTSBOOKS
using your SINGLE Guardian account

Bet over the phone

800.203.3336

Bet online

www.BetGuardian.com

ENDORSED AND CERTIFIED BY THE
OFF SHORE GAMING ASSOCIATION



CONFIDENTIAL TRANSACTIONS, LICENSED, BONDED AND INSURED

FRESNO STATE BULLDOGS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	str.up. vs.spr.				2001	str.up. vs.spr.				2000	str.up. vs.spr.				1999	str.up. vs.spr.				1998	str.up. vs.spr.			
	overall	9-5	6-7-1			overall	11-3	8-6			overall	7-5	5-7			overall	8-5	7-5			overall	5-6	6-5	
	home	5-1	2-3-1			home	5-1	3-3			home	5-0	3-2			home	6-0	4-1			home	4-1	3-2	
	away	3-4	3-4			away	6-1	5-2			away	2-4	2-4			away	2-4	2-4			away	1-5	3-3	
	as fav	7-1	2-5-1			as fav	8-3	5-6			as fav	6-1	3-4			as fav	5-2	3-4			as fav	4-1	3-2	
	as dog	2-4	4-2			as dog	3-0	3-0			as dog	1-4	2-3			as dog	2-3	4-1			as dog	1-5	3-3	
opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf
Wisconsin †				21-23 + 9- W A	Colorado †				24-22 + 8- W N	Ohio State				10-43 +18- L N	Port. St.				34-6 — - N	Colorado				21-29 +21 W A
SDSU †				16-14 -17 L N	Oregon St. †				44-24 + 3- W N	UCLA				21-24 +14 W N	Oregon St.				23-46 + 3- L A	Texas Tech †				28-34 +13- W A
Oregon				24-28 +17 W A	Wisconsin				32-20 + 4- W A	California †				17-3 - 3 W N	UCLA †				21-35 +21- W N	Nevada †				24-27 -16- L N
Oregon St.				19-59 +13- L A	Tulsa				37-18 -11- W A	Rice †				27-24 - 8 L A	Nevada				49-24 + 2 W N	BYU †				31-21 + 7 W N
Rice †				31-28 - 6- L A	La. Tech †				38-28 -21 L N	Nevada †				58-21 -25 W N	TCU †				26-19* - 4- W N	TCU †				10-21 + 1- L N
Colo. St. †				32-30 + 6- W N	Colo. St. †				25-22* - 7- L N	UTEP †				13-23 + 3- L A	Colo. St. †				44-13 + 3- W N	Utah				16-24 + 7- L N
SMU †				30-7 -22- W N	Boise St. †				30-35 -16- L N	Tulsa †				34-12 -19 W N	SMU †				14-24 -14 L N	UTEP †				32-6 -10- W N
Boise St. †				21-67 + 7 L A	Hawaii †				34-38 - 5- L A	Hawaii				45-27 -26- L N	UTEP				24-23* -10- L N	New Mexico				28-20 - 5- W N
Hawaii †				21-31 - 2 L N	Rice				52-24 -17 W N	TCU				7-24 +13- L N	Tulsa				28-14 -14- L A	SDSU				0-10 + 1 L N
Tulsa				31-12 -26 L N	SMU				38-13 -16- W N	SMU				14-7 -18 L N	Rice				47-18 - 3 W N	Hawaii				51-12 -28 W N
Nevada				38-30 - 8 P N	Nevada				61-14 -20 W A	San Jose St.				37-6 + 1 W N	San Jose St.				24-31* - 3 L A	San Jose St.				24-21 -14 L N
San Jose St.				19-16 - 6 L N	San Jose St.				40-21 -28 L N	Silicon Valley Bowl at San Jose				34-37 - 1 L N	Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas				63-12 -16 W N					
La. Tech †				45-13 - 1- W N	Utah St.				70-21 -23 W N	§ Air Force					§ Utah				16-17 + 5 W N					
Silicon Valley Bowl at San Jose					Silicon Valley Bowl at San Jose																			
§ Ga. Tech				30-21 + 6- W N	§ Mich. St.				35-44 - 5- L N															
opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf	opponent				score vs. spread surf
Port. St. †				35-7 -29- L N	Oregon †				27-30* + 6- W N	La-Monroe †				31-17 -20- L N	§ Ohio State †				10-34 +13- L N	Baylor †				39-42 P L A
Baylor †				35-37 - 1 L N	Auburn †				0-62 +16- L N	California				25-24 + 5 W N	at Anaheim					Oregon St. †				48-30 -16- W N
Okla. St. †				0-35 + 8- L A	Utah †				17-45 + 9- L N	Pacific †				56-24 -17- W N	San Jose St. †				45-13 -14 W N	New Mexico †				41-24 - 8- W N
Oregon				40-43* +19 W A	Hawaii †				20-0 -19 W N	Utah †				21-25 + 1- L N	Wash. St.				3-24 + 7 L A	Utah St. †				30-14 -16- L N
Utah				27-13 + 9- W N	San Jose St. †				28-18 -20 L N	UCLA †				21-45 +11- L N	Oregon St. †				24-14 P W N	Colo. St.				32-34 -14 L N
Hawaii †				16-28 -11- L A	Wyoming				21-42 +14 L N	New Mexico †				51-34 -12 W N	Hawaii †				31-16 + 6 W A	Air Force †				33-20 -17- L N
Air Force				20-17 +12 W N	UNLV				34-23 -16- L A	SDSU †				24-48 + 3 L N	BYU †				30-32 P L N	BYU				48-45 + 1 W N
UNLV †				46-28 -11- W N	Boise St.				41-7 -19 W N	Air Force				20-31 - 3- L N	Wyoming †				38-24 - 7- W N	Wyoming				28-32 + 2 L N
San Jose St.				53-12 - 8 W N	Colo. St.				20-42 + 3- L N	Hawaii †				37-42 - 8 L A	Air Force				7-42 - 3- L N	UTEP				30-10 -25- L N
Colo. St.				3-41 +16- L N	Air Force				38-44* +10- W N	UTEP				47-14 -22 W N	New Mexico †				32-49 - 4- L N	Hawaii				45-21 -13 W N
SDSU				19-20 - 3- L N	SDSU †				21-31 +13- W N	Wyoming				10-38 + 4- L N	Nevada †				35-62 — - N	SDSU				63-37 - 5 W N
Wyoming				24-7 + 6- W N						BYU				28-45 + 4 L N	UTEP				30-30 -10 L A	Aloha Bowl at Honolulu				
															Colo. St. †				42-44 +10 W N	§ Colorado				30-41 + 7 L A
															SDSU				49-42 + 6- W N					

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
str.up. vs. spr. overall 13-1 8-3-2 home 7-0 3-2-1 away 4-0 3-0-1 as fav 11-1 7-3-2 as dog 1-0 1-0 opponent score vs. spread surf Clemson † 31-28 - 8 L N @ So. Carolina 13-7 - 6 P N N'western St 45-7 - - N N. Mex. St 41-10 -27 W N @ Alabama 27-25 + 4 W N Tennessee 18-13 - 5 P N Vanderbilt 48-17 -25- W N @ Kentucky 52-24 - 5 W N § Florida † at Jacksonville 13-20 - 1 L N Mississippi † 31-17 -14- L N @ Auburn 24-21 - 2 W N Ga. Tech 51-7 - 8- W N Sec Championship at Atlanta § Arkansas † 30-3 - 8- W A Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Florida St. † 26-13 - 7 W A	str.up. vs. spr. overall 8-4 5-6-1 home 4-2 0-5-1 away 4-0 4-0-1 as fav 5-2 1-5-1 as dog 2-2 3-1 opponent score vs. spread surf Arkansas St. 45-17 -34 L N So. Carolina † 9-14 + 2 L N Arkansas † 34-23 -11 P N @ Tennessee 26-24 +12 W N @ Vanderbilt 30-14 - 7 W N Kentucky 43-29 -21 L N § Florida at Jacksonville 10-24 +19 W N Auburn 17-24 - 7 L N @ Mississippi 35-15 P W N @ Ga. Tech † 31-17 + 2- W N Houston 35-7 -32 L N Music City Bowl at Nashville § Bost. Coll. 16-20 - 4 L N	str.up. vs. spr. overall 8-4 5-6 home 5-1 3-2 away 2-2 1-3 as fav 7-1 5-3 as dog 0-3 0-3 opponent score vs. spread surf Ga. Southern 29-7 - - N @ So. Carolina 10-21 -10 L N N. Mex. St 37-0 -30- W N @ Arkansas † 38-7 - 4- W N Tennessee † 21-10 - 4- W N Vanderbilt 29-19 -22 L N @ Kentucky 34-30 - 9 L N § Florida at Jacksonville 23-34 + 9 L N @ Auburn † 26-29* + 1 L N Mississippi 32-14 - 8 W N Ga. Tech 15-27 + 1- L N Oahu Bowl at Honolulu § Virginia 37-14 - 8- W A	str.up. vs. spr. overall 8-4 7-5 home 5-1 3-3 away 2-2 3-1 as fav 6-1 4-3 as dog 2-3 3-2 opponent score vs. spread surf Utah St. † 38-7 -29 W N So. Carolina 24-9 -14- W N C. Florida 24-23 -27- L N LSU 23-22 - 9 L N @ Tennessee † 20-37 +10- L N @ Vanderbilt 27-17 - 7- W N Kentucky 49-34 - 4 W N § Florida at Jacksonville 14-30 +14 L N Auburn † 21-38 -10 L N @ Mississippi † 20-17 + 5- W N @ Ga. Tech 48-51* + 4- W N Outback Bowl at Tampa § Purdue 28-25* + 5- W N	str.up. vs. spr. overall 9-3 6-6 home 4-2 1-5 away 4-0 4-0 as fav 7-2 4-5 as dog 2-1 2-1 opponent score vs. spread surf Kent State † 56-3 -35 W N @ So. Carolina † 17-3 - 6 W N Wyoming 16-9 -20 L N @ LSU † 28-27 + 8- W N Tennessee 3-22 - 3- L N Vanderbilt 31-6 -27 L N @ Kentucky 28-26 - 1- W N § Florida at Jacksonville 7-38 +11- L N @ Auburn 28-17 - 6- W N Mississippi 24-17 -12 L N Ga. Tech 19-21 - 3 L N Peach Bowl at Atlanta § Virginia † 35-33 + 2- W A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
str.up. vs. spr. overall 10-2 7-5 home 5-1 3-3 away 3-1 2-2 as fav 9-1 6-4 as dog 1-1 1-1 opponent score vs. spread surf Arkansas St. 38-7 -34 L N So. Carolina 31-15 - 5- W N La-Monroe 42-3 -29- W N Miss. St. 47-0 -10- W N @ Tennessee 13-38 + 9- L N @ Vanderbilt 34-13 -11 W A Kentucky 23-13 -11- L N § Florida 37-17 +20 W N Auburn 34-45 - 5- L N @ Mississippi 21-14 - 3- W N @ Ga. Tech 27-24 - 4 L N Outback Bowl at Tampa § Wisconsin 33-6 - 9- W N	str.up. vs. spr. overall 5-6 5-6 home 3-3 3-3 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 3-4 2-5 as dog 2-2 3-1 opponent score vs. spread surf So. Miss. 7-11 -11 L N @ So. Carolina 14-23 - 4 L N Texas Tech † 15-12 + 2- W N @ Miss. St. † 38-19 - 3- W N Tennessee † 17-29 +14 W N Vanderbilt 13-2 -15 L N @ Kentucky † 17-24 -15- L N § Florida at Jacksonville 7-47 +35 L N @ Auburn 56-49* +10 W N Mississippi 27-31 -14 L N Ga. Tech 19-10 - 4 W N	str.up. vs. spr. overall 6-6 7-5 home 3-3 3-3 away 3-2 4-1 as fav 4-1 3-2 as dog 1-5 3-3 opponent score vs. spread surf So. Carolina 42-23 - 3 W N @ Tennessee † 27-30 +12 W N N. Mex. St 40-13 -32- L N @ Mississippi † 10-18 - 5 L N Alabama 0-31 + 3 L N @ Clemson † 19-17 + 6- W N @ Vanderbilt 17-6 - 9- W A Kentucky 12-3 - 8- W N Florida 17-52 +23- L N Auburn † 31-37 + 9- W N @ Ga. Tech 18-17 P W N Peach Bowl at Atlanta § Virginia † 27-34 + 6- L A	str.up. vs. spr. overall 6-4-1 5-6 home 4-2 3-3 away 2-2-1 2-3 as fav 6-1 3-4 as dog 0-3-1 2-2 opponent score vs. spread surf @ So. Carolina † 24-21 - 6 L N Tennessee † 23-41 + 1 L N La-Monroe 70-6 -33 W N Mississippi 17-14 - 7- L N @ Alabama † 28-29 + 5- W N Clemson 40-14 -11 W N Vanderbilt 30-43 -20 L N @ Kentucky † 34-30 -12- L N @ Florida † 14-52 +20- L N @ Auburn 23-23 +12- W N Ga. Tech 48-10 -16 W N	str.up. vs. spr. overall 5-6 5-6 home 3-3 2-4 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 5-3 4-4 as dog 0-3 1-2 opponent score vs. spread surf So. Carolina 21-23 -10- L N @ Tennessee † 6-38 + 7 L A Texas Tech 52-37 - 9- W N @ Mississippi † 14-31 + 3 L N Arkansas 10-20 -13 L N So. Miss. 54-24 -10- W N @ Vanderbilt 41-3 - 3 W A Kentucky 33-28 - 7 L N § Florida at Jacksonville 26-33 +11- W N Auburn 28-42 - 1 L N @ Ga. Tech 43-10 - 1 W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	13-1	8-3-2	11-1	7-3-2	1-1	2-0-2	2-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	47
HOME	7-0	3-2-1	6-0	3-2-1	—	0-0-1	1-1	2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	52
AWAY	4-0	3-0-1	3-0	2-0-1	1-0	1-0-1	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	48
NAT.	11-1	6-3-2	9-1	5-3-2	1-1	1-0-2	1-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	49
ART.	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	36
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	21-5	13-9-3	16-3	8-8-3	1-1	3-2-2	2-1	2-4-1	3-2	4-1	1-1	1-0	—	2-0	46
HOME	11-2	3-7-2	10-1	3-6-2	—	0-1-1	1-1	2-4-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	50
AWAY	8-0	7-0-1	4-0	3-0-1	1-0	2-0-1	—	—	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	48
NAT.	19-5	11-9-3	14-3	6-8-3	1-1	2-2-2	1-1	2-4-1	3-2	4-1	1-1	1-0	—	2-0	47
ART.	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	36
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	29-9	18-15-3	23-4	13-11-3	1-1	5-2-2	4-3	3-5-1	3-5	4-4	1-3	1-0	0-1	2-0	46
HOME	16-3	6-9-2	14-1	6-7-2	—	1-1-1	2-1	3-5-1	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	47
AWAY	10-2	8-3-1	6-1	4-2-1	1-0	3-0-1	0-2	—	3-1	3-1	1-1	1-0	—	1-0	48
NAT.	26-9	15-15-3	20-4	10-11-3	1-1	4-2-2	2-3	3-5-1	3-5	4-4	1-3	1-0	0-1	2-0	46
ART.	3-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	—	1-0	2-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	41
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	46-16	31-26-3	36-7	21-19-3	2-2	8-3-2	5-5	6-9-1	7-9	9-7	2-3	4-0	1-1	2-3	47
HOME	25-6	10-17-2	23-4	10-15-2	0-1	2-2-1	2-3	6-9-1	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	46
AWAY	16-4	15-4-1	10-1	8-2-1	2-0	5-0-1	1-2	—	5-3	6-2	1-1	3-0	1-0	1-1	49
NAT.	42-16	27-26-3	33-7	18-19-3	2-2	7-3-2	3-5	6-9-1	6-9	8-7	1-3	4-0	1-1	2-3	47
ART.	4-0	4-0	3-0	3-0	—	1-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	78-40-1	60-54-3	63-17	39-38-3	5-3	12-9-2	9-6	13-20-1	11-23-1	19-16	3-6	6-2	3-2	7-6	48
HOME	43-18	24-33-2	40-11	21-28-2	1-2	4-4-1	4-4	12-18-1	1-7	3-5	1-4	—	1-0	1-1	48
AWAY	28-13-1	27-14-1	19-4	14-8-1	4-0	7-4-1	2-2	1-2	7-9-1	11-6	1-2	5-1	2-1	3-2	49
NAT.	70-38-1	52-52-3	56-17	32-38-3	3-3	11-9-2	6-6	12-20-1	10-21-1	18-14	2-6	6-0	3-2	7-6	48
ART.	8-2	8-2	7-0	7-0	2-0	1-0	3-0	1-0	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-2	—	—	46

GEORGIA TECH YELLOW JACKETS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 7-6 4-9 home 4-2 2-4 away 3-3 2-4 as fav 6-3 3-6 as dog 1-3 1-3	overall 8-5 4-5 home 3-3 1-4 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 6-4 3-7 as dog 1-1 1-1	overall 9-3 9-3 home 5-1 5-1 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 7-1 6-2 as dog 2-2 3-1	overall 8-4 4-8 home 6-0 2-4 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 6-2 3-7 as dog 0-2 1-1	overall 10-2 9-3 home 4-2 4-2 away 5-0 4-1 as fav 6-1 5-2 as dog 4-1 4-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Vanderbilt 45-3 -20- W N @ Connecticut 31-14 -23 L N @ Clemson 19-24 -1 L N BYU 28-19 -10- L N @ No. Carolina 21-13 -2 W N Wk. Forest 21-24 -13 L N @ Maryland † 10-34 +2 L N Virginia 23-15 -5 W N @ N.C. State 24-17 +12 W N Florida St. 13-21 +7 L N Duke 17-2 -16 L N @ Georgia 7-51 +8 L N Silicon Valley Bowl at San Jose § Fresno St. 21-30 -6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf § Syracuse at E. Ruth. 13-7 -8 L N Citadel 35-7 - N @ Navy 70-7 -25 W N Clemson 44-47* -13 L N @ Duke 37-10 -24 W N Maryland † 17-20* -8 L N N.C. State 27-17 -12 L N No. Carolina † 28-21 -3 W N @ Virginia 38-39 -14 L N @ Wk. Forest 38-33 -8 L N Georgia † 17-31 -2 L N @ Florida St. 17-28 +10 L N Seattle Bowl at Safeco Field § Stanford 24-14 +6 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf C. Florida † 21-17 -14 L N Florida St. † 21-26 +22 W N Navy 40-13 -16 W N @ N.C. State † 23-30* +2 L N @ No. Carolina 42-28 +3 W N Wk. Forest 52-20 -25 W N Duke 45-10 -28 W N @ Clemson 31-28 +12 W N Virginia † 35-0 -9 W N @ Maryland 35-22 -10 W N @ Georgia 27-15 -1 W N Peach Bowl at Georgia Dome § LSU † 14-28 -9 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Navy 49-14 -18 W N @ Florida St. † 35-41 +18 W N C. Florida † 41-10 -29 W N Maryland † 49-31 -19 L N No. Carolina 31-24* -17 L N @ Duke 38-31 -16 L N N.C. State 48-21 -17 W N @ Virginia 38-45 -7 L N Clemson 45-42 -4 L N @ Wk. Forest 23-26 -9 L N Georgia 51-48* -4 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Miami-Fla 13-28 +4 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Bost. Coll. 31-41 -13 L N N. Mex. St † 42-7 -33 W N @ No. Carolina † 43-21 +4 W N Duke 41-13 -10 W N @ N.C. State 47-24 +2 W N Virginia 41-38 +2 W N Florida St. 7-34 +13 L N @ Maryland at Baltimore 31-14 -13 W N @ Clemson † 24-21 -7 L N Wk. Forest 63-35 -17 W N @ Georgia 21-19 +3 W N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Notre Dame 35-28 -3 W N
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 7-5 9-3 home 3-2 5-0 away 3-3 3-3 as fav 6-0 4-2 as dog 1-5 5-1	overall 5-6 4-6 home 4-2 3-2 away 1-4 1-4 as fav 3-3 3-3 as dog 1-3 1-3	overall 6-5 4-5-1 home 4-2 2-2-1 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 3-1 1-2-1 as dog 2-3 3-2	overall 1-10 3-7 home 1-5 1-4 away 0-5 2-3 as fav 0-3 0-3 as dog 0-7 3-4	overall 5-6 5-5 home 3-3 1-4 away 2-3 4-1 as fav 4-1 2-2 as dog 0-5 2-3
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Notre Dame 13-17 +20 W N @ Wk. Forest 28-26 -7 L N Clemson † 23-20 +1 W N @ Bost. Coll. 42-14 -5 W A N.C. State 27-17 -5 W N @ Florida St. 0-38 +23 L N No. Carolina † 13-16 +8 W N @ Virginia 31-35 +4 W N @ Duke 41-38 -7 L N Maryland 37-18 -18 W N Georgia 24-27 +4 W N Carquest Bowl at Miami § W. Virginia † 35-30 -3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ N.C. State 28-16 -1 W N Wk. Forest † 30-10 -15 W N @ No. Carolina 0-16 +10 L N Duke † 48-22 -17 W N Virginia 13-7 +7 W N @ Clemson 25-28 -7 L N C. Florida 27-20 - N Florida St. † 3-49 +19 L N @ Maryland † 10-13 -6 L N Navy 26-36 -4 L N @ Georgia 10-19 +4 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Furman 51-7 - N @ Arizona † 19-20 +14 W N @ Virginia 14-41 +13 L N Maryland † 31-3 +1 W N @ Duke 37-21 -4 W N No. Carolina 27-25 +2 W N @ Florida St. 10-42 +31 L N Clemson 3-24 -2 L N @ Wk. Forest 24-23 -14 L N N.C. State 27-19 -8 P N Georgia 17-18 P L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Arizona † 14-19 +9 W A W. Carolina 45-26 - A Duke 12-27 -5 L A @ N.C. State 13-21 +10 W N @ No. Carolina 24-31 +13 W N Virginia 7-24 +6 L A @ Maryland † 27-42 -2 L N Florida St. 10-41 +23 L A @ Clemson 10-20 +6 L N Wk. Forest 13-20 -23 L A @ Georgia 10-48 +16 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Furman 37-3 - A Virginia † 14-35 -2 L A @ Clemson 13-16 +5 W N @ Florida St. 0-51 +34 L N Maryland 38-0 -13 W A No. Carolina 3-41 +4 L A @ N.C. State 23-28 +7 W N @ Duke 47-14 -4 W N Baylor 37-27 -10 L A @ Wk. Forest 38-28 -8 W N Georgia 10-43 +1 L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PT. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	4-9	6-3	3-6	1-1	1-1	—	1-4	1-3	1-3	0-1	—	0-2	1-0	42
HOME	4-2	2-4	4-1	2-3	—	1-0	—	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	38
AWAY	3-3	2-4	2-1	1-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	0-1	1-0	44
NAT. ART.	7-6 —	4-9 —	6-3 —	3-6 —	1-1 —	1-1 —	— —	1-4 —	1-3 —	1-3 —	0-1 —	— —	0-2 —	1-0 —	42 —
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	15-11	8-17	12-7	6-13	2-2	1-1	0-3	3-7	2-4	2-4	0-1	1-0	0-3	1-0	47
HOME	7-5	3-8	6-4	3-7	1-1	1-0	0-1	1-5	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	45
AWAY	6-5	4-7	5-2	3-4	1-1	—	0-1	2-2	1-3	1-3	0-1	—	0-2	1-0	52
NAT. ART.	15-11 —	8-17 —	12-7 —	6-13 —	2-2 —	1-1 —	0-3 —	3-7 —	2-4 —	2-4 —	0-1 —	1-0 —	0-3 —	1-0 —	47 —
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-14	17-20	19-8	12-15	3-2	1-1	2-4	6-8	4-6	5-5	1-2	1-0	0-3	3-0	48
HOME	12-6	8-9	11-4	7-8	1-1	1-0	1-1	4-6	0-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	46
AWAY	10-6	8-8	7-2	5-4	2-1	—	1-1	2-2	3-4	3-4	1-2	—	0-2	2-0	53
NAT. ART.	24-13 0-1	17-19 0-1	19-7 0-1	12-14 0-1	3-2 —	1-1 —	2-3 0-1	6-8 —	4-6 —	5-5 —	1-2 —	1-0 —	0-3 —	3-0 —	49 42
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	42-20	30-31	33-11	20-24	4-2	1-4	3-6	12-12	8-9	10-7	4-2	2-1	0-3	4-1	54
HOME	22-8	14-15	20-5	12-13	1-1	1-2	2-1	8-9	1-3	2-2	1-0	—	0-1	1-1	55
AWAY	17-9	14-12	11-4	7-8	2-1	0-1	1-3	4-3	6-5	7-4	3-2	1-0	0-2	3-0	56
NAT. ART.	42-19 0-1	30-30 0-1	33-10 0-1	20-23 0-1	4-2 —	1-4 —	3-5 0-1	12-12 —	8-9 —	10-7 —	4-2 —	2-1 —	0-3 —	4-1 —	55 42
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	66-52	55-57-1	49-19	31-36-1	6-5	5-8	4-8-1	16-15	12-32	24-20	7-3	6-5	4-3	7-9	51
HOME	37-22	26-27-1	27-10	17-19-1	1-3	2-4	2-1-1	12-11	5-11	9-7	4-1	2-2	2-1	1-3	50
AWAY	25-27	26-26	19-7	12-14	3-2	3-3	2-5	4-4	6-20	14-12	3-2	3-2	2-2	6-6	52
NAT. ART.	61-43 5-9	52-48-1 3-9	46-15 3-4	29-31-1 2-5	6-4 0-1	4-7 1-1	4-7-1 0-1	15-13 1-2	12-27 0-5	23-16 1-4	7-2 0-1	6-3 0-2	3-3 1-0	7-8 0-1	51 46

2002	overall 10-4 home 7-2 away 3-2 as fav 8-1 as dog 1-3	vs.spr. 6-7 3-5 3-2 3-6 3-1	2001	overall 9-3 home 6-2 away 2-1 as fav 5-3 as dog 3-0	vs.spr. 7-4 5-3 2-1 4-4 3-0	2000	overall 3-9 home 3-5 away 0-4 as fav 3-0 as dog 0-8	vs.spr. 7-4 5-2 2-2 3-0 4-4	1999	overall 5-4 home 5-4 away 3-0 as fav 1-1 as dog 7-2	vs.spr. 8-4 4-4 3-0 1-1 7-2	1998	overall 0-12 home 0-8 away 0-4 as fav 0-1 as dog 0-11	vs.spr. 3-8-1 1-6-1 2-2 0-1 3-7-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
E. Illinois †	61-36	- A	Montana †	30-12	- N	Port. St. †	20-45	- A	USC †	7-62	+27 L A	Arizona †	6-27	+17 L A
@ BYU †	32-35	+11- W N	@ Nevada	20-28	- 5 L A	@ UTEP †	7-39	+ 9- L A	E. Illinois	31-27	- A	@ Utah †	21-30	+24 W N
@ UTEP †	31-6	-19 W A	Rice	24-27	- 4- L A	Tulsa †	14-24	+ 3- L A	Boise St. †	34-19	+ 7 W A	Arkansas St. †	0-20	-11- L A
SMU	42-10	-23 W A	@ SMU †	38-31*	+ 5- W N	@ TCU	21-41	+39 W N	@ SMU	20-0	+14 W N	SMU †	0-28	+ 7- L A
@ Boise St. †	31-58	+ 5- L A	UTEP	66-7	- 8 W A	@ Rice	30-15	- 3 W A	UTEP †	33-3	+ 5- W A	@ SDSU †	13-35	+23 W N
Nevada	59-34	-11 W A	@ Tulsa	36-15	- 8 W A	@ Rice	13-38	+12- L A	Rice †	19-38	P L A	BYU †	9-31	+22 P A
Tulsa	37-14	-32 L A	Fresno St. †	38-34	+ 5- W A	San Jose St. †	48-57	+ 7- L A	@ Tulsa	35-21	- 5 W A	New Mexico †	20-30	+ 6- L A
@ Fresno St. †	31-21	+ 2 W N	San Jose St. †	34-10	-12- W A	@ Fresno St.	27-45	+26- W N	TCU †	14-34	+ 4 L A	@ UTEP	13-30	+16 L A
San Jose St. †	40-31	-24- L A	Boise St. †	21-28	- 3- L A	Nevada †	37-17	-14- W A	@ San Jose St.	62-41	+ 2- W N	San Jose St. †	17-45	+ 8 L A
@ Rice	33-28	- 7 L A	Miami-OH †	52-51	-10 L A	La. Tech †	27-10	- 1 W A	Fresno St. †	31-24*	+ 3 W A	@ Fresno St.	12-51	+28 L N
Cincinnati †	20-19	- 3- L A	Air Force †	52-30	-11 W A	Wisconsin †	18-34	+20- W A	Navy †	48-41	+ 3 W A	N'western †	21-47	+16 L A
Alabama	16-21	+11- W A	BYU	72-45	+ 3 W A	UNLV †	32-34	+ 7 W A	Wash. St. †	14-22	- 4- L A	Michigan	17-48	+38- W A
SDSU †	41-40	-14 L A							Oahu Bowl at Honolulu					
Hawaii Bowl									@ Oregon St.	23-17	+ 8- W A			
Tulane	28-36	-13- L A												

1997	overall 3-9 home 3-5 away 0-4 as fav 1-2 as dog 2-7	vs.spr. 6-6 5-3 1-3 5-4	1996	overall 2-10 home 2-6 away 0-4 as fav 2-2 as dog 0-8	vs.spr. 3-7-2 2-4-2 1-3 0-2-2 3-5	1995	overall 4-8 home 3-4 away 1-4 as fav 2-0 as dog 1-8	vs.spr. 4-7 3-3 1-4 2-0 2-7	1994	overall 3-8-1 home 2-5-1 away 1-3 as fav 0-4-1 as dog 2-4	vs.spr. 4-7 2-5 2-2 0-5 4-2	1993	overall 6-6 home 6-2 away 0-4 as fav 5-2 as dog 1-3	vs.spr. 5-6 4-3 1-3 4-3 1-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
Minnesota	17-3	+12 W A	Bost. Coll.	21-24	+13- W A	Texas †	17-38	+11- L A	BYU †	12-13	+ 8- W A	Mid. Tenn. †	35-14	- A
CS Northridge	34-21	- 7- W A	Ohio U. †	10-21	-16 L A	@ Wyoming	6-52	+ 6- L N	Oregon †	36-16	+ 7 W A	@ BYU	38-41	+13- W N
Wyoming †	6-35	+10- L A	@ Wyoming	0-66	+21 L N	UTEP †	42-21	-12 W A	@ California	21-7	+14- W A	Kent State †	49-17	-34- L A
@ UNLV †	15-25	+ 5 L A	Boise St. †	20-14	- 6 P A	@ UNLV †	58-30	-13 W A	Fresno St. †	16-31	- 6 L A	UTEP †	52-0	-21 W A
@ Colo. St.	0-63	+30 L N	@ Fresno St. †	0-20	+19 L N	@ New Mexico †	10-24	+ 6- L N	@ UTEP †	28-34	-19- L A	@ New Mexico †	14-41	- 7- L N
Fresno St. †	28-16	+11- W A	Colo. St. †	16-28	+13- W A	C. Florida †	45-14	- A	New Mexico †	21-38	- 5 L A	SDSU †	14-45	- 6- L A
@ BYU	3-17	+27- W N	@ SDSU †	8-56	+30 L N	@ BYU	7-45	+15 L N	@ Utah	3-14	+21 W N	@ Wyoming	10-48	+ 4 L N
SDSU †	3-10	+ 5- L A	UNLV †	38-28	-10 P A	Fresno St. †	42-37	+ 8 W A	@ SDSU †	23-38	+ 3 L N	Utah †	41-30	- 3 W A
Air Force †	27-34	+11- W A	@ Air Force	7-34	+35 W N	@ Colo. St.	0-22	+17- L N	S.E. MO St. †	34-0	- A	@ Fresno St.	21-45	+13 L N
@ San Jose St.	14-38	- 1 L N	San Jose St. †	17-38	- 5- L A	SDSU †	10-49	+ 9 L A	Wyoming †	10-13	- 2 L A	Air Force †	45-17	- 7 W A
La-Monroe †	20-23*	- 5- L A	BYU †	14-45	+30- L A	Air Force †	28-45	+12 L A	Missouri †	32-32	- 4- L A	California †	18-42	+10 L A
Notre Dame	22-23	+28 W A	Wisconsin †	10-59	+28 L A	Okla. St. †	20-24	+ 5 W A	Air Force †	24-37	+ 5 L A	Tulane †	56-17	-16 W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-4	6-7	8-1	3-6	—	0-2	—	3-4	1-3	3-1	1-0	0-1	—	2-0	63
HOME	7-2	3-5	6-1	2-5	—	0-1	—	2-4	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	65
AWAY	3-2	3-2	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	1-2	2-1	1-0	0-1	—	1-0	61
NAT.	1-1	2-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	59
ART.	9-3	4-7	8-1	3-6	—	0-2	—	3-4	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	64
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	19-7	13-11	13-4	7-10	—	0-5	2-1	5-4	4-3	6-1	2-0	2-1	—	2-0	65
HOME	13-4	8-8	10-3	5-8	—	0-3	1-1	4-4	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	69
AWAY	5-3	5-3	3-1	2-2	—	0-2	1-0	1-0	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	59
NAT.	3-1	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	57
ART.	16-6	10-11	13-4	7-10	—	0-5	2-1	5-4	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	66
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	22-16	20-15	16-4	10-10	2-0	0-5	2-1	6-4	4-11	10-5	2-0	3-2	0-2	5-1	62
HOME	16-9	13-10	13-3	8-8	2-0	0-3	1-1	5-4	2-5	5-2	1-0	2-1	0-1	2-0	65
AWAY	5-7	7-5	3-1	2-2	—	0-2	1-0	1-0	2-6	5-3	1-0	1-1	0-1	3-1	58
NAT.	3-3	5-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-3	5-0	1-0	1-0	—	3-0	60
ART.	19-13	15-15	16-4	10-10	2-0	0-5	2-1	6-4	2-8	5-5	1-0	2-2	0-2	2-1	63
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	31-32	31-27-1	17-6	11-12	2-0	1-6	2-1	6-5	11-24	20-14-1	5-0	5-4	1-4	9-6-1	58
HOME	21-21	18-20-1	13-5	8-10	2-0	0-4	1-1	5-5	6-14	10-9-1	3-0	4-3	0-3	3-3-1	59
AWAY	8-11	12-7	4-1	3-2	—	1-2	1-0	1-0	4-10	9-5	2-0	1-1	0-1	6-3	57
NAT.	5-6	9-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	4-6	9-1	2-0	1-0	—	6-1	59
ART.	26-26	22-26-1	17-6	11-12	2-0	1-6	2-1	6-5	7-18	11-13-1	3-0	4-4	1-4	3-5-1	58
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	49-73-1	53-60-3	27-16-1	18-24-2	3-2	2-12-1	3-2-1	10-8	16-55	35-35-1	5-1	7-10	3-6	20-18-1	54
HOME	37-43-1	34-38-3	22-12-1	14-19-2	3-1	1-10-1	2-1-1	8-7	10-29	20-18-1	3-0	6-5	2-5	9-8-1	55
AWAY	10-30	18-22	5-4	4-5	0-1	1-2	1-1	2-1	5-26	14-17	2-1	1-5	0-1	11-10	53
NAT.	5-23	13-14	0-2	0-2	0-1	—	0-1	—	4-21	13-12	2-1	1-3	—	10-8	52
ART.	44-50-1	40-46-3	27-14-1	18-22-2	3-1	2-12-1	3-1-1	10-8	12-34	22-23-1	3-0	6-7	3-6	10-10-1	55

HOUSTON COUGARS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 3-3 2-1 3-5	vs.spr. 5-7 2-4 3-3 1-2 4-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Rice †	24-10	+ 7	W A
Tulane †	13-34	- 2	L N
La-Lafayette †	36-17	- 9	W N
@ Texas †	11-41	+35-	W N
@ TCU	17-34	+10	L N
@ UAB	34-51	+ 5	L N
Army	56-42	-16	L N
@ Memphis	26-21	+ 5	W N
E. Carolina	48-54*	P	L N
@ Cincinnati	14-47	+15	L A
So. Florida	14-32	+13	L N
Louisville	27-10	+15-	W N
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-11 0-6 0-5 0-2 0-9	vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 0-2 4-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Rice †	14-21	- 1	L N
Texas †	26-53	+30-	W N
TCU †	17-34	+ 7-	L N
@ Army	14-28	- 1	L A
Memphis †	33-52	+ 7-	L N
Cincinnati †	28-29	+ 9-	W N
@ So. Miss.	14-58	+20-	L N
@ So. Florida †	6-45	+10-	L N
@ Louisville	10-34	+31	W N
UAB †	21-43	+15-	L N
@ Georgia	7-35	+32	W N
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-2 1-6 2-1 1-7	vs.spr. 2-9 1-3 1-6 0-3 2-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Rice	27-30*	- 1	L A
@ LSU †	13-28	+13-	L N
Army †	31-30	-16-	L N
@ Texas †	0-48	+24	L N
SMU †	17-15	-10-	L N
@ Cincinnati	31-48	+ 5	L A
@ Memphis †	33-30*	+ 8-	W N
So. Miss.	3-6	+18	W N
@ Tulane †	23-41	+ 3	L A
@ E. Carolina	20-62	+14-	L N
Louisville	13-32	+11-	L N
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-1 3-3 5-1 2-3	vs.spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 3-3 3-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Rice †	28-3	- 3-	W N
@ Alabama at Birm.	10-37	+13-	L N
La-Lafayette †	45-0	-20-	W N
@ UAB †	10-29	- 7	L N
Cincinnati	23-20	- 7	L N
@ No. Carolina	20-12	+ 6-	W N
@ Louisville	33-39	+ 4-	L N
E. Carolina	3-19	+ 4-	L N
Tulane	36-31	-13-	L N
@ LSU †	20-7	+ 3	W N
@ Army	26-14	- 4	W A
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-4 2-4 2-3 1-5	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 2-3 4-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ California	10-14	+14-	W N
Minnesota †	7-14	- 3	L N
UCLA	24-42	+27-	W N
@ Tennessee †	7-42	+27-	L N
Memphis †	35-14	- 2	W N
Army	28-38	- 7-	L N
@ N. Texas †	31-9	- 7	W A
@ E. Carolina	34-31	+ 6	W N
So. Miss.	15-21	+ 8-	W N
@ Cincinnati	43-44	-10	L A
@ Tulane †	20-48	+16-	L A
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-4 2-4 0-2 3-6	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 0-2 4-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Alabama at Birm.	17-42	+14-	L N
California	3-35	- 2	L A
Pittsburgh at Robertson Stadium	24-35	- 3	L N
@ Minnesota †	45-43	+ 8-	W A
@ UCLA	10-66	+26-	L N
Cincinnati †	41-38*	+ 7-	W A
@ Louisville	36-22	+ 3-	W A
@ Memphis	3-24	+ 1-	L N
E. Carolina at Robertson Stadium	27-28	+ 2-	W N
@ So. Miss.	0-33	+19-	L N
Tulane	10-44	+10	L A
1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-5 4-2 3-2 1-0 5-5	vs.spr. 9-2 4-1 4-1 1-0 9-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Sam Hous. † at Robertson Stadium	43-25	- -	N
@ LSU †	34-35	+22	W N
@ Pittsburgh †	42-35*	+ 4-	W A
USC	9-26	+19-	W A
@ La-Lafayette †	31-24	+ 1	W N
Memphis †	37-20	- 3	W A
@ Cincinnati	20-31	+ 2-	L A
No. Carolina †	14-42	+22-	L A
@ Tulane †	20-17	+ 1-	W A
So. Miss. †	56-49*	+ 7	W N
Louisville †	38-7	+ 1-	W A
Liberty Bowl at Memphis			
§ Syracuse	17-30	+16-	W N
1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-4 1-5 1-1 1-8	vs.spr. 8-3 2-3 6-0 1-1 7-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Florida †	21-45	+46-	W N
La. Tech † at Robertson Stadium	7-19	- 2-	L N
@ USC †	10-45	+37	W N
@ Kansas	13-20	+23	W A
@ TCU	21-31	+17	W N
Baylor †	7-47	+17-	L A
SMU †	38-15	- 2	W A
@ Texas A&M	7-31	+27-	W A
Texas †	20-52	+21	L A
Texas Tech †	26-38	+19-	W A
@ Rice	18-17	+ 8	W A
1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 0-5 0-4 0-1 0-6	vs.spr. 0-7 0-2 0-4 0-1 0-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Kansas †	13-35	- -	A
@ La. Tech †	7-32	- 2-	L N
Missouri †	0-16	- -	A
@ Ohio State	0-52	+39	L N
Texas A&M †	7-38	- -	A
@ SMU at Cotton Bowl	39-33	- -	N
TCU †	10-31	+12-	L A
@ Baylor	13-52	+25-	L A
@ Texas	13-48	+26	L A
§ Texas Tech at San Antonio	0-34	+29-	L A
Rice	13-31	+17	L A
1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-9-1 1-3-1 0-5 1-1-1 1-7	vs.spr. 2-9 1-4 0-5 0-2 2-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ USC	7-49	+10	L N
Tulsa	24-38	- 3-	L A
@ Michigan	21-42	+35	W N
Baylor	24-3	+ 5-	W A
@ Texas A&M	10-34	+23	L A
SMU	28-28	- 9	L A
@ TCU	10-28	P	L A
Texas †	16-34	+ 6	L A
Cincinnati	17-41	+ 1-	L A
§ Texas Tech † at San Antonio	7-58	+17	L A
@ Rice	7-37	+12-	L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	5-7	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	3-5	4-4	—	2-1	0-1	2-2	59
HOME	3-3	2-4	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	63
AWAY	2-4	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-4	3-3	—	2-1	0-1	1-1	55
NAT.	4-6	4-6	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	2-4	3-3	—	1-1	0-1	2-1	61
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	47
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	5-18	9-14	2-3	1-4	0-3	—	1-0	0-1	3-14	8-9	—	2-1	1-3	5-5	58
HOME	3-9	4-8	2-2	1-3	0-2	—	1-0	0-1	1-6	3-4	—	—	1-2	2-2	62
AWAY	2-9	5-6	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-8	5-5	—	2-1	0-1	3-3	52
NAT.	4-16	8-12	2-2	1-3	0-2	—	1-0	0-1	2-13	7-8	—	1-1	1-3	5-4	59
ART.	1-2	1-2	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	45
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	8-26	11-23	4-4	1-7	0-4	—	1-0	0-3	4-21	10-15	0-1	2-2	2-3	6-9	56
HOME	5-11	5-11	4-2	1-5	0-2	—	1-0	0-3	1-8	4-5	—	—	1-2	3-3	56
AWAY	3-15	6-12	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	3-13	6-10	0-1	2-2	1-1	3-6	56
NAT.	7-21	10-18	4-2	1-5	0-2	—	1-0	0-3	3-18	9-12	—	1-1	2-3	6-8	56
ART.	1-5	1-5	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	0-1	1-1	—	0-1	56
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	18-38	22-34	11-8	6-13	1-5	3-2	1-2	1-4	7-29	16-20	1-1	4-4	3-3	8-12	52
HOME	10-16	10-16	9-4	4-9	1-3	1-1	1-1	1-4	1-11	6-6	—	0-1	2-2	4-3	51
AWAY	8-22	12-18	2-4	2-4	0-2	2-1	0-1	—	6-18	10-14	1-1	4-3	1-1	4-9	53
NAT.	15-31	19-27	9-5	4-10	1-3	1-2	1-1	1-4	6-25	15-16	1-0	3-3	3-3	8-10	51
ART.	3-7	3-7	2-3	2-3	0-2	2-0	0-1	—	1-4	1-4	0-1	1-1	—	0-2	57
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	32-79-1	45-62	13-13-1	8-19	3-9	3-3	1-3	1-4	17-61	37-41	5-4	8-5	6-5	18-27	52
HOME	17-34-1	19-29	11-8-1	6-14	3-6	1-2	1-2	1-4	5-22	13-14	2-1	2-2	3-3	6-8	52
AWAY	15-42	25-31	2-5	2-5	0-3	2-1	0-1	—	12-36	23-25	3-3	6-3	3-2	11-17	53
NAT.	19-47	28-36	9-8	4-13	1-6	1-2	1-1	1-4	8-38	24-22	3-1	4-3	3-4	14-14	53
ART.	13-32-1	17-26	4-5-1	4-6	2-3	2-1	0-2	—	9-23	13-19	2-3	4-2	3-1	4-13	52

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 2-3 0-7 2-7	vs.spr. 6-4-1 3-1 3-3-1 0-2 6-2-1	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 1-4 0-6 1-2 0-7	vs.spr. 3-7 0-5 3-2 0-3 3-4	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-1 2-5 3-1 1-4	vs.spr. 3-6 1-1 2-5 1-3 2-3	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 3-1 4-3 5-2 0-2	vs.spr. 4-5 2-2 2-3 3-4 1-1	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 3-1 5-2 5-2 2-2	vs.spr. 7-2 0-1 6-1 3-2 4-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Boise St. †	21-38	+23	W A	Wash St. †	7-36	+9	L A	@ Wash.	20-44	+22	L A	@ E. Wash.	48-21	—	- A	E. Wash.	31-14	—	- A
@ Wash St.	14-49	+27	L A	@ Arizona †	29-36	+19	W N	Montana †	38-45	—	- A	@ Auburn †	23-30	+10	W N	@ San Jose St. †	17-12	+9	W N
@ Oregon	21-58	+32	L A	@ Wash.	3-53	+22	L A	@ Oregon	13-42	+21	L A	Wash St.	28-17	-3	W A	@ Wash St.	16-24	+16	W A
SDSU	48-38	+7	W A	Boise St. †	13-45	+11	L A	@ Wash St.	38-34	+10	W A	@ Wyoming	13-28	+9	L N	@ LSU †	20-53	+36	W N
@ Wash.	27-41	+31	W A	@ Mid. Tenn. †	58-70	+20	W A	Montana St. †	56-7	—	- A	N. Texas †	28-10	-20	L A	@ Idaho St.	52-3	—	- A
Montana	31-38	—	- A	@ N. Mex. St. †	39-46	+14	W N	@ W. Virginia	16-28	+12	W A	@ Arkansas St.	30-24	-8	L N	@ Arkansas St.	52-14	-3	W N
@ La-Monroe †	14-34	-10	L N	La-Lafayette	37-54	-11	L A	Arkansas St. †	42-25	-13	W A	Utah St. †	31-3	-7	W A	@ Utah St.	26-14	-6	W N
Mid. Tenn.	21-18	+3	W A	@ Arkansas St.	31-34	-3	L N	@ Utah St.	14-31	-12	L N	@ N. Mex. St. †	14-42	-5	L N	Nevada	23-58	—	- A
@ La-Lafayette	28-31	+3	P N	La-Monroe † at Moscow, ID	42-38	-14	L A	@ N. Texas	16-14	-13	L A	@ Nevada	42-33	-3	W N	@ N. Texas †	41-23	-3	W A
@ N. Texas †	0-10	+16	W A	N. Texas † at Moscow, ID	27-50	+2	L A	N. Mex. St. † at Moscow, ID	44-41	-7	L A	@ Montana	33-30	—	- N	N. Mex. St.	36-32	-12	L A
Arkansas St.	29-38	-4	L A	@ Montana	27-33	—	- N	@ Boise St.	24-66	+14	L A	Boise St.	14-45	-2	L A	@ Boise St.	36-35	-2	L A
N. Mex. St.	31-35	+5	W A													Humanitarian Bowl at Boise, ID § So. Miss. 42-35 +17 W A			

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-2 2-4 2-1 0-4	vs.spr. 3-4 1-2 2-3 2-1 1-3	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 5-0 1-5 2-1 0-3	vs.spr. 2-4 0-1 2-3 1-2 1-2	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 4-0 2-5 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 6-1 3-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-3 5-1 6-2 1-0 1-0	vs.spr. 1-0 — — — —
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Air Force	10-14	+17	W N	@ Wyoming	38-40	+16	W N	@ Oregon St.	7-14	—	- A	@ S. Utah †	43-10	—	- N	@ SF Austin St. †	138-30	—	- A
Port. St.	46-0	—	- A	@ SDSU †	21-40	+13	L N	Sonoma St.	66-3	—	- A	@ UNLV †	48-38	—	- A	SW Tex. St.	66-38	—	- A
@ Idaho St.	43-0	—	- A	St. Mary's	52-17	—	- A	@ Idaho St. †	21-26	—	- A	SF Austin St.	58-26	—	- A	@ Weber St. †	56-0	—	- N
@ C. Florida †	10-41	+7	L N	@ SW Tex. St. †	21-27	—	- N	@ Montana St.	13-16	—	- N	Idaho St.	70-21	—	- A	@ Utah †	28-17	+6	W N
N. Texas	30-17	-10	W A	Cal P.-SLO	38-33	—	- A	E. Wash.	37-10	—	- A	@ E. Wash.	40-15	—	- A	@ Idaho St.	56-27	—	- A
UC Davis	44-14	—	- A	Nevada	24-15	—	- A	Montana	55-43	—	- A	Montana St.	27-13	—	- A	E. Wash.	49-10	—	- A
@ Nevada	23-42	+5	L N	@ Utah St.	28-35	+4	L N	@ Weber St.	19-25	—	- N	N. Arizona	41-14	—	- A	@ Montana St.	35-40	—	- N
Utah St.	17-63	+2	L A	E. Wash.	37-27	—	- A	@ N. Arizona †	17-14	—	- A	@ Montana	21-45	—	- N	@ N. Arizona †	34-27	—	- A
@ E. Wash.	21-24	—	- A	N. Mex. St.	34-19	-22	L A	@ N. Iowa †	16-12	—	- A	N. Iowa	21-12	—	- A	Montana	34-54	—	- A
@ N. Mex. St.	35-18	-11	W N	@ N. Texas	17-24	-9	L A	Boise St.	33-13	—	- A	Weber St.	79-30	—	- A	Lehigh	77-14	—	- A
Boise St.	23-30	-10	L A	@ Boise St.	64-19	-10	W A	@ McNeese St.	3-33	—	- N	@ Boise St.	24-27	—	- A	Boise St.	49-16	—	- A
												McNeese St.	21-38	—	- A	@ La-Monroe	34-31	—	- N
																Boston U.	21-14	—	- A
																@ Youngstown	16-35	—	- A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	6-4-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	2-7	6-2-1	0-0-1	2-0	1-0	3-2	59		
HOME	2-3	3-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-1	3-0	—	2-0	1-0	—	65		
AWAY	0-7	3-3-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-6	3-2-1	0-0-1	—	—	3-2	55		
NAT.	0-2	0-1-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	—	53		
ART.	2-8	6-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-6	6-2	—	2-0	1-0	3-2	60		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	3-20	9-11-1	1-4	0-5	—	0-2	—	0-3	2-14	9-6-1	0-1-1	2-0	1-1	6-4	66		
HOME	3-7	3-6	1-2	0-3	—	0-1	—	0-2	2-4	3-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	0-1	67		
AWAY	0-13	6-5-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	0-10	6-3-1	0-0-1	—	—	6-3	65		
NAT.	0-6	2-2-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	0-3	2-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	2-0	63		
ART.	3-14	7-9	1-2	0-3	—	0-1	—	0-2	2-11	7-6	0-1	2-0	1-1	4-4	67		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	8-26	12-17-1	4-5	1-8	—	0-3	—	1-5	3-18	11-9-1	0-1-1	2-0	1-1	8-7	65		
HOME	6-8	4-7	3-2	1-4	—	0-2	—	1-2	2-4	3-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	0-1	69		
AWAY	2-18	8-10-1	1-3	0-4	—	0-1	—	0-3	1-14	8-6-1	0-0-1	—	—	8-6	62		
NAT.	0-7	2-3-1	0-3	0-3	—	0-1	—	0-2	0-3	2-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	2-0	61		
ART.	8-19	10-14	4-2	1-5	—	0-2	—	1-3	3-15	9-9	0-1	2-0	1-1	6-7	66		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	24-33	23-24-1	14-7	7-14	3-2	3-4	0-1	1-7	5-22	16-10-1	0-1-1	2-0	2-2	12-7	61		
HOME	12-10	6-10	7-3	3-7	1-1	1-2	—	1-4	2-4	3-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	0-1	63		
AWAY	11-23	16-14-1	7-4	4-7	2-1	2-2	0-1	0-3	2-18	12-7-1	0-0-1	—	1-1	11-6	59		
NAT.	6-11	8-6-1	4-4	3-5	1-0	2-2	0-1	0-2	1-6	5-1-1	0-0-1	—	1-1	4-0	57		
ART.	18-22	15-18	10-3	4-9	2-2	1-2	—	1-5	4-16	11-9	0-1	2-0	1-1	8-7	63		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	61-55	29-32-1	18-9	10-17	3-2	3-4	1-3	3-8	6-29	19-15-1	0-2-1	3-3	2-2	14-8	60		
HOME	35-14	7-13	9-4	4-9	1-1	1-2	1-1	1-5	2-5	3-4	0-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	64		
AWAY	25-41	21-19-1	9-5	6-8	2-1	2-2	0-2	2-3	3-24	15-11-1	0-0-1	1-3	1-1	13-7	56		
NAT.	12-23	12-10-1	5-4	4-5	1-0	2-2	0-1	1-2	2-12	8-5-1	0-0-1	1-3	1-1	6-1	55		
ART.	49-32	17-22	13-5	6-12	2-2	1-2	1-2	2-6	4-17	11-10	0-2	2-0	1-1	8-7	61		

ILLINOIS FIGHTING ILLINI

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
home	5-7	3-3	7-5	home	10-2	6-0	7-5	home	5-6	4-2	4-7	home	8-4	3-3	8-4	home	3-8	4-6	4-6
away	3-3	2-3	4-2	away	4-1	4-1	3-3	away	1-4	1-4	3-3	away	4-1	4-1	4-1	away	1-4	3-2	1-4
as fav	3-2	2-3	4-2	as fav	8-0	5-3	5-3	as fav	5-0	2-3	5-0	as fav	3-0	3-0	3-0	as fav	0-1	0-1	0-1
as dog	2-5	5-2	5-2	as dog	2-2	2-2	2-2	as dog	0-5	2-3	2-3	as dog	5-4	5-4	5-4	as dog	2-7	4-5	4-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Missouri at St. Louis	20-33	- 7- L	A	California	44-17	+ 3- W	N	Mid. Tenn.	35-6	-33 L	A	Arkansas St.	41-3	-14- W	A	Wash. St.	13-20	+11- W	A
So. Miss.	20-23	+ 6- W	N	N. Illinois	17-12	-19- L	A	SDSU †	49-13	- 7- W	N	SDSU	38-10	+ 4- W	A	Mid. Tenn. †	48-20	- - A	
Arkansas St.	59-7	-32 W	A	Louisville	34-10	- 3- W	A	California	17-15	-13- L	A	Louisville †	41-36	+12 W	N	Louisville	9-35	- 6- L	A
San Jose St.	35-38	-23- L	A	Michigan	20-45	+ 6- L	N	Michigan †	31-35	+ 7- W	A	Mich. St.	10-27	+ 7- L	A	Iowa	14-37	+10 L	A
Michigan	28-45	+ 8- L	A	Minnesota	25-14	- 9- W	N	Minnesota	10-44	P L	A	Indiana	31-34	+ 2- L	N	N'western	13-10	+14 W	N
Minnesota †	10-31	+ 3- L	A	Indiana	35-14	- 2- W	N	Iowa	31-0	-12- W	A	Minnesota	7-37	+ 1- L	A	Ohio State	0-41	+36 L	A
Purdue	38-31*	+ 3- W	A	Wisconsin	42-35	- 5- W	A	Penn State	25-39	+ 3- L	N	Michigan	35-29	+25 W	N	Wisconsin	3-37	+21- L	A
Indiana	45-14	- 9- W	A	Purdue	38-13	+ 1- W	N	Mich. St.	10-14	+ 3- L	A	Penn State	7-27	+16- L	A	Purdue	9-42	+24 L	N
Penn State	7-18	+16 W	N	Penn State	33-28	-10 L	A	Indiana	42-35	- 7- L	A	Iowa	40-24	- 6- W	N	Penn State	0-27	+34 W	N
Wisconsin	37-20	+ 6- W	A	Ohio State	34-22	- 2- W	N	Ohio State	21-24	+ 8- W	A	Ohio State	46-20	+10- W	N	Indiana	31-16	+13- W	A
Ohio State	16-23*	+ 8- W	A	N'western	34-28	-19- L	A	N'western	23-61	+ 8- L	N	N'western	29-7	-13- W	A	Mich. St.	9-41	+20- L	A
N'western	31-24	-14 L	N	Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				Micron Pk Bowl at Miami				§ Virginia †							
				§ LSU †	34-47	+ 2- L	A												

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
home	0-11	0-6	1-5	home	2-9	2-4	4-7	home	5-5-1	5-5-1	5-5-1	home	7-5	3-3	8-6	home	5-6	5-6	5-6
away	0-5	0-5	1-4	away	0-5	0-5	3-2	away	2-3	2-3	4-1	away	3-1	2-2	3-2	away	3-2	3-2	3-2
as fav	—	—	—	as fav	2-0	1-1	3-6	as fav	4-2	2-3-1	2-3-1	as fav	6-2	4-4	4-4	as fav	2-0	0-2	0-2
as dog	0-11	2-9	2-9	as dog	0-9	3-6	3-6	as dog	1-2-1	3-1	3-1	as dog	1-3	2-2	2-2	as dog	2-5	4-3	4-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
So. Miss. †	7-24	+ 4- L	A	Michigan	8-20	+12- W	N	Michigan	14-38	P L	A	§ Wash. St. † at Soldier Field	9-10	- 8- L	N	Missouri	3-31	P L	A
Louisville	14-26	+ 6- L	A	USC	3-55	+ 3- L	A	Oregon †	31-34	+ 5- W	A	Missouri	42-0	-15 W	A	Arizona	14-16	+ 6- W	A
Wash. St.	22-35	+11- L	A	Arizona †	0-41	+ 8- L	N	Arizona	9-7	- 3- L	A	N. Illinois	34-10	-35 L	A	Oregon	7-13	+ 5- L	A
Iowa	10-38	+27- L	N	Akron	38-7	-27 W	A	E. Carolina	7-0	- 7- P	A	Purdue	16-22	-17 L	A	Purdue	28-10	+ 5- W	N
Penn State	6-41	+25- L	A	Indiana	46-43*	- 5- L	A	Indiana	17-10	- 6- W	N	Ohio State	24-10	+ 6- W	N	Ohio State	12-20	+14- W	A
Wisconsin	7-31	+13 L	A	Mich. St.	14-42	+ 8- L	A	Mich. St.	21-27	- 7- L	A	Iowa	47-7	-18- W	A	Iowa	49-3	P W	N
Purdue	3-48	+11 L	A	N'western	24-27	+12- W	A	N'western	14-17	- 1- L	A	Michigan	14-19	+ 3- L	A	Michigan	24-21	+14 W	N
Indiana	6-23	+ 4- L	N	Iowa	21-31	+ 9- L	A	Iowa	26-7	+ 5- W	N	N'western	28-7	-10- W	A	N'western	20-13	-18 L	A
N'western	21-34	+12- L	A	Ohio State	0-48	+29- L	A	Ohio State	3-41	+17- L	N	Minnesota †	21-17	- 9- L	A	Minnesota	23-20	-12- L	A
Ohio State	6-41	+39- W	N	Minnesota †	21-23	+ 3- W	A	Minnesota	48-14	- 7- W	A	Penn State	31-35	+11- W	A	Penn State	14-28	+ 9- L	N
Mich. St.	17-27	+24 W	A	Wisconsin	15-35	+ 7- L	A	Wisconsin	3-3	+ 1- W	A	Wisconsin	13-19	+ 1- L	A	Wisconsin	10-35	+ 1- L	A
												Liberty Bowl at Memphis							
												§ E. Carolina	30-0	- 7- W	N				

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	7-5	3-2	2-3	—	—	1-1	1-2	2-5	5-2	—	3-1	1-1	1-0	54		
HOME	3-3	4-2	2-1	2-1	—	—	1-0	1-1	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	63		
AWAY	2-3	3-2	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-3	3-1	—	2-1	—	1-0	44		
NAT.	1-2	2-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-2	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	41		
ART.	4-5	5-4	2-2	2-2	—	—	1-1	1-1	2-3	3-2	—	2-1	1-1	—	58		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	15-9	14-10	11-2	7-6	3-0	1-0	2-2	1-4	4-7	7-4	1-1	4-2	1-1	1-0	55		
HOME	9-3	7-5	8-1	5-4	1-0	1-0	2-1	1-3	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	57		
AWAY	6-4	7-3	3-0	2-1	2-0	—	—	0-1	3-4	5-2	1-0	3-2	—	1-0	50		
NAT.	5-3	6-2	3-0	2-1	2-0	—	—	0-1	2-3	4-1	1-0	2-1	—	1-0	50		
ART.	10-6	8-8	8-2	5-5	1-0	1-0	2-2	1-3	2-4	3-3	0-1	2-1	1-1	—	57		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-15	18-17	16-2	9-9	3-0	1-0	3-3	2-6	4-12	9-7	1-3	5-2	2-2	1-0	54		
HOME	13-5	10-8	12-1	6-7	1-0	1-0	2-2	2-5	1-4	4-1	—	2-0	2-1	—	54		
AWAY	7-8	8-7	4-0	3-1	2-0	—	1-0	0-1	3-7	5-5	1-2	3-2	0-1	1-0	52		
NAT.	6-5	7-4	4-0	3-1	2-0	—	1-0	0-1	2-5	4-3	1-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	55		
ART.	14-10	11-13	12-2	6-8	1-0	1-0	2-3	2-5	2-7	5-4	0-2	3-1	2-1	—	53		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	31-27	30-27	19-3	12-10	3-0	2-1	3-3	4-6	11-23	18-16	2-5	6-3	2-3	8-5	52		
HOME	18-12	14-15	14-2	8-8	1-0	1-1	2-2	4-5	3-10	6-7	0-1	3-1	2-2	1-3	50		
AWAY	12-13	15-10	5-0	4-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	7-12	11-8	1-3	3-2	0-1	7-2	52		
NAT.	12-8	14-6	5-0	4-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	7-8	10-5	2-2	2-1	0-1	6-1	56		
ART.	19-19	16-21	14-3	8-9	1-0	1-1	2-3	4-5	4-15	8-11	0-3	4-2	2-2	2-4	50		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	50-63-1	52-60-1	33-7	19-20-1	3-1	4-4-1	4-5	8-10	15-53-1	32-37	3-8	12-9	2-7	15-13	47		
HOME	28-32	22-36-1	24-5	12-16-1	1-1	1-4-1	3-2	7-9	3-26	10-19	0-3	4-5	2-3	4-8	47		
AWAY	20-28-1	28-21	8-0	6-2	2-0	2-0	1-1	1-1	11-26-1	21-17	2-4	8-4	0-4	11-5	46		
NAT.	19-16	23-12	7-1	6-2	2-0	3-0	1-1	0-1	11-15	16-10	2-2	5-2	0-3	9-3	48		
ART.	31-47-1	29-48-1	26-6	13-18-1	1-1	1-4-1	3-4	8-9	4-38-1	16-27	1-6	7-7	2-4	6-10	46		

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.
W. & Mary	25-17	—	-	N	N.C. State †	14-35	+ 6-	L N		N.C. State †	38-41	- 7	L N		Ball St. †	21-9	-24	L N		W. Mich. †	45-30	- 4	W N	
Utah †	13-40	+15-	L A		Utah	26-28	- 2-	L N		Kentucky †	34-41	+ 4	L N		No. Carolina †	30-42	- 2	L N		Kentucky	27-31	+21	W N	
Kentucky †	17-27	+18	W N		Ohio State	14-27	+ 9-	L N		Cincinnati	42-6	- 5	W N		Kentucky	35-44	- 8	L N		Cincinnati †	48-14	- 2-	W A	
C. Mich.	39-29	- 5-	W N		Wisconsin	63-32	+14	W A		Iowa †	45-33	-14	L N		Penn State	24-45	+27	W N		Wisconsin	20-24	+ 9	W N	
Ohio State	17-45	+29	W N		Illinois	14-35	+ 2-	L N		N'western	33-52	+ 3-	L N		Illinois	34-31*	- 2	W N		Mich. St.	31-38*	+ 9-	W A	
Wisconsin	32-29	+12	W N		Iowa	28-42	+ 9-	L N		Michigan	0-58	+15	L N		N'western	34-17	- 8	W N		Iowa	14-7	- 3-	W N	
Iowa	8-24	+13	L N		N'western	56-21	+ 3	W N		Minnesota	51-43	+ 7	W N		Wisconsin	0-59	+15	L A		Michigan	10-21	+13-	W N	
Illinois	14-45	+ 9-	L A		Mich. St.	37-28	+ 7	W A		Penn State † at Indianapolis	24-27	+ 2	L A		Iowa	38-31	- 2-	W N		Ohio State	7-38	+23-	L N	
N'western	37-41	- 2-	L N		Penn State	14-28	+ 2-	L N		Illinois	35-42	+ 7-	W A		Michigan	31-34	+17	W N		Illinois	16-31	-13-	L A	
Mich. St.	21-56	- 1-	L N		Purdue	13-7	- 2-	W N		Wisconsin	22-43	+ 7	L N		Minnesota	20-44	+14	L A		Minnesota	20-19	- 3	L N	
Penn State	25-58	+25-	L N		Kentucky	26-15	- 6	W N		Purdue	13-41	+16-	L N		Purdue	24-30	+13	W N		Purdue	7-52	+16-	L N	
Purdue	10-34	+22	L N																					
1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str. up vs. spr.
No. Carolina	6-23	+30	W N		Toledo †	40-6	- 3	W A		W. Mich. †	24-10	-18	L N		Cincinnati	28-3	-12	W N		Toledo †	27-0	-13	W N	
Ball St. †	33-6	-13-	W N		Miami-OH	21-14	- 6-	W N		Kentucky	10-17	-14	L N		Miami-OH	35-14	-22	L N		N. Illinois	28-10	-23	L N	
Kentucky	7-49	- 2-	L N		Kentucky †	0-3	- 3-	L N		So. Miss.	27-26	- 1-	L N		Kentucky †	59-29	- 1	W N		Kentucky	24-8	- 6-	W N	
Wisconsin	26-27	+14	W A		N'western	17-35	+ 4	L N		N'western	7-31	+ 4	L A		Wisconsin	13-62	+13	L A		Wisconsin	15-27	- 1	L N	
Michigan	0-37	+20	L N		Illinois	43-46*	+ 5-	W A		Illinois	10-17	+ 6	L N		Minnesota	25-14	- 8	W N		Minnesota †	23-19	- 7-	L A	
Mich. St.	6-38	+19	L N		Iowa	10-31	+ 6-	L N		Iowa	13-22	+19-	W N		Iowa	27-20	+ 6-	W N		Iowa	16-10	- 4	W N	
Ohio State	0-31	+33-	W N		Michigan	20-27	+24	W N		Michigan	17-34	+14-	L N		N'western	7-20	-10	L N		N'western	24-0	- 9-	W A	
Iowa	0-62	+25-	L N		Penn State	26-48	+14	L N		Penn State	21-45	+23-	L N		Mich. St.	21-27	+ 8-	W A		Mich. St.	10-0	+ 3	W N	
Illinois	23-6	- 4-	W N		Mich. St.	15-38	+15	L A		Mich. St.	13-31	+ 1	L N		Penn State	29-35	+26	W N		Penn State	31-38	+12	W N	
Minnesota †	12-24	+ 7-	L A		Ohio State	17-27	+35	W N		Ohio State	3-42	+33	L N		Ohio State	17-32	+10	L N		Ohio State	17-23	+16-	W N	
Purdue	7-56	+16	L N		Purdue	33-16	+ 6-	W N		Purdue	14-51	+ 4	L N		Purdue	33-29	+ 4	W N		Purdue	24-17	-13	L N	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	4-7	1-2	1-2	0-2	1-0	—	—	1-7	3-5	—	—	0-1	3-4	58
HOME	3-3	2-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	1-2	60
AWAY	0-6	2-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-5	2-3	—	—	0-1	2-2	56
NAT.	3-7	4-5	1-2	1-2	0-2	1-0	—	—	1-5	3-3	—	—	—	3-3	59
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	0-1	0-1	56
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-15	9-13	3-3	3-3	1-3	2-0	—	—	4-12	6-10	1-2	1-1	0-3	4-4	56
HOME	6-6	5-6	3-2	3-2	1-2	2-0	—	—	2-4	2-4	1-1	—	0-1	1-2	53
AWAY	2-9	4-7	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-8	4-6	0-1	1-1	0-2	3-2	60
NAT.	6-13	7-11	3-3	3-3	1-3	2-0	—	—	2-10	4-8	1-2	0-1	0-2	3-3	54
ART.	2-2	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-2	2-2	—	1-0	0-1	1-1	68
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	11-23	12-21	5-4	4-5	1-3	3-1	—	0-1	5-19	8-15	1-3	2-4	1-3	4-6	60
HOME	9-8	7-9	5-3	4-4	1-2	3-1	—	0-1	3-5	3-5	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-2	59
AWAY	2-14	5-11	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	2-13	5-10	0-1	1-3	1-2	3-4	63
NAT.	9-19	9-18	5-4	4-5	1-3	3-1	—	0-1	3-15	5-13	1-2	1-4	0-2	3-5	59
ART.	2-4	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-4	3-3	0-1	1-0	1-1	1-1	66
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	19-37	25-30	13-7	10-10	4-5	5-1	1-1	0-3	5-30	15-20	1-3	2-4	3-3	9-10	58
HOME	15-14	14-14	11-5	8-8	2-4	5-1	1-1	0-2	3-9	6-6	1-1	1-1	1-1	3-3	56
AWAY	4-22	11-15	2-2	2-2	2-1	—	—	0-1	2-20	9-13	0-1	1-3	2-2	6-7	61
NAT.	16-29	20-24	12-6	9-9	3-5	5-1	1-1	0-2	3-23	11-15	1-2	1-4	1-2	8-7	57
ART.	3-8	5-6	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	2-7	4-5	0-1	1-0	2-1	1-3	63
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	40-72	51-60	30-12	21-21	6-8	9-2	3-3	3-8	9-60	30-39	2-5	6-9	4-5	18-20	52
HOME	29-31	25-34	24-9	16-17	2-7	9-1	2-2	3-7	4-22	9-17	2-2	1-5	1-2	5-8	48
AWAY	11-39	26-24	6-3	5-4	4-1	0-1	1-1	0-1	5-36	21-20	0-1	5-4	3-3	13-12	55
NAT.	34-57	41-49	26-11	18-19	4-8	9-2	2-2	3-7	7-46	23-30	2-4	4-8	1-3	16-15	50
ART.	6-15	10-11	4-1	3-2	2-0	—	1-1	0-1	2-14	7-9	0-1	2-1	3-2	2-5	57

IOWA HAWKEYES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	11-2	9-4		home	7-5	8-4		home	3-9	8-4		home	1-10	4-7		home	3-8	3-8
	away	5-1	5-2		away	5-1	5-1		away	2-4	3-3		away	1-5	3-3		away	2-4	2-4
	as fav	9-0	4-1		as fav	1-4	2-3		as fav	1-4	4-1		as fav	0-5	1-4		as fav	1-4	1-4
	as dog	9-1	7-3		as dog	6-1	5-2		as dog	0-1	0-1		as dog	1-0	1-0		as dog	3-1	2-2
		2-1	2-1			1-4	3-2			3-8	8-3			0-10	3-7			0-7	1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Akron	57-21	-20	W N	Kent State	51-0	-27	W N	§ Kansas St. at Kansas City	7-27	+28	W N	Nebraska	7-42	+28	L N	C. Mich.	38-0	-34	W N
⑥ Miami-OH	29-24	-5	L N	Miami-OH	44-19	-16	W N	W. Mich.	21-27	-7	L N	⑥ Iowa St. †	10-17	+4	L N	Iowa St.	9-27	-28	L N
Iowa St. †	31-36	-2	L N	Penn State	24-18	-9	L N	Iowa St.	14-24	+3	L N	N. Illinois	24-0	-14	W N	⑥ Arizona †	11-35	+11	L N
Utah St.	48-7	-22	W N	⑥ Purdue	14-23	+3	L N	⑥ Nebraska	13-42	+42	W A	⑥ Mich. St.	3-49	+21	L A	⑥ Illinois	37-14	-10	W A
⑥ Penn State	42-35*	+8	W N	⑥ Mich. St.	28-31	+4	W A	⑥ Indiana †	33-45	+14	W N	Penn State	7-31	+28	W N	Michigan	9-12	+9	W N
Purdue	31-28	-8	L N	Indiana	42-28	-9	W N	Mich. St.	21-16	+8	W N	N'western	21-23	+4	W N	N'western	26-24	-10	L N
Mich. St.	44-16	-5	W N	Michigan	26-32	+6	W N	⑥ Illinois	0-31	+12	L A	Indiana	31-38	+2	L N	⑥ Indiana	7-14	+3	L N
⑥ Indiana	24-8	-13	W N	⑥ Wisconsin	28-34	+1	L A	Ohio State	10-38	+20	L N	⑥ Ohio State	11-41	+23	L N	Wisconsin	0-31	+7	L N
⑥ Michigan	34-9	+3	W N	N'western	59-16	-1	W N	Wisconsin	7-13	+15	W N	Illinois	24-40	+6	L N	⑥ Purdue	14-36	+14	L N
Wisconsin	20-3	-9	W N	Minnesota	42-24	-12	W N	⑥ Penn State	26-23*	+17	W N	⑥ Wisconsin	3-41	+32	L A	Ohio State	14-45	+26	L N
N'western	62-10	-29	W N	⑥ Iowa St.	14-17	-5	L N	N'western	27-17	+11	W N	Minnesota	21-25	+20	W N	⑥ Minnesota	7-49	+7	L A
⑥ Minnesota	45-21	-12	W A	Alamo Bowl at San Antonio	19-16	+1	W A	⑥ Minnesota	24-27	+14	W A								
Orange Bowl at Miami	17-38	+5	L N	§ USC †															

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	7-5	7-4		home	9-3	8-4		home	8-4	6-5		home	5-5-1	7-4		home	6-6	7-5
	away	6-0	5-0		away	4-2	3-3		away	4-2	2-3		away	4-2	5-1		away	4-2	3-3
	as fav	1-4	2-3		as fav	4-1	4-1		as fav	3-2	3-2		as fav	1-3-1	2-3		as fav	2-2	4-1
	as dog	6-3	6-3		as dog	7-2	5-4		as dog	4-1	3-2		as dog	3-1	3-1		as dog	5-0	4-1
		0-2	1-1			2-1	3-0			2-3	2-3			2-4-1	4-3			0-5	2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
N. Iowa	66-0	-	N	Arizona	21-20	-6	L N	N. Iowa	34-13	-	N	C. Mich.	52-21	-13	W N	Tulsa	26-25	-12	L N
Tulsa	54-16	-26	W N	Iowa St.	38-13	-18	W N	⑥ Iowa St.	27-10	-14	W A	Iowa St.	37-9	-9	W N	⑥ Iowa St.	31-28	-1	W A
⑥ Iowa St.	63-20	-27	W N	⑥ Tulsa †	20-27	-18	L A	N. Mex. St.	59-21	-27	W N	⑥ Penn State	21-61	+21	L N	Penn State	0-31	+5	L N
Illinois	38-10	-27	W N	Mich. St.	37-30	-8	L N	⑥ Mich. St.	21-7	P	W A	⑥ Oregon	18-40	+1	L A	⑥ Michigan	7-24	+20	W N
⑥ Ohio State	7-23	+3	L N	⑥ Indiana	31-10	-6	W N	Indiana	22-13	-19	L N	Michigan	14-29	+17	W N	⑥ Indiana	10-16	+4	L N
⑥ Michigan	24-28	+6	W N	⑥ Penn State	21-20	+10	W N	Penn State	27-41	+4	L N	Indiana	20-27	-6	L N	Illinois	3-49	P	L N
Indiana	62-0	-25	W N	Ohio State	26-38	+15	W N	⑥ Ohio State	35-56	+17	L N	⑥ Illinois	7-47	+18	L A	⑥ Mich. St.	10-24	+16	W A
Purdue	35-17	-10	W N	⑥ Illinois	31-21	-9	W A	Illinois	7-26	-5	L N	Mich. St.	19-14	+10	W N	Purdue	26-17	-6	W N
⑥ Wisconsin	10-13	-8	L A	N'western	13-40	-6	L N	⑥ N'western	20-31	+8	L A	⑥ Purdue	21-21	+10	W N	N. Illinois	54-20	-15	W N
⑥ N'western	14-15	-9	L N	Wisconsin	31-0	-2	W N	⑥ Wisconsin	33-20	+6	W A	N'western	49-13	-6	W N	⑥ N'western	23-19	P	W A
Minnesota	31-0	-21	W N	⑥ Minnesota †	43-24	-11	W A	Minnesota	45-3	-11	W N	⑥ Minnesota †	49-42	+1	W A	Minnesota	21-3	-6	W N
Sun Bowl at El Paso				Alamo Bowl at San Antonio				Sun Bowl at El Paso								Alamo Bowl at San Antonio			
§ Arizona St.	7-17	-7	L A	§ Texas Tech †	27-0	+3	W A	§ Wash.	38-18	+5	W A					§ California †	3-37	+12	L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	11-2	9-4	9-1	7-3	0-1	1-1	1-1	5-0	2-1	2-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	—	56
HOME	6-1	5-2	6-1	5-2	0-1	1-0	1-1	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	59
AWAY	5-0	4-1	3-0	2-1	—	0-1	—	2-0	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	54
NAT.	10-2	8-4	8-1	6-3	0-1	1-1	1-1	4-0	2-1	2-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	—	56
ART.	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	66
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-7	17-8	15-2	12-5	1-1	1-2	2-2	8-0	3-5	5-3	2-1	2-2	1-0	—	55
HOME	11-2	10-3	11-1	9-3	0-1	1-0	2-2	6-0	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	58
AWAY	6-4	6-4	4-1	3-2	1-0	0-2	—	2-0	2-3	3-2	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	53
NAT.	16-5	14-7	14-2	11-5	1-1	1-2	2-2	7-0	2-3	3-2	1-0	1-2	1-0	—	55
ART.	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-2	2-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	55
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-16	25-12	15-3	12-6	1-1	1-2	2-3	8-0	6-13	13-6	2-2	2-2	2-0	7-2	51
HOME	13-6	13-6	11-2	9-4	0-1	1-0	2-3	6-0	2-4	4-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	2-1	52
AWAY	7-8	10-5	4-1	3-2	1-0	0-2	—	2-0	3-7	7-3	1-1	1-1	1-0	4-1	53
NAT.	19-11	20-10	14-3	11-6	1-1	1-2	2-3	7-0	5-8	9-4	1-1	1-2	2-0	5-1	52
ART.	2-5	5-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-5	4-2	1-1	1-0	—	2-1	51
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	25-34	32-27	19-4	15-8	1-1	1-2	3-4	10-1	6-30	17-19	2-3	3-7	3-0	9-9	48
HOME	16-15	18-13	14-3	11-6	0-1	1-0	2-4	8-1	2-12	7-7	0-2	1-2	2-0	4-3	49
AWAY	8-17	12-13	5-1	4-2	1-0	0-2	1-0	2-0	3-16	8-11	1-1	2-4	1-0	4-6	49
NAT.	22-26	26-22	17-4	13-8	1-1	1-2	2-4	9-1	5-22	13-14	1-2	2-6	3-0	7-6	48
ART.	3-8	6-5	2-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-8	4-5	1-1	1-1	—	2-3	51
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	60-57-1	67-49	44-11	38-19	3-1	5-7	5-7	23-4	12-45-1	29-29	3-4	7-11	5-1	14-13	49
HOME	38-23	36-23	33-6	26-13	1-1	4-4	3-5	18-3	3-16	10-9	0-2	1-4	3-0	6-3	50
AWAY	19-30-1	27-23	11-4	10-5	2-0	1-2	2-2	5-1	6-26-1	15-18	2-2	4-6	2-1	7-9	49
NAT.	47-41-1	50-37	38-8	30-16	2-1	5-6	3-6	20-3	7-32-1	20-20	1-2	3-10	5-0	11-8	49
ART.	13-16	17-12	6-3	6-3	1-0	0-1	2-1	3-1	5-13	9-9	2-2	4-1	0-1	3-5	48

• GREAT PRODUCT • GREAT VALUE • GREAT PRICE

A screenshot of the SportsTracker II software interface. The window title is 'SportsTracker II'. The menu bar includes 'File', 'NFL Football Lines', 'Bets at Packer', 'Index', 'Window', and 'Help'. The main content area is divided into several sections. On the left, there's a list of games with columns for 'Game', 'Time', 'Status', and 'Score'. In the center, there's a section for 'NFL Football Lines (New)' with columns for 'Game', 'Time', 'Status', and 'Score'. On the right, there's a section for 'Current Lines' with columns for 'Feist', 'WWTB', 'Island', 'SOS', 'Bilmore', and 'Cassara'. The interface is cluttered with various data points and tables.

ALL THE MOVES IN REAL TIME!

Instant line updates from Vegas and the Caribbean
Designed for the serious player and bookmaker

FREE 3-day trial - No obligation

- Real-time betting lines
- Daily injury reports
- Weather reports
- Scoring updates
- Tailored to your needs

Sign up BEFORE
September and receive
half off your first
month of service!
* valid for new subscribers only

Your single information source. Sign-up today!

www.JimFeist.com/Sportstracker

1.800.634.6891

3140 S. Polaris Ave. #24 Las Vegas, NV 89102

IOWA STATE CYCLONES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Florida St. †	31-38	+22-	W N	N. Iowa †	45-0	—	- N	Ohio U.	25-15	-13-	L N	Indiana St.	33-7	—	- N	TCU	21-31	- 4-	L N
at Kansas City				④ Ohio U.	31-28	- 2-	W N	UNLV	37-22	- 9-	W N	Iowa †	17-10	- 4	W N	④ Iowa	27-9	+28-	W N
Kansas	45-3	-21-	W N	Baylor	41-0	-14-	W N	④ Iowa	24-14	- 3	W N	④ UNLV †	24-0	- 3-	W N	Ball St.	38-0	-11-	W N
Tenn. Tech †	58-6	—	- N	④ Nebraska †	14-48	+23-	L A	④ Baylor †	31-17	-14-	L N	Kansas St.	28-35	+15-	W N	Texas Tech	24-31	+ 6	L N
④ Iowa †	36-31	+ 2-	W N	④ Missouri	20-14	- 3	W N	Nebraska	27-49	+15-	L N	④ Nebraska †	14-49	+30	L A	④ Texas †	33-54	+14	L N
Troy St. †	42-12	-16-	W N	Okla. St.	28-14	- 8	W N	④ Okla. St. †	33-26	- 7	P A	④ Missouri †	24-21	+ 7-	W N	Missouri	19-35	+ 6-	L N
Nebraska	36-14	+ 1	W N	④ Texas A&M	21-24	+ 6	W N	Texas A&M	7-30	+ 2-	L N	Colorado	12-16	- 3	L N	④ Kansas St.	7-52	+34	L A
Texas Tech †	31-17	- 6-	W N	Kansas St.	3-42	+ 6-	L N	Missouri	39-20	-10	W N	Texas	41-44	+10-	W N	④ Oklahoma	14-17	+ 7	W N
④ Oklahoma	3-49	+ 8-	L N	Colorado †	27-40	+ 5	L N	④ Kansas St.	10-56	+18	L A	④ Texas Tech	16-28	+ 2-	L A	Nebraska	7-42	+26-	L N
④ Texas	10-21	+12-	W N	④ Kansas	49-7	-10	W A	④ Colorado	35-27	+ 5-	W A	Oklahoma	10-31	+ 7	L N	④ Colorado	8-37	+16	L A
Missouri	42-35	-10	L N	Iowa	17-14	+ 5	W N	Kansas	38-17	- 8-	W N	④ Kansas	28-31	+ 3-	W A	Kansas	23-20	+ 2-	W N
④ Kansas St. †	7-58	+13-	L A	Independence Bowl at Shreveport				Insight.com Bowl at Phoenix											
④ Colorado †	27-41	+10	L N	§ Alabama †	13-14	+ 6	W A	§ Pittsburgh †	37-29	+ 2-	W N								
Connecticut	20-37	-18-	L N																
Humanitarian Bowl																			
④ Boise St.	16-34	+12	L A																

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Okla. St. †	14-21	- 6	L N	Wyoming †	38-41*	+ 4	W N	Ohio U. †	36-21	-17-	L A	N. Iowa	14-28	—	- A	N. Illinois †	54-10	- 8	W A
④ Wyoming	10-56	+14-	L N	④ Iowa	13-38	+18	L N	TCU †	10-27	+13-	L N	④ Iowa	9-37	+ 9-	L N	Iowa	28-31	+ 1	L A
④ Minnesota †	29-53	+ 9	L A	N. Iowa	42-23	—	- N	Iowa	10-27	+14	L A	W. Mich.	19-23	- 4	L A	④ Wisconsin	7-28	+ 7-	L A
Iowa	20-63	+27-	L N	Missouri	45-31	- 3	W N	UNLV	57-30	-15	W A	Rice	18-28	+ 2-	L A	④ Rice	21-49	+ 6	L A
④ Missouri	21-45	+16-	L N	Texas A&M	21-24	+13	W N	Oklahoma	26-39	+19-	W A	④ Oklahoma	6-34	+30-	W N	Oklahoma	7-24	+20-	W A
④ Texas A&M	17-56	+33	L N	④ Okla. St.	27-28	+ 1	P A	④ Kansas	7-34	+16-	L A	Kansas	23-41	+14	L A	④ Kansas	20-35	+ 6	L A
Baylor	24-17	+ 3-	W N	④ Baylor	21-49	+ 7	L A	Colorado	28-50	+24-	W A	④ Okla. St.	31-31	+ 9-	W A	Okla. St.	20-17	P	W A
④ Kansas	24-34	+ 9-	L A	Kansas	31-34	+ 7	W N	Okla. St.	38-14	P	W A	Missouri	20-34	- 2-	L A	④ Missouri	34-37	+ 5-	W A
Colorado	38-43	+19-	W N	④ Colorado	42-49	+27	W A	④ Nebraska	14-73	+42-	L A	④ Kansas St.	20-38	+26-	W A	Kansas St.	27-23	+ 6-	W A
④ Nebraska	14-77	+44	L A	Nebraska	14-49	+40	W N	Kansas St.	7-49	+20-	L A	Nebraska	12-28	+35	W A	④ Nebraska	17-49	+28-	L A
Kansas St.	3-28	+26	W N	④ Kansas St.	20-35	+19-	W A	④ Missouri	31-45	+ 3-	L N	④ Colorado	20-41	+34	W A	Colorado	16-21	+16	W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-7	7-6	4-1	3-2	—	1-0	0-1	2-1	2-6	4-4	2-0	—	0-2	2-2	57
HOME	6-1	4-2	4-1	3-2	—	1-0	0-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56
AWAY	1-5	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	1-0	—	—	1-2	55
NAT.	7-5	7-4	4-1	3-2	—	1-0	0-1	2-1	2-4	4-2	2-0	—	0-2	2-0	57
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	57
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-12	15-9	9-1	8-2	2-0	1-0	2-1	3-1	3-11	7-7	2-0	3-2	0-2	2-3	52
HOME	10-3	7-4	6-1	5-2	—	1-0	1-1	3-1	2-2	2-2	1-0	1-2	—	—	51
AWAY	4-7	6-5	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-7	3-5	1-0	1-0	0-2	1-3	53
NAT.	13-8	13-6	8-1	7-2	2-0	1-0	1-1	3-1	3-7	6-4	2-0	2-2	0-2	2-0	52
ART.	1-4	2-3	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	0-4	1-3	—	1-0	—	0-3	52
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-15	21-14-1	16-1	12-4-1	3-0	1-0-1	5-1	3-3	5-14	9-10	3-1	4-2	0-2	2-5	53
HOME	14-5	10-7	10-1	8-3	—	1-0	4-1	3-2	2-4	2-4	1-1	1-2	—	0-1	52
AWAY	8-8	8-7-1	6-0	4-1-1	3-0	0-0-1	1-0	0-1	2-8	4-6	1-0	2-0	0-2	1-4	53
NAT.	21-10	19-10	14-1	11-4	3-0	1-0	4-1	3-3	5-9	8-6	3-1	3-2	0-2	2-1	52
ART.	2-5	2-4-1	2-0	1-0-1	—	0-0-1	1-0	—	0-5	1-4	—	1-0	—	0-4	55
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	30-30	31-25-1	19-3	15-6-1	3-1	3-1-1	5-1	4-3	8-27	16-19	4-2	6-5	1-2	5-10	51
HOME	18-13	15-13	12-3	10-5	0-1	2-1	4-1	4-2	3-10	5-8	2-1	1-5	—	2-2	50
AWAY	11-15	13-12-1	7-0	5-1-1	3-0	1-0-1	1-0	0-1	4-15	8-11	1-1	4-0	1-2	2-8	52
NAT.	28-20	28-17	17-3	14-6	3-1	3-1	4-1	4-3	8-17	14-11	4-1	4-5	1-2	5-3	50
ART.	2-10	3-8-1	2-0	1-0-1	—	0-0-1	1-0	—	0-10	2-8	0-1	2-0	—	0-7	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	39-75-1	56-52-2	23-6	18-10-1	4-2	3-3-1	6-1	5-4	10-68-1	36-42-1	4-4-1	11-9	2-6	19-23	54
HOME	27-34	33-23	16-6	13-9	1-2	2-3	5-1	5-3	5-27	18-14	2-3	5-5	—	11-6	52
AWAY	11-39-1	20-29-2	7-0	5-1-1	3-0	1-0-1	1-0	0-1	4-39-1	15-28-1	1-1-1	5-4	2-6	7-17	57
NAT.	31-36	37-26	18-4	15-7	4-1	3-2	4-1	4-3	9-32	22-19	4-1	7-6	1-3	10-9	53
ART.	8-39-1	19-26-2	5-2	3-3-1	0-1	0-1-1	2-0	1-1	1-36-1	14-23-1	0-3-1	4-3	1-3	9-14	57

2002	overall 2-10 home 1-5 away 1-5 as fav 1-0 as dog 0-10	vs.spr. 3-6 0-5 3-3 1-0 2-8	2001	overall 3-8 home 2-5 away 1-3 as fav 1-0 as dog 1-7	vs.spr. 3-7 1-5 2-2 1-0 2-6	2000	overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 1-2 as dog 1-5	vs.spr. 3-7 1-4 2-3 0-3 2-4	1999	overall 5-7 home 5-2 away 0-5 as fav 3-1 as dog 1-6	vs.spr. 5-6 4-2 1-4 2-2 3-4	1998	overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 2-1 as dog 1-6	vs.spr. 2-8 2-3 0-5 0-3 2-5			
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread			
@ Iowa St.	3-45	+21- L N	S.W. Mo. St.	24-10	— - A	@ SMU †	17-31	- 3- L N	@ Notre Dame	13-48	+17 L N	Okla. St.	28-38	+ 9 L A			
@ UNLV †	20-31	+14- W N	UCLA	17-41	+12- L A	UAB †	23-20	- 9 L A	CS Northrdg.	71-14	— - A	@ Missouri	23-41	+17 L N			
S.W. Mo. St. †	44-24	— - A	@ Colorado	16-27	+25- W N	S. Illinois †	42-0	— - A	@ Colorado	17-51	+16 L N	Illinois St.	63-14	— - A			
Bowl Green †	16-39	+10- L A	@ Texas Tech †	34-31*	+13- W A	@ Oklahoma	16-34	+24- W N	SDSU †	13-41	- 1- L A	@ Ala.-Birm. †	39-37*	- 7 L N			
@ Tulsa †	43-33	- 4 W A	Okla. St.	10-38	+21- L A	Kansas St.	13-52	+24 L A	SMU	27-9	- 8 W A	Texas A&M	21-24	+13- W A			
@ Baylor	32-35	+ 4- W N	Missouri	34-38	P L A	@ Missouri	38-17	+ 6- W N	@ Kansas St.	9-50	+29- L A	@ Baylor †	24-31	+ 5- L N			
Colorado	29-53	+20 L A	@ Kansas St.	6-40	+20- L A	Colorado	23-15	P W A	@ Texas A&M	17-34	+31- W N	@ Nebraska †	0-41	+34 L A			
Texas A&M	22-47	+23 L A	Nebraska †	7-51	+29- L A	Texas Tech	39-45	- 3 L A	Missouri	21-0	+ 8 W A	Colorado †	33-17	+ 7 W A			
@ Missouri	12-36	+21- L N	@ Texas	0-59	+34- L N	@ Nebraska	17-56	+30- L A	Nebraska †	17-24	+32 W A	Kansas St.	6-54	+27 L A			
Kansas St.	0-64	+34 L A	Iowa St.	7-49	+10 L A	Texas	16-51	+11- L A	Baylor	45-10	-14- W A	N. Texas	23-14	-15 L A			
@ Nebraska	7-45	+36 L A	Wyoming	27-14	- 5 W A	@ Iowa St.	17-38	+ 8- L N	@ Okla. St.	13-45	+ 7 L A	@ Iowa St.	20-23	- 2- L N			
Okla. St.	20-55	+23- L A							Iowa St.	31-28	- 3- L A						
1997	overall 5-6 home 5-1 away 0-5 as fav 4-0 as dog 1-6	vs.spr. 5-6 5-1 0-5 3-1 2-5	1996	overall 4-7 home 1-4 away 3-3 as fav 4-3 as dog 0-4	vs.spr. 3-8 1-4 2-4 4-3 0-4	1995	overall 10-2 home 5-1 away 3-1 as fav 3-1 as dog 3-1	vs.spr. 5-7 2-4 2-2 2-5 2-5	1994	overall 6-5 home 3-3 away 3-2 as fav 4-3 as dog 0-2	vs.spr. 3-6 1-4 2-2 3-4 0-2	1993	overall 5-7 home 4-2 away 1-4 as fav 0-6 as dog 3-3	vs.spr. 6-4 4-1 2-2 3-1 3-3			
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread			
Ala.-Birm. †	24-0	-23 W A	Ball St. †	35-10	-21- W A	Cincinnati	23-18	-15- L A	@ Houston †	35-13	— - A	@ Florida St. at E. Ruth.	0-42	+25- L A			
TCU	17-10	-10- L A	@ TCU †	52-17	- 6 W N	§ N. Texas at Irving, TX	27-10	-18- L A	Mich. St. †	17-10	- 4 W A	W. Carolina	46-3	— - A			
Missouri	15-7	- 1- W A	@ Utah †	42-45	- 3 L N	TCU †	38-20	- 3- W A	@ TCU †	21-31	- 7 L N	@ Mich. St.	14-31	+ 7- L A			
@ Cincinnati †	7-34	+ 3- L A	@ Oklahoma	52-24	- 9- W N	Houston	20-13	-23 L A	Ala.-Birm.	72-0	— - A	Utah	16-41	- 8 L A			
Okla. St.	20-17	+ 3- W A	Texas Tech	17-30	- 1 L A	@ Colorado	40-24	+22 W A	Kansas St. †	13-21	- 1 L A	Colo. St.	24-6	- 7 W A			
@ Texas Tech †	7-17	+ 9- L A	Colorado	7-20	+ 8- L A	Iowa St.	34-7	-16- W A	@ Iowa St.	41-23	-14 W A	@ Kansas St.	9-10	+ 3 W A			
@ Colorado	6-42	+17 L A	@ Nebraska †	7-63	+34 L A	@ Oklahoma †	38-17	+ 6- W N	Okla. St.	17-20	- 1- L A	Iowa St.	35-20	- 6 W A			
Nebraska †	0-35	+37- W A	Iowa St.	34-31	- 7 L N	@ Kansas St.	7-41	P L A	Okla. St.	24-14	-14 L A	@ Oklahoma	23-38	+19 W A			
Iowa St.	34-24	- 9- W A	Kansas St.	12-38	+ 7 L A	Missouri	42-23	-19- L A	@ Nebraska	17-45	+21 L A	@ Okla. St.	13-6	— - A			
@ Kansas St.	16-48	+23 L A	Texas	17-38	+ 6- L A	Nebraska	3-41	+25 L A	Colorado	26-51	+13 L A	Nebraska	20-21	+19 W A			
@ Texas	31-45	+ 6- L N	@ Missouri	25-42	- 3 L N	@ Okla. St.	22-17	- 9- L A	@ Missouri	31-14	- 3- W A	@ Colorado	14-38	+16 L A			
						Aloha Bowl at Honolulu						Missouri	28-0	-10 W A			
						§ UCLA	51-30	+ 5- W A									
STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	3-8	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-10	2-8	—	1-0	—	1-8	62		
HOME	1-5	0-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	0-5	—	—	—	0-5	68		
AWAY	1-5	3-3	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-5	2-3	—	1-0	—	1-3	57		
NAT.	0-4	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	2-2	—	1-0	—	1-2	53		
ART.	2-6	1-6	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-6	0-6	—	—	—	0-6	67		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	5-18	6-15	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	1-17	4-14	—	1-0	0-1	3-13	58		
HOME	3-10	1-10	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-9	0-9	—	—	0-1	0-8	60		
AWAY	2-8	5-5	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	1-8	4-5	—	1-0	—	3-5	55		
NAT.	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	1-0	—	2-3	52		
ART.	5-12	3-12	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	1-11	1-11	—	—	0-1	1-10	59		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	9-25	9-22	3-2	2-3	0-1	2-1	0-1	—	2-22	6-18	—	2-0	0-2	4-16	57		
HOME	6-13	2-14	2-1	1-2	0-1	1-0	0-1	—	0-11	0-11	—	—	0-1	0-10	58		
AWAY	3-12	7-8	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	2-11	6-7	—	2-0	0-1	4-6	55		
NAT.	1-9	5-5	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-8	5-4	—	2-0	0-1	3-3	52		
ART.	8-16	4-17	3-1	2-2	0-1	2-0	0-1	—	1-14	1-14	—	—	0-1	1-13	59		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	18-39	16-36	8-4	4-8	0-3	2-3	1-1	1-1	4-34	11-27	—	3-2	1-3	7-22	56		
HOME	14-18	8-19	6-2	3-5	0-2	1-1	1-1	1-1	2-15	4-13	—	1-0	1-2	2-11	56		
AWAY	4-21	8-17	2-2	1-3	0-1	1-2	—	—	2-19	7-14	—	2-2	0-1	5-11	56		
NAT.	2-15	6-11	1-2	0-3	0-1	0-2	—	—	1-13	6-8	—	2-1	0-1	4-6	55		
ART.	16-24	10-25	7-2	4-5	0-2	2-1	1-1	1-1	3-21	5-19	—	1-1	1-2	3-16	56		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	48-66	38-67	30-11	18-23	1-8	8-5	4-3	5-7	8-53	19-42	1-0	6-6	1-6	11-30	52		
HOME	32-29	21-33	21-6	13-14	1-5	5-1	3-2	4-6	3-22	7-18	—	2-2	1-3	4-13	50		
AWAY	14-36	16-32	8-5	5-8	0-3	3-4	1-1	1-0	4-30	11-23	1-0	3-4	0-3	7-16	55		
NAT.	6-19	9-16	4-5	2-7	0-3	1-4	1-0	—	2-14	7-9	—	3-2	0-1	4-6	59		
ART.	42-47	29-51	26-6	16-16	1-5	7-1	3-3	5-7	6-39	12-33	1-0	3-4	1-5	7-24	50		

KANSAS STATE WILDCATS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.
home		11-2	8-3	home		6-6	8-4	home		11-3	7-7	home		11-3	7-4	home		11-2	7-5
away		7-1	5-1	away		4-2	4-2	away		6-1	4-3	away		7-0	5-1-1	away		7-0	5-1
as fav		3-1	3-1	as fav		2-3	4-1	as fav		3-1	2-2	as fav		3-1	2-2	as fav		4-0	2-2
as dog		9-2	8-3	as dog		6-4	6-4	as dog		10-2	6-6	as dog		10-0	6-3-1	as dog		10-2	7-5
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
W. Kentucky † 48-3 — - A				USC 10-6 - 2- W N				Iowa at Kansas City 27-7 -28- L N				Temple † 40-0 -34 W A				Indiana St. † 66-0 — - A			
La-Monroe † 68-0 -39 W A				N. Mex. St 64-0 -29 W A				La. Tech † 54-10 -27 W A				UTEP † 40-7 -33 P A				N. Illinois 73-7 -48- W A			
E. Illinois 63-13 — - A				Oklahoma 37-38 + 5 W N				Ball St. † 76-0 -46 W A				Iowa St. 35-28 -15- L N				Texas 48-7 -23 W A			
USC † 27-20 - 2 W A				Colorado 6-16 -11 L A				N. Texas 55-10 -51- L A				Texas 35-17 + 6- W N				La-Monroe 62-7 -45 W A			
@ Colorado 31-35 - 4 L N				Texas Tech † 19-38 -14- L A				Colorado 44-21 - 6 W N				Kansas 50-9 -29- W A				@ Colorado † 16-9 -14 L A			
Okla. St. 44-9 -15 W A				Texas A&M 24-31 -10 L A				@ Kansas 52-13 -24 W A				Utah St. 40-0 -29- W A				Okla. St. 52-20 -21 W A			
Texas † 14-17 - 3 L A				Kansas 40-6 -20- W A				Oklahoma 31-41 - 8 L A				@ Okla. St. 44-21 - 6- W A				Iowa St. 52-7 -34 W A			
@ Baylor 44-10 -31- W N				@ Iowa St. 42-3 - 6- W N				Texas Tech † 28-23 -30- L A				Baylor 48-7 -38 W A				@ Kansas 54-6 -27 W A			
@ Kansas 64-0 -34 W A				@ Nebraska 21-31 +13- W A				@ Texas A&M 10-26 - 5- L N				Colorado 20-14 -16 L A				@ Baylor 49-6 -30 W N			
Iowa St. † 58-7 -13 W A				La. Tech 40-7 -20- W A				Iowa St. 56-10 -18 W A				@ Nebraska 15-41 + 9- L A				Nebraska 40-30 -11 L A			
Nebraska 49-13 -12- W A				Missouri 24-3 -20- W A				Nebraska † 29-28 + 4 W A				Missouri 66-0 -26 W A				@ Missouri 31-25 -12- L N			
@ Missouri 38-0 -15- W N				Insight.com Bowl at Phoenix				@ Missouri 28-24 -22 L N				Holiday Bowl at San Diego				Big 12 Championship at St. Louis			
Holiday Bowl at San Diego				§ Syracuse 3-26 - 6 L N				Big 12 Championship at Kansas City				§ Wash. † 24-20 -10 L N				§ Texas A&M 33-36* -17- L A			
§ Arizona St. † 34-27 -17- L N								§ Oklahoma † 24-27 + 2- L N								Alamo Bowl at San Antonio			
								Cotton Bowl at Dallas								§ Purdue † 34-37 -14 L A			
								§ Tennessee 35-21 - 3 W N											

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.
home		11-1	9-3	home		9-3	5-5-1	home		10-2	8-4	home		9-3	7-5	home		9-2-1	6-4
away		6-0	5-1	away		5-1	1-3-1	away		6-1	6-1	away		5-1	3-3	away		6-0-1	3-2
as fav		4-1	3-2	as fav		4-1	4-1	as fav		3-1	1-3	as fav		4-1	4-1	as fav		2-2	2-2
as dog		10-0	8-2	as dog		7-1	4-3-1	as dog		9-1	7-3	as dog		5-1	5-4	as dog		5-1	2-4
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
@ N. Illinois † 47-7 -36 W A				Texas Tech 21-14 - 7 P A				Temple † 34-7 -24 W A				La-Lafayette † 34-6 -14 W A				N. Mex. St 34-10 -21 W A			
Ohio U. † 23-20 -24 L A				Indiana St. † 59-3 — - A				@ Cincinnati † 23-21 -13 L A				Rice 27-18 -16- L A				W. Kentucky 38-13 — - A			
Bowl. Green 58-0 -27- W A				Cincinnati 35-0 -16 W A				Akron † 67-0 -38- W A				Minnesota † 35-0 - 9 W A				@ Minnesota † 30-25 + 2 W A			
@ Nebraska † 26-56 +22 L A				@ Rice † 34-7 -22 W A				N. Illinois 44-0 -26- W A				@ Kansas † 21-13 + 1 W A				UNLV 36-20 — - L A			
Missouri 41-11 -11- W A				Nebraska 3-39 +12- L A				Missouri 30-0 -21- W A				Nebraska 6-17 + 4- L A				Kansas 10-9 - 3 L A			
Texas A&M 36-17 P W A				@ Missouri 35-10 -17 W N				@ Okla. St. 23-17 -17- L A				@ Colorado † 21-35 +14- W A				@ Nebraska 28-45 +28 W A			
@ Oklahoma 26-7 - 9- W N				@ Texas A&M † 23-20 + 1 W N				@ Nebraska 25-49 +23 L A				@ Oklahoma 37-20 - 2 W N				Colorado 16-16 +14 W A			
@ Texas Tech 13-2 - 7 W A				Oklahoma 42-35 -19- L A				Kansas 41-7 P W A				Iowa St. 38-20 -26- L A				Oklahoma 21-7 + 8 W A			
Kansas 48-16 -23 W A				@ Kansas 38-12 - 7 W A				Oklahoma 49-10 - 6- W A				@ Missouri 21-18 -15 L A				@ Iowa St. 23-27 - 6- L A			
Colorado 37-20 - 9- W A				@ Colorado † 0-12 + 5- L A				@ Iowa St. 49-7 -20- W A				Okla. St. 23-6 -16 W A				Missouri 31-21 -12 L A			
@ Iowa St. 28-3 -26 L N				Iowa St. 35-20 -19- L A				Colorado 17-27 - 4 L A				@ UNLV 42-3 -16 W A				@ Okla. St. 21-17 - 5- L A			
Fiesta Bowl at Tempe				Cotton Bowl at Dallas				Holiday Bowl at San Diego				Alpha Bowl at Honolulu				Copper Bowl at Tucson			
§ Syracuse † 35-18 - 3 W X				§ BYU 15-19 - 3- L N				§ Colo. St. † 54-21 - 9 W N				§ Bost. Coll. 7-12 - 1- L A				§ Wyoming † 52-17 - 3- W N			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	11-2	8-3	9-2	8-3	1-1	0-1	—	7-1	—	—	—	—	—	56			
HOME	7-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	1-1	—	—	4-0	—	—	—	—	—	56			
AWAY	3-1	3-1	3-1	3-1	—	0-1	—	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	55			
NAT.	3-1	2-2	3-1	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	—	—	—	—	—	54			
ART.	8-1	6-1	6-1	6-1	1-1	—	—	5-0	—	—	—	—	—	57			
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-8	16-7	15-6	14-7	2-1	1-2	0-1	11-3	0-2	2-0	—	1-0	—	50			
HOME	11-3	9-3	9-3	9-3	1-1	—	0-1	8-1	—	—	—	—	—	51			
AWAY	5-4	7-2	5-2	5-2	1-0	1-1	—	3-1	0-2	2-0	—	1-0	—	51			
NAT.	5-3	5-3	5-2	4-3	1-0	1-2	—	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	48			
ART.	12-5	11-4	10-4	10-4	1-1	—	0-1	9-2	0-1	1-0	—	—	1-0	52			
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	28-11	23-14	25-8	20-13	3-1	2-3	0-2	15-7	1-3	3-1	0-1	2-0	—	53			
HOME	17-4	13-6	14-4	12-6	1-1	—	0-2	11-3	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	55			
AWAY	8-5	9-4	8-3	7-4	1-0	2-2	—	4-2	0-2	2-0	—	1-0	—	52			
NAT.	9-5	7-7	9-3	6-6	2-0	2-3	—	2-3	0-2	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	48			
ART.	19-6	16-7	16-5	14-7	1-1	—	0-2	13-4	1-1	2-0	—	1-0	—	56			
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	50-14	37-23-1	45-10	33-21-1	3-1	3-3	0-3	27-14-1	2-4	4-2	0-1	3-0	0-1	54			
HOME	31-4	23-8-1	27-4	22-8-1	1-1	—	0-2	21-5-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	56			
AWAY	15-6	13-8	14-3	10-7	1-0	3-2	—	6-5	1-3	3-1	—	2-0	0-1	53			
NAT.	14-5	9-10	13-3	7-9	2-0	2-3	0-1	3-5	1-2	2-1	0-1	2-0	—	49			
ART.	36-9	28-13-1	32-7	26-12-1	1-1	1-0	0-2	24-9-1	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	0-1	56			
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	98-25-1	72-44-2	84-14	59-37-2	5-3	7-7-1	4-3	43-24-1	6-11-1	11-7	3-1	3-2	1-1	4-3	50		
HOME	59-7-1	41-18-2	49-5	36-16-2	1-2	1-1-1	2-2	32-11-1	2-2-1	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	51		
AWAY	32-12	27-17	28-4	19-13	2-0	5-4	1-0	11-9	4-8	8-4	3-0	2-1	0-1	3-2	49		
NAT.	21-6	15-12	19-4	12-11	3-0	3-4	2-1	4-6	2-2	3-1	1-1	2-0	—	—	49		
ART.	76-19-1	56-32-2	64-10	46-26-2	1-3	4-3-1	2-2	39-18-1	4-9-1	8-6	2-0	1-2	1-1	4-3	51		

KENT STATE GOLDEN FLASHES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 3-9 1-8-1 home 2-4 0-4 away 1-5 1-4-1 as fav 1-1 0-1-1 as dog 0-8 1-7	overall 6-5 3-2 home 4-1 3-1 away 2-4 5-1 as fav 1-0 1-0 as dog 4-5 7-2	overall 1-10 3-7 home 0-5 0-4 away 1-5 3-3 as fav 0-2 0-2 as dog 1-7 3-5	overall 2-9 6-5 home 2-3 4-1 away 0-6 2-4 as fav 1-0 1-0 as dog 1-9 5-5	overall 0-11 3-7 home 0-5 1-3 away 0-6 2-4 as fav 0-10 3-7
opponent score vs. spread surf New Hamp. † 34-7 — - A @ Ohio State 17-51 +28- L N Cal Poly 37-34 — - A @ Miami-OH 20-27 +11 W N @ N. Illinois 6-13 + 6 L A Marshall 21-42 +13- L A Ohio U. 0-50 -11- L A @ Buffalo 16-12 - 4 P N Bowl. Green 14-45 +20- L A @ Connecticut 21-63 + 6- L N @ C. Florida † 6-32 +25 L N Akron 10-48 + 8 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Iowa 0-51 +27- L N Bucknell † 38-17 — - A @ W. Virginia 14-34 +27 W A @ Akron † 10-14 +16- W A @ Bowl. Green 7-24 +18 W N N. Illinois 44-34 +10 W A Buffalo 35-13 -12 W A @ Ohio U. 24-14 +11 W N Marshall 21-42 +13 L A @ Ball St. 31-18 + 6 W N Miami-OH † 24-20 + 3- W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Pittsburgh 7-30 +25- W A @ Purdue 10-45 +43 W N Youngstown † 20-26 — - A @ Miami-OH 14-45 +22- L N Bowl. Green † 11-18 - 5 L A @ C. Mich. 24-21* + 3- W A Ohio U. 7-44 +11 L A @ Marshall 12-34 +20- L A W. Mich. 0-42 +24 L A @ Buffalo 17-20* - 7 L N Akron 6-34 +12 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Cincinnati † 3-41 +19 L A Navy † 28-48 +18- L A @ Pittsburgh 23-30 +33- W A Bowl. Green † 41-27 +16 W A @ Ohio U. † 3-31 +14 L N @ Toledo † 7-47 +19- L A Miami-OH 10-17 +24 W A @ N. Illinois 7-50 +14 L A Buffalo 41-20 -14 W A Marshall 16-28 +34 W A @ Akron 34-37 +16 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Georgia † 3-56 +35 L N Youngstown † 10-24 — - A @ Navy † 24-38 +21 W N @ C. Mich. 7-46 + 7- L A E. Mich. † 17-26 + 8- L A Akron 16-45 + 9- L A @ Marshall † 7-42 +37 W A @ Bowl. Green 21-42 +20 L N W. Mich. 23-48 +16- L A @ Miami-OH 0-56 +35 L N Ohio U. 21-31 +24 W A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 3-8 4-6 home 2-3 3-2 away 1-5 1-4 as fav 3-7 4-6	overall 2-9 3-6 home 2-2 2-1 away 0-7 1-5 as fav 1-8 3-6	overall 1-9-1 3-5-1 home 1-5 1-3-1 away 0-1-1 2-3 as fav 0-9-1 3-5-1	overall 2-9 1-0 home 2-3 — away 0-6 1-0 as fav 0-1 1-0	overall 0-11 1-1 home 0-4 — away 0-7 1-1 as fav 0-2 1-1
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Ohio U. † 7-31 +18 L N @ Youngstown † 23-44 — - A Marshall 17-42 +26 W A @ E. Mich. † 41-38 +20- W A C. Florida 7-31 +19- L A Miami-OH † 26-62 +22 L A @ W. Mich. 27-50 +14- L N C. Mich. † 60-37 + 2 W A Bowl. Green 29-20 + 3- W A @ Akron 35-45 + 1- L A @ Navy 29-62 +27 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Miami-OH 6-64 +27- L N @ Pittsburgh † 14-52 +31 L A Youngstown † 28-12 — - N @ Nevada 42-63 — - N Akron 32-17 + 3 W N @ Bowl. Green 24-31 +22- W N Ohio U. 15-24 + 7- L N @ E. Mich. 10-51 +13 L A C. Mich. 51-52 +13 W N @ Ball St. 6-50 +23- L N @ W. Mich. 27-76 +11- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Youngstown 17-14 — - N Miami-OH 0-39 + 6- L N @ Ohio U. 28-28 + 2- W N @ W. Virginia 6-45 +31- L A W. Mich. 6-52 +12 L N @ So. Carolina 14-77 +34- L N @ C. Mich. 16-27 +22 W A Akron † 6-14 + 2 L A Ball St. 13-28 +15 P N Bowl. Green 15-26 +14 W N E. Mich. 7-40 +14 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Rutgers 6-28 +31 W N Akron 32-16 — - N @ C. Mich. 0-45 — - A @ W. Mich. 10-24 — - N E. Mich. 10-24 — - N @ Youngstown 14-28 — - A Ohio U. 24-0 — - N @ Toledo 14-48 — - A Bowl. Green 16-22 — - N @ Miami-OH 14-24 — - N Ball St. 0-34 — - N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Kentucky † 0-35 +21- L N @ Akron † 7-42 — - A @ Hawaii † 17-49 +34- W A W. Mich. 21-27 — - N @ E. Mich. † 15-20 — - A @ Ohio U. 10-15 — - N C. Mich. 28-33 — - N Toledo 27-45 — - N @ Bowl. Green 7-40 — - N Miami-OH 14-23 — - N @ Ball St. 3-28 — - N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	1-8-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-0-1	—	0-1	0-8	1-7	—	0-2	0-1	1-4	52
HOME	2-4	0-4	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-3	0-3	—	—	0-1	0-2	57
AWAY	1-5	1-4-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	—	0-5	1-4	—	0-2	—	1-2	47
NAT.	1-4	1-3-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	—	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	—	1-2	53
ART.	2-5	0-5	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-4	0-4	—	0-1	0-1	0-2	51
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-14	9-10-1	2-1	1-1-1	—	0-0-1	—	1-1	4-13	8-9	—	2-2	1-1	5-6	50
HOME	6-5	3-5	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	2-4	2-4	—	1-0	1-1	0-3	57
AWAY	3-9	6-5-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	—	2-9	6-5	—	1-2	—	5-3	43
NAT.	3-6	4-4-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	—	2-6	4-4	—	1-1	—	3-3	48
ART.	6-8	5-6	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	2-7	4-5	—	1-1	1-1	2-3	51
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	10-24	12-17-1	2-3	1-3-1	—	0-2-1	—	1-1	5-20	11-14	—	3-2	1-1	7-11	48
HOME	6-10	3-9	1-2	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	2-7	2-7	—	1-0	1-1	0-6	52
AWAY	4-14	9-8-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	3-13	9-7	—	2-2	—	7-5	44
NAT.	3-9	5-6-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	2-8	5-5	—	1-1	—	4-4	48
ART.	7-15	7-11	1-2	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	3-12	6-9	—	2-1	1-1	3-7	48
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	12-44	21-29-1	3-3	2-3-1	—	0-2-1	—	2-1	6-39	19-26	—	3-2	1-4	15-20	50
HOME	8-18	8-13	2-2	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	3-14	6-11	—	1-0	1-3	4-8	52
AWAY	4-26	13-16-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	3-25	13-15	—	2-2	0-1	11-12	48
NAT.	3-14	6-10-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	2-13	6-9	—	1-1	—	5-8	50
ART.	9-30	15-19	2-2	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	4-26	13-17	—	2-1	1-4	10-12	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	20-90-1	33-48-2	3-3	2-3-1	—	0-2-1	—	2-1	10-66-1	31-45-1	3-2	4-3	1-5	23-35-1	52
HOME	15-35	14-19-1	2-2	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	6-24	12-17-1	2-0	2-1	1-4	7-12-1	51
AWAY	5-55-1	19-29-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	4-42-1	19-28	1-2	2-2	0-1	16-23	52
NAT.	8-44-1	12-22-2	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	—	3-30-1	12-21-1	2-0	1-2	0-1	9-18-1	51
ART.	12-46	21-26	2-2	2-2	—	0-1	—	2-1	7-36	19-24	1-2	3-1	1-4	14-17	52

2002	str.up vs.spr.			2001	str.up vs.spr.			2000	str.up vs.spr.			1999	str.up vs.spr.			1998	str.up vs.spr.		
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away
	as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	
① Louisville	22-17	+12- W	N	Louisville	10-36	+ 4 L	N	① Louisville †	34-40*	- 5- L	N	Louisville	28-56	+ 3 L	N	① Louisville	68-34	-10 W	N
UTEP	77-17	-19- W	N	Bail St.	28-20	-10 L	N	So. Florida	27-9	- - N		Connecticut	45-14	- - N		Eastern Ky.	52-7	- - N	
Indiana †	27-17	-18 L	N	Florida	10-44	+30 L	N	Indiana †	41-34	- 4 W	N	① Indiana	44-35	+ 8 W	N	Indiana	31-27	-21 L	N
Mid. Tenn.	44-22	- 5- W	N	Mississippi	31-42	+ 8 L	N	① Florida	31-59	+22 L	N	Florida †	10-38	+20 L	N	① Florida	35-51	+21 W	N
① Florida	34-41	+19 W	N	① So. Carolina	6-42	+21- L	N	① Mississippi †	17-35	+ 7 L	N	Arkansas	31-20	+ 8- W	N	① Arkansas †	20-27	+ 4 L	N
So. Carolina †	12-16	- 3- L	N	LSU †	25-29	+17 W	N	So. Carolina †	17-20	+ 2 L	N	① So. Carolina	30-10	- 8 W	N	at Little Rock			
① Arkansas	29-17	+11 W	N	① Georgia	29-43	+21 W	N	① LSU †	0-34	+ 4- L	N	LSU	31-5	- 5 W	N	So. Carolina †	33-28	-14 L	N
Georgia	24-52	+ 5 L	N	① Miss. St.	14-17	+ 7- W	N	Georgia	30-34	+ 9 W	N	① Georgia	34-49	+ 4 L	N	① LSU †	39-36	+ 9 W	N
① Miss. St.	45-24	- 4 W	N	① Vanderbilt	56-30	P W	N	Miss. St.	17-35	+ 6- L	N	① Miss. St. †	22-23	+ 6- W	N	Georgia	26-28	+ 1- L	N
LSU	30-33	+ 5 W	N	Tennessee	35-38	+18- W	N	Vanderbilt	20-24	- 6- L	N	① Vanderbilt †	19-17	- 3 L	N	Miss. St.	37-35	- 7- L	N
Vanderbilt	41-21	-15- W	N	① Indiana	15-26	+ 6 L	N	① Tennessee	20-59	+19- L	N	Tennessee	21-56	+13 L	N	Vanderbilt	55-17	-22- W	N
① Tennessee	0-24	+ 8- L	N													① Tennessee	21-59	+10- L	N
																Music City Bowl at Nashville			
																§ Syracuse	13-20	- 3 L	N
																Outback Bowl at Tampa			
																§ Penn State	14-26	+ 7- L	N

1997	str.up vs.spr.			1996	str.up vs.spr.			1995	str.up vs.spr.			1994	str.up vs.spr.			1993	str.up vs.spr.		
	overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away		overall	home	away
	as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog			as fav	as dog	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	
Louisville	38-24	+ 3 W	N	Louisville †	14-38	- 2- L	N	Louisville †	10-13	+ 5 W	N	Louisville †	20-14	- 4 W	N	Kent State †	35-0	-21- W	N
① Miss. St.	27-35	+ 5- L	N	① Cincinnati	3-24	+ 3- L	A	Florida †	7-42	+26- L	N	① Florida	7-73	+24- L	N	Florida †	20-24	+14 W	N
① Indiana	49-7	+ 2- W	N	Indiana †	3-0	+ 3- W	N	① Indiana	17-10	+14 W	N	Indiana †	29-59	+ 1 L	N	① Indiana	8-24	+ 6- L	N
Florida	28-55	+23 L	N	① Florida	0-65	+37- L	N	① So. Carolina	35-30	+12- W	N	So. Carolina †	9-23	+ 7 L	N	① So. Carolina †	21-17	+11 W	N
Alabama †	40-34*	+ 6 W	N	① Alabama	7-35	+22 L	N	Auburn †	21-42	+16 L	N	① Auburn †	14-41	+25 L	N	Mississippi †	21-0	+ 7- W	N
① So. Carolina	24-38	+ 2 L	N	So. Carolina †	14-25	+ 7 L	N	LSU †	24-16	+ 7 W	N	① LSU †	13-17	+15 W	N	LSU †	35-17	-11- W	N
La-Monroe †	49-14	-25 W	N	① LSU †	14-41	+27 P	N	① Georgia	3-12	+ 8- L	N	Georgia †	30-34	+12 W	N	① Georgia	28-33	+ 7 W	N
① Georgia	13-23	+11- W	N	Georgia †	24-17	+15- W	N	① Miss. St.	32-42	+ 3- L	N	Miss. St. †	7-47	+ 9 L	N	① Miss. St.	26-17	+ 1- W	N
LSU †	28-63	+ 7 L	N	Miss. St.	24-21	+ 5- W	N	① Vanderbilt	10-14	- 5 L	A	Vanderbilt	6-24	+ 3 L	N	① Vanderbilt	7-12	- 6 L	A
① Vanderbilt	21-10	- 4 W	A	Vanderbilt	25-0	- 2- W	N	Cincinnati	33-14	+ 3 W	N	La-Monroe	14-21	- - N		E. Carolina	6-3	-19 L	N
Tennessee	31-59	+18 L	N	① Tennessee	10-56	+28 L	N	Tennessee	31-34	+26- W	N	① Tennessee	0-52	+26 L	N	Tennessee	0-48	+16 L	N
																Peach Bowl at Atlanta			
																§ Clemson †	13-14	+ 2- W	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PT AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	-to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	-to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-5	8-4	5-1	4-2	—	2-1	—	2-1	2-4	4-2	—	1-1	0-1	3-0	57
HOME	4-3	4-3	4-1	3-2	—	1-1	—	2-1	0-2	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	61
AWAY	3-2	4-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	2-2	3-1	—	—	0-1	3-0	50
NAT.	7-5	8-4	5-1	4-2	—	2-1	—	2-1	2-4	4-2	—	1-1	0-1	3-0	57
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-14	13-10	6-1	4-3	—	2-1	0-1	2-1	2-13	8-7	—	1-3	1-2	6-2	57
HOME	5-8	6-7	5-1	3-3	—	1-1	0-1	2-1	0-7	3-4	—	1-2	0-1	2-1	60
AWAY	4-6	7-3	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	2-6	5-3	—	0-1	1-1	4-1	53
NAT.	9-14	13-10	6-1	4-3	—	2-1	0-1	2-1	2-13	8-7	—	1-3	1-2	6-2	57
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	11-23	15-18	7-3	5-5	—	3-3	0-1	2-1	2-20	9-13	0-1	1-6	2-2	6-4	57
HOME	7-12	8-10	6-2	4-4	—	2-2	0-1	2-1	0-10	4-6	0-1	1-3	1-1	2-1	57
AWAY	4-11	7-8	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	2-10	5-7	—	0-3	1-1	4-3	57
NAT.	11-23	15-18	7-3	5-5	—	3-3	0-1	2-1	2-20	9-13	0-1	1-6	2-2	6-4	57
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	24-34	24-31	15-4	9-10	0-2	4-3	2-2	3-3	5-30	14-21	0-3	2-8	5-3	7-7	59
HOME	15-16	11-17	11-2	6-7	—	3-2	0-2	3-3	1-14	5-10	0-3	1-3	2-1	2-3	58
AWAY	9-16	13-12	4-1	3-2	0-1	1-1	2-0	—	4-15	9-10	—	1-5	3-1	5-4	61
NAT.	24-34	24-31	15-4	9-10	0-2	4-3	2-2	3-3	5-30	14-21	0-3	2-8	5-3	7-7	59
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	44-70	51-58-1	22-7	15-14	1-3	6-5	2-2	6-4	18-62	35-44-1	5-6	8-15	6-5	16-18-1	53
HOME	29-33	28-30	17-3	11-9	1-1	4-2	0-2	6-4	9-29	17-21	2-5	6-6	3-2	6-8	53
AWAY	15-34	22-26-1	5-3	4-4	0-1	2-3	2-0	—	9-31	17-22-1	2-1	2-9	3-2	10-10-1	54
NAT.	43-66	49-55-1	21-5	14-12	1-3	5-3	2-2	6-4	18-60	34-43-1	4-6	8-14	6-5	16-18-1	54
ART.	1-4	2-3	1-2	1-2	—	1-2	—	—	0-2	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	25

LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE RAGIN' CAJUNS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 3-9 4-7-1 home 3-2 1-3-1 away 0-7 3-4 as fav 2-1 0-2-1 as dog 1-8 4-5	overall 3-8 2-8 home 2-3 1-3 away 1-5 1-5 as fav 1-0 0-1 as dog 1-8 2-7	overall 1-10 5-3 home 0-5 1-1 away 1-5 4-2 as fav 0-1 0-1 as dog 1-6 5-2	overall 2-9 6-4 home 2-3 3-1 away 0-6 3-3 as fav 1-1 1-1 as dog 0-8 5-3	overall 2-9 5-4 home 2-2 1-1 away 0-7 4-3 as fav — — as dog 1-8 5-4
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Texas A&M † 7-31 +29- W N Minnesota † 11-35 +16 L N @ Houston † 17-36 +9 L N UAB † 34-0 +7 W N @ LSU † 0-48 +27 L N @ N. Mex. St. † 28-31 +13 W N @ Mid. Tenn. 35-48 +10- L A N. Texas 0-27 +4 L N Idaho 31-28 -3 P N Arkansas St. 13-10 -3- L N @ Arkansas 17-24 +34 W N @ La-Monroe 10-34 -5 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Nicholls St. † 20-0 — - N @ Minnesota † 14-44 +24- L A So. Miss. † 10-35 +24 L N Mid. Tenn. † 9-26 +16 L N @ Arizona St. † 27-63 +33 L N @ Arkansas St. 20-26 +4 L N @ Idaho 54-37 +11 W A La-Monroe 17-12 -8 L N @ N. Texas 17-42 +10- L A N. Mex. St. 46-49 +7- W N @ C. Florida † 0-31 +27 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Sam Hous. † 14-21 — - N @ Texas 10-52 +46- W N @ Texas Tech † 0-26 +32 W A N'western St. † 21-23 — - N UAB 2-47 +18 L N Tulane 37-38 +20- W N @ La. Tech † 14-48 +6 L N N. Texas † 0-13 -4- L N @ La-Monroe † 21-18 +2- W N Jcksvil. St. 14-28 — - N @ Mid. Tenn. 38-41* +26 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Okla. St. † 7-24 +34 W A Texas Tech † 17-38 +22- W N @ Houston † 0-45 +20- L N Mid. Tenn. † 45-31 -2- W N La. Tech † 31-41 +17- W N @ Wash. St. 0-44 +21 L A @ Tulane 32-48 +28 W A La-Monroe 7-31 -3 L N @ Arkansas St. 27-31 +10- W N @ So. Miss. 0-48 +37 L N Wofford 37-34* — - N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Arkansas † 17-38 +32 W N N'western St. † 22-24 — - N @ La. Tech † 14-77 +19- L N @ So. Miss. † 0-55 +34- L N @ UAB † 13-24 +18- W N @ Arkansas St. 21-19 +3- W N C. Florida † 10-42 +28 L N @ Tulane † 20-72 +38 L A @ La-Monroe † 24-34 +14 W N @ Okla. St. 20-44 +35- W A W. Kentucky † 38-24 — - N
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 1-10 1-9 home 0-5 0-5 away 1-5 1-4 as fav — — as dog 1-9 1-9	overall 5-6 4-6 home 4-1 2-3 away 1-5 2-3 as fav 3-1 1-3 as dog 2-4 3-3	overall 6-5 6-3-1 home 4-1 3-2-1 away 2-4 3-2-1 as fav 5-0 4-1 as dog 0-5 2-2-1	overall 6-5 0-4 home 4-1 — away 2-4 0-4 as fav 0-1 0-1 as dog 0-3 0-3	overall 8-3 3-1 home 4-1 2-0 away 4-2 1-1 as fav — — as dog 3-1 3-1
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Pittsburgh 13-45 +6 L A Okla. St. † 7-31 +6 L N @ Texas Tech † 14-59 +28 L A @ Texas A&M 0-66 +38 L N UAB † 7-42 +6 L N @ Arkansas St. † 41-38 +5- W N @ N. Alabama 42-48* — - N La-Monroe 21-28* +4- L N Tulane † 0-56 +25- L N @ Wash. St. 7-77 +45- L A La. Tech † 24-63 +21- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Florida † 21-55 +41 W N Texas A&M † 29-22 +20- W N @ So. Miss. 27-52 +10 L N @ La. Tech † 37-31 +1 W N Houston † 24-31 -1 L N Arkansas St. 42-31 -21 L N @ UAB 29-39 — - N Memphis † 13-9 -2 W N @ Va. Tech 16-47 +20- L N N. Illinois † 45-31 -24 L N @ Texas Tech 21-56 +28 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Nevada 14-38 +16- L N Ala.-Birm. † 56-21 — - N @ Memphis † 19-33 +12- L N @ N. Illinois 24-25 +1 P A @ Arkansas St. † 33-9 -9 W N N. Mex. St. † 43-26 -8 W N Pacific 45-3 -10 W N @ Tulane † 32-28 -1 W A La. Tech † 40-33 -11- L N @ Arkansas 13-24 +21 W N So. Miss. † 32-35 +6 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Kansas St. † 6-34 +14 L A N. Illinois † 29-9 — - N Troy St. † 20-39 — - N @ San Jose St. † 28-31 -7- L N @ La. Tech 13-3 — - N Arkansas St. 26-0 — - N @ So. Miss. 20-43 +9- L N @ Utah St. † 27-25 — - N UNLV 28-27 — - N @ Texas Tech 7-39 +20- L A W. Mich. † 17-14 — - N	opponent score vs. spread surf Utah St. † 13-34 — - N @ Miami-OH † 28-29 — - N Memphis † 17-15 +19 W N So. Miss. † 13-7 +9 W N @ Tulane † 36-15 +2 W A @ Arkansas St. † 19-3 — - N @ N. Illinois 33-19 — - A San Jose St. 24-13 — - N @ Florida 14-61 +28 L N @ UNLV 31-14 — - A La. Tech † 21-17 — - N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	4-7-1	2-1	0-2-1	0-0-1	0-2	—	—	1-8	4-5	—	1-1	0-1	3-3	46
HOME	3-2	1-3-1	2-0	0-1-1	0-0-1	0-1	—	—	1-2	1-2	—	1-1	—	0-1	37
AWAY	0-7	3-4	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	—	0-1	3-2	52
NAT.	3-8	4-6-1	2-1	0-2-1	0-0-1	0-2	—	—	1-7	4-4	—	1-1	0-1	3-2	42
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	83
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	6-17	6-15-1	3-1	0-3-1	0-0-1	0-2	0-1	—	2-16	6-12	—	1-2	1-1	4-9	50
HOME	5-5	2-6-1	3-0	0-2-1	0-0-1	0-1	0-1	—	1-5	2-4	—	1-1	1-0	0-3	41
AWAY	1-12	4-9	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-11	4-8	—	0-1	0-1	4-6	57
NAT.	5-14	5-12-1	3-1	0-3-1	0-0-1	0-2	0-1	—	1-13	5-9	—	1-2	1-1	3-6	45
ART.	1-3	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	—	—	1-3	72
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	7-27	11-18-1	3-2	0-4-1	0-0-1	0-3	0-1	—	3-22	11-14	1-0	1-3	1-1	8-10	49
HOME	5-10	3-7-1	3-1	0-3-1	0-0-1	0-2	0-1	—	1-6	3-4	—	1-1	1-0	1-3	41
AWAY	2-17	8-11	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-16	8-10	1-0	0-2	0-1	7-7	55
NAT.	6-22	8-15-1	3-2	0-4-1	0-0-1	0-3	0-1	—	2-17	8-11	1-0	1-3	1-1	5-7	45
ART.	1-5	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	3-3	—	—	—	3-3	66
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	11-45	22-26-1	4-3	1-5-1	1-1-1	0-3	0-1	—	4-38	21-21	1-0	2-3	1-1	17-17	52
HOME	9-15	7-9-1	4-2	1-4-1	1-1-1	0-2	0-1	—	2-9	6-5	—	2-1	1-0	3-4	47
AWAY	2-30	15-17	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-29	15-16	1-0	0-2	0-1	14-13	56
NAT.	10-35	16-21-1	4-3	1-5-1	1-1-1	0-3	0-1	—	3-28	15-16	1-0	2-3	1-1	11-12	49
ART.	1-10	6-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	6-5	—	—	—	6-5	64
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	37-74	36-49-2	12-5	6-10-1	3-2-1	0-3	3-2	0-3	10-60	30-39-1	3-0-1	4-7	2-3	21-29	53
HOME	25-24	14-18-1	10-3	4-8-1	2-2-1	0-2	2-1	0-3	5-15	10-10	—	3-4	2-0	5-6	49
AWAY	12-50	22-31-1	2-2	2-2	1-0	0-1	1-1	—	5-45	20-29-1	3-0-1	1-3	0-3	16-23	57
NAT.	32-57	28-38-1	11-5	5-10-1	2-2-1	0-3	3-2	0-3	8-43	23-28	2-0	4-6	2-3	15-19	52
ART.	5-17	8-11-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	2-17	7-11-1	1-0-1	0-1	—	6-10	61

LOUISIANA-MONROE INDIANS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998												
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.								
		home	3-9	6-5			home	2-9	6-4			home	1-10	1-7			home	5-6	4-5			home	5-6	2-6								
		away	3-3	3-2			away	1-4	2-2			away	1-4	1-1			away	3-1	2-0			away	5-1	1-2								
		as fav	0-6	3-3			as fav	1-5	4-2			as fav	0-6	0-6			as fav	2-5	2-5			as fav	0-5	1-4								
		as dog	0-1	0-1			as dog	—	—			as dog	0-1	0-1			as dog	1-0	1-0			as dog	2-1	1-2								
		as dog	3-7	6-4			as dog	2-8	6-4			as dog	0-7	1-6			as dog	2-6	3-5			as dog	0-5	1-4								
opponent					score	vs. spread	surf	opponent					score	vs. spread	surf	opponent					score	vs. spread	surf									
Mississippi †					3-31	+30-	W N	Sam Hous. †					9-20	—	-	N	Nicholls St. †					44-10	—	-	N							
Kansas St. †					0-68	+39	L A	Florida †					6-55	+55	W N	Florida †					10-42	+44	W N	SF Austin St. †					21-10	—	-	N
McNeese St. †					19-24	—	-	N	Mid. Tenn. †					20-38	+20-	W N	Arkansas †					6-44	+35-	L N	Kansas St.					7-62	+45	L A
Arkansas St. †					21-33	- 2-	L N	N. Mex. St. †					0-31	+10-	L N	SW Tex. St. †					7-27	—	-	N	W. Mich. †					14-27	+ 6-	L N
Tulane †					9-52	+13-	L N	N. Texas †					19-17	+ 6	W N	N'western St.					38-37	—	-	N	La. Tech †					14-44	+13-	L N
Idaho †					34-14	+10-	W N	C. Florida					6-38	+31-	L N	UAB †					0-47	+13-	L N	Arizona †					7-45	+33-	L N	
N. Mex. St.					21-34	+18	W N	La-Lafayette					12-17	+ 8	W N	Utah †					0-42	+28	L N	Ala.-Birm. †					20-14	- 3	W N	
Utah St. †					51-48*	+ 6	W N	Idaho †					38-42	+14	W A	Wyoming †					20-38	+23-	W N	La-Lafayette †					34-24	-14	L N	
N. Texas					2-41	+17-	L A	at Moscow, ID								La-Lafayette					31-7	+ 3	W N	Arkansas St.					13-17	- 3	L N	
Auburn					14-52	+35	L N	Troy St. †					12-44	+11	L N	Mid. Tenn.					10-0	- 6-	W N	Port. St. †					43-27	—	-	N
Mid. Tenn.					28-44	+17-	W A	Arkansas St.					16-7	+ 5	W N	La. Tech †					17-58	+26	L N									
La-Lafayette					34-10	+ 5	W N	Cincinnati					10-42	+26-	L A	Tulsa					37-34	+ 7-	W A									

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993														
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.										
		home	5-7	6-4			home	5-6	3-3			home	2-9	4-3			home	3-8	2-2			home	9-2	—										
		away	3-1	1-1			away	4-1	0-1			away	1-2	—			away	2-2	—			away	4-1	—										
		as fav	2-6	5-3			as fav	1-5	3-2			as fav	1-7	4-3			as fav	1-6	2-2			as fav	5-1	—										
		as dog	1-1	1-1			as dog	—	—			as dog	—	—			as dog	—	—			as dog	—	—										
		as dog	2-6	5-3			as dog	0-6	3-3			as dog	1-6	4-3			as dog	0-4	2-2			as dog	—	—										
opponent					score	vs. spread	surf	opponent					score	vs. spread	surf	opponent					score	vs. spread	surf											
Nicholls St. †					28-0	—	-	N	Nicholls St. †					14-12	—	-	N	Colorado					13-48	+39	W A	E. Wash.					34-13	—	-	A
Arkansas †					16-28	+22	W N	Minnesota †					3-30	+12	L N	Nicholls St. †					34-21	—	-	N	Eastern Ky. †					40-14	—	-	N	
La. Tech †					16-17	+10	W N	UCLA †					0-44	+31-	L N	Colorado					14-66	+38	L A	So. Miss.					37-44	—	-	N		
Georgia					3-42	+29-	L N	Sam Houston					34-31	—	-	N	Missouri					22-31	+13-	W N	Nicholls St. †					51-30	—	-	N	
Okla. St. †					7-38	+20-	L A	Arkansas †					21-38	+22-	W N	Miss. St. †					34-32	+22	W N	Weber St. †					62-37	—	-	N		
N'western St. †					17-7	—	-	N	at Little Rock								Troy St. †					10-20	—	-	N	Wyoming					14-28	—	-	N
Miss. St. †					10-24	+16-	W N	N'western St. †					13-10	—	-	N	Nevada					35-59	+13	L N	C. Florida †					16-33	—	-	N	
Kentucky †					14-49	+25	L N	C. Florida					39-38	—	-	N	N'western St. †					39-42	—	-	A	Jacksonville St. †					28-32	—	-	N
La-Lafayette					28-21*	- 4-	W N	Miss. St.					0-59	+19-	L N	C. Florida †					14-34	—	-	N	BYU					10-24	+28	W N		
C. Florida †					45-41	+11-	W N	Auburn					24-28	+41	W N	Auburn					14-38	+36-	W N	Kentucky					21-14	—	-	N		
W. Mich.					19-32	- 1-	L N	Jacksonville St. †					31-28*	—	-	N	Louisville					0-39	+19	L A	N. Texas †					38-20	—	-	N	
Hawaii †					23-20*	+ 5-	W A	Cincinnati					13-35	+22-	W A																			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	6-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	3-7	6-4	—	2-0	—	4-4	57
HOME	3-3	3-2	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	3-1	3-1	—	2-0	—	1-1	58
AWAY	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	3-3	56
NAT.	3-6	5-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	3-4	5-2	—	2-0	—	3-2	56
ART.	0-3	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	1-2	—	—	—	1-2	61
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	5-18	12-9	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	5-15	12-8	—	4-0	1-0	7-8	51
HOME	4-7	5-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	4-4	5-3	—	3-0	—	2-3	50
AWAY	1-11	7-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-11	7-5	—	1-0	1-0	5-5	52
NAT.	5-13	10-6	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	5-10	10-5	—	4-0	1-0	5-5	48
ART.	0-5	2-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	2-3	—	—	—	2-3	63
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	6-28	13-16	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	5-22	13-14	—	4-0	1-0	8-14	49
HOME	5-11	6-5	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	4-5	6-3	—	3-0	—	3-3	48
AWAY	1-17	7-11	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-17	7-11	—	1-0	1-0	5-11	51
NAT.	6-21	11-11	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	5-15	11-9	—	4-0	1-0	6-9	48
ART.	0-7	2-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-7	2-5	—	—	—	2-5	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	16-40	19-27	3-3	2-4	1-3	1-0	—	0-1	7-33	17-23	1-0	4-1	2-0	10-22	49
HOME	13-13	9-7	3-2	2-3	1-2	1-0	—	0-1	4-7	7-4	—	3-0	—	4-4	48
AWAY	3-27	10-20	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	3-26	10-19	1-0	1-1	2-0	6-18	50
NAT.	15-31	16-20	3-3	2-4	1-3	1-0	—	0-1	6-24	14-16	1-0	4-1	1-0	8-15	48
ART.	1-9	3-7	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-9	3-7	—	—	1-0	2-7	57
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	40-72	34-39	4-4	3-5	1-4	2-0	—	0-1	10-55	31-34	1-0	5-1	3-0	22-33	51
HOME	27-20	10-9	3-3	2-4	1-3	1-0	—	0-1	5-8	8-5	—	3-0	—	5-5	49
AWAY	13-52	24-30	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	5-47	23-29	1-0	2-1	3-0	17-28	53
NAT.	36-57	28-29	4-4	3-5	1-4	2-0	—	0-1	8-41	25-24	1-0	4-1	2-0	18-23	50
ART.	3-15	6-10	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-14	6-10	—	1-0	1-0	4-10	56

LOUISIANA TECH BULLDOGS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 4-8 3-9 home 2-1 1-2 away 0-7 1-6 as fav 3-3 1-5 as dog 0-5 1-4	overall 7-5 7-5 home 4-0 3-1 away 3-4 4-3 as fav 6-0 3-3 as dog 1-5 4-2	overall 3-9 4-6 home 2-2 2-0 away 1-7 2-6 as fav 2-1 1-2 as dog 0-7 3-4	overall 8-3 6-4 home 3-0 1-1 away 5-2 5-2 as fav 6-0 4-2 as dog 1-2 2-1	overall 6-6 7-4 home 4-1 3-1 away 2-5 4-3 as fav 5-1 5-1 as dog 0-5 2-3
opponent score vs. spread surf § Okla. St. † 39-36 P W A at Shreveport @ Clemson 13-33 +10 L N Tulsa 53-9 -22 W N @ Penn State 17-49 +13- L N @ Texas A&M † 3-31 +13 L N @ Rice † 20-37 -6 L A @ SMU 34-37 -13 L N Nevada 50-47 -5 L N @ San Jose St. 30-42 -3 L N @ Boise St. 10-36 +28 W A § UTEP at Shreveport 38-24 -24 L A Fresno St. † 13-45 +1 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf SMU † 36-6 -4 W N @ Okla. St. † 23-30 +10- W A @ Fresno St. † 28-38 +21 W N San Jose St. † 41-20 -7 W N @ Nevada 45-42 -9 L A @ Auburn 41-48* +12 W N Rice 41-38* -3 L N Boise St. 48-42 +1 W N @ UTEP † 53-30 -14 W A @ Kansas St. 7-40 +20- L A @ Tulsa 19-7 -18 L A Humanitarian Bowl at Boise, ID § Clemson 24-49 +6- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Miss. Valley 63-10 - - N @ Kansas St. † 10-54 +27 L A @ Penn State 7-67 +16 L N SF Austin St. † 31-34* - - N @ Tulsa † 10-22 +6 L A @ Mid. Tenn. † 21-49 -1 L A La-Lafayette † 48-14 -6 W N @ Auburn 28-38 +32 W N @ Miami-Fla † 31-42 +40- W N C. Florida † 16-20 +6 W N @ La-Monroe † 42-19 -23- L N @ Hawaii † 10-27 +1 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Florida St. † 7-41 +36- W N § Texas A&M † 17-37 +15- L N Sam Houston 55-17 - - N @ Alabama 29-28 +13- W N @ La-Lafayette † 41-31 -17- L N Mid. Tenn. 42-18 -30 L N @ C. Florida † 46-35 -5 W N @ Toledo 34-17 -6 W A La-Monroe † 58-17 -26 W N @ UAB 41-20 -8 W N @ USC 19-45 P L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Nebraska 27-56 +36 W A C. Florida † 30-64 -3 L N @ Texas A&M † 7-28 +22- W N La-Lafayette † 77-14 -19- W N @ Wyoming 19-31 +7- L N Boise St. 63-28 -10- W N @ La-Monroe † 44-14 -13- W N UAB † 54-23 -17- W N @ Auburn 17-32 +6 L N Nicholls St. 56-28 - - N @ Arkansas St. 69-21 -20 W N @ Tulane † 30-63 +12 L A
overall 9-2 6-5 home 5-0 3-2 away 4-2 3-3 as fav 7-0 3-4 as dog 2-2 3-1	overall 6-5 5-4 home 3-1 1-1 away 3-3 3-3 as fav 1-2 1-2 as dog 2-3 3-2	overall 5-6 5-5 home 2-1 1-1 away 2-5 3-4 as fav 2-0 1-1 as dog 3-4 4-3	overall 3-8 1-4 home 2-3 1-1 away 1-5 0-3 as fav 0-1 0-1 as dog 1-3 1-3	overall 2-9 1-3 home 2-2 - away 0-7 1-3 as fav - as dog 0-4 1-3
opponent score vs. spread surf Bowl. Green † 30-23 -8 L N La-Monroe † 17-16 -10 L N C. Mich. † 56-28 -6 W N @ Arkansas † 13-17 +10- W N at Little Rock California † 41-34 +8 W N Auburn 13-49 +23- L N Arkansas St. 42-14 -22- W N @ Boise St. 31-27 -13 L A @ Alabama 26-20 +18- W N UAB 32-29 -7 L N @ La-Lafayette † 63-24 -21- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Mid. Tenn. † 20-0 - - N § Baylor † 16-24 +20 W N at Shreveport @ C. Mich. 38-37 +3 W A @ Miss. St. † 38-23 +18 W N La-Lafayette † 31-37 -1 L N @ Texas A&M 13-63 +24- L N @ Arkansas † 21-38 +12 L N Toledo 61-20 P W N UAB † 35-31 - - N @ N. Illinois 40-14 -14 W A @ Arkansas St. 38-55 -11- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Bowl. Green † 28-21 +15- W N @ Houston † 19-7 +2 W N at Robertson Stadium @ So. Carolina † 21-68 +19- L N Arkansas St. † 28-25 -10- L N § Tulsa † 27-23 +3 W N at Shreveport @ N. Mex. St. † 13-48 P L N @ Pacific 41-47 +3 L N Nevada 45-49 - - N @ La-Lafayette † 33-40 +11- W N @ Vanderbilt 6-29 +9 L A N. Illinois 59-14 -3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Baylor † 3-44 +18 L A Houston † 32-7 +2 W N @ So. Carolina † 6-31 +21 L N La-Lafayette 3-13 - - N @ UNLV † 20-24 - - A Utah St. † 3-7 - - N @ N. Illinois 17-27 - - A N. Virginia 16-52 +17 L A N'western St † 38-28 - - N San Jose St. † 6-27 -7 L N @ Arkansas St. 20-14 - - N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Tennessee † 0-50 +21- L A @ So. Carolina † 3-34 +15- L N @ Alabama 3-56 +33- L A at Birm. Arkansas St. † 17-3 - - N @ E. Carolina 28-31 +6 W N @ San Jose St. † 6-31 - - N N. Illinois 17-16 - - N UNLV 23-28 - - N @ Utah St. 13-24 - - N C. Florida † 16-38 - - N @ La-Lafayette † 17-21 - - N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	3-9	3-3	1-5	-	0-3	-	1-2	0-5	1-4	0-1	-	0-1	1-2	62
HOME	2-1	1-2	2-0	1-1	-	0-1	-	1-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	-	-	-	72
AWAY	0-7	1-6	0-3	0-3	-	0-2	-	0-1	0-4	1-3	-	-	0-1	1-2	56
NAT.	2-6	1-7	2-2	1-3	-	0-2	-	1-1	0-4	0-4	0-1	-	0-1	0-2	63
ART.	2-2	2-2	1-1	0-2	-	0-1	-	0-1	0-1	1-0	-	-	-	1-0	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-13	10-14	9-3	4-8	-	1-4	1-1	2-3	1-10	5-6	1-1	0-1	0-1	4-3	64
HOME	6-1	4-3	5-0	3-2	-	1-2	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-1	1-1	-	-	-	69
AWAY	3-11	5-9	3-3	1-5	-	0-2	0-1	1-2	0-8	4-4	-	-	0-1	4-3	60
NAT.	6-8	6-8	5-2	3-4	-	1-3	1-0	1-1	1-6	3-4	1-1	-	0-1	2-2	66
ART.	5-5	4-6	4-1	1-4	-	0-1	0-1	1-2	0-4	2-2	-	0-1	-	2-1	60
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	14-22	14-20	11-4	5-10	0-1	2-4	1-1	2-4	1-17	8-10	1-2	1-2	0-1	6-5	62
HOME	8-3	6-3	6-0	4-2	-	2-2	1-0	1-0	1-2	2-1	1-1	1-0	-	-	65
AWAY	4-18	7-15	4-4	1-7	0-1	0-2	0-1	1-3	0-14	6-8	0-1	0-1	0-1	6-5	60
NAT.	9-13	10-10	7-2	4-5	-	2-3	1-0	1-2	1-10	6-5	1-1	1-0	0-1	4-3	65
ART.	5-9	4-10	4-2	1-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-2	0-7	2-5	0-1	0-2	-	2-2	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	28-31	27-28	22-5	14-13	0-1	4-5	2-1	8-6	2-24	12-14	1-2	1-3	0-2	10-7	65
HOME	15-4	10-5	11-1	8-4	-	2-3	1-0	5-1	1-2	2-1	1-1	1-0	-	-	72
AWAY	11-25	16-20	10-4	6-8	0-1	2-2	1-1	3-4	1-20	10-11	0-1	0-2	0-2	10-6	61
NAT.	22-20	21-17	17-3	12-8	-	3-4	2-0	7-4	2-15	9-8	1-1	1-1	0-2	7-4	66
ART.	6-11	6-11	5-2	2-5	0-1	1-1	0-1	1-2	0-9	3-6	0-1	0-2	-	3-3	61
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	53-61	45-49	32-8	19-21	0-2	6-6	2-4	11-9	10-40	24-26	5-2	2-4	1-3	16-17	59
HOME	29-11	16-10	17-3	11-9	0-1	4-3	1-3	6-2	3-2	4-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	-	61
AWAY	21-47	26-36	14-5	8-11	0-1	2-3	1-1	5-6	6-35	18-23	2-1	1-3	0-3	15-16	58
NAT.	44-43	37-32	25-6	16-15	0-1	5-5	2-3	9-6	9-26	20-15	4-1	2-2	1-2	13-10	59
ART.	9-18	8-17	7-2	3-6	0-1	1-1	0-1	2-3	1-14	4-11	1-1	0-2	0-1	3-7	58

LOUISVILLE *CARDINALS*

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

7-6
3-3
4-2
6-4
1-2

5-7
3-3
3-3
5-5
1-2

2001

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

11-2
6-0
4-2
9-1
1-1

8-4
3-2
4-2
7-3
1-1

2000

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

9-3
6-1
3-1
5-2
3-1

7-4
3-3
4-0
3-4
4-0

1999

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

7-5
4-3
3-2
6-3
0-2

5-6
3-3
2-3
4-5
1-1

1998

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

5-1
7-5
2-3
4-1
2-4

6-5
3-2
3-2
3-2
3-3

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky

17-22

-12

L

N

@ Duke †

40-3

-18

W

N

@ Colo. St. †

33-36

+ 2

L

N

@ Army

45-14

-27

W

A

Florida St. †

26-20*

+15

W

N

@ Memphis †

38-32

-10

L

N

TCU

31-45

-13

L

N

E. Carolina

44-20

-14

W

N

Cincinnati †

14-24

- 9

L

N

@ So. Miss. †

20-17*

- 1

W

N

UAB

41-21

-19

W

N

@ Houston

10-27

-15

L

N

Gmac Bowl at Mobile, AL

@ Marshall †

15-38

+ 2

L

N

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

N. Mex. St †

45-24

-20

W

N

@ Kentucky

36-10

- 4

W

N

W. Carolina †

31-7

- -

N

@ Illinois

10-34

+ 3

L

A

Memphis

38-21

-10

W

N

Colo. St. †

7-2

- 7

L

N

So. Miss. †

24-14

- 3

W

N

@ Cincinnati

28-13

- 4

W

A

@ Tulane

52-7

-12

W

A

Houston

34-10

-31

L

N

@ E. Carolina †

39-34

+ 1

W

N

@ TCU †

22-37

- 8

L

N

Liberty Bowl at Memphis

@ BYU

28-10

- 3

W

N

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky †

40-34*

+ 5

W

N

Grambling St. †

52-0

- -

N

@ Florida St. †

0-31

+34

W

N

Connecticut

41-22

-30

L

N

@ UAB

38-17

+ 1

W

N

Cincinnati

38-24

- 6

W

N

E. Carolina †

25-28

- 1

L

N

Tulane

35-32

-14

L

N

@ So. Miss.

49-28

+13

W

N

Army

38-17

-19

W

N

@ Houston

32-13

-11

W

N

Liberty Bowl at Memphis

@ Colo. St.

17-22

- 1

L

N

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky

56-28

- 3

W

N

Chattanooga

58-30

- -

N

Illinois †

36-41

-12

L

N

Oklahoma

21-42

+ 1

L

N

E. Mich. †

45-10

-22

W

N

@ Army †

52-59*

-14

L

A

@ Memphis †

32-31

- 2

L

N

Houston

39-33

- 4

W

N

UAB

23-14

-14

L

N

@ Cincinnati

23-13

- 1

W

A

So. Miss.

27-30

+ 5

W

N

Humanitarian Bowl

@ Boise St.

31-34

- 1

L

A

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky

34-68

+10

L

N

@ Utah †

22-45

+16

L

N

@ Illinois

35-9

+ 6

W

A

Bost. Coll.

52-28

+ 6

W

N

Cincinnati

62-19

-14

W

N

@ So. Miss. †

21-56

+ 6

L

N

@ Tulane

22-28

+17

W

A

Memphis

35-32

-13

L

N

W. Kentucky

63-34

- -

N

@ E. Carolina

63-45

- 3

W

N

Army

35-23

-10

W

N

Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI

@ Marshall †

29-48

- 3

L

A

1997

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

1-10
1-5
0-5
1-3
0-7

2-9
1-5
1-4
1-3
1-6

1996

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

5-6
2-3
3-3
3-4
2-2

4-7
0-5
4-2
0-7
4-0

1995

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

7-4
4-2
3-2
6-1
1-3

7-4
4-2
3-2
5-2
2-2

1994

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

6-5
4-3
2-3
4-1
2-4

2-9
1-5
1-4
0-5
2-4

1993

overall
home
away
as fav
as dog

9-3
5-0
3-3
7-1
2-2

7-5
3-2
3-3
5-3
2-2

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky

24-38

- 3

L

N

Utah

21-27

- 4

L

A

Illinois

26-14

- 6

W

A

Penn State

21-57

+21

L

A

@ Oklahoma †

14-35

+ 7

L

N

@ So. Miss.

24-42

+13

L

N

Tulane

33-64

+ 1

L

A

Houston

22-36

- 3

L

A

E. Carolina

31-45

+ 2

L

A

@ Cincinnati

9-28

+13

L

A

@ Memphis

20-21

+10

W

N

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky †

38-14

+ 2

W

N

@ Penn State

7-24

+20

W

N

Baylor

13-14

- 1

L

A

@ Mich. St.

30-20

+ 5

W

A

So. Miss.

7-24

- 4

L

A

@ Tulane †

23-20

- 6

L

A

N. Illinois

27-3

-29

L

A

Cincinnati

7-10

- 7

L

A

Memphis

13-10

-10

L

A

@ No. Carolina

10-28

+22

W

N

@ Houston †

7-38

- 1

L

A

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky †

13-10

- 5

L

N

@ N. Illinois †

34-21

-10

W

A

Mich. St.

7-30

- 2

L

A

No. Carolina †

10-17

+ 5

L

A

@ Memphis †

17-7

+ 4

W

N

@ So. Miss.

21-25

+ 8

W

N

@ Wyoming

20-27

+ 3

L

N

Maryland

31-0

- 3

W

A

Tulane

34-14

-14

W

A

La-Monroe

39-0

-19

W

A

N. Texas

57-14

-26

W

A

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

Kentucky †

14-20

+ 4

L

N

@ Texas

16-30

+11

L

A

@ Arizona St. †

25-22

+ 8

W

N

Pittsburgh

33-29

-10

L

A

N.C. State

35-14

+ 2

W

A

@ Army

29-30

-16

L

A

@ Navy

35-14

-23

L

N

Memphis

10-6

- 4

L

A

Bost. Coll. †

14-35

+ 5

L

A

Texas A&M

10-26

+12

L

A

Tulsa

34-27

-13

L

A

opponent

score

vs.

spread

surt

San Jose St.

31-24

-13

L

A

@ Memphis †

54-28

+ 8

W

N

Arizona St.

35-17

+ 3

W

A

Texas

41-10

- 7

W

A

@ Pittsburgh †

29-7

-18

W

A

@ W. Virginia

34-36

- 3

L

A

So. Miss.

35-27

-19

L

A

Navy

28-0

-24

W

A

@ Tennessee

10-45

+12

L

A

@ Texas A&M †

7-42

+12

L

A

@ Tulsa

28-0

- 7

W

A

Liberty Bowl at Memphis

@ Mich. St. †

18-7

- 3

W

N

STATISTICS SUMMARY

OVERALL RECORD

AS FAV.

AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD

AS DOG

AS DOG VS. SPREAD

ACTUAL TOTAL PT. AV./GAME

1-YR. HISTORY: 2002

7-6

6-7

6-4

5-5

1-0

-

-

0-1

4-4

1-2

1-2

0-2

-

-

1-0

53

HOME

3-3

3-3

2-3

2-3

-

-

0-1

2-2

1-0

1-0

-

-

-

1-0

54

AWAY

4-2

3-3

4-1

3-2

1-0

-

-

2-2

0-1

0-1

0-1

-

-

-

52

NAT.

6-6

5-7

5-4

4-5

1-0

-

0-1

3-4

1-2

1-2

0-2

-

-

1-0

52

ART.

1-0

1-0

1-0

1-0

-

-

-

1-0

-

-

-

-

-

-

59

2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002

18-9

14-11

15-5

12-8

3-0

2-0

1-3

6-5

2-3

2-3

1-3

-

-

1-0

50

HOME

9-3

6-5

7-3

5-5

1-0

-

1-2

3-3

1-0

1-0

-

-

-

1-0

48

AWAY

8-4

7-5

7-2

6-3

1-0

2-0

0-1

3-2

1-2

1-2

1-2

-

-

-

53

NAT.

15-7

11-10

12-5

9-8

3-0

1-0

1-3

4-5

2-2

2-2

1-2

-

-

1-0

50

ART.

3-1

3-1

3-0

3-0

-

1-0

-

2-0

0-1

0-1

0-1

-

-

-

50

3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002

27-11

21-15

20-7

15-12

3-2

3-0

1-3

8-7

5-4

6-3

2-3

1-0

-

3-0

52

HOME

15-4

9-8

11-4

7-8

1-1

1-0

1-2

4-5

2-0

2-0

-

1-0

-

1-0

53

AWAY

11-5

11-5

8-2

7-3

1-0

2-0

0-1

4-2

3-3

4-2

2-2

-

-

2-0

52

NAT.

24-10

18-14

17-7

12-12

3-2

2-0

1-3

6-7

5-3

6-2

2-2

1-0

-

3-0

52

ART.

3-1

3-1

3-0

3-0

-

1-0

-

2-0

0-1

0-1

0-1

-

-

-

50

5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002

41-21

32-26

30-11

22-19

6-4

4-1

2-3

10-11

7-10

10-7

2-4

4-1

0-1

4-1

59

HOME

24-8

15-13

17-5

11-11

1-1

2-0

2-2

6-8

3-3

4-2

0-1

3-0

0-1

1-0

60

AWAY

16-10

16-10

12-4

10-6

4-2

2-0

0-1

4-3

4-6

6-4

2-2

1-1

-

3-1

59

NAT.

36-16

26-22

26-8

18-16

5-3

3-0

2-3

8-10

6-8

8-6

2-3

3-1

0-1

3-1

59

ART.

5-5

6-4

4-3

4-3

1-1

1-1

-

2-1

1-2

2-1

0-1

1-0

-

1-0

58

10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002

69-49

54-60

51-21

33-39

6-9

8-8

3-4

16-18

14-28

21-21

5-7

6-4

4-2

6-8

53

HOME

40-20

24-32

31-11

18-24

1-3

5-5

2-3

10-13

5-9

6-8

2-3

3-2

0-1

1-2

54

AWAY

27-26

28-25

18-8

13-13

4-5

2-2

1-1

6-5

9-18

15-12

3-3

3-2

4-1

5-6

53

NAT.

43-25

35-29

29-9

19-19

5-4

4-1

2-3

8-11

10-16

16-10

3-4

4-2

4-2

5-2

56

ART.

26-24

19-31

22-12

14-20

1-5

4-7

1-1

8-7

4-12

5-11

2-3

2-2

-

1-6

49

SMART. MONEY. REAL SMART MONEY.

Get Yours Every Week.



PLAYBOOK'S INCREDIBLE STAT OF THE WEEK Wins 75% Last Season!

Check Out These Incredible Stat Of The Week Winners From Last Year's PLAYBOOK Football Newsletter...

Issue 1	Syracuse is 1-33 ATS in their last 34 SU road losses.	WINNER
Issue 2	Miami Fla is 133-0 SU in games they score more than 30 points.	WINNER
Issue 4	San Diego is 43-1-1 ATS in away games they wins SU.	WINNER
Issue 5	New England is 0-16-2 ATS in their 2nd away game of the season.	WINNER
Issue 8	The visitor in Arizona Wildcats games is 17-1 ATS.	WINNER
Issue 9	The SU winner in Maryland games is 17-0 ATS with Ralph Friedgen.	WINNER
Issue 12	Syracuse is 2-31 ATS when they lose SU vs revenge; 0-16 ATS last 16.	WINNER
Issue 13	Wisconsin is 15-0 ATS in SU conference revenge wins vs .500 > opponents.	WINNER
Issue 14	Virginia Tech is 32-3 ATS in games they win SU vs .500 > non con opponents.	WINNER
Issue 15	Tampa Bay is 15-1-1 ATS at home when not favored by 4 or more points.	WINNER
Issue 16	Baltimore is 27-1-1 ATS in road wins vs an opponent off a win.	WINNER
Issue 17-18	Green Bay is 15-1-1 ATS home from Game 12 out vs an opponent off a win.	WINNER

That's What We Call Winning Smart! Can You Be Without Winning Inside Information Like This? In Addition To The INCREDIBLE STAT OF THE WEEK In Every PLAYBOOK Newsletter You Also Get:

- On The Money Bets - Six College & NFL • Rated Best Bets Each Week!
- \$15,000 Wise Guys Contest - Best Bet Picks From 36 Contestants Every Week
- Marc Lawrence's Betcha Didn't Know - A Weekly Handicap
- Awesome Angle Of The Week - 67% Last Four Years!
- Write Ups And Analysis On Every College and NFL Game!
- NFL Over Unders - A Weekly Trend Best Bet Report On NFL Totals!
- Weekly Schedule - In International Rotation With Opening Odds

Take Your Choice - delivered via first class mail or instant internet download
- whenever you choose - weekly through the Super Bowl.
Don't make a move this football season without your PLAYBOOK!

**FREE BONUS
WHEN YOU SUBSCRIBE:**
\$\$\$ One Full Week Of Marc Lawrence's
Preferred Picks Late Phone Service In September.
\$\$\$ Plus A Complimentary Copy Of
Marc Lawrence's 2003 PLAYBOOK
Football Handicapper's
Yearbook Magazine.

Get Smart. Get Playbook.

www.PLAYBOOK.com

1-800-PLAYBOOK
Every Edge Imaginable

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-5 6-1 2-3 6-1 1-4	vs.spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 4-3 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
⑧ Va. Tech	8-26	+ 6	L N
Citadel †	35-10	—	- N
Miami-OH †	33-7	-13	W N
Miss. St.	31-13	-11	W N
La-Lafayette †	48-0	-27	W N
⑧ Florida †	36-7	+ 8	W N
So. Carolina †	38-14	- 7	W N
⑧ Auburn	7-31	- 4	L N
⑧ Kentucky	33-30	- 5	L N
Alabama †	0-31	+ 1	L N
Mississippi †	14-13	- 9	L N
⑧ Arkansas at Little Rock	20-21	+ 5	W N
Cotton Bowl at Dallas			
§ Texas	20-35	+10	L N

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-3 5-2 3-1 6-1 2-2	vs.spr. 7-6 2-5 3-1 4-5 3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Tulane †	48-17	-20	W N
Utah St. †	31-14	-23	L N
⑧ Tennessee †	18-26	+ 9	W N
Florida	15-44	+13	L N
⑧ Kentucky †	29-25	-17	L N
⑧ Miss. St. †	42-0	- 3	W N
Mississippi †	24-35	- 9	L N
⑧ Alabama	35-21	+ 5	W N
Mid. Tenn. †	30-14	-17	L N
Arkansas	41-38	- 9	L N
Auburn †	27-14	- 7	W N
SEC Championship at Atlanta			
§ Tennessee †	31-20	+ 7	W A
Sugar Bowl at New Orleans			
§ Illinois †	47-34	- 2	W A

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 6-1 1-3 3-2 4-2	vs.spr. 6-5 4-2 1-3 2-3 4-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
W. Carolina †	58-0	—	- N
Houston †	28-13	-13	W N
⑧ Auburn †	17-34	+ 6	L N
UAB †	10-13	-16	L N
Tennessee †	38-31*	+ 9	W N
⑧ Florida	9-41	+14	L N
Kentucky †	34-0	- 4	W N
Miss. St. †	45-38*	+ 6	W N
Alabama	30-28	- 3	L N
⑧ Mississippi †	20-9	+ 2	W N
⑧ Arkansas at Little Rock	3-14	- 3	L N
Peach Bowl at Georgia Dome			
§ Ga. Tech †	28-14	+ 9	W A

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 3-4 2-4 2-2 1-6	vs.spr. 5-6 2-5 3-1 1-3 4-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
San Jose St. †	29-21	-28	L N
N. Texas †	52-0	-34	W N
Auburn	7-41	- 8	L N
⑧ Georgia	22-23	+ 9	W N
Florida	10-31	+14	L N
⑧ Kentucky	5-31	+ 5	L N
⑧ Miss. St. †	16-17	+15	W N
Mississippi †	23-42	+ 4	L N
⑧ Alabama	17-23	+20	W N
Houston †	7-20	- 3	L N
Arkansas	35-10	+11	W N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-3 1-4 4-4 0-3	vs.spr. 3-8 1-5 2-3 2-6 1-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Arkansas St. †	42-6	-42	L N
⑧ Auburn	31-19	- 5	W N
Idaho †	53-20	-36	L N
Georgia †	27-28	- 8	L N
⑧ Florida †	10-22	+13	W N
Kentucky †	36-39	- 9	L N
Miss. St. †	41-6	- 7	W N
⑧ Mississippi	31-37*	-10	L N
Alabama	16-22	- 8	L N
⑧ Notre Dame	36-39	+ 2	L N
⑧ Arkansas at Little Rock	14-41	+ 6	L N

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 4-3 4-0 8-3 1-0	vs.spr. 7-5 3-4 3-1 6-5 1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
UTEP †	55-3	-37	W N
⑧ Miss. St. †	24-9	-10	W N
Auburn †	28-31	- 4	L N
Akron †	56-0	-42	W N
⑧ Vanderbilt	7-6	-16	L A
Florida †	28-21	+17	W N
Mississippi †	21-36	-16	L N
⑧ Kentucky †	63-28	- 7	W N
⑧ Alabama	27-0	- 5	W N
Notre Dame	6-24	-11	L N
Arkansas	31-21	-19	L N
Independence Bowl at Shreveport			
§ Notre Dame †	27-9	- 6	W N

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-1 3-1 9-1 1-1	vs.spr. 5-6 2-4 3-1 4-5 1-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Houston †	35-34	-22	L N
⑧ Auburn †	19-15	+ 7	W N
N. Mex. St. †	63-7	-38	W N
Vanderbilt †	35-0	-20	W N
⑧ Florida	13-56	+19	L N
Kentucky †	41-14	-27	P N
Miss. St.	28-20	-19	L N
Alabama †	0-26	- 3	L N
⑧ Mississippi	39-7	-10	W N
Tulane †	35-17	-24	L N
⑧ Arkansas at Little Rock	17-7	- 9	W N
Peach Bowl at Atlanta			
§ Clemson †	10-7	- 6	L A

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 5-1 1-3 5-1 2-3	vs.spr. 7-5 5-1 1-4 5-2 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
⑧ Texas A&M	17-33	+15	L A
⑧ Miss. St.	34-16	+ 6	W N
Auburn †	12-6	+ 5	W N
Rice †	52-7	-13	W N
⑧ So. Carolina †	20-20	- 8	L N
Florida †	10-28	+10	L N
⑧ Kentucky †	16-24	- 7	L N
N. Texas †	49-7	-27	W N
⑧ Alabama	3-10	+ 2	L N
Mississippi †	38-9	- 6	W N
Arkansas	28-0	- 3	W N
Independence Bowl at Shreveport			
§ Mich. St.	45-26	- 6	W N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-4 2-3 2-2 2-5	vs.spr. 6-5 2-4 4-1 1-3 5-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Texas A&M †	13-18	+ 7	W N
Miss. St. †	44-24	+ 2	W N
⑧ Auburn †	26-30	+ 9	W N
So. Carolina †	17-18	- 8	L N
⑧ Florida	18-42	+26	W N
Kentucky †	17-13	-15	L N
⑧ Mississippi	21-34	+ 1	L N
Alabama †	17-35	+ 6	L N
So. Miss. †	18-20	- 4	L N
⑧ Tulane †	49-25	-14	W A
⑧ Arkansas at Little Rock	30-12	+ 6	W N

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-3 2-3 2-1 3-5	vs.spr. 5-6 2-4 3-2 1-2 4-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
⑧ Texas A&M	0-24	+18	L A
⑧ Miss. St.	18-16	+10	W N
Auburn †	10-34	+ 2	L N
⑧ Tennessee	20-42	+25	W A
Utah St. †	38-17	- 7	W N
Florida †	3-58	+15	L N
⑧ Kentucky †	17-35	+11	L N
Mississippi †	19-17	+10	W N
⑧ Alabama	17-13	+23	W N
Tulane †	24-10	-18	L N
Arkansas	24-42	- 2	L N

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.					
	home	9-3	7-5		home	10-2	5-6-1		home	7-4-1	7-5		home	7-4-1	6-5		home	5-6	5-6					
	away	4-3	3-4		away	6-1	2-4-1		away	5-1	5-1		away	2-4	2-4		away	3-3	2-4					
	as fav	4-0	3-1		as fav	3-1	3-1		as fav	1-3-1	1-4		as fav	2-3	4-1		as fav	2-3	3-2					
	as dog	8-3	6-5		as dog	9-1	4-5-1		as dog	5-1-1	5-2		as dog	2-2	1-3		as dog	2-1	1-2					
		1-0	1-0			1-1	1-1			2-3	2-3			2-5	5-2			3-5	4-4					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
UTEP †	55-3	-37	W	N	Houston †	35-34	-22	L	N	⑧ Texas A&M	17-33	+15	L	A	Texas A&M †	13-18	+ 7	W	N	⑧ Texas A&M	0-24	+18	L	A
⑧ Miss. St. †	24-9	-10	W	N	⑧ Auburn †	19-15	+ 7	W	N	⑧ Miss. St.	34-16	+ 6	W	N	Miss. St. †	44-24	+ 2	W	N	⑧ Miss. St.	18-16	+10	W	N
Auburn †	28-31	- 4	L	N	N. Mex. St. †	63-7	-38	W	N	Auburn †	12-6	+ 5	W	N	⑧ Auburn †	26-30	+ 9	W	N	Auburn †	10-34	+ 2	L	N
Akron †	56-0	-42	W	N	Vanderbilt †	35-0	-20	W	N	Rice †	52-7	-13	W	N	So. Carolina †	17-18	- 8	L	N	⑧ Tennessee	20-42	+25	W	A
⑧ Vanderbilt	7-6	-16	L	A	⑧ Florida	13-56	+19	L	N	⑧ So. Carolina †	20-20	- 8	L	N	⑧ Florida	18-42	+26	W	N	Utah St. †	38-17	- 7	W	N
Florida †	28-21	+17	W	N	Kentucky †	41-14	-27	P	N	Florida †	10-28	+10	L	N	Kentucky †	17-13	-15	L	N	Florida †	3-58	+15	L	N
Mississippi †	21-36	-16	L	N	Miss. St.	28-20	-19	L	N	⑧ Kentucky †	16-24	- 7	L	N	⑧ Mississippi	21-34	+ 1	L	N	⑧ Kentucky †	17-35	+11	L	N
⑧ Kentucky †	63-28	- 7	W	N	Alabama †	0-26	- 3	L	N	N. Texas †	49-7	-27	W	N	Alabama †	17-35	+ 6	L	N	Mississippi †	19-17	+10	W	N
⑧ Alabama	27-0	- 5	W	N	⑧ Mississippi	39-7	-10	W	N	⑧ Alabama	3-10	+ 2	L	N	So. Miss. †	18-20	- 4	L	N	⑧ Alabama	17-13	+23	W	N
Notre Dame	6-24	-11	L	N	Tulane †	35-17	-24	L	N	Mississippi †	38-9	- 6	W	N	⑧ Tulane †	49-25	-14	W	A	Tulane †	24-10	-18	L	N
Arkansas	31-21	-19	L	N	⑧ Arkansas	17-7	- 9	W	N	Arkansas	28-0	- 3	W	N	⑧ Arkansas	30-12	+ 6	W	N	Arkansas	24-42	- 2	L	N
					at Little Rock																			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	6-6	6-1	4-3	—	0-2	1-1	3-0	1-4	2-3	0-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	43
HOME	6-1	4-2	5-0	4-1	—	—	1-1	3-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	41
AWAY	2-3	2-3	1-1	0-2	—	0-2	—	—	1-2	2-1	—	1-1	1-0	—	43
NAT.	8-5	6-6	6-1	4-3	—	0-2	1-1	3-0	1-4	2-3	0-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	43
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-8	13-12	14-2	8-8	2-0	1-2	1-3	4-3	3-6	5-4	0-1	3-1	2-0	0-2	49
HOME	11-3	6-7	10-1	6-5	—	1-0	1-3	4-2	0-2	0-2	0-1	—	—	0-1	48
AWAY	5-4	5-4	3-1	1-3	1-0	0-2	—	0-1	2-3	4-1	—	2-1	2-0	—	46
NAT.	16-8	11-12	13-2	7-8	1-0	1-2	1-3	4-3	2-6	4-4	0-1	2-1	2-0	0-2	47
ART.	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	66
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	26-12	19-17	17-4	10-11	2-2	2-2	1-3	5-4	7-8	9-6	1-1	4-2	4-0	0-3	48
HOME	17-4	10-9	13-2	8-7	0-1	2-0	1-3	5-3	2-2	2-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	49
AWAY	6-7	6-7	3-2	1-4	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	3-5	5-3	1-0	2-2	2-0	0-1	43
NAT.	23-12	16-17	16-4	9-11	1-2	2-2	1-3	5-4	5-8	7-6	1-1	3-2	3-0	0-3	47
ART.	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	33-27	27-31	23-10	13-20	2-3	3-2	2-7	6-8	8-17	14-11	1-2	4-5	5-0	4-4	48
HOME	23-11	13-19	18-7	10-15	0-2	2-0	2-7	6-6	3-4	3-4	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-2	50
AWAY	7-15	11-11	4-3	2-5	1-1	1-2	—	0-2	3-12	9-6	1-1	2-4	3-0	3-1	45
NAT.	30-27	24-31	22-10	12-20	1-3	3-2	2-7	6-8	6-17	12-11	1-2	3-5	4-0	4-4	48
ART.	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	58
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	68-49-1	57-58-1	49-18-1	30-37-1	3-5	8-6	6-9	13-17-1	17-31	27-21	2-5	9-6	8-0	8-10	47
HOME	43-23	27-36-1	34-14	19-28-1	1-4	3-2	3-8	12-14-1	7-9	8-8	1-2	3-2	2-0	2-4	48
AWAY	19-25-1	25-20	11-4-1	8-8	1-1	3-3	3-1	1-3	8-21	17-12	1-3	5-4	5-0	6-5	44
NAT.	62-46-1	52-54-1	45-18-1	28-35-1	2-5	8-5	6-9	12-16-1	15-28	24-19	2-5	8-6	7-0	7-8	47
ART.	6-3	5-4	4-0	2-2	1-0	0-1	—	1-1	2-3	3-2	—	1-0	1-0	1-2	46

MARSHALL THUNDERING HERD

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.
11-2	6-6	4-2	7-0	4-2	11-2	6-6	5-5	6-0	1-2	11-2	6-6	5-5	6-0	1-2	13-0	9-3	4-2	7-0	4-2	12-1	5-6	3-2	7-0	3-2
away	3-2	1-4	3-2	1-4	away	4-2	3-3	4-2	3-3	away	5-1	4-6	5-1	4-6	away	5-0	4-1	5-0	4-1	away	4-1	1-4	4-1	1-4
as fav	9-1	5-5	9-1	5-5	as fav	8-1	4-4	8-1	4-4	as fav	2-4	2-4	2-4	2-4	as fav	12-0	9-3	12-0	9-3	as fav	8-1	3-6	8-1	3-6
as dog	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	as dog	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	as dog	2-4	2-4	2-4	2-4	as dog	—	—	—	—	as dog	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	
Appal. St. †	50-17	—	-	A	Florida †	14-49	+31-	L	N	S.E. MO St. †	63-7	—	-	A	Clemson †	13-10	-2-	W	N	Akron †	27-16	-16-	L	A
Va. Tech †	21-47	+11-	L	N	Mass. †	49-20	—	-	A	Mich. St.	24-34	+7-	L	A	Liberty	63-3	—	-	A	Troy St. †	42-12	—	-	A
C. Florida †	26-21	-10-	L	A	Bowl. Green †	37-31	-5-	W	A	No. Carolina †	15-20	+3	L	N	Bowl. Green †	35-16	-22	L	A	So. Carolina †	24-21	+5	W	N
Kent State	42-21	-13-	W	A	N. Illinois	37-15	-1	W	A	Buffalo †	47-14	-43-	L	A	Temple †	34-0	-28	W	A	E. Mich.	26-23	-14-	L	A
Buffalo	66-21	-31	W	A	Buffalo	34-14	-25	L	N	W. Mich. †	10-30	-7	L	A	Miami-OH	32-14	-4	W	N	Miami-OH †	31-17	-2	W	A
Troy St.	24-7	-20-	L	A	C. Mich.	42-21	-23	L	A	Toledo †	0-42	+7	L	A	Toledo †	38-13	-23	W	A	Ohio U.	30-23	-9	L	N
C. Mich.	23-18	-20-	L	A	Akron †	50-33	-17	P	A	Kent State	34-12	-20-	W	A	Buffalo	59-3	-43	W	N	Kent State †	42-7	-37	L	A
Akron	20-34	-17-	L	A	Kent State	42-21	-13	W	A	Akron †	31-28	-4-	L	A	N. Illinois	41-9	-26	W	A	Ball St.	42-10	-29-	W	A
Miami-OH †	36-34	+2	W	A	Miami-OH	27-21	-3	W	N	Bowl. Green	20-13	-8-	L	N	Kent State	28-16	-34	L	A	Bowl. Green	13-34	-11-	L	N
Ohio U.	24-21	-13	L	N	Ohio U.	42-18	-24	L	A	Miami-OH †	51-31	-6-	W	A	W. Mich.	31-17	-11-	W	N	C. Mich.	28-0	-18	W	A
Ball St.	38-14	-14-	W	A	Youngstown	38-24	—	-	A	Ohio U.	28-38	+3	L	N	Ohio U.	34-3	-24	W	A	Wofford	29-27	—	-	A
Mid American Champ.					Mid American Champ.					Mid American Champ.					Mid American Champ.					Mid American Champ.				
Toledo	49-45	-3	W	A	Toledo †	36-41	-3	L	A	W. Mich.	19-14	+6-	W	A	W. Mich. †	34-30	-20	L	A	Toledo †	23-17	-12	L	A
Gmac Bowl at Mobile, AL					Gmac Bowl at Mobile, AL					Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI					Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI					Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI				
§ Louisville †	38-15	-2-	W	N	§ E. Carolina †	64-61*	+2-	W	N	§ Cincinnati	25-14	+2-	W	A	§ BYU	21-3	-3	W	A	§ Louisville †	48-29	+3-	W	A

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	home	vs.spr.
10-3	9-3	4-2	6-0	5-0	15-0	11-0	—	—	—	12-3	6-2	—	—	—	12-2	9-0	—	—	—	11-4	10-1	—	—	—
away	4-2	4-2	4-2	4-2	away	4-0	—	—	—	away	4-1	—	—	—	away	3-2	—	—	—	away	1-3	—	—	—
as fav	8-0	7-1	8-0	7-1	as fav	—	—	—	—	as fav	—	—	—	—	as fav	—	—	—	—	as fav	—	—	—	—
as dog	1-3	2-2	1-3	2-2	as dog	—	—	—	—	as dog	—	—	—	—	as dog	—	—	—	—	as dog	—	—	—	—
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	
W. Virginia	31-42	+14	W	A	Howard †	55-27	—	-	A	N.C. State	16-33	—	-	N	Morehead †	71-7	—	-	A	Morehead †	56-0	—	-	A
Army	35-25	+2-	W	A	W. Virginia St. †	42-7	—	-	A	Tenn. Tech †	45-14	—	-	A	Tenn. Tech †	24-10	—	-	A	Murray St. †	29-3	—	-	A
Kent State	42-17	-26	L	A	Ga. Southern	29-13	—	-	N	Ga. Southern †	37-7	—	-	A	Ga. Southern †	34-13	—	-	N	Ga. Southern †	13-3	—	-	A
W. Illinois †	48-7	—	-	A	W. Kentucky †	37-3	—	-	A	Chattanooga	35-32	—	-	N	W. Virginia St. †	48-0	—	-	A	Chattanooga †	31-33	—	-	N
Ball St.	42-16	-14	W	N	Chattanooga †	45-0	—	-	A	V.M.I. †	56-21	—	-	A	Chattanooga †	62-21	—	-	A	V.M.I. †	51-0	—	-	A
Akron †	52-17	-29-	W	N	V.M.I.	45-20	—	-	A	W. Carolina	42-3	—	-	A	W. Carolina	49-7	—	-	A	N.C. State	17-24	—	-	N
Miami-OH	21-45	+1	L	N	W. Carolina †	56-21	—	-	A	Appal. St. †	3-10	—	-	A	W. Carolina †	38-14	—	-	A	Appal. St. †	35-3	—	-	A
E. Mich.	48-25	-20	W	A	Appal. St.	24-10	—	-	A	Citadel	21-19	—	-	N	Appal. St.	14-24	—	-	A	Citadel	35-15	—	-	N
C. Mich.	45-17	-24	W	A	Citadel	56-25	—	-	A	E. Tenn. St.	52-0	—	-	A	Citadel	42-30	—	-	A	E. Tenn. St.	33-9	—	-	A
Bowl. Green	28-0	-23-	W	A	E. Tenn. St.	34-10	—	-	N	Furman	31-6	—	-	N	E. Tenn. St.	42-12	—	-	N	Furman	3-17	—	-	N
Ohio U.	27-0	-13-	W	A	Furman	42-17	—	-	A	Hofstra	30-28	—	-	A	Furman	35-14	—	-	A	W. Carolina	20-16	—	-	A
Mid American Champ.					Delaware	59-14	—	-	A	Jackson St.	38-8	—	-	A	Mid. Tenn.	49-14	—	-	A	Howard	28-14	—	-	A
Toledo †	34-14	-9	W	A	Furman	54-0	—	-	A	N. Iowa	41-24	—	-	A	J. Madison	28-21	—	-	A	Delaware	34-31	—	-	A
Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI					N. Iowa	31-14	—	-	A	McNeese St.	25-13	—	-	A	Boise St.	24-28	—	-	A	Troy St.	24-21	—	-	A
§ Mississippi †	31-34	+1	L	A	Montana	49-29	—	-	A	Montana	20-22	—	-	A						Youngstown	5-17	—	-	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	11-2	6-6	9-1	5-5	2-0	—	—	3-5	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	59
HOME	7-0	4-2	5-0	3-2	1-0	—	—	2-2	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	64
AWAY	3-2	1-4	3-1	1-3	—	—	—	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	54
NAT.	2-1	1-2	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	55
ART.	9-1	5-4	7-1	4-4	1-0	—	—	3-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	22-4	11-11-1	17-2	9-9-1	4-1	1-0	—	4-8-1	2-2	2-2	2-0	—	—	0-2	63
HOME	13-0	5-4-1	9-0	4-4-1	1-0	1-0	—	2-4-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	65
AWAY	7-4	4-7	7-2	4-5	2-1	—	—	2-4	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	56
NAT.	5-2	3-4	4-0	2-2	2-0	—	—	0-2	1-2	1-2	1-0	—	—	0-2	64
ART.	17-2	8-7-1	13-2	7-7-1	2-1	1-0	—	4-6-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	63
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	30-9	15-19-1	22-3	11-13-1	4-1	2-2	0-1	5-9-1	4-6	4-6	3-2	1-1	0-1	0-2	59
HOME	18-1	8-6-1	12-1	6-6-1	1-0	2-1	—	3-5-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	62
AWAY	9-8	4-13	9-2	4-7	2-1	0-1	0-1	2-4	0-6	0-6	0-2	0-1	0-1	0-2	53
NAT.	6-4	3-7	5-0	2-3	2-0	—	0-1	0-2	1-4	1-4	1-2	—	—	0-2	58
ART.	24-5	12-12-1	17-3	9-10-1	2-1	2-2	—	5-7-1	3-2	3-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	—	59
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	55-10	29-28-1	42-4	23-22-1	7-1	3-2	0-2	13-17-1	6-6	6-6	3-2	3-1	0-1	0-2	54
HOME	32-1	15-10-1	23-1	13-10-1	2-0	2-1	—	9-9-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	56
AWAY	18-9	9-18	17-3	8-12	3-1	1-1	0-2	4-8	1-6	1-6	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-2	50
NAT.	12-5	8-9	10-1	6-5	3-0	1-0	0-2	2-3	2-4	2-4	1-2	1-0	—	0-2	53
ART.	43-5	21-19-1	32-3	17-17-1	4-1	2-2	—	11-14-1	4-2	4-2	2-0	2-1	0-1	—	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	115-22	38-31-1	50-4	30-23-1	7-1	3-2	1-2	19-18-1	7-9	8-8	4-4	3-1	0-1	1-2	53
HOME	76-4	20-10-1	28-1	18-10-1	2-0	2-1	1-0	13-9-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	53
AWAY	34-17	13-20	20-3	10-13	3-1	1-1	0-2	6-9	2-8	3-7	1-3	1-1	0-1	1-2	50
NAT.	21-10	9-10	11-1	7-5	3-0	1-0	0-2	3-3	2-5	2-5	1-3	1-0	—	0-2	51
ART.	94-12	29-21-1	39-3	23-18-1	4-1	2-2	1-0	16-15-1	5-4	6-3	3-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	53

MARYLAND TERRAPINS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.	
home	11-3	9-4		home	10-2	7-0		home	5-6	4-2		home	5-6	4-2		home	3-8	4-5-1	
away	6-1	4-2		away	7-0	7-0		away	4-2	2-4		away	3-3	3-2		away	2-4	1-3-1	
as fav	4-1	4-1		as fav	3-1	3-1		as fav	1-4	3-2		as fav	2-3	3-2		as fav	1-4	3-2	
as dog	9-1	8-2		as dog	8-0	8-0		as dog	4-0	1-3		as dog	3-3	3-3		as dog	1-1	0-1-1	
str.up	1-2	1-2		str.up	2-2	2-2		str.up	1-6	4-3		str.up	1-3	3-1		str.up	1-7	4-4	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Notre Dame † at E. Ruth.	0-22	+ 1	L	N	No. Carolina	23-7	+ 2	W	N	Temple †	17-10	-10	L	N	④ Temple † at Franklin Field	6-0	- 5	W	A
Akron †	44-14	-21	W	N	E. Mich. †	50-3	-25	W	N	④ W. Virginia	17-30	+ 9	L	A	W. Carolina	51-10	—	—	N
Florida St. †	10-37	+18	L	N	④ Wk. Forest	27-20	- 5	W	N	Mid. Tenn. †	45-27	-20	L	N	W. Virginia	33-0	+ 6	W	N
E. Mich. †	45-3	-35	W	N	W. Virginia	32-20	- 9	W	N	Florida St. †	7-59	+30	L	N	④ Ga. Tech †	31-49	+19	W	N
Wofford †	37-8	—	—	N	Virginia	41-21	- 7	W	N	④ Virginia	23-31	+10	W	N	④ Wk. Forest	17-14	- 1	W	N
④ W. Virginia	48-17	+ 5	W	A	④ Ga. Tech †	20-17*	+ 8	W	N	④ Clemson	14-35	+32	W	N	Clemson	30-42	- 2	L	N
Ga. Tech †	34-10	- 2	W	A	Duke	59-17	-25	W	N	Wk. Forest	37-7	-11	W	N	No. Carolina	45-7	- 9	W	N
④ Duke	45-12	-13	W	N	④ Florida St.	31-52	+ 9	L	N	④ Duke	20-9	-12	L	N	Duke	22-25	- 9	L	N
④ No. Carolina	59-7	-14	W	N	Troy St. †	47-14	-25	W	N	N.C. State	35-28*	+ 5	W	N	④ N.C. State	17-30	- 1	L	N
N.C. State	24-21	- 6	L	N	Clemson †	37-20	- 7	W	N	④ No. Carolina	10-13	+ 7	W	N	④ Florida St.	10-49	+33	L	N
④ Clemson †	30-12	- 7	W	N	④ N.C. State †	23-19	- 3	W	N	Ga. Tech	22-35	+10	L	N	Virginia	30-34	+ 9	W	N
④ Virginia †	13-48	-10	L	N	Orange Bowl at Miami														
Wk. Forest	32-14	-13	W	N	§ Florida †	23-56	+14	L	N										
Peach Bowl at Atlanta																			
§ Tennessee †	30-3	- 1	W	A															
1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall	2-9	2-7-2		overall	5-6	3-7		overall	6-5	6-5		overall	4-7	7-4		overall	2-9	4-7	
home	1-5	1-4-1		home	4-1	3-1		home	3-2	4-1		home	3-2	5-0		home	1-4	3-2	
away	1-4	1-3-1		away	1-4	0-5		away	3-3	2-4		away	1-5	2-4		away	1-5	1-5	
as fav	2-1	2-1		as fav	3-1	2-2		as fav	3-1	2-2		as fav	2-1	2-1		as fav	—	—	
as dog	0-8	0-6-2		as dog	1-5	1-5		as dog	3-4	4-3		as dog	2-6	5-3		as dog	2-9	4-7	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Ohio U. †	14-21	-12	L	N	N. Illinois †	30-6	-23	W	N	④ Tulane †	29-10	- 8	W	A	④ Duke	16-49	- 3	L	N
④ Florida St.	7-50	+34	L	N	Ala.-Birm. †	39-15	—	—	N	No. Carolina	32-18	+ 8	W	N	Florida St.	20-52	+34	W	N
No. Carolina	14-40	+23	L	N	④ Virginia	3-21	+12	L	N	W. Virginia †	31-17	+ 3	W	N	④ W. Virginia	24-13	+14	W	A
④ Temple † at Franklin Field	24-21	- 2	W	N	④ W. Virginia †	0-13	+12	L	A	Duke †	41-28	- 5	W	N	Wk. Forest	31-7	-12	W	N
Duke †	16-10	- 3	W	N	N.C. State	8-34	- 9	L	N	④ Ga. Tech †	3-31	- 1	L	N	④ Clemson	0-13	+ 7	L	N
W. Virginia	14-31	+ 9	L	N	④ No. Carolina †	7-38	+26	L	N	④ Wk. Forest †	9-6	-14	L	N	④ No. Carolina	17-41	+14	L	N
④ Wk. Forest	17-35	+ 7	L	N	Wk. Forest	52-0	- 8	W	N	Clemson	0-17	+ 2	L	N	Ga. Tech †	42-27	+ 2	W	N
Clemson	9-20	+11	P	N	④ Duke	22-19	- 7	L	N	④ Louisville	0-31	+ 3	L	A	Tulane	38-10	-13	W	N
Virginia	0-45	+ 8	L	N	④ Clemson	3-35	+ 6	L	N	④ N.C. State	30-13	+ 5	W	N	N.C. State †	45-47	+ 2	W	N
④ N.C. State	28-45	+17	P	N	Ga. Tech †	13-10	+ 6	W	N	Virginia	18-21	+10	W	N	④ Virginia	21-46	+15	L	A
④ Ga. Tech	18-37	+18	L	N	§ Florida St. at Miami	10-48	+34	L	N	④ Florida St.	17-59	+32	L	N	④ Syracuse	16-21	+ 9	W	A
STATISTICS SUMMARY				OVERALL RECORD				AS FAV. STR.-UP				AS DOG STR.-UP				AS DOG VS. SPREAD			
				STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	AS FAV. STR.-UP		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ACTUAL TOTAL PTS	AV. GAME
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002				11-3	9-4	9-1		8-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	5-0	1-2	1-2	0-1	1-0	—	0-1	48
HOME				6-1	4-2	5-0		4-1	1-0	0-1	—	3-0	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	47
AWAY				4-1	4-1	3-1		3-1	—	1-0	0-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	58
NAT.				9-3	7-4	8-1		7-2	1-0	1-1	0-1	5-0	0-2	0-2	0-1	—	—	0-1	48
ART.				2-0	2-0	1-0		1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	49
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002				21-5	19-6	17-1		16-2	2-0	4-1	2-1	8-0	3-4	3-4	1-1	1-0	1-1	0-2	52
HOME				13-1	11-2	11-0		10-1	1-0	1-1	2-0	6-0	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	51
AWAY				7-2	7-2	5-1		5-1	—	3-0	0-1	2-0	2-1	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	55
NAT.				19-5	17-6	16-1		15-2	1-0	4-1	2-1	8-0	2-4	2-4	1-1	—	1-1	0-2	52
ART.				2-0	2-0	1-0		1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	49
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002				26-11	24-12	21-1		17-5	2-0	4-1	2-2	9-2	4-10	7-7	1-1	3-0	1-3	2-3	51
HOME				17-3	13-6	14-0		11-3	1-0	1-1	2-1	7-1	2-3	2-3	1-0	1-0	0-1	0-2	52
AWAY				8-6	10-4	6-1		5-2	—	3-0	0-1	2-1	2-5	5-2	—	2-0	1-2	2-0	50
NAT.				24-10	22-11	20-1		16-5	1-0	4-1	2-2	9-2	3-9	6-6	1-1	2-0	1-2	2-3	51
ART.				2-1	2-1	1-0		1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	48
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002				34-25	34-21-1	25-5		20-9-1	3-3	5-1	3-3-1	9-2	6-20	14-12	1-1	4-1	3-3	6-7	49
HOME				22-10	17-11-1	16-3		12-6-1	1-2	1-1	3-2-1	7-1	3-7	5-5	1-0	2-0	1-1	1-4	51
AWAY				11-13	16-8	8-2		7-3	1-1	4-0	0-1	2-1	3-11	9-5	—	2-1	2-2	5-2	48
NAT.				31-23	31-19-1	23-5		18-9-1	2-3	4-1	3-3-1	9-2	5-18	13-10	1-1	3-1	3-2	6-6	50
ART.				3-2	3-2	2-0		2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-2	1-2	—	1-0	0-1	0-1	42
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002				53-61	56-51-3	35-9		28-15-1	4-5	7-2	5-4-1	12-4	14-52	28-36-2	4-2	9-5	5-6	10-23-2	49
HOME				34-24	33-19-2	22-5		18-8-1	1-2	3-1	4-3-1	10-2	8-19	15-11-1	4-1	5-1	2-3	4-6-1	51
AWAY				18-34	22-29-1	12-4		9-7	2-3	4-1	1-1	2-2	6-30	13-22-1	—	4-4	3-3	6-15-1	48
NAT.				48-54	50-45-3	32-9		25-15-1	3-5	6-2	4-4-1	12-4	12-45	25-30-2	4-2	8-4	4-5	9-19-2	50
ART.				5-7	6-6	3-0		3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	2-7	3-6	—	1-1	1-1	1-4	39

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	3-9 3-3 0-6 2-3 0-6	vs. spr. 5-6 3-2 2-4 3-3	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	5-6 4-2 1-4 3-2 1-4	vs. spr. 4-5-1 2-2-1 2-2-1 2-2-1 2-3	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	4-7 2-4 2-3 3-3 1-4	vs. spr. 4-7 3-3 1-4 2-4 2-3	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	5-6 2-4 3-2 4-0 1-6	vs. spr. 7-4 2-4 5-0 2-2 5-2	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	2-9 2-4 0-5 2-1 0-8	vs. spr. 6-5 5-1 1-4 2-1 4-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Murray St.	52-6	—	—	N	Miss. St. †	10-30	+18	L	N	Miss. St.	3-17	+5	L	N	Mississippi †	0-3	+13	W	N
@ Mississippi	16-38	+10	L	N	Chattanooga †	43-10	—	—	N	La-Monroe †	28-0	-17	W	N	@ Miss. St. †	10-13	+13	W	N
@ So. Miss. †	14-33	+12	L	N	So. Florida †	17-9	-8	P	N	@ Arkansas St. †	19-17	-7	L	N	Arkansas St. †	31-26	-17	L	N
Tulane †	38-10	-6	W	N	@ Louisville	21-38	+10	L	N	@ Army	26-16	-6	W	A	@ Tennessee	16-17	+30	W	N
@ UAB †	17-31	-8	L	N	So. Miss. †	22-17	+8	W	N	@ So. Miss. †	3-24	+16	L	N	Missouri	17-27	+2	L	N
Louisville †	32-38	+10	W	N	@ Houston †	52-33	-7	W	N	E. Carolina	17-10	+6	W	N	@ UAB †	38-14	+3	W	N
Miss. St. †	17-29	-4	L	N	@ E. Carolina	11-32	+11	L	N	@ UAB	9-13	-2	L	N	Louisville †	31-32	+2	W	N
@ Cincinnati	10-48	+6	L	A	UAB	14-17	-1	L	N	Houston †	30-33*	-8	L	N	@ Tulane †	49-7	-1	W	A
Houston	21-26	-5	L	N	@ Tennessee	28-49	+24	W	N	Tennessee	17-19	+11	W	N	So. Miss.	5-20	+5	L	N
@ So. Florida †	28-31	+17	W	N	Army	42-10	-16	W	N	Cincinnati	10-13*	+2	L	N	Army	14-10	-12	L	N
Army	38-10	-14	W	N	Cincinnati	34-36	-3	L	N	@ Tulane †	14-37	-2	L	A	@ Cincinnati	21-13	-2	W	A
@ TCU	20-27	+14	W	N															

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	4-7 4-2 0-5 1-5 0-6	vs. spr. 5-5-1 3-3 2-2-1 3-2 2-3-1	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	4-7 3-3 1-4 2-0 2-7	vs. spr. 4-7 3-3 2-3 1-1 3-6	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	3-8 2-3 1-5 1-1 0-7	vs. spr. 5-6 1-4 4-2 2-2 3-4	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 4-2 2-3 3-1 3-4	vs. spr. 6-4-1 2-3-1 4-1 1-2-1 5-2	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Miss. St. †	10-13	+10	W	N	Miami-Fla.	7-30	+14	L	N	@ Miss. St. †	18-28	+11	W	N	Miss. St. †	6-17	+6	L	N
Ala.-Birm. †	28-7	-14	W	N	Miss. St. †	10-31	+4	L	N	@ Michigan	7-24	+22	W	N	@ Tulsa †	42-18	+3	W	A
@ Mich. St.	21-51	+19	L	A	@ Missouri †	19-16	+6	W	N	La-Lafayette †	33-19	-12	W	N	@ So. Miss.	3-20	+1	L	N
Minnesota †	17-20	-2	L	N	Tulane †	17-10	-4	W	N	@ Arkansas †	20-27	+10	W	N	Arkansas †	16-15	+3	W	N
@ Cincinnati †	17-20	+11	W	A	Cincinnati †	18-16	-2	L	N	Louisville †	7-17	-4	L	N	Tulane †	13-0	-13	P	N
Arkansas St. †	38-9	-22	W	N	@ Houston †	20-37	+3	L	A	@ Tulane †	23-8	-6	W	A	Arkansas St.	15-6	-25	L	N
@ E. Carolina	10-32	+2	L	N	@ So. Miss.	0-16	+15	L	N	@ Cincinnati	3-28	+3	L	A	Cincinnati †	26-3	-11	W	N
Houston	24-3	-1	W	N	@ La-Lafayette †	9-13	+2	L	N	@ Tulane	10-7	-5	L	N	@ Louisville	6-10	+4	W	A
@ Tulane †	14-26	+12	P	A	@ Louisville	10-13	+10	W	A	Tulsa	3-34	+2	L	N	@ Mississippi	17-16	+6	W	N
Louisville	21-20	-10	L	N	Tennessee	21-17	+27	W	N	Mississippi	9-17	+4	L	N	@ Tennessee	13-24	+18	W	N
So. Miss.	18-42	+11	L	N	E. Carolina	10-20	+7	L	N	@ E. Carolina	17-31	+13	L	N	E. Carolina	6-30	-1	L	N

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1	1992	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1	1991	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1	1990	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1	1989	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-1	vs. spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 2-5 3-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Miss. St. †	45-35	+3	W	N	@ Miss. St. †	45-35	+3	W	N	@ Miss. St. †	45-35	+3	W	N	@ Miss. St. †	45-35	+3	W	N
Louisville †	28-54	-8	L	N	Louisville †	28-54	-8	L	N	Louisville †	28-54	-8	L	N	Louisville †	28-54	-8	L	N
@ La-Lafayette †	15-17	-19	L	N	@ La-Lafayette †	15-17	-19	L	N	@ La-Lafayette †	15-17	-19	L	N	@ La-Lafayette †	15-17	-19	L	N
@ Arkansas †	6-0	+4	W	A	@ Arkansas †	6-0	+4	W	A	@ Arkansas †	6-0	+4	W	A	@ Arkansas †	6-0	+4	W	A
@ E. Carolina	34-7	-8	W	N	@ E. Carolina	34-7	-8	W	N	@ E. Carolina	34-7	-8	W	N	@ E. Carolina	34-7	-8	W	N
Arkansas St.	45-3	-32	W	N	Arkansas St.	45-3	-32	W	N	Arkansas St.	45-3	-32	W	N	Arkansas St.	45-3	-32	W	N
Tulsa	19-23	-10	L	A	Tulsa	19-23	-10	L	A	Tulsa	19-23	-10	L	A	Tulsa	19-23	-10	L	A
@ Cincinnati	20-23	-4	L	N	@ Cincinnati	20-23	-4	L	N	@ Cincinnati	20-23	-4	L	N	@ Cincinnati	20-23	-4	L	N
Mississippi	19-3	+3	W	N	Mississippi	19-3	+3	W	N	Mississippi	19-3	+3	W	N	Mississippi	19-3	+3	W	N
So. Miss.	20-9	-12	L	N	So. Miss.	20-9	-12	L	N	So. Miss.	20-9	-12	L	N	So. Miss.	20-9	-12	L	N
@ Miami-Fla †	17-41	+22	L	N	@ Miami-Fla †	17-41	+22	L	N	@ Miami-Fla †	17-41	+22	L	N	@ Miami-Fla †	17-41	+22	L	N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	5-6	2-3	2-3	—	1-2	0-1	1-0	0-6	3-3	—	0-1	0-1	3-1	52
HOME	3-3	3-2	2-2	2-2	—	1-2	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	52
AWAY	0-6	2-4	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-5	2-3	—	0-1	0-1	2-1	52
NAT.	3-8	5-5	2-3	2-3	—	1-2	0-1	1-0	0-5	3-2	—	—	0-1	3-1	52
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	58
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-15	9-11-1	5-5	4-5-1	0-1	1-3	1-1-1	2-0	1-10	5-6	—	0-1	1-2	4-3	52
HOME	7-5	5-4-1	4-4	3-4-1	0-1	1-3	0-0-1	2-0	1-1	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	49
AWAY	1-10	4-7	1-1	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	0-9	3-6	—	0-1	0-2	3-3	56
NAT.	8-14	9-10-1	5-5	4-5-1	0-1	1-3	1-1-1	2-0	1-9	5-5	—	—	1-2	4-3	52
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	58
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	12-22	13-18-1	8-8	6-9-1	0-3	2-4	1-2-1	3-0	2-14	7-9	0-1	1-2	1-2	5-4	46
HOME	9-9	8-7-1	5-5	4-5-1	0-1	1-3	0-1-1	3-0	2-4	4-2	0-1	1-1	1-0	2-0	43
AWAY	3-13	5-11	3-3	2-4	0-2	1-1	1-1	—	0-10	3-7	—	0-1	0-2	3-4	49
NAT.	11-20	12-16-1	7-7	5-8-1	0-2	1-4	1-2-1	3-0	2-13	7-8	0-1	1-1	1-2	5-4	46
ART.	1-2	1-2	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	50
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	19-37	26-27-1	14-9	10-12-1	2-4	2-4	2-2-1	4-2	3-28	16-15	2-3	1-4	2-3	11-5	45
HOME	13-17	15-12-1	9-6	6-8-1	0-2	1-3	1-1-1	4-2	2-11	9-4	1-2	1-2	2-0	5-0	43
AWAY	6-20	11-15	5-3	4-4	2-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-17	7-11	1-1	0-2	0-3	6-5	48
NAT.	16-34	23-24-1	11-8	7-11-1	0-3	1-4	2-2-1	4-2	3-26	16-13	2-3	1-2	2-3	11-5	45
ART.	3-3	3-3	3-1	3-1	2-1	1-0	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	0-2	—	—	49
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	42-69	51-55-3	29-16	19-24-2	3-7	4-7	3-4-1	9-6-1	11-53	32-31-1	5-8	6-9	3-3	18-11-1	41
HOME	29-29	25-29-2	22-11	13-18-2	1-5	2-5	1-3-1	9-5-1	5-18	12-11	2-3	2-6	2-0	6-2	39
AWAY	13-40	26-26-1	7-5	6-6	2-2	2-2	2-1	0-1	6-35	20-20-1	3-5	4-3	1-3	12-9-1	43
NAT.	36-58	42-48-2	25-14	15-22-2	1-6	2-6	3-4-1	9-6-1	9-44	27-26	4-7	4-6	3-3	16-10	41
ART.	6-11	9-7-1	4-2	4-2	2-1	2-1	—	—	2-9	5-5-1	1-1	2-3	—	2-1-1	41

MIAMI (FLA.) HURRICANES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 12-1 4-8 home 6-0 1-4 away 6-0 3-3 as fav 10-1 3-8 as dog 1-0 1-0	overall 12-0 7-5 home 6-0 4-2 away 5-0 2-3 as fav 12-0 7-5 as dog — —	overall 11-1 9-2 home 6-0 4-1 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 9-1 8-2 as dog 1-0 1-0	overall 9-4 7-5 home 5-1 3-2 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 7-1 5-3 as dog 1-3 2-2	overall 9-3 8-3 home 4-2 3-2 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 6-1 6-1 as dog 2-2 2-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Florida A&M † 63-17 — - N @ Florida † 41-16 + 2- W N @ Temple at Franklin Field 44-21 -37- L A Bost. Coll. † 38-6 -26 W N Connecticut † 48-14 -43- L N Florida St. 28-27 -13 L N @ W. Virginia 40-23 -20 L A @ Rutgers 42-17 -39 L N @ Tennessee 26-3 -8- W N @ Pittsburgh † 28-21 -19 L N @ Syracuse 49-7 -20 W A Va. Tech 56-45 -17 L N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ \$ Ohio State † 24-31* -11- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Penn State † 33-7 -13- W N Rutgers 61-0 -44- W N @ Pittsburgh † 43-21 -27- L N Troy St. 38-7 -49 L N @ Florida St. 49-27 -7- W N W. Virginia † 45-3 -33 W N Temple 38-0 -42 L N @ Bost. Coll. 18-7 -20 L A Syracuse 59-0 -20 W N Wash. 65-7 -25 W N @ Va. Tech 26-24 -13- L N Rose Bowl at Pasadena \$ Nebraska † 37-14 -8- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf McNeese St † 61-14 — - N @ Wash. 29-34 -3- L A @ W. Virginia 47-10 -7- W A @ Rutgers † 64-6 -29 W N Florida St. 27-24 + 6- W N @ Temple 45-17 -23 W A La. Tech † 42-31 -40- L N Va. Tech 41-21 -9 W N @ Pittsburgh 35-7 -22 W N @ Syracuse † 26-0 -12- W A Bost. Coll. 52-6 -27- W N Sugar Bowl at New Orleans \$ Florida † 37-20 -7 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf \$ Ohio State at E. Ruth. 23-12 + 4 W A Florida A&M 57-3 — - N Penn State 23-27 + 2 L N @ E. Carolina † at Raleigh 23-27 -11 L N @ Florida St. 21-31 +14 W N @ Bost. Coll. 31-28 -18 L A W. Virginia 28-20 -17 L N @ Pittsburgh 33-3 -8 W A @ Va. Tech † 10-43 + 8- L N Rutgers 55-0 -33 W N Syracuse 45-13 -10- W N Temple 55-0 -32 W N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville \$ Ga. Tech 28-13 -4- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf E. Tenn. St. † 66-17 — - N @ Cincinnati 38-12 -13- W A Va. Tech † 20-27* -4 L N @ Rutgers 53-17 -28 W N Florida St. 14-26 + 9- L N @ W. Virginia 34-31 + 4 W A Bost. Coll. 35-17 -14- W N @ Temple 42-7 -23- W A @ Pittsburgh † 38-10 -23- W N @ Syracuse 13-66 + 3- L A UCLA 49-45 +10 W N Micron Pk Bowl at Pro Player Stadium \$ N.C. State † 46-23 -6 W N
1997 overall 5-6 3-6 home 3-3 1-5 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 5-3 2-6 as dog 0-3 1-2	1996 overall 9-3 7-4 home 3-3 1-4 away 5-0 5-0 as fav 6-2 5-3 as dog 2-1 2-1	1995 overall 8-3 3-7 home 6-0 3-2 away 2-3 0-5 as fav 7-2 3-6 as dog 0-1 0-1	1994 overall 10-2 7-4 home 5-2 3-3 away 5-0 4-1 as fav 8-1 6-3 as dog 1-1 1-1	1993 overall 9-3 5-6 home 6-0 3-2 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 8-2 5-5 as dog 0-1 0-1
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Baylor 45-14 -15- W A Arizona St. 12-23 -9- L N @ Pittsburgh † 17-21 -15- L A W. Virginia 17-28 -8 L N @ Florida St. 0-47 +22 L N @ Bost. Coll. 45-44* -6 L A Temple 47-15 -19- W N Arkansas St. 42-10 -41 L N @ Va. Tech † 25-27 + 6- W N Rutgers 51-23 -34 L N Syracuse 13-33 + 5- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Memphis 30-7 -14 W N Citadel 52-6 — - N @ Rutgers † 33-0 -26 W N Pittsburgh 45-0 -35- W N Florida St. 16-34 + 5 L N E. Carolina † 6-31 -17- L N @ W. Virginia † 10-7 + 3 W A @ Temple 57-26 -25 W A Va. Tech 7-21 -6- L N Bost. Coll. 43-26 -21- L N @ Syracuse 38-31 + 8 W A Carquest Bowl at Joe Robbie Stadium \$ Virginia † 31-21 -3- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ UCLA 8-31 -3 L N Florida A&M 49-3 — - N @ Va. Tech 7-13 -9- L N @ Florida St. † 17-41 +20 L N Rutgers † 56-21 -14 W N @ Pittsburgh 17-16 -14 L A Temple 38-12 -25- L N Baylor 35-14 -5- W N @ Bost. Coll. 17-14 -7- L A W. Virginia † 17-12 -12 L N Syracuse 35-24 -4- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Ga. Southern 56-0 — - N @ Arizona St. † 47-10 -14 W N Wash. 20-38 -15 L N @ Rutgers 24-3 -19 W N Florida St. † 34-20 + 3- W N @ W. Virginia 38-6 -21- W A Va. Tech 24-3 -13- W N @ Syracuse 27-6 -13- W A Pittsburgh 17-12 -33 L N @ Temple 38-14 -36- L A Bost. Coll. † 23-7 -13 W N Orange Bowl Nebraska † 17-24 + 1- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Bost. Coll. 23-7 -7 W A Va. Tech 21-2 -16 W N @ Colorado 35-29 -1 W A Ga. Southern 30-7 — - N @ Florida St. 10-28 +14 L N Syracuse † 49-0 -17- W N Temple 42-7 -56- L N @ Pittsburgh 35-7 -29- L A Rutgers 31-17 -34- L N @ W. Virginia 14-17 -5 L A Memphis † 41-17 -22- W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe \$ Arizona 0-29 -6 L X

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	12-1	4-8	10-1	3-8	—	—	1-0	2-8	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	59
HOME	6-0	1-4	5-0	1-4	—	—	—	1-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	65
AWAY	6-0	3-3	5-0	2-3	—	—	1-0	1-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	54
NAT.	9-1	3-6	7-1	2-6	—	—	1-0	1-6	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	59
ART.	3-0	1-2	3-0	1-2	—	—	—	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	61
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	24-1	11-13	22-1	10-13	—	—	3-0	7-13	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56
HOME	12-0	5-6	11-0	5-6	—	—	—	5-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	59
AWAY	11-0	5-6	10-0	4-6	—	—	2-0	2-6	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53
NAT.	20-1	10-10	18-1	9-10	—	—	3-0	6-10	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56
ART.	4-0	1-3	4-0	1-3	—	—	—	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	52
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	35-2	20-15	31-2	18-15	—	1-1	5-0	12-14	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	56
HOME	18-0	9-7	15-0	8-7	—	—	1-0	7-7	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	59
AWAY	15-1	9-7	14-1	8-7	—	0-1	3-0	5-6	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53
NAT.	27-1	15-11	23-1	13-11	—	—	4-0	9-11	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	58
ART.	8-1	5-4	8-1	5-4	—	1-1	1-0	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	53-9	35-23	44-4	29-19	—	3-2	6-0	20-17	5-5	6-4	1-1	3-1	1-2	1-0	56
HOME	27-3	15-11	21-1	13-9	—	0-1	1-0	12-8	2-2	2-2	0-1	1-0	1-1	—	58
AWAY	21-5	15-11	19-2	12-9	—	0-1	4-0	8-8	2-3	3-2	1-0	1-1	0-1	1-0	54
NAT.	39-7	25-17	32-3	21-14	—	2-1	4-0	15-13	3-4	4-3	1-1	1-0	1-2	1-0	57
ART.	14-2	10-6	12-1	8-5	—	1-1	2-0	5-4	2-1	2-1	—	2-1	—	—	52
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	94-26	60-52	78-14	50-42	1-1	7-6	6-4	36-31	8-12	10-10	2-2	5-3	2-2	1-3	51
HOME	50-11	26-27	39-6	23-22	—	2-2	1-2	20-18	3-5	3-5	0-2	2-2	1-1	—	53
AWAY	38-13	28-23	34-6	22-18	1-1	1-3	4-2	16-12	4-7	6-5	2-0	2-1	1-1	1-3	49
NAT.	67-21	42-38	55-10	36-29	0-1	5-2	4-3	27-23	4-11	6-9	1-2	3-2	1-2	1-3	52
ART.	27-4	18-13	23-3	14-12	1-0	2-3	2-1	9-8	4-1	4-1	1-0	2-1	1-0	—	50

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 7-5 home 2-3 away 5-2 as fav 4-3 as dog 3-2	overall 7-5 home 4-1 away 3-4 as fav 4-1 as dog 3-4	overall 6-5 home 3-1 away 3-4 as fav 4-2 as dog 2-3	overall 7-4 home 3-2 away 4-2 as fav 7-2 as dog 0-2	overall 10-1 home 4-0 away 6-1 as fav 9-0 as dog 1-1
str.up 7-5 vs.spr. 2-3	str.up 7-5 vs.spr. 2-3	str.up 6-5 vs.spr. 3-1	str.up 7-4 vs.spr. 1-3	str.up 10-1 vs.spr. 3-1
opponent score vs. spread surf @ No. Carolina † 27-21 + 6- W N Iowa 24-29 + 5- W N @ LSU † 7-33 +13 L N Kent State 27-20 -11 L N @ Akron † 48-31 - 9 W A @ Cincinnati 31-26 + 5 W A N. Illinois 41-48 - 8 L N @ Buffalo 49-0 -16- W N @ Toledo † 27-13 + 3 W A Ohio U. 38-20 -16 W N @ Marshall † 34-36 - 2 L A C. Florida 31-48 - 4 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Michigan 13-31 +24- W N @ Iowa 19-44 +16- L N Cincinnati 21-14 + 2- W N @ Ball St. 28-20 - 6 W N Buffalo 31-14 -25- L N Akron 30-27 - 9- L N @ Ohio U. 36-24 + 6 W N W. Mich. 25-11 - 3 W N @ Bowl. Green 24-21 + 4 W N Marshall 21-27 + 3 L N @ Hawaii † 51-52 +10 W A @ Kent State † 20-24 - 3- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Vanderbilt † 33-30 + 7- W N @ E. Mich. 34-17 - 7- W A @ Ohio State 16-27 +22- W N Kent State 45-14 -22- W N @ Akron † 20-37 - 5- L A Ball St. 10-15 -27 L N Bowl. Green 24-10 -13- W N @ Cincinnati 15-45 + 5 L A Ohio U. 27-24 + 6 W N @ Marshall † 31-51 + 6- L A @ Buffalo 17-16 -21- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ N'western 28-3 - 4 W N @ W. Virginia 27-43 + 3- L A E. Mich. 35-14 -24- L N @ C. Mich. 24-16 -19 L A Marshall 14-32 + 4 L N @ Bowl. Green 45-31 - 7 W N @ Kent State 17-10 -24 L A Cincinnati 42-52 - 4 L N Akron 32-23 - 9 P N @ Ohio U. 28-40 - 5 L N Buffalo 43-0 -33 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ No. Carolina † 13-10 +19 W N @ Army 14-13 - 7 L A Toledo 28-14 - 6- W N @ Marshall † 17-31 + 2- L A Bowl. Green 24-12 -14 L N @ Ball St. 28-17 -19 L N @ Cincinnati 41-0 -14 W A Ohio U. 35-21 -10 W N @ N. Illinois 41-10 -16 W A Kent State 56-0 -35 W A @ Akron 20-14 -16 L A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 8-3 home 4-1 away 4-2 as fav 6-2 as dog 2-1	overall 6-5 home 3-2 away 3-3 as fav 6-2 as dog 0-3	overall 8-2 home 3-1 away 5-1 as fav 5-1 as dog 3-1	overall 5-5 home 3-1 away 2-4 as fav 0-2 as dog 2-1	overall 4-7 home 2-3 away 2-4 as fav 0-1 as dog 0-1
str.up 8-3 vs.spr. 4-1	str.up 6-5 vs.spr. 3-2	str.up 8-2 vs.spr. 3-1	str.up 5-5 vs.spr. 1-0	str.up 4-7 vs.spr. 0-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Ball St. 27-10 - 7 W N @ Bowl. Green 21-28 - 6 L N Akron 49-20 -19 W N @ Army 38-14 + 4 W A @ Va. Tech 24-17 +19 W N @ Kent State † 62-26 -22 W A Marshall 45-21 - 1 W N Cincinnati 31-34* - 5 L N @ Toledo 28-35 + 1 L A @ Ohio U. 45-21 - 3 W N N. Illinois 42-0 -33- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Kent State 64-6 -27- W N @ Ball St. 16-6 - 6 W N @ Indiana 14-21 + 6- L N Bowl. Green 10-14 -11 L N @ Cincinnati † 23-30* + 3 L A C. Mich. 46-14 -11 W N @ E. Mich. † 35-25 -13 L A @ Akron 7-10 -24- L A Army 7-27 + 2 L N @ Toledo 27-7 - 3- W A Ohio U. 24-8 - 6- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Ball St. 15-17 - 4- L N @ Kent State 39-0 - 6- W N @ N'western 30-28 +16- W A Cincinnati 23-16 + 7 W N @ Michigan 19-38 +31 W N @ Bowl. Green 21-0 + 5- W N Toledo 28-28 - 3 L N @ C. Mich. 17-13 - 7 L A E. Mich. 39-23 - 9- W N @ Ohio U. 30-2 -19 W N Akron 65-0 -31 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf W. Mich. 25-28 - - N Indiana 14-35 +22 W N Cincinnati 17-17 + 3- W N @ Mich. St. 10-45 +23 L A @ E. Mich. 21-17 - - A Akron 50-14 - - N @ Ohio U. 31-10 - - N @ C. Mich. 30-32 - - A @ Bowl. Green 16-27 - - N Ball St. 24-21 - - N Kent State 24-14 - - N	opponent score vs. spread surf La-Lafayette † 29-28 - - N @ Cincinnati † 23-30 + 5- L A @ W. Mich. 0-17 - - N E. Mich. 7-15 - - N @ Akron † 13-31 - - A @ Toledo † 22-19 - - A Ohio U. 20-22 - - N Bowl. Green 25-30 - - N @ Ball St. 0-21 - - N @ Kent State 23-14 - - N C. Mich. 24-21 - - N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-5	7-5	4-3	3-4	0-1	0-1	1-1	2-1	3-2	4-1	1-0	3-0	—	0-1	59		
HOME	2-3	2-3	2-2	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	65		
AWAY	5-2	5-2	2-1	2-1	0-1	—	1-0	1-0	3-1	3-1	1-0	2-0	—	0-1	54		
NAT.	4-4	4-4	3-2	2-3	—	0-1	0-1	2-1	1-2	2-1	—	2-0	—	0-1	57		
ART.	3-1	3-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	1-0	—	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	61		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-10	14-10	8-4	5-7	1-1	1-2	1-2	2-2	6-6	9-3	2-1	5-0	1-0	1-2	55		
HOME	6-4	4-6	5-2	2-5	1-0	0-1	0-2	1-2	1-2	2-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	54		
AWAY	8-6	10-4	3-2	3-2	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	5-4	7-2	1-0	4-0	1-0	1-2	56		
NAT.	11-7	10-8	7-2	4-5	1-0	1-1	0-2	2-2	4-5	6-3	1-1	4-0	—	1-2	52		
ART.	3-3	4-2	1-2	1-2	0-1	0-1	1-0	—	2-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	65		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-15	20-15	12-6	8-10	1-1	1-3	2-2	4-4	8-9	12-5	2-1	6-2	2-0	2-2	54		
HOME	9-5	7-7	7-3	4-6	1-0	0-1	0-2	3-3	2-2	3-1	1-1	2-0	—	—	51		
AWAY	11-10	13-8	5-3	4-4	0-1	1-2	2-0	1-1	6-7	9-4	1-0	4-2	2-0	2-2	56		
NAT.	16-9	15-10	10-3	6-7	1-0	1-1	0-2	4-4	6-6	9-3	1-1	5-0	1-0	2-2	50		
ART.	4-6	5-5	2-3	2-3	0-1	0-2	2-0	—	2-3	3-2	1-0	1-2	1-0	—	64		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	37-20	29-27-1	28-8	16-19-1	1-1	4-6	3-2-1	8-10	9-12	13-8	2-2	6-4	2-0	3-2	51		
HOME	16-7	11-11-1	14-4	8-9-1	1-0	1-2	1-2-1	5-5	2-3	3-2	1-1	2-1	—	—	51		
AWAY	21-13	18-16	14-4	8-10	0-1	3-4	2-0	3-5	7-9	10-6	1-1	4-3	2-0	3-2	51		
NAT.	27-12	22-16-1	20-5	12-12-1	1-0	4-3	1-2-1	6-7	7-7	10-4	1-1	5-1	1-0	3-2	50		
ART.	10-8	7-11	8-3	4-7	0-1	0-3	2-0	2-3	2-5	3-4	1-1	1-3	1-0	—	54		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	68-42-2	52-41-1	45-13-1	31-27-1	3-2	9-10	4-2-1	15-13	14-20-1	21-14	2-5	10-6	2-0	7-3	48		
HOME	31-15-2	22-16-1	23-7-1	17-13-1	2-1	3-4	2-2-1	10-6	3-4-1	5-3	1-2	4-1	—	—	50		
AWAY	37-27	30-25	22-6	14-14	1-1	6-6	2-0	5-7	11-16	16-11	1-3	6-5	2-0	7-3	48		
NAT.	50-27-2	41-23-1	33-9-1	25-17-1	3-1	8-6	2-2-1	12-8	10-11-1	16-6	1-2	8-2	1-0	6-2	47		
ART.	18-15	11-18	12-4	6-10	0-1	1-4	2-0	3-5	4-9	5-8	1-3	2-4	1-0	1-1	52		

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.
		home	6-1	5-8			home	5-1	4-2			home	6-3	6-6			home	5-1	3-3			home	5-1	5-8
		away	3-2	2-3			away	3-2	1-4			away	2-3	2-3			away	4-1	2-3			away	4-2	0-6
		as fav	10-2	5-7			as fav	8-2	5-5			as fav	8-2	4-6			as fav	8-2	4-6			as fav	9-2	4-7
		as dog	0-1	0-1			as dog	0-2	0-2			as dog	1-1	2-0			as dog	1-0	1-0			as dog	1-1	1-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.
Wash.	31-29	-6	L	N	Miami-OH	31-13	-24	L	N	Bowl. Green	42-7	-30	W	N	Notre Dame	26-22	-8	L	N	Notre Dame	20-36	-6	L	N
W. Mich.	35-12	-22	W	N	Wash.	18-23	+3	L	A	Rice	38-7	-27	W	N	Rice	37-3	-28	W	N	Syracuse	28-38	-10	L	N
Notre Dame	23-25	-5	L	N	W. Mich.	38-21	-14	W	N	UCLA	20-23	-4	L	N	Syracuse †	18-13	-5	L	A	E. Mich.	59-20	-35	W	N
Utah	10-7	-10	L	N	Illinois	45-20	-6	W	N	Illinois †	35-31	-7	L	A	Wisconsin	21-16	-3	W	N	Mich. St.	29-17	-8	W	N
Illinois	45-28	-8	W	A	Penn State	20-0	-12	W	N	Wisconsin	13-10	-8	L	N	Purdue	38-12	-6	W	N	Iowa	12-9	-9	L	N
Penn State	27-24*	-4	L	N	Purdue	24-10	-11	W	N	Purdue	31-32	+1	W	N	Mich. St.	31-34	-2	L	A	N'western †	12-6	-14	L	N
Purdue	23-21	-3	L	N	Iowa	32-26	-6	L	N	Indiana	58-0	-15	W	N	Illinois	29-35	-25	L	N	Indiana	21-10	-13	L	N
Iowa	9-34	-3	L	N	Mich. St.	24-26	-5	L	A	Mich. St.	14-0	-17	L	N	Indiana	34-31	-17	L	N	Minnesota	15-10	-12	L	A
Mich. St.	49-3	-15	W	N	Minnesota	31-10	-15	W	N	N'western	51-54	-7	L	N	N'western	37-3	-23	W	N	Penn State	27-0	+3	W	N
Minnesota †	41-24	-6	W	A	Wisconsin	20-17	-4	L	A	Penn State	33-11	-15	W	N	Penn State	31-27	+6	W	N	Wisconsin	27-10	-3	W	N
Wisconsin	21-14	-10	L	N	Ohio State	20-26	-8	L	N	Ohio State	38-26	+1	W	N	Ohio State	24-17	-11	L	N	Ohio State	16-31	+10	L	N
Ohio State	9-14	+3	L	N	Citrus Bowl at Orlando					Citrus Bowl at Orlando					Orange Bowl at Miami					Hawaii	48-17	-38	L	A
Outback Bowl at Tampa					Tennessee	17-45	+3	L	N	Auburn	31-28	-7	L	N	Alabama †	35-34*	P	W	N	Citrus Bowl at Orlando				
Florida	38-30	-1	W	N															Arkansas	45-31	-3	W	N	

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.
		home	12-0	6-6			home	8-4	5-7			home	9-4	5-8			home	8-4	5-7			home	8-4	5-7
		away	7-0	3-4			away	5-1	2-4			away	6-1	2-5			away	3-3	1-5			away	5-1	2-5
		as fav	4-0	3-1			as fav	3-2	3-2			as fav	3-2	3-2			as fav	4-1	3-2			as fav	2-2	2-2
		as dog	11-0	5-6			as dog	6-3	3-6			as dog	7-3	3-7			as dog	7-2	4-5			as dog	7-4	4-7
		as dog	1-0	1-0			as dog	2-1	2-1			as dog	1-1	1-1			as dog	1-1	1-1			as dog	1-0	1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	str.up	vs.spr.
Colorado	27-3	-1	W	N	Illinois	20-8	-12	L	N	Virginia	18-17	-7	L	N	Bost. Coll.	34-26	-16	L	N	Wash. St.	41-14	-23	W	N
Baylor	38-3	-26	W	N	Colorado	20-13	+7	W	A	Illinois	38-14	P	W	A	Notre Dame	26-24	+5	W	N	Notre Dame	23-27	-9	L	N
Notre Dame	21-14	-14	L	N	Bost. Coll.	20-14	-22	L	N	Memphis	24-7	-22	L	N	Colorado	26-27	-5	L	N	Houston	42-21	-35	L	N
Indiana	37-0	-20	W	N	UCLA	38-9	-8	W	N	Bost. Coll. †	23-13	-5	W	A	Iowa	29-14	-17	L	N	Iowa	24-7	-20	L	N
N'western	23-6	-24	L	N	N'western	16-17	-7	L	A	Miami-OH	38-19	-31	L	N	Mich. St.	40-20	-13	W	N	Mich. St.	7-17	-10	L	A
Iowa	28-24	-6	L	N	Indiana	27-20	-24	L	N	N'western	13-19	-17	L	N	Penn State	24-31	+1	L	N	Penn State	21-13	+6	W	N
Mich. St.	23-7	-2	W	A	Minnesota †	44-10	-13	W	A	Indiana	34-17	-14	W	N	Illinois	19-14	-3	W	A	Illinois	21-24	-14	L	N
Minnesota	24-3	-26	L	N	Mich. St.	45-29	-8	W	N	Minnesota	52-17	-20	W	N	Wisconsin	19-31	-15	L	N	Wisconsin	10-13	-3	L	A
Penn State	34-8	+1	W	N	Purdue	3-9	-14	L	N	Mich. St.	25-28	-13	L	A	Purdue	45-23	-15	W	N	Purdue	25-10	-22	L	N
Wisconsin	26-16	-14	L	A	Penn State	17-29	-1	L	N	Purdue	5-0	-13	L	N	Minnesota	38-22	-24	L	N	Minnesota	58-7	-10	W	A
Ohio State	20-14	-3	W	N	Ohio State	13-9	+17	W	N	Penn State	17-27	+3	L	N	Ohio State	6-22	P	L	N	Ohio State	28-0	-2	W	N
Rose Bowl at Pasadena					Outback Bowl at Tampa					Ohio State	31-23	+9	W	N	Holiday Bowl at San Diego					Hall of Fame Bowl at Tampa				
Wash. St.	21-16	-7	L	N	Alabama	14-17	+1	L	N	Alamo Bowl at San Antonio					Colo. St. †	24-14	-9	W	N	N.C. State	42-7	-15	W	N
										Texas A&M †	20-22	-5	L	A										

STATISTICS SUMMARY		OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS
		STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	AV./GAME		
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002		10-3	5-8	10-2	5-7	1-1	1-4	1-0	2-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	48		
HOME		6-1	2-5	6-1	2-5	0-1	0-2	—	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	43		
AWAY		3-2	2-3	3-1	2-2	—	1-2	1-0	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	50		
NAT.		8-3	3-8	8-2	3-7	1-1	0-4	—	2-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	44		
ART.		2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	69		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002		18-7	10-15	18-4	10-12	1-1	2-7	1-1	6-3	0-3	0-3	0-2	0-1	—	—	47		
HOME		11-2	6-7	11-2	6-7	0-1	1-2	0-1	5-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	45		
AWAY		6-4	3-7	6-2	3-5	—	1-5	1-0	1-0	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	45		
NAT.		15-5	8-12	15-3	8-10	1-1	1-5	0-1	6-3	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	45		
ART.		3-2	2-3	3-1	2-2	—	1-2	1-0	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	53		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002		27-10	16-21	26-6	14-18	1-1	2-10	1-3	10-4	1-4	2-3	2-2	0-1	—	—	49		
HOME		17-2	10-9	17-2	10-9	0-1	1-2	0-2	9-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	43		
AWAY		8-7	5-10	7-4	3-8	—	1-7	1-1	1-0	1-3	2-2	2-1	0-1	—	—	53		
NAT.		23-8	14-17	22-5	12-15	1-1	1-7	0-3	10-4	1-3	2-2	2-1	0-1	—	—	47		
ART.		4-2	2-4	4-1	2-3	—	1-3	1-0	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	55		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002		47-15	27-35	43-10	22-31	2-2	5-12	2-6	13-11	3-5	4-4	3-2	1-1	0-1	—	48		
HOME		27-4	17-14	26-4	16-14	0-1	3-2	1-4	12-7	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	45		
AWAY		16-10	7-19	14-6	4-16	0-1	2-9	1-2	1-4	2-4	3-3	2-1	1-1	0-1	—	49		
NAT.		39-12	24-27	35-8	19-24	2-1	3-8	1-6	13-9	3-4	4-3	3-1	1-1	0-1	—	48		
ART.		8-3	3-8	8-2	3-7	0-1	2-4	1-0	0-2	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	50		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002		92-31	53-70	81-22	41-62	6-4	7-18	5-8	23-32	9-8	10-7	4-4	3-2	2-1	1-0	45		
HOME		53-11	27-37	51-10	25-36	3-2	3-5	3-5	16-24	2-1	2-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	44		
AWAY		32-17	21-28	24-11	12-23	1-2	4-10	1-3	6-8	7-5	8-4	3-1	3-2	1-1	1-0	44		
NAT.		76-23	43-56	67-15	33-49	5-2	3-12	4-7	21-28	8-7	9-6	4-3	3-2	1-1	1-0	45		
ART.		16-8	10-14	14-7	8-13	1-2	4-6	1-1	2-4	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	1-0	—	44		

MIDDLE TENNESSEE BLUE RAIDERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 4-8 home 3-2 away 1-6 as fav 3-2 as dog 1-5	overall 8-3 home 5-0 away 3-3 as fav 7-1 as dog 1-2	overall 6-5 home 5-0 away 1-5 as fav 3-0 as dog 1-5	overall 3-8 home 3-2 away 0-6 as fav 0-7 as dog 3-4	overall 5-5 home 2-2 away 3-3 as fav 2-2 as dog 3-3
str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Alabama 34-39 +17 W N at Birm. @ Tennessee † 3-26 +22 L N @ Kentucky 22-44 +5 L N S.E. MO St. † 14-24 — - A @ Arkansas St. 7-13 -14 L N @ Vanderbilt † 21-20 +6 W N La-Lafayette 48-35 -10 W A @ Idaho 18-21 -3 L A @ N. Mex. St. 21-24 +7 W N La-Monroe 44-28 -17 L A N. Texas 20-30 +2 L A Utah St. 45-28 -7 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Vanderbilt † 37-28 +7 W N Troy St. † 54-17 -13 W A @ La-Monroe † 38-20 -20 L N @ La-Lafayette † 26-9 -16 W N Idaho † 70-58 -20 L A @ N. Texas 21-24 -14 L A @ Mississippi 17-45 +11 L N N. Mex. St. 39-35 -9 L A Arkansas St. 54-6 -24 W A @ LSU † 14-30 +17 W N Connecticut 38-14 -29 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Illinois 6-35 +33 W A @ Florida † 0-55 +40 L N Murray St. † 44-28 — - A @ Maryland † 27-45 +20 W N La. Tech † 49-21 +1 W A La-Monroe † 28-0 -19 W A @ UAB 9-14 +12 W N @ Miss. St. 35-61 +27 W N @ Connecticut 66-10 -10 W N So. Florida 45-9 — - A La-Lafayette 41-38* -26 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Miss. St. † 7-40 +33 W N @ Arizona † 19-34 +43 W N Wofford 52-42 — - A @ La-Lafayette † 31-45 +2 L N Troy St. 31-48 — - A @ Arkansas † 6-58 +36 L N @ La. Tech 18-42 +30 W N @ La-Monroe 0-10 +6 L N Tenn.-Martin 70-14 — - A C. Florida † 14-39 +14 L A E. Tenn. St. 24-7 — - A	opponent score vs. spread surf Tenn. St. † 28-27 — - A @ Illinois † 20-48 — - A @ Jcksnv. St. † 7-10 — - N Eastern Ky. † 24-28 — - A @ Tenn. Tech 19-16 — - A Murray St. † 35-14 — - A E. Illinois † 32-35 — - A @ S.E. MO St. 21-19 — - N @ Tenn.-Martin 47-33 — - N @ UAB 17-26 — - N
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 4-6 home 3-1 away 1-5 as fav — as dog —	overall 6-5 home 4-1 away 2-4 as fav — as dog —	overall 7-4 home 4-1 away 3-2 as fav — as dog —	overall 8-3-1 home 4-1-1 away 4-2 as fav — as dog —	overall 5-6 home 4-0 away 1-6 as fav — as dog —
str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf	str.up vs.spr. score vs. spread surf
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Tenn. St. † 16-25 — - A @ Chattanooga 24-33 — - N Murray St. † 17-35 — - A Jcksnv. St. 27-16 — - A @ Tenn.-Martin 37-24 — - N @ E. Illinois 17-30 — - N S.E. MO St. 55-6 — - A Austin Peay 59-10 — - A @ Eastern Ky. 20-35 — - A @ Tenn. Tech 20-30 — - A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ La. Tech † 0-20 — - N @ Tenn. St. † 14-24 — - A Chattanooga † 16-9 — - A @ Murray St. † 7-34 — - A @ Jcksnv. St. 30-23 — - N Austin Peay 50-14 — - A Eastern Ky. 13-20 — - A @ S.E. MO St. 13-16 — - N @ Tenn.-Martin 30-12 — - N E. Illinois 31-24 — - A Tenn. Tech 16-10 — - A	opponent score vs. spread surf Tenn. St. † 11-7 — - A § Ga. Southern at Atlanta 26-34 — - A @ Morehead † 42-0 — - A Murray St. † 0-34 — - A UAB † 28-13 — - A @ Austin Peay 43-0 — - A @ Eastern Ky. † 21-34 — - A S.E. MO St. 42-0 — - A Tenn.-Martin 45-17 — - A @ Tenn. Tech 31-6 — - A @ Texas A&M 14-56 — - A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Tenn. St. † 45-10 — - A @ J. Madison † 22-24 — - A @ Murray St. † 23-21 — - A Eastern Ky. † 27-28 — - A Tenn.-Martin 38-7 — - A Morehead 63-6 — - A @ S.E. MO St. 38-14 — - N Jcksnv. St. 45-37 — - A @ Austin Peay 28-3 — - A Illinois St. 27-27 — - A Tenn. Tech 31-3 — - A @ Marshall 14-49 — - A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Hawaii † 14-35 — - A Campbllsv. † 70-13 — - A Murray St. † 45-3 — - A @ Tenn. St. 33-34 — - A @ Tenn.-Martin † 14-24 — - N @ Morehead 45-0 — - A S.E. MO St. 31-10 — - A @ Tulsa 17-38 — - A Austin Peay 44-10 — - A @ Eastern Ky. 27-33 — - A @ Tenn. Tech 14-35 — - A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	5-6	3-2	2-3	—	1-1	—	1-2	1-5	3-3	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-1	52
HOME	3-2	2-2	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	63
AWAY	1-6	3-4	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-4	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	44
NAT.	1-5	3-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-4	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	45
ART.	3-3	2-3	3-1	2-2	—	1-1	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	59
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-11	10-12	10-3	5-8	—	1-1	0-1	4-6	2-7	5-4	0-1	1-1	2-0	2-2	57
HOME	8-2	4-5	8-0	4-4	—	1-0	0-1	3-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	70
AWAY	4-9	6-7	2-3	1-4	—	0-1	—	1-3	2-6	5-3	—	1-1	2-0	2-2	47
NAT.	4-7	6-5	2-1	1-2	—	—	—	1-2	2-6	5-3	—	1-1	2-0	2-2	48
ART.	8-4	4-7	8-2	4-6	—	1-1	0-1	3-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	65
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-16	17-14	13-3	7-9	—	1-1	0-1	6-7	3-12	10-5	1-1	1-1	2-0	6-3	58
HOME	13-2	6-6	10-0	5-5	—	1-0	0-1	4-4	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	66
AWAY	5-14	11-8	3-3	2-4	—	0-1	—	2-3	2-11	9-4	—	1-1	2-0	6-3	51
NAT.	5-11	10-6	3-1	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	2-10	8-4	—	1-1	2-0	5-3	53
ART.	13-5	7-8	10-2	5-7	—	1-1	0-1	4-5	1-2	2-1	1-1	—	—	1-0	62
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	26-29	20-18	13-3	7-9	—	1-1	0-1	6-7	3-19	13-9	1-2	1-2	2-0	9-5	57
HOME	18-6	6-7	10-0	5-5	—	1-0	0-1	4-4	1-2	1-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	65
AWAY	8-23	14-11	3-3	2-4	—	0-1	—	2-3	2-17	12-7	0-1	1-2	2-0	9-4	50
NAT.	7-19	13-9	3-1	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	2-16	11-7	0-1	1-2	2-0	8-4	51
ART.	19-10	7-9	10-2	5-7	—	1-1	0-1	4-5	1-3	2-2	1-1	—	—	1-1	61
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	56-53-1	20-18	13-3	7-9	—	1-1	0-1	6-7	3-19	13-9	1-2	1-2	2-0	9-5	53
HOME	37-10-1	6-7	10-0	5-5	—	1-0	0-1	4-4	1-2	1-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	57
AWAY	19-42	14-11	3-3	2-4	—	0-1	—	2-3	2-17	12-7	0-1	1-2	2-0	9-4	49
NAT.	11-24	13-9	3-1	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	2-16	11-7	0-1	1-2	2-0	8-4	49
ART.	45-29-1	7-9	10-2	5-7	—	1-1	0-1	4-5	1-3	2-2	1-1	—	—	1-1	54

JD**Jimmy Diamond**

Professional Ethical Handicapping

World's First Handicapper to Give YOU a

\$499

Guarantee with your
season package!
(an exclusive JDPicks.com promo...
Visit JDPicks.com for details)

You've seen Jimmy Diamond and his columns featured in 12 sports betting publications, and on over 500 websites, as their ONLY provider of free picks. Make the decision to be a winner today. Your investment can be as little as \$20, so stop waiting, and go to jdpicks.com NOW!

Season packages **\$499**Monthly packages **\$150**Daily trial membership **\$20**

Free picks daily! As featured on over 500 gambling websites online

• Each season package comes
with a BONUS of a FREE \$50
betting account with JagBet.com!

877-537-2387 • www.jdpicks.com



MAKE HUGE PROFITS

The One Stop Gambling Affiliate Solution

FROM HOME!**WEBMASTERS! MAKE MONEY**

by referring Gamblers to the

4 Biggest SportsBooks & Casinos Online

Online gaming is a

\$5 Billion a year Industry

and is skyrocketing at an unprecedented pace. Your chance is NOW to cash in for FREE, and earn

Massive Commissions.**Up to 35% Partnership**

Sign up at:

WAGERAFFILIATE.COM

"I just joined the program, and in my first week my website sent 200 visitors to Wager Affiliates member casinos. 15 signed up and my first check paid me \$1000.00. Next month, I will make 3 times that".

Thanks WagerAffiliate!
- Alan P. Houston, TX.

"JUST POINT, CLICK,
AND EARN MONEY!"

MINNESOTA GOLDEN GOPHERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-5 5-2 2-3 5-0 2-5	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 4-2 0-5 1-0 2-7	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 4-4 1-2	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-4 4-3 4-0 6-2 1-2	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-6 4-2 1-4 3-0 2-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
SW Tex. St. †	42-0	- A	② Toledo †	7-38	+ 5 L A	La-Monroe	47-10	-27 W A	Ohio U.	33-7	-23 W A	Arkansas St.	17-14	-27- L A
② La-Lafayette †	35-11	-16 W N	La-Lafayette †	44-14	-24- W A	Ohio U.	17-23	-20- L A	La-Monroe †	35-0	-25- W A	② Houston †	14-7	+ 3 W N
Toledo	31-21	- 7- W A	Purdue	28-35*	+ 4 L A	② Baylor	34-9	- 8- W N	Illinois St.	55-7	- A	Memphis	41-14	- 3- W A
Buffalo	41-17	-31 L A	② Illinois	14-25	+ 9- L A	② Purdue	24-38	+13 L N	② N'western	33-14	- 6- W N	② Purdue	21-56	+ 9- L N
② Purdue †	15-28	+ 3- L N	② N'western	17-23	+12- W N	Illinois	44-10	P W A	Wisconsin	17-20*	+ 2- L N	② Penn State	17-27	+17 W A
Illinois †	31-10	- 3- W A	Mich. St.	28-19	+ 2- W A	Penn State	25-16	- 7 W A	② Illinois	37-7	- 1- W A	② Ohio State	15-45	+38 W N
N'western †	45-42	-16- L A	Murray St.	66-10	- A	② Ohio State	29-17	+13- W N	Ohio State	17-20	- 3 L A	Mich. St.	19-18	+ 7- W A
② Mich. St.	28-7	+ 5 W N	Ohio State †	28-31	+ 2- L A	② Indiana	43-51	- 7 L N	Purdue	28-33	+ 3 L A	Michigan	10-15	+12- W A
② Ohio State	3-34	+13- L N	② Michigan	10-31	+15- L N	N'western	35-41	- 4- L A	② Penn State	24-23	+14 W N	② Wisconsin	7-26	+20- W A
Michigan †	24-41	+ 6- L A	② Iowa	24-42	+12- L N	② Wisconsin	20-41	+ 5 L A	Indiana	44-20	-14 W A	② Indiana	19-20	+ 3 W N
Iowa	21-45	+12- L A	Wisconsin	42-31	+ 3 W A	Iowa	27-24	-14 L A	② Iowa	25-21	-20 L N	Iowa	49-7	- 7 W A
② Wisconsin	31-49	+ 7- L A												
Music City Bowl at Nashville														
§ Arkansas	29-14	+ 9 W N				Micron Pk Bowl at Miami			Sun Bowl at El Paso					
						§ N.C. State †	30-38	- 4 L N	§ Oregon	20-24	- 3- L A			

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-9 2-4 1-5 2-2 1-7	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 3-3 1-4 3-0 1-7	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 3-3 0-5 3-0 0-8	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 2-4 1-4 1-2 1-6	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 3-3 1-4 0-1 2-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
② Hawaii	3-17	-12 L A	② La-Monroe †	30-3	-12 W N	Ball St. †	31-7	-14 W A	Penn State †	3-56	+13- L A	② Penn State	20-38	+22 W N
Iowa St. †	53-29	- 9 W A	Ball St. †	26-23	-14- L A	② Syracuse	17-27	+ 6- L A	Pacific †	33-7	-14 W A	Indiana St. †	27-10	- A
② Memphis †	20-17	+ 2 W N	Syracuse †	35-33	+ 8- W A	Arkansas St. †	55-7	-25 W A	SDSU †	40-17	P W A	Kansas St. †	25-30	- 2 L A
Houston †	43-45	- 8- L A	② Purdue	27-30	+ 3- W N	Purdue †	39-38	- 1- L A	② Kansas St. †	0-35	+ 9 L A	② SDSU †	17-48	+11 L N
② Mich. St.	10-31	+24 W A	② N'western	24-26	+10 W A	N'western †	17-27	+ 2- L A	② Indiana	14-25	+ 8 L N	Indiana †	19-23	+ 7- W A
Purdue †	43-59	+ 6 L A	Mich. St.	9-27	+ 4 L A	② Mich. St.	31-34	+ 6- W A	② Purdue	37-49	+ 9 L N	Purdue †	59-56	P W A
② Penn State	15-16	+34- W N	Michigan †	10-44	+13 L A	② Michigan	17-52	+20- L N	N'western †	31-37	- 7 L A	② N'western	28-26	+ 4- W A
Wisconsin	21-22	+ 5- W A	② Ohio State	0-45	+35 L N	Ohio State †	21-49	+22 L A	② Wisconsin	17-14	+21 W A	Wisconsin †	28-21	+13- W A
② Michigan	3-24	+26 W N	② Wisconsin	28-45	+13- L A	Wisconsin †	27-34	+ 7- W A	Illinois †	17-21	+ 9 W A	② Illinois	20-23	+12- W A
Ohio State	3-31	+23 L A	Illinois †	23-21	- 3- L A	② Illinois	14-48	+ 7- L A	② Michigan	22-38	+24- W N	Michigan	7-58	+10- L A
Indiana †	24-12	- 7- W A	Iowa †	24-43	+11 L A	② Iowa	3-45	+11 L N	Iowa †	42-49	- 1- L A	② Iowa	3-21	+ 6 L N
② Iowa	0-31	+21- L N												

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	5-7	5-0	3-2	—	1-0	1-0	1-2	2-5	2-5	—	1-2	1-1	0-2	53
HOME	5-2	2-4	4-0	2-2	—	1-0	1-0	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	58
AWAY	2-3	2-3	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-3	1-3	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	48
NAT.	3-2	3-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	2-2	2-2	—	1-1	1-0	0-1	40
ART.	5-3	2-5	4-0	2-2	—	1-0	1-0	0-2	0-3	0-3	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	61
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-12	9-13	6-0	4-2	—	1-0	1-0	2-2	4-12	5-11	2-1	1-4	1-2	1-4	54
HOME	9-4	5-6	5-0	3-2	—	1-0	1-0	1-2	2-4	2-4	2-1	0-2	—	0-1	60
AWAY	2-8	3-7	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-8	2-7	—	1-2	0-2	1-3	47
NAT.	3-5	4-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	2-5	3-4	—	1-1	1-0	1-3	43
ART.	9-7	5-9	5-0	3-2	—	1-0	1-0	1-2	2-7	2-7	2-1	0-3	0-2	0-1	59
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-18	14-20	10-4	7-7	—	2-3	2-0	3-4	5-14	6-13	2-1	1-5	1-2	2-5	55
HOME	13-6	8-9	8-2	5-5	—	2-1	1-0	2-4	2-4	2-4	2-1	0-2	—	0-1	58
AWAY	4-11	5-10	2-1	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	2-10	3-9	—	1-3	0-2	2-4	51
NAT.	5-8	6-7	2-2	2-2	—	0-2	1-0	1-0	3-6	4-5	—	1-1	1-0	2-4	51
ART.	13-10	8-13	8-2	5-5	—	2-1	1-0	2-4	2-8	2-8	2-1	0-4	0-2	0-1	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	31-28	29-27	19-6	14-11	1-1	5-4	2-0	6-6	8-22	14-16	4-3	1-5	2-3	7-5	51
HOME	21-11	16-13	14-3	10-7	0-1	4-1	1-0	5-5	3-8	5-6	2-3	0-2	1-0	2-1	52
AWAY	9-15	12-12	5-1	4-2	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-1	4-14	8-10	2-0	1-3	0-3	5-4	49
NAT.	9-11	11-9	4-2	3-3	—	1-2	1-0	1-1	5-9	8-6	2-0	1-1	1-1	4-4	50
ART.	22-17	18-18	15-4	11-8	1-1	4-2	1-0	5-5	3-13	6-10	2-3	0-4	1-2	3-1	52
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	48-67	55-56	28-11	20-19	1-4	5-6	4-1	10-8	13-56	32-37	5-4	5-9	7-7	15-17	52
HOME	34-28	29-29	22-7	15-14	0-4	4-3	3-1	8-6	5-21	11-15	2-4	1-4	5-0	3-7	55
AWAY	13-37	25-25	6-2	5-3	1-0	1-1	1-0	2-2	7-35	20-22	3-0	4-5	1-7	12-10	48
NAT.	11-24	18-17	5-2	4-3	—	1-2	1-0	2-1	6-22	14-14	3-0	2-2	1-3	8-9	48
ART.	37-43	37-39	23-9	16-16	1-4	4-4	3-1	8-7	7-34	18-23	2-4	3-7	6-4	7-8	54

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	str.up vs.spr.				2001	str.up vs.spr.				2000	str.up vs.spr.				1999	str.up vs.spr.				1998	str.up vs.spr.			
	overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav
	7-6	4-3	2-3	3-2		7-4	4-2	2-3	2-4		7-5	5-2	2-2	2-2		8-4	6-3	1-5	4-1		7-5	4-2	2-4	2-4
	4-4	2-3	3-2	2-4		4-2	2-3	2-3	2-4		5-2	3-4	2-2	2-2		3-3	1-5	4-1	2-5		4-2	2-3	3-3	2-4
	2-6	4-4	2-4	2-6		2-1	2-1	2-1	2-1		2-2	2-2	2-2	2-2		3-2	4-1	2-5	4-1		5-1	2-4	3-3	2-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
La-Monroe †	31-3	-30-	L	N	Murray St. †	49-14	—	-	N	Tulane	49-20	-19	W	N	† Memphis †	3-0	-13	L	N	Memphis	30-10	-10	W	N
Memphis	38-16	-10	W	N	† Auburn	21-27	+ 5-	L	N	Auburn †	27-35	- 4-	L	N	Arkansas St. †	38-14	-32-	L	N	Auburn †	0-17	- 2-	L	N
† Texas Tech	28-42	+ 3	L	A	† Kentucky	42-31	- 8	W	N	† Vanderbilt	12-7	- 4	W	N	Vanderbilt	34-37*	-16-	L	N	† Vanderbilt	30-6	- 7	W	A
Vanderbilt	45-38	-22-	L	N	† Arkansas St. †	35-17	-21-	L	N	Kentucky †	35-17	- 7	W	N	† Auburn	24-17*	+ 4	W	N	† SMU	48-41*	- 5-	W	N
Florida	17-14	+13-	W	N	Alabama	27-24	+ 8-	W	N	Arkansas St.	35-10	-25-	L	N	† So. Carolina †	36-10	- 6-	W	N	So. Carolina	30-28	- 9	L	N
Arkansas St.	52-17	-27	W	N	Mid. Tenn.	45-17	-11	W	N	† Alabama †	7-45	+ 4	L	N	Tulane	20-13	-12	L	N	† Alabama	17-20*	+ 5-	W	N
† Alabama	7-42	+10	L	N	† LSU †	35-24	+ 9	W	N	UNLV	43-40*	- 9-	L	N	Alabama	24-30	+ 3	L	N	Arkansas St.	30-17	-25-	L	N
† Arkansas	28-48	+ 8	L	N	Arkansas †	56-58*	- 6	L	N	† Arkansas	38-24	+ 1	W	N	† LSU †	42-23	- 4-	W	N	LSU	37-31*	+10-	W	N
Auburn	24-31	+ 4	L	N	Georgia	15-35	P	L	N	LSU †	9-20	- 2	L	N	Arkansas	38-16	+ 1	W	N	† Arkansas	0-34	+ 9-	L	N
† Georgia †	17-31	+14-	W	N	† Miss. St. †	28-36	- 3	L	N	† Georgia	14-32	+ 8	L	N	Georgia †	17-20	- 5-	L	N	† Georgia	17-24	+12	W	N
† LSU †	13-14	+ 9-	W	N	Vanderbilt	38-27	-16	L	N	Miss. St. †	45-30	+ 6-	W	N	† Miss. St. †	20-23	+ 4	W	N	Miss. St. †	6-28	+ 6	L	N
Miss. St. †	24-12	- 8	W	N																				
Independence Bowl at Shreveport					Music City Bowl at Nashville					Independence Bowl at Shreveport					Independence Bowl at Shreveport					Independence Bowl at Shreveport				
† Nebraska	27-23	+ 7	W	A	† W. Virginia	38-49	- 3-	L	N	† Oklahoma †	27-25	+ 3-	W	N	† Texas Tech †	35-18	+ 9-	W	N	† Texas Tech †	35-18	+ 9-	W	N
1997	str.up vs.spr.				1996	str.up vs.spr.				1995	str.up vs.spr.				1994	str.up vs.spr.				1993	str.up vs.spr.			
	8-4	9-3	4-2	3-3		5-6	2-7	3-3	0-4		6-5	4-6	4-1	1-3		4-7	5-5	2-4	2-3		5-6	5-5	5-1	5-0
	3-2	3-3	5-0	3-3		2-2	2-2	2-0	1-1		2-3	3-2	3-0	1-2		2-4	2-3	2-3	3-2		5-1	5-0	0-5	4-4
	5-0	4-1	1-3	3-1		2-0	1-1	1-6	1-6		3-0	1-2	2-5	3-4		2-1	2-1	0-6	2-1		4-2	4-2	0-2	1-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
C. Florida †	24-23*	-12-	L	N	Idaho St. †	38-14	—	-	N	† Auburn †	13-46	+16-	L	N	Auburn †	17-22	+ 6-	W	N	† Auburn †	12-16	+ 3-	L	N
SMU †	23-15	- 5	W	N	V.M.I.	31-7	—	-	N	Indiana St. †	56-10	—	-	N	S. Illinois †	59-3	—	-	N	Chattanooga †	40-7	—	-	N
† Auburn	9-19	+20	W	N	Auburn	28-45	+10-	L	N	Georgia †	18-10	+ 5	W	N	† Vanderbilt †	20-14	P	W	A	Vanderbilt †	49-7	- 6-	W	N
Vanderbilt †	15-3	- 4-	W	N	† Vanderbilt †	20-9	- 1	W	A	† Florida	10-28	+29	W	N	† Georgia	14-17	+ 7-	W	N	Georgia †	31-14	- 3	W	N
† Tennessee	17-31	+28	W	N	† Tennessee †	3-41	+23	L	N	Tulane	20-17	-21	L	N	Florida	14-38	+21	L	N	† Kentucky †	0-21	- 7-	L	N
† LSU †	36-21	+16	W	N	† Alabama †	0-37	+12-	L	N	† Arkansas at Memphis	6-13	+ 6-	L	N	† Arkansas	7-31	+ 2-	L	A	Arkansas at Jackson	19-0	-11-	W	N
Alabama	20-29	P	L	N	Arkansas St. †	38-21	-24	L	N	Alabama	9-23	+ 6	L	N	† Alabama	10-21	+10	L	N	Alabama	14-19	+ 7	W	N
Arkansas †	19-9	- 9-	W	N	† Arkansas	7-13	+ 5	L	N	Vanderbilt	21-10	-12-	L	N	LSU	34-21	- 1-	W	N	† LSU †	17-19	-10	L	N
† Tulane †	41-24	P	W	A	LSU	7-39	+10	L	N	† Memphis	34-3	- 2-	W	N	Memphis	16-17	- 6-	L	N	† Memphis	3-19	- 3	L	N
Georgia	14-21	+ 3-	L	N	† Georgia	31-27	+14	W	N	† LSU †	9-38	+ 6-	L	N	† Tulane †	38-0	-15	W	A	N. Illinois	44-0	-22-	W	N
† Miss. St.	15-14	P	W	N	Miss. St.	0-17	+ 2-	L	N	† Miss. St.	13-10	+ 1	W	N	Miss. St.	17-21	+ 3	L	N	† Miss. St.	13-20	- 1-	L	N
Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI																								
† Marshall †	34-31	- 1	W	A																				

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	7-6	5-0	3-2	—	—	2-0	1-2	2-6	4-4	0-1	1-1	1-2	2-0	52
HOME	6-1	4-3	5-0	3-2	—	—	2-0	1-2	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	51
AWAY	0-5	2-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	2-3	0-1	—	1-2	1-0	54
NAT.	6-5	6-5	5-0	3-2	—	—	2-0	1-2	1-5	3-3	—	0-1	1-2	2-0	51
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-10	11-12	9-2	5-6	0-1	0-1	3-0	2-4	4-7	6-5	0-1	1-2	3-2	2-0	57
HOME	10-3	6-6	7-1	4-4	—	0-1	2-0	2-3	2-1	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	59
AWAY	3-7	4-6	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-6	3-4	0-1	0-1	2-2	1-0	56
NAT.	13-9	10-11	9-2	5-6	0-1	0-1	3-0	2-4	3-6	5-4	—	0-2	3-2	2-0	57
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	60
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-15	16-19	14-5	8-11	0-2	2-3	3-1	3-5	6-9	8-7	1-1	2-3	3-3	2-0	57
HOME	15-5	9-10	11-3	6-8	0-1	1-2	2-1	3-4	3-1	3-1	—	1-1	1-0	1-0	59
AWAY	5-9	6-8	3-1	2-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	2-8	4-6	1-1	0-2	2-3	1-0	53
NAT.	20-14	15-18	14-5	8-11	0-2	2-3	3-1	3-5	5-8	7-6	1-0	1-3	3-3	2-0	57
ART.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	60
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	36-24	29-30	24-8	13-19	0-3	6-4	4-2	3-10	11-15	16-10	2-2	6-4	4-4	4-0	52
HOME	22-10	12-19	16-6	7-15	0-2	1-3	3-2	3-8	5-3	5-3	1-1	1-2	1-0	2-0	54
AWAY	11-13	14-10	8-1	6-3	0-1	5-0	1-0	0-2	3-12	8-7	1-1	3-2	2-4	2-0	49
NAT.	34-23	27-29	23-8	12-19	0-3	5-4	4-2	3-10	10-14	15-9	2-1	5-4	4-4	4-0	52
ART.	2-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	52
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	64-52	54-56	40-13	25-28	5-5	9-5	5-4	6-14	15-37	26-26	3-5	9-10	5-6	9-5	46
HOME	40-21	23-32	28-7	15-20	2-2	4-4	4-2	5-12	6-12	8-10	1-3	4-4	1-1	2-2	48
AWAY	20-28	27-21	11-5	9-7	2-3	5-0	1-2	1-2	6-23	15-14	2-2	3-5	3-5	7-2	43
NAT.	57-50	47-54	36-13	21-28	3-5	8-5	5-4	5-14	14-35	25-24	3-3	8-10	5-6	9-5	46
ART.	7-2	7-2	4-0	4-0	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	1-2	1-2	0-2	1-0	—	—	47

MISSISSIPPI STATE BULLDOGS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

[illegible]

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	3-8	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-9	3-7	0-1	1-3	0-1	2-2	47
HOME	2-4	1-4	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-4	1-3	0-1	0-2	—	1-0	50
AWAY	1-5	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	—	1-1	0-1	1-2	43
NAT.	3-8	3-7	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-8	3-6	0-1	1-3	0-1	2-1	47
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	49
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	6-17	9-13	3-2	1-4	0-1	—	0-1	1-2	2-15	8-9	2-2	2-3	1-1	3-3	45
HOME	5-8	4-8	3-2	1-4	0-1	—	0-1	1-2	1-6	3-4	1-2	1-2	—	1-0	47
AWAY	1-9	5-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-9	5-5	1-0	1-1	1-1	2-3	42
NAT.	6-16	9-12	3-2	1-4	0-1	—	0-1	1-2	2-14	8-8	2-2	2-3	1-1	3-2	45
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	49
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	14-21	16-18	9-6	6-9	2-2	3-2	0-1	1-4	4-15	10-9	3-2	2-3	2-1	3-3	49
HOME	9-9	7-10	6-3	3-6	1-1	1-0	0-1	1-4	2-6	4-4	1-2	1-2	1-0	1-0	49
AWAY	4-12	8-8	3-3	3-3	1-1	2-2	—	—	1-9	5-5	1-0	1-1	1-1	2-3	48
NAT.	14-20	16-17	9-6	6-9	2-2	3-2	0-1	1-4	4-14	10-8	3-2	2-3	2-1	3-2	49
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	49
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	32-28	29-29	22-6	12-16	4-2	5-5	0-2	3-7	7-21	16-12	6-2	3-5	3-2	4-3	46
HOME	21-9	13-15	15-3	7-11	3-1	1-2	0-1	3-7	3-6	5-4	2-2	1-2	1-0	1-0	46
AWAY	9-17	13-13	7-3	5-5	1-1	4-3	0-1	—	2-13	8-7	2-0	2-2	2-2	2-3	45
NAT.	31-25	27-27	22-6	12-16	4-2	5-5	0-2	3-7	6-19	14-11	5-2	3-5	3-2	3-2	46
ART.	1-3	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	2-1	1-0	—	—	1-1	44
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	58-56-2	57-56	39-18-1	26-32	7-6	11-10	1-4	7-12	16-34-1	30-21	12-4	5-7	3-3	10-7	46
HOME	36-24-1	25-34	26-11-1	15-23	4-4	5-4	0-3	6-12	7-11	9-9	4-4	2-3	1-1	2-1	47
AWAY	20-29-1	29-20	13-6	11-8	3-2	6-5	1-1	1-0	7-21-1	18-11	6-0	3-3	2-2	7-6	45
NAT.	55-52-1	52-53	38-17-1	25-31	7-6	11-9	1-4	6-12	14-32	26-20	10-4	4-7	3-3	9-6	46
ART.	3-4-1	5-3	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	2-2-1	4-1	2-0	1-0	—	1-1	43

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 5-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 3-1 as dog 2-6	overall 4-7 home 2-4 away 2-3 as fav 1-1 as dog 1-6	overall 3-8 home 2-4 away 1-4 as fav 2-1 as dog 0-7	overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 2-3 as dog 0-5	overall 8-4 home 5-1 away 2-3 as fav 6-0 as dog 1-4
opponent score vs. spread surf § Illinois 33-20 + 7- W A Ball St. † 41-6 -16 W N @ Bowl. Green 28-51 - 4 L N Troy St. 44-7 -12- W N Oklahoma † 24-31 +12 W N @ Nebraska 13-24 + 9 L A @ Texas Tech † 38-52 + 6- L A Kansas 36-12 -21- W N @ Iowa St. 35-42 +10 W N Colorado 35-42* + 5- L N @ Texas A&M 33-27* + 9- W N Kansas St. 0-38 +15- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Bowl. Green † 13-20 -13 L N SW Tex. St. † 40-6 — - N Nebraska 3-36 +23- L N @ Okla. St. † 41-38* + 7- W A Iowa St. 14-20 + 3 L N @ Kansas 38-34 P W A Texas 16-35 +21 W N @ Colorado 24-38 +13- L N Baylor 41-24 -14- W A @ Kansas St. 3-24 +20- L A @ Mich. St. 7-55 + 7- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf W. Illinois † 50-20 — - N @ Clemson 9-62 +17 L N Mich. St. † 10-13 + 8- W N @ Nebraska 24-42 +32 W A Okla. St. 24-10 - 3 W N Kansas 17-38 - 6- L N @ Texas 12-46 +23- L N @ Iowa St. 20-39 +10 L N Colorado 18-28 + 6 L N @ Baylor 47-22 -12- W N Kansas St. 24-28 +22 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf UAB 31-28 -21- L N W. Mich. † 48-34 -13 W N Nebraska † 10-40 +17 L N @ Memphis 27-17 - 2 W N @ Colorado 39-46* +12- W N Iowa St. † 21-24 - 7- L N @ Kansas 0-21 - 8 L A Texas Tech 34-7 - 1 W N @ Oklahoma 0-37 +11 L N Texas A&M 14-51 + 7 L N @ Kansas St. 0-66 +26 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Bowl. Green † 37-0 -26 W N Kansas 41-23 -17 W N @ Ohio State 14-35 +24- W N N'western St. 35-14 — - N @ Iowa St. 35-19 - 6- W N Oklahoma 20-6 -16- L N @ Nebraska 13-20 +19- W A @ Texas Tech 28-26 + 1- W A Colorado 38-14 - 5- W N @ Texas A&M 14-17 + 6- W N Kansas St. 25-31 +12- W N Insight.com Bowl at Tucson § W. Virginia † 34-31 - 4 L N

1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 7-5 home 4-2 away 3-2 as fav 4-0 as dog 3-5	overall 5-6 home 3-3 away 2-3 as fav 1-1 as dog 4-5	overall 3-5 home 3-3 away 0-2 as fav 3-2 as dog 0-5	overall 3-8-1 home 0-6 away 3-2-1 as fav 0-1 as dog 2-6-1	overall 3-7-1 home 3-1-1 away 0-6 as fav 1-0-1 as dog 1-7
opponent score vs. spread surf E. Mich. † 44-24 -16 W N @ Kansas 7-15 + 1- L A @ Tulsa 42-21 - 5- W A Ohio State 10-31 +15 L N Iowa St. 45-21 -16- W N @ Kansas St. 11-41 +11- L A Texas 37-29 + 3- W N @ Okla. St. 51-50* +10 W A @ Colorado 41-31 +14 W A Nebraska 38-45* +28- W N Baylor 42-24 -16- W N Holiday Bowl at San Diego § Colo. St. † 24-35 + 3- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Texas † 10-40 +21 L N Memphis † 16-19 - 6 L N @ Clemson † 38-24 + 7- W N @ Iowa St. 31-45 + 3 L N @ SMU † 27-26 + 2- W N Kansas St. 10-35 +17 L N Okla. St. 35-28* - 3- W N Colorado 13-41 +22 L N @ Nebraska 7-51 +50 W A @ Baylor 49-42* +10 W A Kansas 42-25 + 3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf N. Texas † 28-7 -18- W N Bowl. Green 10-17 - 4 L N @ Texas Tech † 14-41 +14 L A La-Monroe 31-22 -13- L N @ Kansas St. 0-30 +21- L A @ Nebraska 0-57 +42 L A Okla. St. 26-30 - 1 L N Oklahoma 9-13 +16 W N @ Kansas 23-42 +19- W A @ Colorado 0-21 +28 W A Iowa St. 45-31 - 3- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Tulsa † 17-20 - 7- L A @ Illinois 0-42 +15 L A @ Houston † 16-0 — - A W. Virginia 10-34 P L A Colorado 23-38 +32 W A @ Okla. St. 24-15 + 8 W A Nebraska 7-42 +25 L A @ Iowa St. 34-20 + 2- W A @ Oklahoma 13-30 +17- W N Kansas St. 18-21 +15 W A Kansas 14-31 + 3- L A @ Hawaii † 32-32 + 4- W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Illinois 31-3 P W A @ Texas A&M 0-73 +13- L A @ W. Virginia 3-35 +13 L A SMU 10-10 - 7- L A @ Colorado 18-30 +27- W A Okla. St. 42-9 + 3- W A @ Nebraska 7-49 +27 L A Iowa St. 37-34 - 5- L A Oklahoma 23-42 +12- L A @ Kansas St. 21-31 +12 W A @ Kansas 0-28 +10 L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	7-5	3-1	3-1	—	0-1	—	3-0	2-6	4-4	—	0-2	3-1	1-1	59
HOME	3-3	4-2	3-0	3-0	—	—	—	3-0	0-3	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	52
AWAY	1-4	2-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-3	2-2	—	0-1	2-1	—	68
NAT.	4-5	6-3	3-1	3-1	—	0-1	—	3-0	1-4	3-2	—	0-1	2-0	1-1	59
ART.	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	1-2	—	0-1	1-1	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-14	11-11	4-2	4-2	—	0-1	—	4-1	3-12	6-9	0-1	0-2	4-2	2-4	55
HOME	5-7	6-5	4-1	4-1	—	—	—	4-1	0-6	2-4	0-1	0-1	—	2-2	48
AWAY	3-7	4-6	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-6	3-5	—	0-1	3-2	0-2	64
NAT.	6-10	8-7	4-2	4-2	—	0-1	—	4-1	1-8	4-5	0-1	0-1	2-0	2-3	53
ART.	3-4	3-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-4	2-4	—	0-1	2-2	0-1	60
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	12-22	16-16	6-3	6-3	1-0	0-2	—	5-1	3-19	9-13	0-1	0-3	5-3	4-6	55
HOME	7-11	9-7	5-2	5-2	1-0	0-1	—	4-1	0-9	4-5	0-1	0-2	1-0	3-2	48
AWAY	4-11	6-9	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	2-10	4-8	—	0-1	3-3	1-4	64
NAT.	9-17	12-12	6-3	6-3	1-0	0-2	—	5-1	1-14	6-9	0-1	0-2	3-1	3-5	53
ART.	3-5	4-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-5	3-4	—	0-1	2-2	1-1	60
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	24-33	29-25	16-5	13-8	3-0	2-3	0-2	8-3	4-28	15-17	1-1	1-4	5-3	8-9	53
HOME	15-15	15-12	12-3	10-5	2-0	1-1	0-1	7-3	0-12	5-7	0-1	0-3	1-0	4-3	49
AWAY	7-18	13-12	3-2	3-2	1-0	1-1	0-1	1-0	3-16	9-10	1-0	1-1	3-3	4-6	57
NAT.	20-25	23-19	16-4	13-7	3-0	2-3	0-1	8-3	1-21	10-12	0-1	1-3	3-1	6-7	53
ART.	4-8	6-6	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	3-7	5-5	1-0	0-1	2-2	2-2	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	45-67-2	58-52	25-9-1	20-15	3-1	5-6	0-4	12-4	14-57-1	36-36	4-3	4-6	9-4	19-23	52
HOME	28-30-1	30-26	20-7-1	16-12	2-1	3-4	0-3	11-4	4-22	13-13	1-1	2-4	2-0	8-8	50
AWAY	15-36-1	27-24	4-2	4-2	1-0	2-1	0-1	1-0	9-34-1	22-22	3-2	2-1	6-4	11-15	55
NAT.	31-37	36-29	23-7	19-11	3-1	4-5	0-1	12-4	5-30	17-18	2-2	2-4	4-1	9-11	53
ART.	14-30-2	22-23	2-2-1	1-4	—	1-1	0-3	—	9-27-1	19-18	2-1	2-2	5-3	10-12	51

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 1-3 1-2 1-8	vs.spr. 6-6 1-4 3-1 1-2 5-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
SMU †	38-7	+10- W	N
N.C. State	19-65	+16- L	N
N'western	40-49	- 3 L	N
Duke	17-43	- 2 L	N
Air Force	7-48	+22 L	N
Rice	10-17	+ 7- W	N
Bost. Coll.	21-46	+29 W	A
Tulane at Tad Gormley Stadium	30-51	+16 L	N
Notre Dame at Baltimore	23-30	+27- W	N
Connecticut	0-38	+ 6 L	N
Wk. Forest	27-30	+27 W	N
Army at E. Ruth.	58-12	- 3 W	N

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 0-10 0-4 0-4 0-2 0-8	vs.spr. 4-5-1 1-2-1 2-2 0-2 4-3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Temple † at Franklin Field	26-45	+17- L	A
Ga. Tech	7-70	+25 L	N
Bost. Coll.	21-38	+18- W	N
Air Force at Landover	18-24	+14 W	N
Rice	13-21	+ 8 P	N
Rutgers	17-23	- 1 L	N
Toledo †	20-21	+27- W	A
Tulane	28-42	- 1 L	N
Notre Dame	16-34	+27- W	N
Army † at Phila.	17-26	+ 1- L	A

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 0-5 0-4 0-3 1-7	vs.spr. 4-7 1-4 2-2 0-3 4-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Temple †	6-17	-10- L	N
Ga. Tech	13-40	+16 L	N
Bost. Coll.	7-48	+18 L	A
TCU	0-24	+26 W	N
Air Force	13-27	+15- W	N
Notre Dame at Orlando	14-45	+19 L	N
Rutgers	21-28	- 7- L	N
Toledo	14-35	+18- L	N
Tulane †	38-50	+14 W	A
Wk. Forest	26-49	- 2- L	N
Army at Baltimore	30-28	+ 2 W	N

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 1-3 3-3 4-2 1-5	vs.spr. 9-3 2-2 5-1 4-2 5-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Ga. Tech	14-49	+18- L	N
Kent State †	48-28	-18- W	A
Bost. Coll.	10-14	+ 7 W	N
Rice †	17-20	+ 7 W	A
Air Force	31-28	+10 W	A
Notre Dame at Landover	14-19	+ 9- W	N
Akron	29-35	-10 L	N
Notre Dame	24-28	+27 W	N
Rutgers	34-7	-14 W	N
Tulane	45-21	- 8- W	N
Hawaii †	41-48	- 3 L	A
Army at Phila.	19-9	- 7 W	A

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-1 1-7	vs.spr. 3-7 0-4 2-2 0-2 3-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Wk. Forest †	14-26	+ 9 L	N
Kent State †	38-24	-21 L	N
Tulane	24-42	+22 W	N
W. Virginia	24-45	+17 L	N
Air Force	7-49	+14- L	N
Colgate	42-35	- - N	
Bost. Coll.	32-31	+14 W	A
Rutgers	33-36	-11 L	N
Notre Dame at Wash. D.C.	0-30	+20- L	N
SMU	11-24	+ 4 L	N
Army at Phila.	30-34	+ 6- W	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	6-6	1-2	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	1-8	5-4	—	0-1	1-0	4-3	60
HOME	0-5	1-4	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-3	1-2	—	0-1	1-0	0-1	59
AWAY	1-3	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	56
NAT.	2-9	5-6	1-2	1-2	1-2	—	—	—	1-7	4-4	—	0-1	1-0	3-3	59
ART.	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	67
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	2-20	10-11-1	1-4	1-4	1-4	—	—	—	1-16	9-7-1	0-1	0-1	1-0-1	8-5	56
HOME	0-9	2-6-1	0-3	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	0-6	2-3-1	—	0-1	1-0-1	1-2	59
AWAY	1-7	5-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-6	5-2	—	—	—	5-2	53
NAT.	2-16	8-9-1	1-4	1-4	1-4	—	—	—	1-12	7-5-1	—	0-1	1-0-1	6-4	57
ART.	0-4	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	2-2	0-1	—	—	2-1	55
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	3-30	14-18-1	1-7	1-7	1-5	—	0-1	0-1	2-23	13-11-1	1-1	0-1	1-0-1	11-9	55
HOME	0-14	3-10-1	0-6	0-6	0-4	—	0-1	0-1	0-8	3-4-1	—	0-1	1-0-1	2-3	54
AWAY	1-11	7-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	1-10	7-4	—	—	—	7-4	55
NAT.	3-24	11-15-1	1-7	1-7	1-5	—	0-1	0-1	2-17	10-8-1	1-0	0-1	1-0-1	8-7	54
ART.	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	0-1	—	—	3-2	60
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	11-45	26-28-1	6-10	5-11	1-6	1-0	1-2	2-3	4-35	21-17-1	1-1	3-2	3-1-1	14-13	55
HOME	3-20	5-16-1	2-8	1-9	0-4	—	1-2	0-3	0-12	4-7-1	—	1-2	1-0-1	2-5	55
AWAY	5-17	14-8	2-2	2-2	0-2	—	—	2-0	3-15	12-6	—	1-0	1-1	10-5	56
NAT.	7-35	16-24-1	4-9	3-10	1-5	—	1-2	1-3	2-26	13-14-1	1-0	1-2	2-1-1	9-11	53
ART.	4-10	10-4	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	2-9	8-3	0-1	2-0	1-0	5-2	60
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	39-73	56-45-2	16-14	15-15	3-8	1-0	3-3	8-4	12-58	39-29-2	4-2-1	6-3	4-3-1	25-21	52
HOME	21-28	16-23-1	9-10	8-11	1-5	—	3-3	4-3	3-18	8-12-1	1-1	2-2	1-1-1	4-8	51
AWAY	13-31	29-15	4-3	4-3	1-2	—	—	3-1	8-28	24-12	1-0	3-1	2-2	18-9	54
NAT.	32-53	39-36-1	13-12	12-13	3-6	—	3-3	6-4	9-41	26-23-1	2-1	3-3	2-2-1	19-17	51
ART.	7-20	17-9-1	3-2	3-2	0-2	1-0	—	2-0	3-17	13-6-1	2-1-1	3-0	2-1	6-4	55

NEBRASKA CORNHUSHERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-7 6-2 1-4 5-5 1-2	vs.spr. 4-7-2 3-2-2 1-4 3-6-1 1-1-1	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-2 8-0 3-1 11-1 0-1	vs.spr. 7-5 4-4 3-1 7-5 0-1	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-0 3-2 10-2 5-7	vs.spr. 5-7 2-4 2-3 5-7 5-7	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 12-1 6-0 4-1 12-1 8-5	vs.spr. 8-5 4-2 2-3 8-5 8-5	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-4 6-1 2-2 9-3 0-1	vs.spr. 5-8 2-5 3-1 4-8 1-0
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Arizona St. †	48-10	-22	W	A	TCU	21-7	-29	L	A	San Jose St.	49-13	-49	L	A	Iowa	42-7	-28	W	N
Troy St. †	31-16	-32	L	A	Troy St.	42-14	-47	L	A	@ Notre Dame	27-24*	-12	L	N	California	45-0	-32	W	A
Utah St. †	44-13	-31	P	A	Notre Dame †	27-10	-13	W	A	Iowa	42-13	-42	L	A	So. Miss.	20-13	-27	L	A
@ Penn State †	7-40	-4	L	N	Rice †	48-3	-34	W	A	Missouri	42-24	-32	L	A	@ Missouri †	40-10	-17	W	N
@ Iowa St.	14-36	-1	L	N	@ Missouri	36-3	-23	W	N	@ Iowa St.	49-27	-15	W	N	Okla. St.	38-14	-27	L	A
McNeese St.	38-14	-	-	A	Iowa St. †	48-14	-23	W	A	@ Texas Tech †	56-3	-20	W	A	Iowa St. †	49-14	-30	W	A
Missouri	24-13	-9	W	A	@ Baylor	48-7	-33	W	N	Baylor	59-0	-45	W	A	@ Texas	20-24	-9	L	N
@ Okla. St.	21-24	-9	L	A	Texas Tech †	41-31	-27	L	A	@ Oklahoma	14-31	-1	L	N	@ Kansas †	24-17	-32	L	A
@ Texas A&M †	38-31	+6	W	N	Oklahoma	20-10	-4	W	A	Kansas	56-17	-30	W	A	Texas A&M	37-0	-14	W	A
Texas †	24-27	+3	P	A	@ Kansas †	51-7	-29	W	A	@ Kansas St. †	28-29	-4	L	A	Kansas St.	41-15	-9	W	A
Kansas	45-7	-36	W	A	Kansas St.	31-21	-13	L	A	Colorado	34-32	-27	L	A	@ Colorado	33-30*	-14	L	N
@ Kansas St.	13-49	+12	L	A	@ Colorado	36-62	-8	L	N	Alamo Bowl at San Antonio					Big-12 Championship at San Antonio				
Colorado	13-28	-1	L	A	Rose Bowl at Pasadena					§ N'western †	66-17	-14	W	A	§ Texas	22-6	-8	W	A
Independence Bowl at Shreveport					§ Miami-Fla. †	14-37	+8	L	N						Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ				
§ Mississippi	23-27	-7	L	A											§ Tennessee †	31-21	-4	W	N

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.	overall		str.up	vs.spr.
home	13-0	6-7		home	11-2	7-6		home	12-0	10-2		home	13-0	9-4		home	11-1	7-4	
away	6-0	3-3		away	6-0	4-2		away	7-0	5-2		away	6-0	4-2		away	7-0	5-1	
as fav	5-0	1-4		as fav	4-1	2-3		as fav	4-0	4-0		as fav	6-0	4-2		as fav	4-0	1-3	
as dog	12-0	5-7		as dog	11-2	7-6		as dog	12-0	10-2		as dog	12-0	8-4		as dog	10-0	6-4	
	1-0	1-0			—	—			—	—			1-0	1-0			0-1	1-0	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Akron	59-14	-58	L	A	Mich. St.	55-14	-29	W	A	Okla. St. †	64-21	-28	W	A	W. Virginia	31-0	-17	W	A
C. Florida	38-24	-43	L	A	Arizona St. †	0-19	-24	L	N	Mich. St.	50-10	-19	W	A	at E. Ruth.				
Wash.	27-14	+3	W	A	Colo. St.	65-9	-36	W	A	Arizona St.	77-28	-27	W	A	Texas Tech †	42-16	-24	W	A
Kansas St. †	56-26	-22	W	A	Kansas St.	39-3	-12	W	A	Pacific	49-7	-52	L	A	UCLA	49-21	-16	W	A
Baylor †	49-21	-39	L	A	Baylor	49-0	-38	W	A	Wash. St.	35-21	-29	L	A	Pacific	70-21	-50	L	A
Texas Tech	29-0	-36	L	A	Texas Tech	24-10	-22	L	A	Missouri	57-0	-42	W	A	Wyoming	42-32	-40	L	A
Kansas †	35-0	-37	L	A	Kansas †	63-7	-34	W	A	Kansas St.	49-25	-23	W	A	Okla. St.	32-3	-28	W	A
Oklahoma	69-7	-37	W	A	Oklahoma	73-21	-35	W	N	Colorado	44-21	-8	W	A	Kansas St.	17-6	-4	W	A
Missouri	45-38*	-28	L	N	Missouri	51-7	-50	L	A	Iowa St.	73-14	-42	W	A	Missouri	42-7	-25	W	A
Iowa St.	77-14	-44	W	A	Iowa St.	49-14	-40	L	N	Kansas	41-3	-25	W	A	Colorado	24-7	+1	W	A
Colorado	27-24	-21	L	A	Colorado	17-12	-18	L	A	Oklahoma	37-0	-33	W	A	Kansas	45-17	-21	W	A
Big 12 Championship at San Antonio					Big-12 Championship at St. Louis					Fiesta Bowl at Tempe					Orange Bowl at Miami				
§ Texas A&M	54-15	-18	W	A	§ Texas	27-37	-20	L	A	§ Florida †	62-24	-3	W	X	§ Iowa St.	28-12	-35	L	A
Orange Bowl at Miami					Orange Bowl at Miami					Orange Bowl					Orange Bowl at Miami				
§ Tennessee †	42-17	-13	W	N	§ Va. Tech †	41-21	-16	W	N					§ Oklahoma	13-3	-14	L	N	
														§ Miami-Fla. †	24-17	-1	W	N	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-7	4-7-2	5-5	3-6-1	0-2	0-2	1-1	2-1-1	1-2	1-1-1	0-0-1	1-0	—	0-1	51	
HOME	6-2	3-2-2	5-1	3-2-1	0-1	—	1-0	2-1-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	—	49	
AWAY	1-4	1-4	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	54	
NAT.	1-2	1-2	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	55	
ART.	6-5	3-5-2	5-3	3-4-1	0-1	0-1	1-1	2-1-1	0-2	0-1-1	0-0-1	—	—	0-1	50	
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-9	11-13-2	16-6	10-11-1	0-2	1-2	1-2	8-5-1	1-3	1-2-1	0-0-1	1-0	0-1	0-1	52	
HOME	14-2	7-6-2	13-1	7-6-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	5-5-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	—	48	
AWAY	4-5	4-5	3-4	3-4	0-1	0-1	0-2	3-0	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	58	
NAT.	3-4	3-4	2-3	2-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	2-0	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	58	
ART.	15-5	8-9-2	14-3	8-8-1	0-1	1-1	1-1	6-5-1	0-2	0-1-1	0-0-1	—	—	0-1	49	
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	28-11	16-20-2	26-8	15-18-1	0-3	1-3	1-2	13-10-1	1-3	1-2-1	0-0-1	1-0	0-1	0-1	55	
HOME	20-2	9-10-2	19-1	9-10-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	7-9-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	—	52	
AWAY	7-7	6-8	6-6	5-7	0-2	0-2	0-2	5-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	57	
NAT.	5-5	4-6	4-4	3-5	0-2	0-1	0-1	3-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	58	
ART.	23-6	12-14-2	22-4	12-13-1	0-1	1-2	1-1	10-9-1	0-2	0-1-1	0-0-1	—	—	0-1	54	
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	49-16	29-33-2	47-12	27-31-1	0-4	2-3	3-3	22-21-1	1-4	2-2-1	0-0-1	1-0	0-1	1-1	52	
HOME	32-3	15-17-2	31-2	15-17-1	0-1	1-0	2-0	12-16-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-0-1	—	—	—	50	
AWAY	13-10	11-12	12-8	9-11	0-2	0-2	0-3	9-4	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	54	
NAT.	12-8	9-11	11-7	8-10	0-3	1-1	0-2	7-4	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	52	
ART.	37-8	20-22-2	36-5	19-21-1	0-1	1-2	3-1	15-17-1	0-3	1-1-1	0-0-1	—	—	1-1	51	
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	109-19	58-56-2	104-14	63-54-1	2-4	4-3	5-4	52-43-1	3-5	5-2-1	1-0-1	2-0	0-1	2-1	54	
HOME	64-3	36-27-2	61-2	35-27-1	0-1	1-0	3-0	31-26-1	1-1	1-0-1	1-0-1	—	—	—	57	
AWAY	36-11	23-24	34-9	20-23	2-2	1-2	1-4	16-15	2-2	3-1	—	2-0	—	1-1	51	
NAT.	20-10	14-16	19-8	12-15	1-3	1-1	0-3	10-8	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	51	
ART.	88-9	53-40-2	84-6	50-39-1	1-1	2-2	5-1	42-35-1	2-3	3-1-1	1-0-1	1-0	—	1-1	55	

NEVADA WOLF PACK

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 4-2 1-4 4-0 1-7	vs.spr. 7-4-1 4-2 2-2-1 3-1 4-3-1
§ Wash St. at Seattle	7-31	+26	W A
BYU	31-28	+13	W A
Rice	31-21	- 7- W	A
Colo. St.	28-32	+13	W A
§ UNLV †	17-21	+ 1- L	N
§ Hawaii	34-59	+11	L A
San Jose St.	52-24	- 6 W	A
§ La. Tech	47-50	+ 5 W	N
§ SMU	24-6	- 6- W	N
UTEP	23-17	-27	L A
§ Fresno St.	30-38	+ 8 P	N
Boise St.	7-44	+18- L	A

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 1-0 2-8	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 1-0 5-5
§ BYU †	7-52	+25- L	N
§ Colo. St.	18-35	+30- W	N
Hawaii	28-20	+ 5 W	A
UNLV	12-27	+10- L	A
La. Tech	42-45	+ 9 W	A
§ Rice †	30-33*	+15- W	A
§ Boise St. †	7-49	+22	L A
SMU	35-14	+ 2 W	A
§ San Jose St.	45-64	+ 3- L	N
Fresno St.	14-61	+20	L A
§ UTEP †	48-31	- 2- W	A

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 1-4 1-6 — 2-10	vs.spr. 4-8 2-3 2-5 — 4-8
§ Oregon	7-36	+33	W A
TCU	10-41	+24	L A
§ Wyoming †	35-28	+14- W	N
Colo. St.	14-45	+20	L A
§ UNLV †	7-38	+21	L N
§ Fresno St. †	21-58	+25	L N
San Jose St.	30-49	+16	L A
§ SMU	7-21	+13- L	N
UTEP	22-45	+25- W	A
§ Hawaii †	17-37	+14- L	A
Rice	34-28	+10	W A
§ Tulsa	3-38	+12- L	A

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-4 1-4 2-5 1-3	vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 2-5 2-2
§ Oregon St.	13-28	- 1	L N
§ Colo. St.	33-38	+17- W	N
§ Oregon	10-72	+14	L A
Fresno St.	24-49	- 2	L N
UNLV	26-12	- 7- W	N
§ N. Mex. St. †	23-16	+ 3- W	N
§ Boise St.	17-52	- 1- L	A
N. Texas	41-28	-11	W N
Idaho	33-42	+ 3	L N
§ Arkansas St.	28-44	- 3- L	N
Utah St.	35-37	- 3	L N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 4-2 2-3 3-1 1-3	vs.spr. 4-5 2-2 2-3 3-1 2-2
§ Oregon St.	6-48	+ 8- L	A
Colo. St.	14-26	+17	W N
§ Fresno St. †	27-24	+16- W	N
§ UNLV †	31-20	-10	W A
N. Mex. St.	45-48	-12	L N
§ N. Texas †	21-27	P L	A
§ Idaho	58-23	- - A	
Boise St.	52-24	-12	W N
§ Utah St.	26-21	- 6- L	N
Cal P.-SLO	63-0	- - N	
So. Miss.	28-55	+ 9- L	N

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-3 2-3 5-1 0-5	vs.spr. 3-7-1 3-2-1 0-5 2-3-1 1-4
§ Colo. St.	13-45	+ 7	L N
UNLV	31-14	-17	P N
Oregon	20-24	+ 3	L N
§ So. Miss.	19-35	+14	L N
§ Toledo †	13-31	+ 1	L A
Wyoming	30-34	+ 8	W N
Idaho	42-23	- 5- W	N
N. Texas	65-10	-18- W	N
§ N. Mex. St.	45-24	-22- L	N
Boise St.	56-42	-15- L	A
Utah St.	19-38	- 4- L	N

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 5-0 3-3 2-0 0-2	vs.spr. 2-2 — 2-1 1-1 1-1
§ Oregon	30-44	+16- W	A
Montana St.	31-7	- - N	
§ California	15-33	+14	L N
Kent State	63-42	- - N	
§ UNLV	54-17	-15	W A
Boise St.	66-28	- - N	
§ Idaho	15-24	- - A	
§ N. Texas	40-13	- - A	
N. Mex. St.	63-14	- - N	
§ Utah St.	54-27	- - N	
Arkansas St.	66-14	- - N	

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 5-1 4-1 6-0 1-1	vs.spr. 6-2-1 3-1-1 3-0 4-1-1 2-0
La-Lafayette	38-14	-16- W	N
§ N. Mex. St. †	45-24	+10	W N
Toledo	35-49	- - N	
§ SDSU †	27-30	+12	W N
N. Texas	56-24	-12	W N
La-Monroe	59-35	-13	W N
§ La. Tech	49-45	- - N	
UNLV	55-32	-30	L N
§ Utah St.	30-25	- - N	
§ Pacific	45-29	-10- W	N
San Jose St.	45-28	-17	P N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-2 6-0 3-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —
N. Arizona	30-27	- - N	
Arkansas St.	18-0	- - N	
§ Boise St. †	27-37	- - A	
La-Monroe	34-22	- - N	
§ N. Illinois	35-31	- - A	
Pacific	38-26	- - N	
N. Mex. St.	45-24	- - N	
§ San Jose St. †	42-10	- - N	
§ Fresno St. †	62-35	- - N	
Utah St.	56-28	- - N	
§ UNLV	27-32	- - A	

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-2 3-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —
§ Wisconsin	17-35	- - A	
Boise St.	38-10	- - N	
Tex-Southern	63-14	- - N	
N. Illinois	42-46	- - N	
UNLV	49-14	- - N	
§ Utah St. †	48-44	- - N	
Weber St.	30-47	- - N	
§ Pacific	31-23	- - N	
San Jose St.	46-45	- - N	
§ N. Mex. St.	34-14	- - N	
§ Arkansas St.	21-23	- - N	

Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas	
§ Ball St.	18-15 - 6- L A

Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas	
§ Toledo	37-40* P L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	7-4-1	4-0	3-1	—	2-0	1-0	0-1	1-7	4-3-1	0-1	1-0	0-0-1	3-2	58		
HOME	4-2	4-2	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-2	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	56		
AWAY	1-4	2-2-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-4	1-2-1	0-1	1-0	0-0-1	0-1	65		
NAT.	1-3	2-1-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-3	1-1-1	0-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	58		
ART.	4-4	5-3	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-4	3-2	—	—	—	3-2	58		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-15	13-9-1	5-0	4-1	1-0	2-0	1-0	0-1	3-15	9-8-1	1-1	2-1	1-0-1	5-6	61		
HOME	6-5	7-4	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	3-5	5-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	2-3	57		
AWAY	2-9	5-5-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	0-9	3-5-1	0-1	1-1	0-0-1	2-3	67		
NAT.	1-6	3-3-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-6	2-3-1	0-1	1-1	0-0-1	1-1	64		
ART.	7-9	10-6	4-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	3-9	7-5	1-0	1-0	1-0	4-5	60		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	10-25	17-17-1	5-0	4-1	1-0	2-0	1-0	0-1	5-25	13-16-1	1-1	2-1	2-0-1	8-14	59		
HOME	7-9	9-7	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	4-9	7-6	1-0	1-0	2-0	3-6	59		
AWAY	3-15	7-10-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-15	5-10-1	0-1	1-1	0-0-1	4-8	61		
NAT.	2-9	4-6-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	1-9	3-6-1	0-1	1-1	0-0-1	2-4	60		
ART.	8-16	13-11	4-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	4-16	10-10	1-0	1-0	2-0	6-10	59		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	19-38	25-29-1	10-6	8-8	1-4	2-2	3-0	2-2	7-31	17-20-1	1-2	3-1	2-2-1	11-15	61		
HOME	11-16	13-13	6-4	5-5	0-3	1-0	2-0	2-2	4-12	8-8	1-1	1-0	2-1	4-6	62		
AWAY	8-21	11-16-1	4-2	3-3	1-1	1-2	1-0	—	3-18	8-12-1	0-1	2-1	0-1-1	6-9	60		
NAT.	9-18	11-14-1	5-5	4-6	0-3	1-2	1-0	2-1	3-13	7-8-1	0-2	2-1	0-1-1	5-4	61		
ART.	10-20	14-15	5-1	4-2	1-1	1-0	2-0	0-1	4-18	10-12	1-0	1-0	2-1	6-11	60		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	58-56	36-40-3	23-7	15-13-2	1-4	3-4	3-0	8-5-2	8-39	21-25-1	1-4	3-2	4-2-1	13-17	63		
HOME	34-22	19-16-2	14-5	10-7-2	0-3	2-1	2-0	6-3-2	4-14	9-9	1-2	1-0	3-1	4-6	65		
AWAY	23-32	16-22-1	8-2	5-5	1-1	1-2	1-0	2-2	4-24	11-16-1	0-2	2-2	1-1-1	8-11	62		
NAT.	43-29	20-21-3	15-6	10-9-2	0-3	2-3	1-0	7-3-2	4-19	10-12-1	0-3	2-2	2-1-1	6-6	65		
ART.	15-27	16-19	8-1	5-4	1-1	1-1	2-0	1-2	4-20	11-13	1-1	1-0	2-1	7-11	60		

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	
	home	7-7	7-6		home	6-5	6-5		home	5-7	7-4		home	4-7	5-5		home	3-9	2-9	
	away	5-1	4-1		away	4-2	5-1		away	3-3	3-2		away	3-3	2-3		away	2-4	1-4	
	as fav	2-5	3-4		as fav	2-3	1-4		as fav	2-4	4-2		as fav	1-4	3-2		as fav	1-5	1-5	
	as dog	3-2	3-2		as dog	6-2	5-3		as dog	0-1	0-1		as dog	1-2	1-2		as dog	2-3	1-4	
		as dog	3-5	4-4		as dog	0-3	1-2		as dog	3-6	6-3		as dog	2-5	4-3		as dog	0-6	1-5
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
@ N.C. State	14-34	+13-	L	N	UTEP †	26-6	- 2	W	N	@ Texas Tech †	3-24	+17	L	A	@ UTEP †	10-13	+ 4-	W	A	
Weber St. †	38-24	-	-	N	@ Texas Tech †	30-42	+11-	L	A	Boise St. †	14-31	+ 1	L	N	N. Mex. St. †	28-35	- 6-	L	N	
@ Air Force	31-38*	+ 6	L	N	@ Baylor †	13-16*	- 4	L	N	Oregon St. †	20-28	+ 8-	W	N	N. Arizona	45-14	-	-	N	
Baylor †	23-0	- 9-	W	N	@ Utah	16-37	+ 7-	L	N	@ N. Mex. St. †	16-13	+ 4	W	N	@ Boise St. †	9-20	- 3	L	A	
@ N. Mex. St. †	13-24	- 6	L	N	@ Wyoming	30-29	- 3-	L	N	N. Arizona †	35-28	-	-	N	@ SDSU †	24-21	+15	W	N	
Texas Tech †	0-49	+ 8	L	N	BYU	20-24	+14	W	N	Wyoming †	45-10	+ 3-	W	N	BYU †	7-31	+16-	L	N	
@ UNLV	25-16	+ 8	W	N	Air Force	52-33	- 2	W	N	@ Colo. St.	14-17	+19	W	N	UNLV †	27-6	- 8	W	N	
@ Utah St.	44-45*	- 3	L	N	@ SDSU †	20-15	- 2	W	N	@ Air Force	29-23	+11-	W	N	@ Colo. St.	22-36	+ 7-	L	N	
Utah	42-35*	+ 6-	W	N	UNLV †	27-17	- 5	W	N	Utah	10-3	P	W	N	@ Utah	7-52	+12-	L	N	
SDSU	15-8	- 5-	W	N	Colo. St.	17-24	- 2	L	N	SDSU	16-17	- 5-	L	N	@ Wyoming	28-42	+17	W	N	
@ BYU	20-16	+ 4	W	N	N. Mex. St. †	53-0	-10-	W	N	@ UNLV	14-18	+ 9	W	N	Air Force	33-28	+10-	W	N	
@ Colo. St.	14-22	+16-	W	N					@ BYU	13-37	+ 6-	L	N							
Wyoming	49-20	- 8	W	N																
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas																				
§ UCLA	13-27	+10	L	N																

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	9-4	10-3		home	6-5	7-3		home	4-7	5-6		home	5-7	5-6-1		home	6-5	6-5
	away	5-1	5-1		away	4-2	4-1		away	3-4	3-4		away	2-3	1-4		away	4-2	4-2
	as fav	4-1	4-1		as fav	2-3	3-2		as fav	1-3	2-2		as fav	3-4	4-2-1		as fav	2-3	2-3
	as dog	7-1	7-1		as dog	4-2	4-2		as dog	3-2	2-3		as dog	2-2	1-3		as dog	3-2	1-4
		1-3	2-2			1-3	3-1			1-5	3-3			3-5	4-3-1			2-3	4-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
N. Arizona †	33-10	- 8	W N	@ N. Mex. St †	28-7	- 9- W N		N. Arizona †	45-21	-18 W N		@ Texas Tech	31-37	+ 4- L A		BYU †	31-34	+16- W N	
N. Mex. St †	61-24	-22 W N		N. Arizona †	49-33	-13 W N		Utah †	9-36	- 4- L N		TCU †	29-44	- 5- L N		@ TCU †	34-35	- 1- L A	
@ UTEP †	38-20	-16- W A		C. Florida †	17-7	- - N		N. Mex. St †	36-24	-16- L N		@ SMU	31-34	+ 3 P A		Fresno St. †	24-41	+ 8- L N	
@ Utah St. †	25-22	P W N		@ BYU	14-17	+13 W N		Air Force †	24-27	+ 5- W N		@ BYU	47-49	+18 W N		N. Mex. St †	42-7	-12- W N	
SMU †	22-15	- 6 W N		TCU †	27-7	- 4 W N		@ Fresno St. †	34-51	+12 L N		@ Colo. St. †	31-38	+ 4- L N		Hawaii †	41-14	+ 7- W N	
@ SDSU †	36-21	+ 4 W N		@ Rice †	21-38	- 5- L A		Hawaii †	24-10	- 6- W N		@ Hawaii †	38-21	+ 5 W A		@ Utah †	42-35	+ 1 W N	
Rice †	23-35	- 1 L N		SDSU †	42-48	+ 6- W N		@ Colo. St.	22-14	+12 W N		SDSU †	13-20	- 7- L N		@ SDSU †	17-20	+ 9 W N	
@ Utah	10-15	+ 2- L N		@ SMU †	31-52	- 1- L N		Texas Tech	7-34	+ 7- L N		@ N. Mex. St †	56-31	-17 W N		@ Colo. St.	20-21	- 2 L N	
@ TCU	40-10	- 8 W N		@ Tulsa	34-23	+ 1- W A		@ SDSU	29-38	+11- W N		@ Fresno St. †	49-32	+ 4- W N		Idaho St.	39-13	-31 L N	
BYU	38-28	- 3- W N		Utah †	24-31	+ 1 L N		BYU	14-31	+ 5 L N		Utah	23-21	+ 7 W N		Wyoming	10-7	P W N	
Tulsa	51-13	-14- W N		UTEP	44-17	-20 W N		@ UTEP †	12-17	-13- L A		@ Wyoming	28-38	+ 1 L N		@ UTEP	35-29	-13 L A	
WAC Championship at Las Vegas																			
§ Colo. St.	13-41	+ 9- L A																	
Insight.com Bowl at Tucson																			
§ Arizona †	14-20	+ 9 W N																	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10	ALL		-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-7	7-6	3-2	3-2	0-1	1-1	2-0	—	3-5	4-4	—	2-1	1-2	1-1	49		
HOME	5-1	4-1	3-0	3-0	—	1-0	2-0	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	50		
AWAY	2-5	3-4	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	2-3	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	50		
NAT.	7-7	7-6	3-2	3-2	0-1	1-1	2-0	—	3-5	4-4	—	2-1	1-2	1-1	49		
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-12	13-11	9-4	8-5	3-2	2-3	2-0	1-0	3-8	5-6	—	2-1	1-3	2-2	49		
HOME	9-3	9-2	7-1	7-1	2-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	50		
AWAY	4-8	4-8	2-3	1-4	1-1	0-3	—	—	2-5	3-4	—	1-1	1-1	1-2	50		
NAT.	13-11	13-10	9-4	8-5	3-2	2-3	2-0	1-0	3-7	5-5	—	2-1	1-3	2-1	48		
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	72		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-19	20-15	9-5	8-6	3-2	2-4	2-0	1-0	6-14	11-9	0-1	4-2	3-3	4-3	46		
HOME	12-6	12-4	7-2	7-2	2-1	2-1	2-0	1-0	2-4	4-2	0-1	2-0	1-1	1-0	47		
AWAY	6-12	8-10	2-3	1-4	1-1	0-3	—	—	4-9	7-6	—	2-2	2-1	3-3	45		
NAT.	18-17	20-13	9-5	8-6	3-2	2-4	2-0	1-0	6-12	11-7	0-1	4-2	3-3	4-1	46		
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	49		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	25-35	27-29	12-10	10-12	3-3	3-7	3-0	1-2	8-25	16-17	0-1	6-3	3-4	7-9	48		
HOME	17-13	15-11	9-4	8-5	2-1	2-3	3-0	1-1	3-9	6-6	0-1	3-1	1-2	2-2	49		
AWAY	8-21	12-17	3-6	2-7	1-2	1-4	—	0-1	5-15	10-10	—	3-2	2-1	5-7	48		
NAT.	24-31	25-26	11-9	9-11	3-2	2-7	3-0	1-2	8-22	15-15	0-1	5-3	3-4	7-7	49		
ART.	1-4	2-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	0-3	1-2	—	1-0	—	0-2	40		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	55-63	60-52-1	31-19	25-25	3-7	7-10	6-1	9-7	16-44	32-27-1	2-4-1	12-6	6-7	12-10	52		
HOME	35-25	32-23	23-8	19-12	2-2	6-5	4-1	7-4	5-17	11-11	0-2	6-3	2-4	3-2	51		
AWAY	20-35	27-27-1	8-11	6-13	1-5	1-5	2-0	2-3	11-24	20-14-1	2-2-1	6-3	3-1	9-8	53		
NAT.	50-53	55-43	28-15	23-20	3-5	6-9	6-1	8-5	14-38	29-23	1-4	10-5	6-6	12-8	52		
ART.	5-10	5-9-1	3-4	2-5	0-2	1-1	—	1-2	2-6	3-4-1	1-0-1	2-1	0-1	0-2	52		

NEW MEXICO STATE AGGIES


KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-5 5-0 2-5 1-6 1-4	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-7 2-2 3-5 4-1 1-6	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 2-3 1-5 3-3 0-5	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-5 3-1 3-4 1-2 4-3	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 2-3 1-5 0-2 3-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
@ So. Carolina †	24-34	+23- W N	@ Louisville †	24-45	+20- L N	@ So. Carolina †	0-31	+9- L N	NM-Highlands	73-7	- - N	@ Texas †	36-66	+36- W N
@ California	13-34	+13- L N	@ Texas †	7-41	+35- W N	New Mexico †	13-16	- 4 L N	@ New Mexico †	35-28	+ 6- W N	@ Ga. Tech †	7-42	+33- L N
New Mexico †	24-13	+ 6 W N	Oregon St. †	22-27	+16 W N	@ Georgia	0-37	+30- L N	@ Arizona St. †	35-7	+25 W N	New Mexico †	28-27	+18 W N
@ Georgia	10-41	+27 L N	@ Kansas St.	0-64	+29 L A	@ UTEP †	31-41	+11 W A	@ UTEP †	23-54	- 3 L A	UTEP †	33-24	+ 7 W N
UTEP †	49-14	-17 W N	@ La-Monroe †	31-0	-10- W N	Army †	42-23	- 4- W N	@ Colo. St.	7-46	+15 L N	@ Arkansas St.	31-34	+ 6 W N
La-Lafayette †	31-28	-13 L N	@ Tulsa	24-7	+ 4- W A	Tulsa †	42-28	- 8 W N	Nevada †	16-23	- 3- L N	@ Nevada	48-45	+12 W N
La-Monroe	34-21	-18 L N	Idaho †	46-39	-14 L N	@ Arkansas St.	35-29	- 3- W N	@ Army	18-35	+ 6- L A	Colo. St. †	28-47	+17 L N
@ Arkansas St. †	26-21	- 6- L N	@ Mid. Tenn.	35-39	+ 9 W A	Boise St. †	31-34	+ 8- W N	Idaho †	42-14	+ 5- W N	Utah St.	26-29	- 4- L N
Mid. Tenn.	24-21	- 7- L N	N. Texas	20-22	- 8- L N	Utah St. †	37-44	- 6- L N	@ Utah St.	14-6	+ 2- W N	Boise St. †	51-55	- 4 L N
@ Utah St.	30-32	- 2- L N	Arkansas St.	28-17	-20 L N	@ Idaho †	41-44	+ 7 W A	@ Boise St.	26-45	+ 7- L A	@ Idaho	32-36	+12- W A
@ N. Texas	27-38	+ 6- L A	@ La-Lafayette	49-46	- 7- L N	at Moscow, ID			N. Texas	22-9	-12 W N	@ N. Texas	11-19	+ 2- L A
@ Idaho	35-31	- 5 L A	@ New Mexico †	0-53	+10- L N	@ N. Texas	23-30	-10- L A						

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 2-9 2-4 0-5 1-0 0-8	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 1-10 1-4 0-6 0-1 0-7	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-3 1-4	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 1-3 2-5 2-2 2-3	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-6 2-2 3-4 1-3 1-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
@ Arizona St. †	10-41	+41 W N	New Mexico †	7-28	+ 9- L N	UTEP †	45-17	- 1 W N	@ Florida †	21-70	+44 L N	@ Kansas St.	10-34	+21 L A
@ New Mexico †	24-61	+22 L N	@ Texas †	7-41	+39- W N	Nevada †	24-45	-10 L N	@ Arizona †	0-44	+37 L N	@ Arkansas St. †	22-19	- - N
CS Northrdg. †	28-18	- - N	UTEP †	7-14	+ 7 P A	@ Georgia	13-40	+32- W N	@ UTEP †	23-22	+ 3- W A	UTEP †	31-14	+ 4- W N
@ UTEP †	16-24	+ 2- L A	CS Northrdg. †	0-33	- - N	@ New Mexico †	24-36	+16- W N	Arkansas St. †	24-17	- - N	@ New Mexico †	7-42	+12- L N
Cal P.-SLO †	35-38	- - N	@ LSU †	7-63	+38 L N	@ Iowa	21-59	+27- L N	UNLV †	27-31	- - N	N. Illinois	24-17	- - N
@ Boise St. †	10-52	+ 3 L A	Utah St. †	21-53	+12 L N	La. Tech †	48-13	P W N	@ N. Illinois	27-48	- - A	@ San Jose St.	13-52	- - N
@ Utah St. †	7-38	+26 L N	@ N. Texas	0-13	+ 9- L A	@ La-Lafayette †	26-43	+ 8 L N	@ Nevada	24-45	- - N	@ Pacific †	27-23	- - N
Arkansas St. †	34-20	- 5 W N	S. Utah †	52-21	- - N	Utah St. †	14-27	- 4- L N	New Mexico †	31-56	+17 L N	@ UNLV †	52-40	- - A
Nevada	24-45	+22- W N	@ Nevada	14-63	- - N	@ Pacific	39-37	+ 2- W N	@ San Jose St. †	24-21	+ 1 W N	@ Auburn	14-55	+30- L N
N. Texas	15-26	+ 4 L N	@ Idaho	19-34	+22 W A	San Jose St.	37-38	- 5 L N	Pacific †	14-21	- - N	Nevada	14-34	- - N
Idaho	18-35	+11 L N	Boise St.	32-33	- 3 L N	@ UNLV	58-34	-11 W A	@ Utah St.	20-47	- - N	Utah St.	17-20	- - N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-5	3-9	6-1	1-6	0-1	0-2	0-1	1-2	1-4	2-3	—	1-1	—	1-2	54		
HOME	5-0	2-3	4-0	1-3	—	—	0-1	1-2	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	51		
AWAY	2-5	1-6	2-1	0-3	0-1	0-2	—	—	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	—	1-2	56		
NAT.	6-4	3-7	5-1	1-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-2	1-3	2-2	—	1-0	—	1-2	52		
ART.	1-1	0-2	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	65		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-12	8-16	10-2	2-10	0-1	0-2	0-3	2-4	2-10	6-6	—	2-1	1-0	3-5	55		
HOME	7-2	3-6	6-1	1-6	—	—	0-2	1-4	1-1	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	53		
AWAY	5-10	5-10	4-1	1-4	0-1	0-2	0-1	1-0	1-9	4-6	—	1-1	1-0	2-5	57		
NAT.	10-9	6-13	9-2	2-9	0-1	0-1	0-3	2-4	1-7	4-4	—	1-0	—	3-4	54		
ART.	2-3	2-3	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-3	2-2	—	1-1	1-0	0-1	60		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	15-20	14-21	13-5	5-13	0-1	2-4	1-3	2-5	2-15	9-8	—	3-1	2-1	4-6	56		
HOME	9-5	6-8	8-3	3-8	—	1-2	1-2	1-4	1-2	3-0	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	56		
AWAY	6-15	8-13	5-2	2-5	0-1	1-2	0-1	1-1	1-13	6-8	—	2-1	1-1	3-6	57		
NAT.	13-14	10-17	12-4	5-11	0-1	2-3	1-3	2-4	1-10	5-6	—	1-0	1-1	3-5	54		
ART.	2-6	4-4	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-5	4-2	—	2-1	1-0	1-1	63		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	24-33	25-31	14-9	6-17	0-2	2-7	1-3	3-5	9-24	19-14	1-1	7-2	2-2	9-9	58		
HOME	14-9	10-12	9-6	4-11	—	1-5	1-2	2-4	4-3	6-1	—	3-0	1-0	2-1	58		
AWAY	10-24	15-19	5-3	2-6	0-2	1-2	0-1	1-1	5-21	13-13	1-1	4-2	1-2	7-8	58		
NAT.	22-22	20-23	13-7	6-14	0-1	2-6	1-3	3-4	8-15	14-9	1-0	5-0	1-1	7-8	57		
ART.	2-11	5-8	1-2	0-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	1-9	5-5	0-1	2-2	1-1	2-1	62		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	39-73	39-53-1	17-13	9-21	1-3	3-9	1-4	4-5	13-49	29-32-1	3-3	9-3-1	2-5	15-21	57		
HOME	22-25	15-21	11-10	6-15	1-1	2-7	1-3	2-4	5-9	8-6	—	4-1	1-1	3-4	56		
AWAY	17-48	24-32-1	6-3	3-6	0-2	1-2	0-1	2-1	8-40	21-26-1	3-3	5-2-1	1-4	12-17	58		
NAT.	34-55	31-41	15-11	8-18	1-2	3-8	1-4	3-4	11-34	22-23	3-0	6-1	1-3	12-19	57		
ART.	5-18	8-12-1	2-2	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-15	7-9-1	0-3	3-2-1	1-2	3-2	58		

Jim Feist's
PROLINE
Radio.com



World Champion
Handicapper

Jim Feist

The Team behind PROLINE brings you ProlineRadio.com an online radio broadcast providing an extensive look into the week of sports from a bettor's point-of-view.



Dave Cokin • Scott Spreitzer • Glenn McGrew • Chip Chirimbas • Mike Lee

Join Jim Feist's panel of experts online weekdays for lively discussions, predictions and more on upcoming College and Pro contests

**Daily Online
Radio Broadcast**



PROLINE FREE PICK PHONE

Free winner on a recorded
message updated daily by
a PROLINE PANELIST

Toll-Free 1.888.307.3500

WWW.

ProlineRadio.com

NORTH CAROLINA TAR HEELS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 3-9 4-8 home 0-6 0-6 away 3-3 4-2 as fav 0-1 0-1 as dog 3-8 4-7	overall 5-5 6-7 home 5-1 2-4 away 2-4 3-3 as fav 5-2 2-5 as dog 3-3 4-2	overall 6-5 6-5 home 3-3 3-3 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 5-2 4-3 as dog 1-3 2-2	overall 3-8 4-6 home 1-5 1-4 away 1-3 2-2 as fav 0-2 0-2 as dog 3-5 4-4	overall 7-5 5-5 home 3-2 1-3 away 2-3 3-2 as fav 6-3 3-4 as dog 1-2 2-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Miami-OH † 21-27 - 6 L N Syracuse † 30-22 + 5 W A Texas † 21-52 +13 L N Ga. Tech 13-21 + 2 L N Arizona St. † 38-35 + 9 W N N.C. State 17-34 + 6 L N Virginia 27-37 + 4 L N Wk. Forest 0-31 + 6 L N Maryland 7-59 +14 L N Clemson 12-42 +10 L N Florida St. 14-40 +31 W N Duke 23-21 + 1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Oklahoma † 27-41 +20 W N Maryland 7-23 - 2 L N Texas 14-44 +22 L N Florida St. 41-9 +17 W N N.C. State 17-9 + 1 W N E. Carolina 24-21 - 6 L N Virginia 30-24 - 9 L N Clemson 38-3 + 5 W N Ga. Tech † 21-28 + 3 L N Wk. Forest 31-32 -13 L N Duke 52-17 -27 W N SMU 19-10 -24 L N Peach Bowl at Atlanta § Auburn † 16-10 - 2 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Tulsa † 30-9 -18 W N Wk. Forest † 35-14 -12 W N Florida St. 14-63 +24 L N Marshall † 20-15 - 3 W N Ga. Tech 28-42 - 3 L N N.C. State 20-38 - 1 L N Clemson † 24-38 +19 W N Virginia 6-17 + 4 L N Pittsburgh † 20-17 + 7 W A Maryland 13-10 - 7 L N Duke 59-21 -12 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Virginia 17-20 - 2 L N Indiana † 42-30 + 2 W N Florida St. 10-42 +20 L N Clemson 20-31 + 5 L N Ga. Tech 24-31* +17 W N Houston 12-20 - 6 L N Maryland 7-45 + 9 L N Furman 3-28 - - N Wk. Forest 3-19 + 7 L N § N.C. State † at Charlotte 10-6 +12 W N Duke 38-0 + 3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Miami-OH † 10-13 -19 L N Stanford 34-37 - 8 L N Ga. Tech † 21-43 - 4 L N Clemson 21-14 - 7 P N Pittsburgh 29-10 - 7 W N Wk. Forest 38-31 - 4 W N Florida St. † 13-39 +27 W N Maryland 24-13 -15 L N Virginia 13-30 +10 L N Duke 28-6 - 6 W N § N.C. State at Charlotte 37-34* + 2 W N Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas § SDSU 20-13 - 7 P A
overall 11-1 6-6 home 5-1 2-4 away 5-0 3-2 as fav 11-0 6-5 as dog 0-1 0-1	overall 10-2 9-3 home 5-0 4-1 away 4-2 4-2 as fav 9-1 7-3 as dog 1-1 2-0	overall 7-5 6-6 home 4-2 4-2 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 6-3 4-5 as dog 1-2 2-1	overall 8-4 5-7 home 5-1 3-3 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 4-1 4-5 as dog 0-2 1-1	overall 10-3 7-6 home 6-1 3-4 away 3-1 3-1 as fav 8-1 5-4 as dog 1-2 1-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Indiana 23-6 -30 L N Stanford † 28-17 -13 L N Maryland 40-14 -23 W N Virginia 48-20 -14 W N TCU † 31-10 -26 L N Wk. Forest 30-12 -24 L N N.C. State 20-7 -12 W N Ga. Tech † 16-13 - 8 L N Florida St. † 3-20 + 7 L N Clemson 17-10 - 6 W N Duke 50-14 -21 W N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Va. Tech 42-3 -11 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Clemson 45-0 - 3 W N Syracuse † 27-10 + 2 W A Ga. Tech 16-0 -10 W N Florida St. 0-13 +16 W N Wk. Forest † 45-6 -23 W N Maryland † 38-7 -26 W N Houston † 42-14 -22 W A N.C. State 52-20 -26 W N Louisville 28-10 -22 L N Virginia 17-20 - 2 L N Duke 27-10 -31 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § W. Virginia 20-13 - 6 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Syracuse † 9-20 - 8 L N Maryland 18-32 - 8 L N Louisville † 17-10 - 5 W A Ohio U. 62-0 -34 W N Virginia 22-17 + 3 W N Ga. Tech 25-27 - 2 L N Wk. Forest 31-7 -23 W N Clemson 10-17 + 2 L N Florida St. 12-28 +19 W N Duke 28-24 -15 L N N.C. State 30-28 - 6 L N Carquest Bowl at Miami § Arkansas † 20-10 - 1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf TCU † 27-17 -18 L N Tulane 49-0 -24 W N Florida St. † 18-31 +22 W N SMU 28-24 -18 L A Ga. Tech 31-24 -13 L N Maryland 41-17 -14 W N Virginia 10-34 + 3 L A N.C. State 31-17 - 5 W N Clemson 17-28 -12 L N Wk. Forest 50-0 -23 W N Duke 41-40 - 3 L N Sun Bowl at El Paso § Texas 31-35 P L A	opponent score vs. spread surf § USC † at Anaheim 31-9 + 8 W N Ohio U. † 44-3 -31 W N Maryland 59-42 -16 W N Florida St. † 7-33 +17 L N N.C. State 35-14 P W N UTEP 45-39 -38 L N Wk. Forest 45-35 -22 L N Ga. Tech 41-3 - 4 W A Virginia 10-17 + 2 L A Clemson † 24-0 -13 W N Tulane † 42-10 -25 W A Duke 38-24 -23 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Alabama † 10-24 - 3 L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	+ to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			ALL	+ to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	4-8	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	3-8	4-7	1-1	1-3	1-0	1-3	53		
HOME	0-6	0-6	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-5	0-5	0-1	0-1	—	0-3	54		
AWAY	3-3	4-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-3	4-2	1-0	1-2	1-0	1-0	53		
NAT.	2-9	3-8	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-8	3-7	1-1	0-3	1-0	1-3	53		
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	52		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-14	10-15	5-3	2-6	1-1	0-2	0-1	1-2	6-11	8-9	2-2	2-3	1-0	3-4	50		
HOME	5-7	2-10	4-2	1-5	—	0-2	0-1	1-2	1-5	1-5	0-1	0-1	—	1-3	53		
AWAY	5-7	7-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	5-6	7-4	2-1	2-2	1-0	2-1	49		
NAT.	9-14	8-15	4-3	1-6	0-1	0-2	0-1	1-2	5-11	7-9	2-2	1-3	1-0	3-4	51		
ART.	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	39		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	17-19	16-20	10-5	6-9	2-3	0-3	0-1	4-2	7-14	10-11	2-2	3-4	1-0	4-5	50		
HOME	8-10	5-13	7-4	3-8	1-2	0-3	0-1	2-2	1-6	2-5	0-1	0-1	—	2-3	51		
AWAY	8-9	10-7	2-1	2-1	0-1	—	—	2-0	6-8	8-6	2-1	3-3	1-0	2-2	50		
NAT.	14-19	13-20	9-5	5-9	1-3	0-3	0-1	4-2	5-14	8-11	2-2	1-4	1-0	4-5	51		
ART.	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	38		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	27-32	25-31-2	16-10	9-15-2	2-4	3-5-2	0-2	4-4	11-21	16-16	5-2	3-5	1-3	7-6	48		
HOME	12-17	7-20-1	10-8	4-13-1	1-3	1-5-1	0-1	2-4	2-8	3-7	1-1	0-1	0-1	2-4	45		
AWAY	11-15	15-11	4-2	4-2	0-1	2-0	0-1	2-0	7-13	11-9	3-1	3-4	1-2	4-2	52		
NAT.	23-32	22-31-1	14-10	8-15-1	1-4	3-5-1	0-2	4-4	9-21	14-16	5-2	1-5	1-3	7-6	48		
ART.	4-0	3-0-1	2-0	1-0-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	—	2-0	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	37		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	73-47	58-59-2	58-16	35-37-2	3-7	9-7-2	0-5	23-18	14-29	22-21	6-5	4-6	2-3	10-7	46		
HOME	37-22	23-34-1	34-10	18-25-1	1-3	3-5-1	0-2	14-15	3-11	5-9	1-1	1-2	0-1	3-5	47		
AWAY	28-23	28-23	19-5	13-11	0-4	5-1	0-3	8-3	8-18	14-12	4-4	3-4	1-2	6-2	47		
NAT.	63-44	50-55-1	51-16	30-36-1	2-7	7-7-1	0-5	21-17	11-27	19-19	5-3	2-6	2-3	10-7	47		
ART.	10-3	8-4-1	7-0	5-1-1	1-0	2-0-1	—	2-1	3-2	3-2	1-2	2-0	—	—	42		

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	11-3	9-3		home	7-5	5-7		home	8-4	7-5		home	6-6	5-6		home	7-5	5-7
	away	6-1	3-2		away	3-3	2-4		away	5-1	3-3		away	4-1	3-1		away	5-1	2-4
	as fav	4-2	5-1		as fav	4-1	3-2		as fav	2-3	3-2		as fav	2-4	2-4		as fav	2-2	3-1
	as dog	7-2	6-3		as dog	6-1	3-4		as dog	5-2	3-4		as dog	1-2	1-2		as dog	5-3	2-6
		2-1	3-0			1-3	2-2			3-2	4-1			4-3	4-3			2-2	3-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
New Mexico	34-14	-13	W	N	Indiana †	35-14	-6	W	N	Arkansas St. †	38-31*	-15	L	N	† Texas †	23-20	+9	W	N
E. Tenn. St. †	34-0	—	-	N	SMU †	26-17	-15	L	N	Indiana †	41-38	+7	W	N	So. Carolina †	10-0	-7	W	N
† Navy	65-19	-16	W	N	No. Carolina	9-17	-1	L	N	SMU †	41-0	-9	W	N	W. & Mary	38-9	—	-	N
Wk. Forest †	32-13	-13	W	N	† Wk. Forest †	17-14	-3	L	N	Ga. Tech †	30-23*	-2	W	N	† Florida St.	11-42	+28	L	N
† Texas Tech	51-48*	+1	W	A	Clemson	37-45	+2	L	N	† Clemson	27-34	+21	W	N	† Wk. Forest	7-31	-7	L	N
Mass.	56-24	—	-	N	† Ga. Tech	17-27	+12	W	N	† No. Carolina	38-20	+1	W	N	Clemson †	35-31	+1	W	N
† No. Carolina	34-17	-6	W	N	Virginia	24-0	-7	W	N	Florida St.	14-58	+18	L	N	Virginia	26-47	P	L	N
Duke	24-22	-23	L	N	† Duke	55-31	-17	W	N	† Maryland	28-35*	-5	L	N	† Duke	31-24*	+1	W	N
† Clemson †	38-6	-1	W	N	† Florida St.	34-28	+18	W	N	Duke	35-31	-19	L	N	† Ga. Tech	21-48	+17	L	N
Ga. Tech	17-24	-12	L	N	Maryland †	19-23	+3	L	N	† Virginia	17-24	-1	L	N	Maryland	30-17	+1	W	N
† Maryland	21-24	+6	W	N	Ohio U.	27-7	-24	L	N	Wk. Forest	32-14	-14	W	N	§ No. Carolina †	6-10	-12	L	N
† Virginia	9-14	-6	L	N	Tangerine Bowl at Orlando					Microton Pc Bowl at Miami				† E. Carolina	6-23	+8	L	N	
Florida St.	17-7	+6	W	N	§ Pittsburgh †	19-34	P	L	N	§ Minnesota †	38-30	+4	W	N					
Gator Bowl at Jacksonville																			
§ Notre Dame	28-6	-1	W	N															

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	6-5	5-5-1		home	3-5	4-7		home	3-8	4-5-1		home	9-3	4-7		home	7-5	5-6
	away	4-2	2-3-1		away	2-3	3-2		away	1-5	2-3		away	5-1	1-4		away	5-1	3-2
	as fav	4-2	2-3-1		as fav	1-4	1-4		as fav	2-2	2-2		as fav	3-2	2-3		as fav	2-3	2-3
	as dog	2-3	3-2		as dog	2-0	2-0		as dog	2-2	2-2		as dog	1-5	1-5		as dog	4-2	3-3
		2-3	3-2			1-8	2-7			0-6	2-3-1			3-2	3-2			2-2	2-2
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
† Syracuse	32-31*	+24	W	A	Ga. Tech	16-28	+1	L	N	Marshall †	33-16	—	-	N	Bowl. Green †	20-15	-13	L	N
† Duke †	45-14	-7	W	N	Florida St. †	17-51	+28	L	N	Virginia	24-29	+6	W	N	† Clemson	29-12	+7	W	N
Clemson	17-19	-2	L	N	† Purdue	21-42	+4	L	N	† Florida St.	17-77	+27	L	N	W. Carolina †	38-13	—	-	N
N. Illinois †	41-14	-35	L	N	† Maryland	34-8	+9	W	N	Baylor	0-14	-1	L	N	Ga. Tech	21-13	-10	L	N
† Wk. Forest †	18-19	-6	L	N	Alabama	19-24	+11	W	N	Clemson	22-43	+3	L	N	† Louisville	14-35	-2	L	A
† Ga. Tech	17-27	+5	L	N	† Virginia	14-62	+19	L	N	† Alabama	11-27	+14	L	N	Wk. Forest	34-3	-25	W	N
No. Carolina	7-20	+12	L	N	† No. Carolina	20-52	+26	L	N	† Duke	41-38	-1	W	N	† No. Carolina	17-31	+5	L	N
† Florida St.	35-48	+29	W	N	Duke	44-22	-10	W	N	Maryland	13-30	-5	L	N	† Maryland †	47-45	-2	L	N
Maryland	45-28	-17	P	N	† Clemson	17-40	+9	L	N	† Ga. Tech	19-27	+8	P	N	Duke	24-23	-3	L	N
Virginia	31-24	+2	W	N	Wk. Forest	37-22	-14	W	N	† Wk. Forest	52-23	-4	W	N	Florida St. †	3-34	+20	L	N
E. Carolina	37-24	-10	W	N	§ E. Carolina at Charlotte	29-50	+9	L	N	No. Carolina	28-30	+6	W	N	† Virginia	30-27	+14	W	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	11-3	9-3	7-2	6-3	2-0	1-1	—	3-2	2-1	3-0	1-0	2-0	—	—	49
HOME	6-1	3-2	3-1	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	45
AWAY	4-2	5-1	3-1	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	57
NAT.	10-3	8-3	7-2	6-3	2-0	1-1	—	3-2	1-1	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	46
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	99
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-8	14-10	13-3	9-7	2-1	3-2	—	4-4	3-4	5-2	1-1	2-1	—	2-0	49
HOME	9-4	5-6	6-2	4-4	0-1	2-0	—	2-3	1-2	1-2	0-1	1-1	—	—	44
AWAY	8-3	8-3	6-1	4-3	1-0	1-2	—	2-1	2-2	4-0	1-0	1-0	—	2-0	55
NAT.	17-8	13-10	13-3	9-7	2-1	3-2	—	4-4	2-4	4-2	0-1	2-1	—	2-0	47
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	99
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	26-12	21-15	18-5	12-11	3-2	3-3	1-0	5-6	6-6	9-3	2-1	4-1	—	3-1	52
HOME	14-5	8-9	11-2	7-6	1-1	2-0	1-0	3-5	1-3	1-3	0-1	1-1	—	0-1	48
AWAY	10-6	11-5	6-3	4-5	1-1	1-3	—	2-1	4-3	7-0	2-0	2-0	—	3-0	57
NAT.	25-12	20-15	18-5	12-11	3-2	3-3	1-0	5-6	5-6	8-3	1-1	4-1	—	3-1	51
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	99
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	39-23	31-28	24-10	15-19	3-4	4-5	2-1	6-9	12-11	16-7	5-1	4-2	1-1	6-3	52
HOME	23-7	13-14	15-3	8-10	1-2	2-0	2-1	3-7	5-3	5-3	2-1	1-1	—	2-1	50
AWAY	14-12	16-10	8-5	6-7	1-1	2-5	—	3-1	6-7	10-3	3-0	2-0	1-1	4-2	55
NAT.	38-23	30-28	24-10	15-19	3-4	4-5	2-1	6-9	11-11	15-7	4-1	4-2	1-1	6-3	51
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	99
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	67-52	53-58-2	41-17	25-32-1	4-10	6-7	3-4	12-11-1	20-32	28-23-1	7-3	9-5	2-3-1	10-12	53
HOME	40-19	24-28-1	27-6	14-18-1	1-5	2-1	3-3	8-9-1	7-11	10-8	3-3	4-1	—	3-4	49
AWAY	24-27	26-24-1	13-9	10-12	2-4	4-6	0-1	4-1	11-18	16-12-1	4-0	3-3	2-2-1	7-7	57
NAT.	62-51	48-57-2	41-16	25-31-1	4-9	6-7	3-4	12-11-1	15-32	23-23-1	5-3	8-5	2-3-1	8-12	52
ART.	5-1	5-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	5-0	5-0	2-0	1-0	—	2-0	65

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998																			
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.															
		home	8-5	6-4			home	5-7	8-4			home	3-8	5-5			home	2-9	3-8			home	3-8	7-4															
		away	4-1	2-2			away	3-1	4-0			away	2-3	2-2			away	1-3	1-3			away	2-2	2-2															
		as fav	3-4	5-2			as fav	2-4	4-2			as fav	1-5	3-3			as fav	1-6	2-5			as fav	1-5	5-1															
		as dog	6-0	4-2			as dog	3-1	3-1			as dog	—	—			as dog	2-8	3-7			as dog	1-0	1-0															
		as dog	1-5	4-2			as dog	2-6	5-3			as dog	2-7	5-4			as dog	—	—			as dog	1-8	5-4															
opponent					score					vs. spread					opponent					score					vs. spread														
① Texas †					0-27 +34 W N					TCU †					5-19 +17- W A					Baylor †					7-20 + 6 L A					UNLV †					3-26 + 1- L A				
Nicholls St. †					23-0 — - A					① Oklahoma †					10-37 +42 W N					① Texas Tech †					7-13 +30- W A					① LSU †					0-52 +34 L N				
① Alabama †					7-33 +21- L N					§ Texas Tech †					14-42 +21 L A					① UNLV †					0-38 +17 L N					① Texas Tech †					21-14 +31 W A				
① TCU †					10-16 +12- W N					① So. Florida †					10-28 +11- L N					① Kansas St.					10-55 +51- W A					① Baylor †					10-23 +11- L N				
① Arizona †					9-14 +14- W N					① La-Monroe †					17-19 - 6 L N					Samford †					41-6 — - A					① Idaho †					10-28 +20 W A				
So. Florida †					17-24 + 2 L A					Mid. Tenn.					24-21 +14- W A					Utah St.					12-17 P L A					Boise St.					17-10 + 7 W A				
① Arkansas St. †					13-10 - 7 L N					Arkansas St.					45-0 - 7 W A					① Boise St.					0-59 +27 L A					Arkansas St.					10-14 P L A				
① La-Lafayette					27-0 - 4 W N					① N. Mex. St.					22-20 + 8- W N					① La-Lafayette †					13-0 + 4- W N					① Nevada					28-41 +11 L N				
La-Monroe					41-2 -17 W A					La-Lafayette					42-17 -10- W A					Idaho					14-16 +13- W A					① TCU					3-27 +22 L N				
Idaho †					10-0 -16 L A					① Idaho †					50-27 - 2 W A					① Arkansas St.					28-53 + 8- L N					① N. Mex. St.					9-22 +12 L N				
N. Mex. St.					38-27 - 6- W A					① at Moscow, ID										N. Mex. St.					30-23 +10- W A					Utah St.					7-34 + 4 L A				
① Mid. Tenn.					30-20 - 2 W A					① Troy St.					16-18 + 6- W N																								
New Orleans Bowl at New Orleans										New Orleans Bowl at New Orleans																													
§ Cincinnati †					24-19 + 7- W A					§ Colo. St. †					20-45 +11 L A																								

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993																			
		overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.			overall	str.up	vs.spr.															
		home	4-7	4-5-1			home	5-6	7-2			home	2-9	4-6			home	7-4-1	—			home	4-7	—															
		away	2-1	1-1			away	3-2	3-0			away	2-1	2-0			away	3-1	—			away	4-2	—															
		as fav	2-6	3-4-1			as fav	2-3	3-2			as fav	0-7	1-6			as fav	4-3-1	—			as fav	0-5	—															
		as dog	1-1	1-1			as dog	2-0	2-0			as dog	0-1	0-1			as dog	—	—			as dog	—	—															
		as dog	2-6	3-4-1			as dog	2-5	5-2			as dog	2-7	4-5			as dog	—	—			as dog	—	—															
opponent					score					vs. spread					opponent					score					vs. spread														
① Vanderbilt †					12-29 +17 P A					Illinois St. †					20-14 — - A					① Missouri †					7-28 +18- L N					Abil. Chr. †					48-0 — - A				
① Oregon St.					7-33 +13 L A					① Arizona St. †					7-52 +32- L N					§ Kansas at Irving, TX					10-27 +18- W A					① S.W. Mo. St. †					26-20 — - A				
Indiana St. †					41-6 — - A					① Texas A&M					0-55 +36- L N					Oregon St.					30-27 +14- W A					Montana					17-21 — - A				
① Texas Tech †					30-27 +30 W A					§ Army at Irving, TX					10-27 +17- W A					① Oklahoma					10-51 +34 L N					① Okla. St. †					34-36 — - A				
① Texas A&M					10-36 +31- W N					① N. Illinois					24-21 + 2 W A					① Nevada					24-56 +12 L N					① SW Tex. St. †					27-14 — - N				
Idaho					17-30 +10 L A					Vanderbilt					7-19 +15 W A					Ala.-Birm.					14-19 — - A					McNeese St.					38-17 — - A				
Boise St. †					14-17 -11 L A					N. Mex. St.					13-0 - 9- W A					① LSU †					7-49 +27 L N					① N'western St.					28-25 — - X				
① Nevada					10-65 +18- L N					Nevada					13-40 — - A					① Alabama					19-38 +33- W N					Sam Houston					21-16 — - A				
① N. Mex. St.					26-15 - 4 W N					① Utah St.					13-21 +18 W N					① UNLV					24-34 -11 L A					① SF Austin St.					33-33 — - N				
① Army					14-25 + 7 L A					① Boise St.					30-27 - 2 W A					Idaho St.					41-38 + 1 W A					① Nicholls St.					31-17 — - N				
Utah St.					51-48 +13 W A					Idaho					24-17 + 9 W A					① Louisville					14-57 +26 L A					① La-Monroe †					20-38 — - N				
																														① Boise St.					20-24 — - A				

STATISTICS SUMMARY		OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD							AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD							ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
		STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10					
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002		8-5	8-4	6-0	4-2	1-0	2-0	0-1	1-1	1-5	4-2	0-1	0-1	—	1-0	31				
HOME		4-1	2-2	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	36				
AWAY		3-4	5-2	3-0	2-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	30				
NAT.		2-4	4-2	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	27				
ART.		6-1	4-2	4-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	1-0	—	39				
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002		13-12	16-8	9-1	7-3	2-0	3-1	0-1	2-1	3-11	9-5	0-1	1-0	2-0	6-4	40				
HOME		7-2	6-2	5-0	4-1	—	2-0	—	2-1	1-2	2-1	0-1	—	—	2-0	39				
AWAY		5-8	9-4	4-1	3-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	—	1-7	6-2	—	1-0	1-0	4-2	37				
NAT.		3-8	7-4	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	0-1	—	1-7	6-2	—	1-0	1-0	4-2	33				
ART.		10-4	9-4	7-0	6-1	2-0	2-0	—	2-1	2-4	3-3	0-1	—	1-0	2-2	46				
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002		16-20	21-13	9-1	7-3	2-0	3-1	0-1	2-1	5-18	14-9	0-1	2-1	1-0	10-6	40				
HOME		9-5	8-4	5-0	4-1	—	2-0	—	2-1	2-4	4-2	0-1	0-1	—	4-0	38				
AWAY		6-13	12-7	4-1	3-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	—	2-12	9-5	—	2-0	1-1	6-4	40				
NAT.		4-10	8-6	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	0-1	—	2-9	7-4	—	2-0	1-1	4-3	35				
ART.		12-10	13-7	7-0	6-1	2-0	2-0	—	2-1	3-9	7-5	0-1	0-1	1-0	6-3	44				
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002		21-37	31-25	10-1	8-3	3-0	3-1	0-1	2-1	8-34	22-20	0-3	4-3	3-1	15-13	40				
HOME		12-10	11-9	6-0	5-1	1-0	2-0	—	2-1	3-8	5-6	0-3	1-3	—	4-0	38				
AWAY		8-24	19-13	4-1	3-2	2-0	1-1	0-1	—	4-23	16-11	—	3-0	2-1	11-10	41				
NAT.		4-19	11-12	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	0-1	—	2-18	10-10	—	2-0	2-1	6-9	39				
ART.		17-18	20-13	8-0	7-1	3-0	2-0	—	2-1	6-16	12-10	0-3	2-3	1-0	9-4	41				
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002		43-70-1	46-38-1	13-3	11-5	4-0	4-1	1-1	2-3	14-52	34-31-1	2-3	4-4	4-2	24-22-1	46				
HOME		26-17	17-10	7-1	6-2	1-0	2-0	1-0	2-2	7-9	10-6	1-3	1-3	1-0	7-0	44				
AWAY		16-48-1	26-25-1	6-2	5-3	3-0	2-1	0-1	0-1	6-38	21-22-1	1-0	3-1	2-2	15-19-1	47				
NAT.		7-32-1	15-19	3-1	2-2	—	2-1	0-1	—	2-28	13-17	—	2-0	2-1	9-16	45				
ART.		35-37	31-19-1	10-2	9-3	4-0	2-0	1-0	2-3	12-24	21-14-1	2-3	2-4	2-1	15-6-1	46				

NORTHERN ILLINOIS HUSKIES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998								
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.					
overall		8-4	9-2	overall		6-5	4-6	overall		6-5	6-4	overall		5-6	7-3	overall		2-9	6-3-1					
home		4-2	4-1	home		5-1	1-4	home		4-1	3-1	home		3-2	4-0	home		1-4	1-2-1					
away		4-2	5-1	away		1-4	3-2	away		2-4	3-3	away		2-4	3-3	away		1-5	5-1					
as fav		5-1	5-1	as fav		4-1	1-4	as fav		5-1	5-1	as fav		4-0	4-0	as fav		—	—					
as dog		3-2	4-1	as dog		1-4	3-2	as dog		0-4	1-3	as dog		1-5	3-3	as dog		2-8	6-3-1					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
Wk. Forest †	42-41*	+1	W	A	So. Florida †	20-17	-17	L	A	@ N'western †	17-35	+8	L	N	W. Illinois	21-27	-	A	@ W. Mich. †	23-37	+18	W	N	
@ So. Florida †	6-37	+7	L	N	@ Illinois	12-17	+19	W	A	Illinois St. †	52-0	—	-	A	@ Vanderbilt	31-34	+17	W	N	@ Kansas St.	7-73	+48	L	A
@ Wisconsin	21-24	+22	W	A	Sam Hous. †	41-16	—	-	A	@ Auburn	14-31	+23	W	N	@ Iowa	0-24	+14	L	N	E. Illinois †	10-24	—	-	A
W. Illinois †	26-29	—	-	A	@ Toledo †	20-41	+17	L	A	@ Ball St.	43-14	-11	W	N	W. Mich. †	21-24	+8	W	A	@ Ball St.	13-18	+12	W	N
Kent State	13-6	-6	W	A	Marshall	15-37	+1	L	A	C. Florida	40-20	-4	W	A	@ Buffalo †	45-21	-16	W	N	@ C. Florida †	17-38	+35	W	N
@ Ball St.	41-29	-2	W	N	@ Kent State	34-44	-10	L	A	@ Akron †	52-35	-1	W	A	Ball St.	37-17	-9	W	A	C. Mich.	16-6	+10	W	A
@ Miami-OH	48-41	+8	W	N	W. Mich.	20-12	+3	W	A	@ W. Mich.	22-52	+5	L	N	@ C. Mich.	31-27	+1	W	A	@ E. Mich. †	26-14	+10	W	A
C. Mich.	49-0	-8	W	A	@ C. Mich.	33-24	-8	W	A	Buffalo	73-10	-35	W	A	Kent State	50-7	-14	W	A	Toledo	3-16	+13	P	A
@ W. Mich.	24-20	-2	W	A	E. Mich.	40-17	-24	L	A	Toledo	24-38	+4	L	A	@ Marshall	9-41	+26	L	A	Miami-OH	10-41	+16	L	A
Bowl. Green	26-17	+3	W	A	Ball St.	33-29	-12	L	A	@ E. Mich.	32-39	-15	L	A	@ Toledo	14-44	+6	L	A	@ Ohio U.	12-28	+17	W	N
@ E. Mich.	49-21	-23	W	A	@ Wk. Forest	35-38	+11	W	N	C. Mich.	40-6	-24	W	A	E. Mich.	30-23	-4	W	A	Bowl. Green	23-34	+9	L	A
Toledo	30-33	-1	L	A																				

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993										
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.							
overall		0-11	6-5	overall		1-10	2-7-1	overall		3-8	2-7-2	overall		4-7	3-1	overall		4-7	1-3							
home		0-6	4-2	home		0-5	0-4	home		2-3	1-3-1	home		3-2	0-1	home		3-1	—							
away		0-5	2-3	away		1-5	2-3-1	away		1-5	1-4-1	away		1-5	3-0	away		1-6	1-3							
as fav		—	—	as fav		1-2	0-2-1	as fav		3-2	1-2-2	as fav		—	—	as fav		—	—							
as dog		0-11	6-5	as dog		0-6	2-4	as dog		0-6	1-5	as dog		0-4	3-1	as dog		0-4	1-3							
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf							
Ⓢ C. Mich. †	10-44	+14	L	A	Ⓢ Maryland †	6-30	+23	L	N	Ⓢ So. Miss. †	13-45	+15	L	N	Okla. St. †	14-31	+9	L	A	Ⓢ Iowa St. †	10-54	+8	L	A		
Kansas St. †	7-47	+36	L	A	W. Illinois †	0-17	—	-	A	Louisville †	21-34	+10	L	A	Ⓢ La-Lafayette †	9-29	—	-	N	Ⓢ Indiana	10-28	+23	W	N		
W. Mich.	13-21	+13	W	A	Ⓢ Penn State	0-49	+46	L	N	Ⓢ San Jose St.	18-17	-	1	P	N	Ⓢ Illinois	10-34	+35	W	A	Arkansas St. †	23-7	—	-	A	
Ⓢ N.C. State †	14-41	+35	W	N	Ⓢ Arkansas St. †	31-30	-	1	P	N	La-Lafayette	25-24	-	1	P	A	Ⓢ E. Illinois †	49-17	—	-	A	Ⓢ Nevada	46-42	—	-	N
Ⓢ Bowl. Green	10-35	+14	L	N	UTEP	6-37	P	L	A	Ⓢ Kansas St.	0-44	+26	L	A	Nevada	31-35	—	-	A	S. Illinois	45-15	—	-	A		
Vanderbilt	7-17	+22	W	A	N. Texas	21-24	-	2	L	A	UNLV	62-14	-13	W	A	N. Mex. St.	48-27	—	-	A	Ⓢ N. Mex. St.	17-24	—	-	N	
Ⓢ Toledo	14-41	+28	W	A	Ⓢ Louisville	3-27	+29	W	A	Ⓢ Utah St. †	7-42	-	3	L	N	Ⓢ Pacific †	32-41	—	-	N	Pacific	21-16	—	-	A	
Ball St.	14-21	+9	W	A	Akron	17-34	-	5	L	A	Cincinnati	19-55	+10	L	A	La. Tech	27-17	—	-	A	La-Lafayette	19-33	—	-	A	
Ohio U.	30-35	+23	W	A	La. Tech	14-40	+14	L	A	Ⓢ Florida	20-58	+49	W	N	Ⓢ Vanderbilt	16-17	+16	W	A	Ⓢ La. Tech	16-17	—	-	N		
E. Mich.	10-38	+9	L	A	Ⓢ La-Lafayette †	31-45	+24	W	N	Arkansas St.	21-28	-	9	L	A	Ⓢ Arkansas St.	38-16	—	-	N	Ⓢ Iowa	20-54	+15	L	N	
Ⓢ Miami-OH	0-42	+33	L	N	Ⓢ Oregon St.	28-67	+21	L	A	Ⓢ La. Tech	14-59	+3	L	N	Ⓢ Arkansas	27-30	+21	W	A	Ⓢ Mississippi	0-44	+22	L	N		

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-4	9-2	5-1	5-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	3-2	4-1	2-0	—	1-1	1-0	56		
HOME	4-2	4-1	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	—	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	52		
AWAY	4-2	5-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-2	2-1	—	—	1-1	1-0	60		
NAT.	2-1	2-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	67		
ART.	6-3	7-1	4-1	4-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	2-1	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	52		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-9	13-8	9-2	6-5	2-1	1-0	2-1	1-3	4-6	7-3	2-1	1-0	1-1	3-1	55		
HOME	9-3	5-5	5-1	2-4	0-1	1-0	1-0	0-3	3-1	3-1	2-1	1-0	—	—	50		
AWAY	5-6	8-3	4-1	4-1	2-0	—	1-1	1-0	1-5	4-2	—	—	1-1	3-1	59		
NAT.	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-2	2-1	—	—	1-1	1-0	68		
ART.	12-7	10-7	8-2	5-5	1-1	1-0	2-1	1-3	3-4	5-2	2-1	1-0	—	2-1	52		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-14	19-12	14-3	11-6	3-1	2-0	2-1	4-4	4-10	8-6	2-1	1-2	1-2	4-1	57		
HOME	13-4	8-6	8-1	5-4	0-1	2-0	1-0	2-3	3-2	3-2	2-1	1-1	—	—	53		
AWAY	7-10	11-6	6-2	6-2	3-0	—	1-1	2-1	1-8	5-4	—	0-1	1-2	4-1	61		
NAT.	3-5	5-3	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	1-5	3-3	—	0-1	1-2	2-0	62		
ART.	17-9	14-9	12-3	9-6	2-1	2-0	2-1	3-4	3-5	5-3	2-1	1-1	—	2-1	55		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	27-29	32-18-1	18-3	15-6	3-1	3-0	3-1	6-4	7-23	17-12-1	3-1	1-3	3-3	10-5-1	54		
HOME	17-10	13-8-1	11-1	8-4	0-1	3-0	2-0	3-3	4-6	5-4-1	2-1	1-1	1-1	1-1-1	50		
AWAY	10-19	19-10	7-2	7-2	3-0	—	1-1	3-1	3-17	12-8	1-0	0-2	2-2	9-4	57		
NAT.	4-11	11-4	3-0	3-0	1-0	—	—	2-0	1-11	8-4	—	0-1	1-2	7-1	56		
ART.	23-18	21-14-1	15-3	12-6	2-1	3-0	3-1	4-4	6-12	9-8-1	3-1	1-2	2-1	3-4-1	53		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	39-72	46-41-4	22-7	16-10-3	3-3-3	3-1	3-2	7-4	7-54	30-30-1	3-1	1-4	4-6	22-19-1	53		
HOME	25-27	18-18-2	13-4	9-7-1	0-2-1	3-1	2-1	4-3	4-16	9-10-1	2-1	1-1	2-3	4-5-1	50		
AWAY	14-45	28-23-2	9-3	7-3-2	3-1-2	—	1-1	3-1	3-38	21-20	1-0	0-3	2-3	18-14	55		
NAT.	8-28	15-13-2	5-1	3-1-2	1-1-2	—	—	2-0	1-23	12-12	—	0-2	1-2	11-8	55		
ART.	31-44	31-28-2	17-6	13-9-1	2-2-1	3-1	3-2	5-4	6-31	18-18-1	3-1	1-2	3-4	11-11-1	52		

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-9 2-4 1-5 1-0 2-9	vs.spr. 5-6 3-3 3-3 0-1 5-5	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-3 2-4 4-3 0-4	vs.spr. 3-7-1 0-5 3-2-1 2-5 1-2-1	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 5-1 3-2 4-1 4-3	vs.spr. 8-4 5-1 3-2 4-1 4-3	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-4 1-4 1-0 2-8	vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 0-1 4-6	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-9 1-5 2-4 2-2 1-7	vs.spr. 6-6 3-3 3-3 2-2 4-4									
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf									
⑤ Air Force	3-52	+	1	L	N	⑤ UNLV †	37-28	-	3	W	N	N. Illinois †	35-17	-	8	W	N	⑤ Miami-OH	3-28	+	4	L	N					
TCU	24-48	+	5	L	N	⑤ Duke †	44-7	-16	W	N	Duke	38-5	-13	W	N	TCU	17-7	+	8	W	N	Duke	10-44	-13	L	N		
Duke	26-21	-	6	L	N	Mich. St.	27-26	-	7	L	N	⑤ TCU	14-41	+10	L	N	⑤ Duke	15-12*	+	8	W	N	⑤ Rice †	23-14	+	5	W	N
⑤ Navy	49-40	+	3	W	N	⑤ Ohio State †	20-38	+	3	L	N	⑤ Wisconsin	47-44*	+16	W	A	⑤ Purdue	23-31	+28	W	N	⑤ Wisconsin	7-38	+12	L	A		
⑤ Mich. St.	24-39	+	24	W	N	Minnesota	23-17	-12	L	N	⑤ Mich. St.	37-17	+11	W	A	Minnesota	14-33	+	6	L	N	Illinois	10-13	-14	L	N		
Ohio State †	16-27	+	25	W	N	Penn State	35-38	-10	L	N	Indiana	52-33	-	3	W	N	⑤ Indiana	17-34	+	8	L	N	⑤ Iowa	24-26	+10	W	N	
⑤ Minnesota †	42-45	+16	W	A	⑤ Purdue	27-32	+	5	P	N	Purdue	28-41	+	3	L	N	Iowa	23-21	-	4	L	N	Michigan †	6-12	+14	W	N	
⑤ Penn State	0-49	+25	L	N	⑤ Indiana	21-56	-	3	L	N	⑤ Minnesota	41-35	+	4	W	A	Wisconsin	19-35	+22	W	N	Ohio State	10-36	+28	W	N		
Purdue	13-42	+13	L	N	Iowa	16-59	+	1	L	N	Michigan	54-51	+	7	W	N	⑤ Michigan	3-37	+23	L	N	⑤ Mich. St.	5-29	+10	L	A		
Indiana	41-37	+	2	W	N	Bowl. Green	42-43	-	5	L	N	⑤ Iowa	17-27	-11	L	N	Mich. St.	0-34	+19	L	N	Purdue	21-56	+13	L	N		
⑤ Iowa	10-62	+29	L	N	⑤ Illinois	28-34	+19	W	A	Illinois	61-23	-	8	W	N	⑤ Illinois	7-29	+13	L	A	⑤ Penn State	10-41	+24	L	N			
Illinois	24-31	+14	W	N						Alamo Bowl at San Antonio											⑤ Hawaii †	47-21	-16	W	A			
										§ Nebraska †	17-66	+14	L	A														
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 3-3 1-4 3-2 2-5	vs.spr. 7-5 4-2 2-3 2-3 2-2	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 5-0 4-2 6-1 3-2	vs.spr. 5-7 1-4 4-2 2-5 3-2	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 5-1 5-0 4-1 6-1	vs.spr. 10-2 5-1 5-0 4-1 6-1	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-7-1 0-4-1 3-2 1-0 5-5	vs.spr. 6-5 2-3 4-1 1-0 5-5	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-4 0-5 1-1 1-7	vs.spr. 5-6 2-4 3-2 1-1 4-4									
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf									
§ Oklahoma at Chicago	24-0	-	4	W	N	⑤ Wk. Forest †	27-28	-16	L	N	⑤ Notre Dame	17-15	+28	W	N	§ Notre Dame † at Soldier Field	15-42	+25	L	N	⑤ Notre Dame	12-27	+28	W	N			
⑤ Wk. Forest	20-27	-13	L	N	⑤ Duke	38-13	-	9	W	N	Miami-OH	28-30	-16	L	A	Bost. Coll.	22-21	+	7	W	A	Bost. Coll.	22-21	+	7	W	A	
Duke	24-20	-17	L	N	Ohio U.	28-7	-32	L	N	Air Force	30-6	+	3	W	A	Stanford	41-41	+13	W	A	Wk. Forest	26-14	-	9	W	A		
Rice	34-40	-	7	L	N	⑤ Indiana	35-17	-	4	W	N	Indiana	31-7	-	4	W	A	⑤ Air Force	14-10	-	2	W	N	⑤ Ohio State	3-51	+21	L	N
⑤ Purdue	9-21	+	3	L	N	Michigan	17-16	+	7	W	A	⑤ Michigan	19-13	+17	W	N	Ohio State	15-17	+15	W	A	⑤ Wisconsin	14-53	+17	L	A		
Wisconsin †	25-26	+	3	W	N	Minnesota	26-24	-10	L	A	⑤ Minnesota †	27-17	-	2	W	A	Wisconsin	14-46	+11	L	A	Minnesota	26-28	-	4	L	A	
⑤ Michigan	6-23	+24	W	N	⑤ Wisconsin	34-30	+	5	W	A	Wisconsin	35-0	+	2	W	A	⑤ Minnesota †	37-31	+	7	W	A	Indiana	0-24	+	9	L	A
Mich. St.	19-17	+13	W	N	Illinois	27-24	-12	L	A	⑤ Illinois	17-14	+	1	W	A	⑤ Indiana	20-7	+10	W	N	Illinois	13-20	+18	W	A			
⑤ Ohio State	6-49	+21	L	N	⑤ Penn State	9-34	+	9	L	N	Penn State	21-10	+	7	W	A	Illinois	7-28	+10	L	A	⑤ Mich. St.	29-31	+16	W	A		
Penn State	27-30	+16	W	N	⑤ Iowa	40-13	+	6	W	N	Iowa	31-20	-	8	W	A	Mich. St.	17-35	+	4	L	A	Iowa	19-23	P	L	A	
⑤ Illinois	34-21	-12	W	A	Purdue	27-24	-10	L	A	⑤ Purdue	23-8	-	3	W	N	⑤ Iowa	13-49	+	6	L	N	Penn State	21-43	+17	L	A		
Iowa	15-14	+	9	W	N	Citrus Bowl at Orlando				Rose Bowl at Pasadena																		
					§ Tennessee	28-48	+	8	L	N	§ USC	32-41	+	3	L	N												

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	6-6	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-9	6-5	2-1	0-1	—	4-3	63
HOME	2-4	3-3	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-4	3-2	1-0	0-1	—	2-1	58
AWAY	1-5	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	3-3	1-1	—	—	2-2	69
NAT.	3-8	5-6	1-0	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-8	5-5	2-1	0-1	—	3-3	61
ART.	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	87
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	7-16	9-13-1	5-3	2-6	0-1	1-2	0-2	1-1	2-13	7-7-1	2-2	0-2-1	—	5-3	63
HOME	4-7	3-8	3-2	0-5	—	0-2	0-2	0-1	1-5	3-3	1-1	0-1	—	2-1	61
AWAY	3-9	6-5-1	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	1-8	4-4-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	3-2	65
NAT.	7-14	7-13-1	5-3	2-6	0-1	1-2	0-2	1-1	2-11	5-7-1	2-2	0-2-1	—	3-3	62
ART.	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	74
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	15-20	17-17-1	9-4	6-7	0-1	2-2	2-2	2-2	6-16	11-10-1	2-3	1-2-1	1-1	7-4	65
HOME	9-8	8-9	7-2	4-5	—	1-2	2-2	1-1	2-6	4-4	1-2	0-1	1-0	2-1	65
AWAY	6-11	9-7-1	2-2	2-2	0-1	1-0	—	1-1	4-9	7-5-1	1-1	1-1-1	0-1	5-2	65
NAT.	12-17	12-16-1	9-4	6-7	0-1	2-2	2-2	2-2	3-13	6-9-1	2-3	0-2-1	1-1	3-3	63
ART.	3-3	5-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-3	5-1	—	1-0	—	4-1	75
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	21-37	27-30-1	12-6	8-10	0-1	2-3	2-2	4-4	9-31	19-20-1	2-3	2-4-1	4-2	11-11	56
HOME	12-17	13-16	9-4	5-8	—	1-3	2-2	2-3	3-13	8-8	1-2	0-3	2-0	5-3	55
AWAY	9-19	14-13-1	3-2	3-2	0-1	1-0	—	2-1	6-17	11-11-1	1-1	2-1-1	2-2	6-7	57
NAT.	16-31	20-26-1	11-6	7-10	0-1	2-3	2-2	3-4	5-25	13-16-1	2-3	0-4-1	4-2	7-7	55
ART.	5-6	7-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	4-6	6-4	—	2-0	—	4-4	61
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	50-65-1	60-55-1	27-11	18-20	3-1	5-5	5-4	5-10	23-53-1	42-34-1	4-4	9-7-1	7-5	22-18	51
HOME	27-29-1	27-30	17-7	8-16	—	2-5	4-4	2-7	10-21-1	19-13	2-2	4-4	4-1	9-6	50
AWAY	22-32	32-21-1	9-4	9-4	3-1	2-0	1-0	3-3	13-28	23-17-1	2-1	5-3-1	3-3	13-10	51
NAT.	28-47	36-38-1	17-9	12-14	2-1	4-4	3-2	3-7	11-38	24-24-1	2-4	2-6-1	6-4	14-10	52
ART.	22-18-1	24-17	10-2	6-6	1-0	1-1	2-2	2-3	12-15-1	18-10	2-0	7-1	1-1	8-8	51

NOTRE DAME FIGHTING IRISH

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 10-3 home 5-1 away 3-1 as fav 6-1 as dog 4-2	overall 5-6 home 4-2 away 1-4 as fav 3-1 as dog 1-5	overall 9-3 home 5-1 away 3-1 as fav 7-0 as dog 2-3	overall 5-7 home 5-2 away 0-5 as fav 2-3 as dog 0-4	overall 9-3 home 6-0 away 2-2 as fav 7-1 as dog 2-2
opponent score vs. spread surf § Maryland † 22-0 -1 W N Purdue 24-17 -5 W N Michigan 25-23 +5 W N @ Mich. St. 21-17 +3 W N Stanford 31-7 -8 W N Pittsburgh 14-6 -6 W N @ Air Force † 21-14 +3 W N @ Florida St. 34-24 +10 W N Bost. Coll. 7-14 -9 L N § Navy at Baltimore 30-23 -27 L N Rutgers 42-0 -28 W N @ USC † 13-44 +11 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § N.C. State 6-28 +1 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Nebraska † 10-27 +13 L A Mich. St. 10-17 -9 L N @ Texas A&M 3-24 +2 L N Pittsburgh 24-7 -8 W N W. Virginia 34-24 -12 L N USC 27-16 P W N @ Bost. Coll. † 17-21 +3 L A Tennessee 18-28 +8 L N Navy 34-16 -27 L N @ Stanford † 13-17 +7 W N @ Purdue 24-18 +2 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Texas A&M 24-10 -3 W N Nebraska 24-27* +12 W N Purdue 23-21 +6 W N @ Mich. St. 21-27 +4 L A Stanford 20-14 -11 L N § Navy at Orlando 45-14 -19 W N @ W. Virginia 42-28 -2 W A Air Force 34-31* -13 L N Bost. Coll. 28-16 -9 W N @ Rutgers 45-17 -21 W N @ USC 38-21 +1 W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ § Oregon St. † 9-41 +3 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Kansas 48-13 -17 W N @ Michigan 22-26 +8 W N @ Purdue 23-28 +4 L N Mich. St. 13-23 -6 L N Oklahoma 34-30 -6 L N Arizona St. 48-17 -12 W N USC 25-24 -8 L N Navy 28-24 -27 L N @ Tennessee † 14-38 +9 L A @ Pittsburgh 27-37 -9 L A Bost. Coll. 29-31 -8 L N @ Stanford † 37-40 +5 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Michigan 36-20 +6 W N @ Mich. St. † 23-45 -7 L A Purdue 31-30 -7 L N Stanford 35-17 -17 W N @ Arizona St. 28-9 +3 W N Army 20-17 -25 L N Baylor 27-3 -14 W N @ Bost. Coll. 31-26 -11 L A § Navy at Wash. D.C. 30-0 -20 W N LSU 39-36 -2 W N @ USC 0-10 +8 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Ga. Tech 28-35 +3 L N
1997 overall 7-6 home 4-2 away 3-3 as fav 4-4 as dog 1-2	1996 overall 8-3 home 4-2 away 3-1 as fav 8-2 as dog 0-1	1995 overall 9-3 home 5-1 away 3-1 as fav 8-1 as dog 1-2	1994 overall 6-5-1 home 4-2 away 1-1-1 as fav 6-3 as dog 0-2-1	1993 overall 11-1 home 5-1 away 4-0 as fav 9-1 as dog 2-0
opponent score vs. spread surf Ga. Tech 17-13 -20 L N @ Purdue 17-28 -19 L N Mich. St. 7-23 -2 L N @ Michigan 14-21 +14 W N @ Stanford 15-33 -1 L N @ Pittsburgh 45-21 -10 W A USC 17-20 -6 L N Bost. Coll. 52-20 -14 W N Navy 21-17 -16 L N @ LSU 24-6 +11 W N W. Virginia 21-14 -10 L N @ Hawaii 23-22 -28 L A Independence Bowl at Shreveport § LSU † 9-27 +6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Vanderbilt † 14-7 -22 L A Purdue 35-0 -22 W N @ Texas 27-24 -3 L N Ohio State 16-29 +3 L N Wash. 54-20 -10 W N Air Force 17-20* -21 L N § Navy at Dublin 54-27 -17 W N @ Bost. Coll. 48-21 -23 W A Pittsburgh 60-6 -37 W N Rutgers 62-0 -41 W N @ USC 20-27* -13 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf N'western 15-17 -28 L N @ Purdue 35-28 -8 L N Vanderbilt 41-0 -18 W N Texas 55-27 -7 W N @ Ohio State 26-45 +7 L N @ Wash. 29-21 -4 W A § Army at E. Ruth. 28-27 -23 L A USC 38-10 +4 W N Bost. Coll. 20-10 -19 L N Navy 35-17 -27 L N @ Air Force † 44-14 -7 W N Orange Bowl at Miami § Florida St. † 26-31 +10 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf § N'western † 42-15 -25 W N Michigan 24-26 -5 L N @ Mich. St. 21-20 -12 L A Purdue 39-21 -21 L N Stanford 34-15 -15 W N @ Bost. Coll. 11-30 -10 L A BYU 14-21 -15 L N Navy 58-21 -41 L N § Florida St. at Orlando 16-23 +12 W N Air Force 42-30 -15 L N @ USC 17-17 +3 W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe § Colorado 24-41 +7 L X	opponent score vs. spread surf N'western 27-12 -28 L N @ Michigan 27-23 +9 W N Mich. St. 36-14 -12 W N @ Purdue 17-0 -17 L N @ Stanford 48-20 -10 W N Pittsburgh 44-0 -38 W N @ BYU † 45-20 -17 W N USC 31-13 -12 W N § Navy at Phila. 58-27 -34 L A Florida St. 31-24 +6 W N Bost. Coll. 39-41 -15 L N Cotton Bowl at Dallas § Texas A&M 24-21 -8 L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	-10 to 3	3-7	7-10	over 10		ALL	-10 to 3	3-7	7-10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-3	9-4	6-1	5-2	1-0	2-0	1-1	1-1	4-2	4-2	2-1	1-0	—	1-1	39
HOME	5-1	5-1	4-1	4-1	—	2-0	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	35
AWAY	3-1	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	3-1	3-1	2-0	—	—	1-1	47
NAT.	10-3	9-4	6-1	5-2	1-0	2-0	1-1	1-1	4-2	4-2	2-1	1-0	—	1-1	39
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	15-9	13-11	9-2	6-5	1-0	2-0	2-2	1-3	5-7	6-6	3-2	1-1	1-1	1-2	39
HOME	9-3	7-5	7-2	5-4	—	2-0	2-2	1-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	0-1	—	38
AWAY	4-5	5-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	4-5	5-4	3-1	0-1	1-0	1-2	40
NAT.	15-7	13-9	9-2	6-5	1-0	2-0	2-2	1-3	5-5	6-4	3-2	1-0	1-1	1-1	39
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	37
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-12	21-15	16-2	11-7	3-0	2-0	3-2	3-5	7-10	9-8	4-2	2-3	1-1	2-2	43
HOME	14-4	11-7	11-2	7-6	1-0	2-0	3-2	1-4	2-2	3-1	—	2-0	0-1	1-0	40
AWAY	7-6	8-5	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	5-6	6-5	4-1	0-2	1-0	1-2	46
NAT.	23-9	20-12	15-2	10-7	2-0	2-0	3-2	3-5	7-7	9-5	4-2	2-1	1-1	2-1	42
ART.	1-3	1-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	48
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	38-22	31-29	28-6	17-17	4-0	2-4	3-5	8-8	9-16	13-12	4-3	5-4	2-3	2-2	46
HOME	25-6	17-14	21-4	12-13	2-0	2-3	3-4	5-6	3-2	4-1	—	3-0	0-1	1-0	46
AWAY	9-13	11-11	3-2	2-3	1-0	0-1	0-1	1-1	6-11	9-8	4-1	2-3	2-2	1-2	48
NAT.	36-17	30-23	26-4	16-14	3-0	2-3	3-4	8-7	9-13	13-9	4-3	5-2	2-3	2-1	45
ART.	2-5	1-6	2-2	1-3	1-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	79-40-1	58-62	65-17	36-46	4-2	4-7	5-8	23-29	13-23-1	21-16	4-4	8-7	3-3	6-2	48
HOME	47-14	30-31	41-11	23-29	2-1	2-5	4-5	15-18	5-3	6-2	0-1	5-0	0-1	1-0	48
AWAY	23-19-1	21-22	15-6	8-13	1-1	2-2	1-2	4-8	8-13-1	13-9	4-1	3-4	3-2	3-2	48
NAT.	69-33-1	54-49	55-14	32-37	3-2	3-6	5-7	21-22	13-19-1	21-12	4-4	8-4	3-3	6-1	47
ART.	10-6	4-12	10-3	4-9	1-0	1-1	0-1	2-7	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	53

OHIO BOBCATS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-8 3-2 1-6 3-0 1-7	vs.spr. 7-4 3-1 4-3 2-1 5-3		
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 0-5 1-5 1-4 0-6	vs.spr. 4-7 1-4 3-3 1-4 3-3		
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-1 3-3 5-2 1-2	vs.spr. 5-5 2-2 3-3 3-4 2-1		
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 4-1 1-5 4-0 1-5	vs.spr. 5-4-1 3-1 2-3-1 3-1 2-3-1		
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-2 2-4 5-1 0-5	vs.spr. 4-7 3-2 1-5 2-4 2-3		
2002	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Pittsburgh †	14-27	+21-	W	N	
N. Eastern †	0-31	—	-	N	
@ Florida	6-34	+41-	W	N	
@ Connecticut	19-37	+ 5	L	N	
Buffalo †	34-32	- 8	L	N	
@ Bowl. Green	21-72	+24	L	N	
E. Mich.	55-27	- 8	W	N	
@ Kent State	50-0	+11-	W	A	
@ Miami-OH	20-38	+16-	L	N	
Akron	27-10	- 4	W	N	
Marshall	21-24	+13	W	N	
@ C. Florida	32-42	+16-	W	N	
2001	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Akron †	29-31	- 6	L	A	
@ W. Virginia	3-20	+ 5	L	A	
Iowa St.	28-31	+ 2-	L	N	
Toledo †	41-48	+15-	W	N	
@ C. Mich.	34-3	- 7-	W	A	
Miami-OH	24-36	- 6	L	N	
Kent State	14-24	-11	L	N	
@ Buffalo	0-44	-16	L	N	
Bowl. Green	0-17	+ 6-	L	N	
@ Marshall	16-42	+24-	W	A	
@ N.C. State	7-27	+24-	W	N	
2000	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Iowa St.	15-25	+13-	W	N	
@ Minnesota	23-17	+20-	W	A	
Tenn. Tech	52-14	—	-	N	
Akron	20-23	- 8-	L	N	
@ W. Mich.	10-23	+ 5	L	N	
Buffalo †	42-20	-31	L	N	
@ Kent State	44-7	-11	W	A	
C. Mich.	52-3	-24	W	N	
@ Miami-OH	24-27	- 6	L	N	
@ Bowl. Green	23-21	- 9-	L	N	
Marshall	38-28	- 3	W	N	
1999	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Minnesota	7-33	+23	L	A	
N. Iowa	21-36	—	-	N	
@ Ohio State	16-40	+43-	W	N	
Buffalo †	45-6	-23	W	N	
Kent State †	31-3	-14	W	N	
@ Akron	28-41	+ 1-	L	A	
@ E. Mich. †	26-27	+ 1	P	A	
Bowl. Green	17-14	- 6-	L	N	
@ Ball St.	37-25	- 7-	W	N	
Miami-OH	40-28	+ 5	W	N	
@ Marshall	3-34	+24	L	A	
1998	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ N.C. State †	31-34	+13	W	N	
@ Wisconsin	0-45	+16-	L	A	
E. Carolina	14-21	+ 1	L	N	
@ W. Mich. †	37-35	- 4	L	N	
@ Bowl. Green	7-35	- 8-	L	N	
Marshall	23-30	+ 9	W	N	
Akron	28-14	- 5-	W	N	
@ Miami-OH	21-35	+10	L	N	
E. Mich.	49-21	-13-	W	N	
N. Illinois	28-12	-17	L	N	
@ Kent State	31-21	-24	L	A	
1997	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Kent State †	31-7	-18	W	N	
@ Maryland †	21-14	+12	W	N	
@ Kansas St. †	20-23	+24	W	A	
Buffalo †	50-0	—	-	N	
W. Mich.	31-7	-15	W	N	
@ E. Mich. †	47-7	- 8	W	A	
Bowl. Green	24-0	-19	W	N	
@ Akron	21-17	-24	L	A	
@ N. Illinois	35-30	-23-	L	A	
Miami-OH	21-45	+ 3	L	N	
@ Marshall	0-27	+13-	L	A	
1996	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Akron †	44-14	- 5	W	N	
@ Hawaii †	21-10	+16	W	A	
@ Army	20-37	+13-	L	A	
@ N'western	7-28	+32-	W	A	
E. Mich.	7-0	- 2-	W	N	
@ Ball St.	27-30*	+12	W	N	
@ Kent State	24-15	- 7-	W	N	
Bowl. Green	38-0	+ 1	W	N	
@ W. Mich.	38-0	- 4	W	N	
@ Miami-OH	8-24	+ 6-	L	N	
@ E. Carolina	45-55	+17	W	N	
Toledo	23-24	- 7	L	N	
1995	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Iowa St. †	21-36	+17-	W	A	
Illinois St.	14-6	—	-	N	
Kent State	28-28	- 2-	L	N	
@ E. Mich. †	20-31	+15-	W	A	
@ No. Carolina	0-62	+34-	L	N	
W. Mich.	17-34	+12-	L	N	
@ Akron †	29-23	P	W	A	
Ball St.	3-6	+13	W	N	
@ Bowl. Green	7-33	+14	L	N	
Miami-OH	2-30	+19	L	N	
@ Toledo	20-31	+28	W	A	
1994	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Pittsburgh †	16-30	+21	W	A	
Utah St.	0-5	—	-	N	
@ Ball St.	14-21	—	-	N	
Toledo	6-31	—	-	N	
@ Bowl. Green	0-32	—	-	N	
Miami-OH	10-31	—	-	N	
@ Kent State	0-24	—	-	N	
C. Mich.	10-22	—	-	N	
@ W. Mich.	3-15	—	-	N	
E. Mich.	13-24	—	-	N	
@ Akron	10-24	—	-	A	
1993	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ No. Carolina †	3-44	+31-	L	N	
@ C. Mich.	0-38	—	-	A	
Ball St.	16-24	—	-	A	
@ Toledo †	10-28	—	-	A	
@ Virginia	7-41	+46-	W	A	
Bowl. Green	0-20	—	-	N	
Kent State	15-10	—	-	N	
@ Miami-OH	22-20	—	-	N	
Akron	21-13	—	-	N	
W. Mich.	28-34	—	-	N	
@ E. Mich.	12-10	—	-	A	

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	8-3	6-4		home	6-6	9-3		home	2-8-1	5-5		home	0-11	1-0		home	4-7	1-1
	away	4-1	3-1		away	3-1	3-1		away	1-3-1	1-3		away	0-5	—		away	2-3	—
	as fav	4-2	3-3		as fav	3-5	6-2		as fav	1-5	4-2		as fav	0-6	1-0		as fav	2-4	1-1
	as dog	6-0	4-2		as dog	4-1	4-1		as dog	0-0-1	0-1		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—
		1-3	2-2			2-5	5-2			0-8	4-4			0-1	1-0			0-2	1-1
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Kent State †	31-7	-18	W	N	Akron †	44-14	- 5	W	N	@ Iowa St. †	21-36	+17-	W	A	@ Pittsburgh †	16-30	+21	W	A
@ Maryland †	21-14	+12	W	N	@ Hawaii †	21-10	+16	W	A	Illinois St.	14-6	—	-	N	Utah St.	0-5	—	-	N
@ Kansas St. †	20-23	+24	W	A	@ Army	20-37	+13-	L	A	Kent State	28-28	- 2-	L	N	@ Ball St.	14-21	—	-	N
Buffalo †	50-0	—	-	N	@ N'western	7-28	+32-	W	A	@ E. Mich. †	20-31	+15-	W	A	Toledo	6-31	—	-	N
W. Mich.	31-7	-15	W	N	E. Mich.	7-0	- 2-	W	N	@ No. Carolina	0-62	+34-	L	N	@ Bowl. Green	0-32	—	-	N
@ E. Mich. †	47-7	- 8	W	A	@ Ball St.	27-30*	+12	W	N	W. Mich.	17-34	+12-	L	N	Miami-OH	10-31	—	-	N
Bowl. Green	24-0	-19	W	N	@ Kent State	24-15	- 7-	W	N	@ Akron †	29-23	P	W	A	@ Kent State	0-24	—	-	N
@ Akron	21-17	-24	L	A	Bowl. Green	38-0	+ 1	W	N	Ball St.	3-6	+13	W	N	C. Mich.	10-22	—	-	N
@ N. Illinois	35-30	-23-	L	A	@ W. Mich.	38-0	- 4	W	N	@ Bowl. Green	7-33	+14	L	N	@ W. Mich.	3-15	—	-	N
Miami-OH	21-45	+ 3	L	N	@ Miami-OH	8-24	+ 6-	L	N	Miami-OH	2-30	+19	L	N	E. Mich.	13-24	—	-	N
@ Marshall	0-27	+13-	L	A	@ E. Carolina	45-55	+17	W	N	@ Toledo	20-31	+28	W	A	@ Akron	10-24	—	-	A
					Toledo	23-24	- 7	L	N										

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	7-4	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	1-7	5-3	—	0-1	—	5-2	56
HOME	3-2	3-1	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	52
AWAY	1-6	4-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-6	4-3	—	0-1	—	4-2	58
NAT.	3-8	6-4	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-1	—	0-7	4-3	—	0-1	—	4-2	56
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	50
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	5-18	11-11	4-4	3-5	—	1-2	2-1	0-2	1-13	8-6	0-1	0-3	—	8-2	51
HOME	3-7	4-5	3-2	2-3	—	1-1	1-1	0-1	0-4	2-2	0-1	0-1	—	2-0	52
AWAY	2-11	7-6	1-2	1-2	—	0-1	1-0	0-1	1-9	6-4	—	0-2	—	6-2	51
NAT.	3-15	8-9	3-3	2-4	—	1-1	1-1	0-2	0-11	6-5	0-1	0-2	—	6-2	53
ART.	2-3	3-2	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	1-2	2-1	—	0-1	—	2-0	46
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	12-22	16-16	9-6	6-9	1-0	1-3	2-3	2-3	2-15	10-7	0-1	0-4	—	10-2	51
HOME	7-8	6-7	6-3	4-5	1-0	1-1	1-2	1-2	0-4	2-2	0-1	0-1	—	2-0	54
AWAY	5-14	10-9	3-3	2-4	—	0-2	1-1	1-1	2-11	8-5	—	0-3	—	8-2	48
NAT.	8-19	11-14	7-5	4-8	1-0	1-2	1-3	1-3	0-13	7-6	0-1	0-3	—	7-2	52
ART.	4-3	5-2	2-1	2-1	—	0-1	1-0	1-0	2-2	3-1	—	0-1	—	3-0	45
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	22-34	25-27-1	18-7	11-14	1-0	2-5	3-4	5-5	3-25	14-13-1	0-3-1	1-4	1-1	12-5	51
HOME	14-11	12-10	12-3	8-7	1-0	2-2	1-2	4-3	1-6	4-3	0-2	1-1	1-0	2-0	51
AWAY	8-23	13-17-1	6-4	3-7	—	0-3	2-2	1-2	2-19	10-10-1	0-1-1	0-3	0-1	10-5	50
NAT.	17-26	20-20	15-6	9-12	1-0	2-4	2-4	4-4	1-18	11-8	0-2	1-3	1-1	9-2	52
ART.	5-8	5-7-1	3-1	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	2-7	3-5-1	0-1-1	0-1	—	3-3	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	42-69-1	47-40-1	28-8-1	19-18	2-1	4-6	5-4	8-7	6-44	27-22-1	1-4-1	1-5	1-1	24-12	45
HOME	24-24-1	19-15	17-4-1	13-9	2-1	3-3	1-2	7-3	2-10	6-6	1-3	1-1	1-0	3-2	44
AWAY	18-45	28-25-1	11-4	6-9	—	1-3	4-2	1-4	4-34	21-16-1	0-1-1	0-4	0-1	21-10	47
NAT.	31-49-1	32-29	22-7-1	16-14	2-1	4-5	3-4	7-4	3-28	16-15	1-3	1-4	1-1	13-7	46
ART.	11-20	15-11-1	6-1	3-4	—	0-1	2-0	1-3	3-16	11-7-1	0-1-1	0-1	—	11-5	45

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 14-0 8-0 4-0 13-0 1-0	vs.spr. 8-6 7-1 0-4 7-6 1-0	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Texas Tech	45-21	-	6	W N
Kent State	51-17	-28-	W N	
Wash St.	25-7	-	7	W N
§ Cincinnati at Paul Brown Stadium	23-19	-19-	L N	
Indiana	45-17	-29	L N	
§ N'western †	27-16	-25	L N	
San Jose St.	50-7	-29	W N	
§ Wisconsin	19-14	-	6	L A
Penn State	13-7	-	4	W N
Minnesota	34-3	-13-	W N	
§ Purdue	10-6	-	5	L N
§ Illinois	23-16*	-	8	L A
Michigan	14-9	-	3	W N
Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ				
§ Miami-Fla †	31-24*	+11-	W N	

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-5 4-2 3-2 6-2 1-2	vs.spr. 5-6-1 2-4 3-1-1 4-4 1-1-1	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Akron	28-14	-25-	L N	
§ UCLA	6-13	+	7	P N
§ Indiana	27-14	-	9	W N
N'western †	38-20	-	3	W N
Wisconsin	17-20	-12	L N	
SDSU	27-12	-22	L N	
§ Penn State	27-29	-	6	L N
§ Minnesota †	31-28	-	2	W A
Purdue	35-9	-	6	W N
Illinois	22-34	+	2	L N
§ Michigan	26-20	+	8	W N
Outback Bowl at Tampa				
§ So. Carolina	28-31	P	L N	

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-2 4-1 7-3 0-1	vs.spr. 5-7 2-4 3-2 4-6 0-1	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Fresno St.	43-10	-18-	W N	
§ Arizona †	27-17	-	5	W N
Miami-OH	27-16	-22-	L N	
Penn State	45-6	-12-	W N	
§ Wisconsin	23-7	P	W A	
Minnesota	17-29	-13-	L N	
§ Iowa	38-10	-20	W N	
§ Purdue	27-31	+	1	L N
Mich. St.	27-13	-14-	L N	
§ Illinois	24-21	-	8	L A
Michigan	26-38	-	1	L N
Outback Bowl at Tampa				
§ So. Carolina	7-24	-	5	L N

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-6 5-2 1-3 5-3 1-3	vs.spr. 5-7 3-4 2-2 3-5 2-2	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
§ Miami-Fla at E. Ruth.	12-23	-	4	L A
UCLA †	42-20	-11-	W N	
Ohio U.	40-16	-43-	L N	
Cincinnati	34-20	-33	L N	
Wisconsin	17-42	-	7	L N
Purdue	25-22	-	2	W N
§ Penn State	10-23	+11-	L N	
§ Minnesota	20-17	+	3	W A
Iowa	41-11	-23	W N	
§ Mich. St.	7-23	+	5	L A
Illinois	20-46	-10-	L N	
§ Michigan	17-24	+11-	W N	

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-1 5-1 5-0 11-1 —	vs.spr. 7-5 3-3 4-1 7-5 —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
§ W. Virginia †	34-17	-11-	W A	
Toledo	49-0	-37	W N	
Missouri	35-14	-24-	L N	
Penn State	28-9	-15	W N	
§ Illinois	41-0	-36	W A	
Minnesota	45-15	-38	L N	
§ N'western	36-10	-28-	L N	
§ Indiana	38-7	-23-	W N	
Mich. St.	24-28	-28	L N	
§ Iowa	45-14	-26	W N	
Michigan	31-16	-10	W N	
Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				
§ Texas A&M †	24-14	-13	L A	

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-3 7-0 3-2 10-0 0-3	vs.spr. 6-7 2-5 4-1 5-5 1-2	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Wyoming †	24-10	-30	L N	
Bowl, Green	44-13	-39	L N	
Arizona	28-20	-17	L N	
§ Missouri	31-10	-15	W N	
Iowa	23-7	-	3	W N
§ Penn State	27-31	+	6	W N
Indiana	31-0	-33-	L N	
N'western	49-6	-21	W N	
§ Mich. St.	37-13	-	6	W A
§ Minnesota	31-3	-23	W A	
Illinois	41-6	-39-	L N	
§ Michigan	14-20	+	3	L N
Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				
§ Florida St. †	14-31	+	6	L A

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-1 5-1 5-0 11-1 —	vs.spr. 8-4 4-2 3-2 8-4 —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Rice	70-7	-28	W N	
Pittsburgh	72-0	-37	W N	
§ Notre Dame	29-16	-	3	W N
Penn State	38-7	-	9	W N
Wisconsin	17-14	-27	L N	
§ Purdue	42-14	-26	W N	
§ Iowa	38-26	-15	L N	
Minnesota	45-0	-35	W N	
§ Illinois	48-0	-29	W A	
§ Indiana	27-17	-35	L N	
Michigan	9-13	-17	L N	
Rose Bowl at Pasadena				
§ Arizona St.	20-17	-	2	W N

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-2 6-0 4-1 11-2 —	vs.spr. 11-2 6-0 4-1 11-2 —	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
§ Boston Coll. at E. Ruth.	38-6	-	4	W A
Wash.	30-20	-	8	W N
§ Pittsburgh	54-14	-10	W A	
Notre Dame	45-26	-	7	W N
§ Penn State	28-25	-	2	W N
§ Wisconsin	27-16	-	4	W A
Purdue	28-0	-22	W N	
Iowa	56-35	-17	W N	
§ Minnesota †	49-21	-22	W A	
Illinois	41-3	-17	W N	
Indiana	42-3	-33	W N	
§ Michigan	23-31	-	9	L N
Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando				
§ Tennessee	14-20	-	4	L N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-4 5-1 3-2 7-1 1-3	vs.spr. 8-5 5-1 2-3 6-2 1-3		
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
§ Fresno St. † at Anaheim	34-10	-13-	W N		
§ Wash.	16-25	+	6	L A	
Pittsburgh	27-3	-17	W N		
Houston	52-0	-39	W N		
§ N'western	17-15	-15	L A		
Illinois	10-24	-	6	L N	
§ Mich. St.	23-7	+	1	W A	
Purdue	48-14	-13	W N		
§ Penn State	14-63	+13	L N		
Wisconsin	24-3	-	2	W N	
§ Indiana	32-17	-10	W N		
Michigan	22-6	P	W N		
Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando					
§ Alabama	17-24	+	3	L N	

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-1-1 6-0 3-1-1 9-0-1 1-1	vs.spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 5-5 1-1		
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
Rice	34-7	-15	W N		
Wash. †	21-12	+	2	W N	
§ Pittsburgh	63-28	-19	W A		
N'western	51-3	-21	W N		
§ Illinois	20-12	-14	L A		
Mich. St.	28-21	-13	L N		
§ Purdue	45-24	-20	W N		
Penn State	24-6	-	3	W N	
§ Wisconsin	14-14	-	6	L A	
Indiana	23-17	-16	L N		
§ Michigan	0-28	+	2	L N	
Holiday Bowl at San Diego					
§ BYU †	28-21	-14	L N		

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993																															
overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 10-3 7-0 3-2 10-0 0-3				vs.spr. 6-7 2-5 4-1 5-5 1-2				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 11-1 5-1 5-0 11-1 —				vs.spr. 8-4 4-2 3-2 8-4 —				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 9-4 5-1 3-2 7-1 1-3				vs.spr. 8-5 5-1 3-2 6-2 1-3				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 10-1-1 6-0 3-1-1 9-0-1 1-1				vs.spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 5-5 1-1			
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf													
Wyoming †	24-10	-	30	L	N	Rice	70-7	-	28	W	N	§ Bost. Coll. at E. Ruth.	38-6	-	4	W	A	§ Fresno St. † at Anaheim	34-10	-	13	W	N	Rice	34-7	-	15	W	N	Rice	34-7	-	15	W	N												
Bowl. Green	44-13	-	39	L	N	Pittsburgh	72-0	-	37	W	N	Wash.	30-20	-	8	W	N	§ Wash.	16-25	+	6	L	A	Wash. †	21-12	+	2	W	N	Wash. †	21-12	+	2	W	N												
Arizona	28-20	-	17	L	N	§ Notre Dame	29-16	-	3	W	N	§ Pittsburgh	54-14	-	10	W	A	§ Pittsburgh	27-3	-	17	W	N	§ Pittsburgh	63-28	-	19	W	A	§ Pittsburgh	63-28	-	19	W	A												
§ Missouri	31-10	-	15	W	N	Penn State	38-7	-	9	W	N	Notre Dame	45-26	-	7	W	N	Houston	52-0	-	39	W	N	N'western	51-3	-	21	W	N	N'western	51-3	-	21	W	N												
Iowa	23-7	-	3	W	N	Wisconsin	17-14	-	27	L	N	§ Penn State	28-25	-	2	W	N	§ N'western	17-15	-	15	L	A	§ Illinois	20-12	-	14	L	A	§ Illinois	20-12	-	14	L	A												
§ Penn State	27-31	+	6	W	N	§ Purdue	42-14	-	26	W	N	§ Wisconsin	27-16	-	4	W	A	§ Illinois	10-24	-	6	L	N	Mich. St.	28-21	-	13	L	N	Mich. St.	28-21	-	13	L	N												
Indiana	31-0	-	33	L	N	§ Iowa	38-26	-	15	L	N	Purdue	28-0	-	22	W	N	§ Mich. St.	23-7	+	1	W	A	§ Purdue	45-24	-	20	W	N	§ Purdue	45-24	-	20	W	N												
N'western	49-6	-	21	W	N	Minnesota	45-0	-	35	W	N	Iowa	56-35	-	17	W	N	Purdue	48-14	-	13	W	A	Penn State	24-6	-	3	W	N	Penn State	24-6	-	3	W	N												
§ Mich. St.	37-13	-	6	W	A	§ Illinois	48-0	-	29	W	A	§ Minnesota †	49-21	-	22	W	A	§ Penn State	14-63	+	13	L	N	§ Wisconsin	14-14	-	6	L	A	§ Wisconsin	14-14	-	6	L	A												
§ Minnesota	31-3	-	23	W	A	§ Indiana	27-17	-	35	L	N	Illinois	41-3	-	17	W	N	Wisconsin	24-3	-	2	W	N	Indiana	23-17	-	16	L	N	Indiana	23-17	-	16	L	N												
Illinois	41-6	-	39	L	N	Michigan	9-13	-	17	L	N	Indiana	42-3	-	33	W	N	§ Indiana	32-17	-	10	W	N	§ Michigan	0-28	+	2	L	N	§ Michigan	0-28	+	2	L	N												
§ Michigan	14-20	+	3	L	N	Rose Bowl at Pasadena					Michigan	23-31	-	9	L	N	Michigan	22-6	P	W	N	Holiday Bowl at San Diego					§ BYU †	28-21	-	14	L	N	§ BYU †	28-21	-	14	L	N									
Sugar Bowl at New Orleans						§ Arizona St.	20-17	-	2	W	N	Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando					§ Alabama	17-24	+	3	L	N																									
§ Florida St. †	14-31	+	6	L	A						§ Tennessee	14-20	-	4	L	N																															

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	14-0	8-6	13-0	7-6	—	4-2	0-1	3-3	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	42
HOME	8-0	7-1	8-0	7-1	—	4-0	—	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	45
AWAY	4-0	0-4	4-0	0-4	—	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	32
NAT.	12-0	8-4	11-0	7-4	—	4-1	—	3-3	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	43
ART.	2-0	0-2	2-0	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	36
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	21-5	13-12-1	19-2	11-10	1-0	6-3	1-1	3-6	2-2	2-1-1	0-1	0-0-1	1-0	1-0	44
HOME	12-2	9-5	12-1	9-4	—	6-0	—	3-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	45
AWAY	7-2	3-5-1	6-1	2-5	1-0	0-3	1-1	0-1	1-1	1-0-1	—	0-0-1	1-0	—	39
NAT.	18-5	12-10-1	16-2	10-8	—	6-2	1-0	3-6	2-2	2-1-1	0-1	0-0-1	1-0	1-0	44
ART.	3-0	1-2	3-0	1-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	43
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	29-9	18-19-1	26-5	15-16	1-1	7-4	1-2	6-9	2-3	2-2-1	0-2	0-0-1	1-0	1-0	44
HOME	16-4	11-9	16-3	11-8	0-1	6-0	—	5-7	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	46
AWAY	11-3	6-7-1	9-1	4-6	1-0	1-3	1-2	1-1	1-2	1-1-1	0-1	0-0-1	1-0	—	41
NAT.	24-9	16-16-1	22-5	14-13	0-1	7-3	1-0	6-9	2-3	2-2-1	0-2	0-0-1	1-0	1-0	45
ART.	5-0	2-3	4-0	1-3	1-0	0-1	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	41
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	46-16	30-31-1	42-9	25-26	2-1	7-6	2-2	14-17	3-6	4-4-1	1-2	0-1-1	1-0	2-1	45
HOME	26-7	17-16	26-6	17-15	1-1	6-1	1-0	9-13	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	49
AWAY	17-6	12-10-1	14-1	8-7	1-0	1-3	1-2	5-2	2-5	3-3-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-0	1-1	41
NAT.	37-14	25-25-1	35-8	22-21	1-1	7-4	2-0	12-16	2-5	3-3-1	0-2	0-0-1	1-0	2-1	47
ART.	9-2	5-6	7-1	3-5	1-0	0-2	0-2	2-1	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	39
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	97-27-1	69-55-1	90-13-1	60-44	7-1	12-9	5-3	36-31	5-13	7-10-1	3-4	1-4-1	1-0	2-2	46
HOME	55-9	38-26	53-8	36-25	3-1	8-2	3-0	22-22	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	47
AWAY	35-12-1	27-20-1	31-2-1	21-13	3-0	3-4	2-3	13-6	3-10	5-7-1	2-3	1-2-1	1-0	1-2	45
NAT.	77-23	55-44-1	73-12	49-36	6-1	9-6	5-1	29-28	3-10	5-7-1	1-4	1-1-1	1-0	2-2	46
ART.	20-4-1	14-11	17-1-1	11-8	1-0	3-3	0-2	7-3	2-3	2-3	2-0	0-3	—	—	43

OKLAHOMA SOONERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002										2001										2000										1999										1998									
		overall		12-2		8-6		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		11-2		6-7		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		7-5		7-4		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		5-6		5-6		str.up		vs.spr.			
		home		5-0		4-2		str.up		vs.spr.				home		6-1		2-5		str.up		vs.spr.				home		5-0		4-0		str.up		vs.spr.				home		3-2		3-2		str.up		vs.spr.			
		away		4-2		2-4		str.up		vs.spr.				away		3-1		3-1		str.up		vs.spr.				away		2-3		3-2		str.up		vs.spr.				away		2-3		2-3		str.up		vs.spr.			
		as fav		11-2		7-6		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		10-1		5-6		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		5-3		5-3		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		3-1		1-3		str.up		vs.spr.			
		as dog		1-0		1-0		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		1-1		1-1		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		1-2		2-1		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		2-5		4-3		str.up		vs.spr.			
opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf					
Tulsa †		37-0		-37		L A						No. Carolina †		41-27		-20		L N						Indiana St.		49-0		—		- N						N. Texas †		37-9		-18		W N							
Alabama		37-27		-12		L N						Air Force		44-3		-17		W N						Baylor		41-10		-12		W N						TCU †		10-9		- 6		L N							
UTEP †		68-0		-44		W N						N. Texas †		37-10		-42		L N						Louisville		42-21		- 1		W N						California †		12-13		- 3		L N							
So. Florida †		31-14		-29		L N						Kansas St.		38-37		- 5		L N						Notre Dame		30-34		+ 6		W N						Colorado		25-27		+ 4		W N							
Missouri †		31-24		-12		L N						Texas at Dallas		14-3		+ 3		W N						Texas at Dallas		28-38		+ 5		W N						Texas at Dallas		3-34		+ 8		L N							
Texas		35-24		+ 3		W N						Kansas †		38-10		-21		W A						Texas A&M †		51-6		+ 3		W N						Missouri		6-20		+16		W N							
Iowa St.		49-3		- 8		W N						Baylor		33-17		-35		L N						Colorado		24-38		- 4		L N						Okla. St. †		26-41		+ 8		L N							
Colorado		27-11		-13		W N						Nebraska		10-20		+ 4		L A						Missouri		37-0		-11		W N						Iowa St.		17-14		- 7		L N							
Texas A&M		26-30		-10		L N						Tulsa		58-0		-41		W N						Iowa St.		31-10		- 7		W N						Texas A&M		0-29		+19		L N							
Baylor		49-9		-38		W N						Texas A&M		31-10		-17		W N						Texas Tech		28-38		-12		L A						Baylor		28-16		+ 4		W N							
Texas Tech †		60-15		-13		W N						Texas Tech		30-13		- 7		W A						Okla. St.		44-7		-13		W N						Texas Tech		20-17		+ 8		W N							
Okla. St.		28-38		-15		L A						Okla. St.		13-16		-26		L N						Independence Bowl at Shreveport		25-27		- 3		L N																			
Big 12 Championship at Houston												Cotton Bowl at Dallas												Mississippi †		25-27		- 3		L N																			
Colorado †		29-7		- 7		W N						Arkansas		10-3		-12		L N																															
Rose Bowl at Pasadena																																																	
Wash St.		34-14		- 6		W N																																											

1997										1996										1995										1994										1993									
		overall		4-8		5-7		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		3-8		4-6		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		6-6		3-9		str.up		vs.spr.				overall		9-1		6-6		str.up		vs.spr.			
		home		3-3		2-4		str.up		vs.spr.				home		0-5		0-4		str.up		vs.spr.				home		3-2		1-4		str.up		vs.spr.				home		4-1		2-3		str.up		vs.spr.			
		away		1-3		2-2		str.up		vs.spr.				away		2-3		3-2		str.up		vs.spr.				away		2-2		2-3		str.up		vs.spr.				away		3-2		2-3		str.up		vs.spr.			
		as fav		2-1		1-2		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		1-2		1-2		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		5-1		1-5		str.up		vs.spr.				as fav		9-2		6-5		str.up		vs.spr.			
		as dog		2-7		4-5		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		2-6		3-4		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		1-5		2-4		str.up		vs.spr.				as dog		0-1		0-1		str.up		vs.spr.			
opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf				opponent		score		vs.		spread		surf					
N'western at Chicago		0-24		+ 4		L N						TCU		7-20		-10		L N						Syracuse †		30-29		- 8		L A						TCU †		35-3		-18		W A							
Syracuse		36-34		+10		W N						SDSU †		31-51		+ 5		L N						Texas A&M		14-36		+ 2		L A						Texas A&M		44-14		- 1		W A							
California		36-40		+ 4		W N						Tulsa		24-31		- 9		L N						Texas Tech		17-11		-22		L N						Tulsa		41-20		-24		L A							
Louisville †		35-14		- 7		W N						Kansas		24-52		+ 9		L N						Iowa St.		34-6		-30		L N						Iowa St.		24-7		-20		L A							
Kansas		17-20		- 3		L A						Texas at Dallas		30-27*		+21		W N						Texas at Dallas		10-17		- 3		L N						Texas at Dallas		38-17		-11		W N							
Texas at Dallas		24-27		+ 4		W N						Baylor †		28-24		+ 6		W A						Colorado †		7-45		+11		L A						Colorado		10-27		- 5		L A							
Baylor		24-23		-15		L N						Kansas St.		35-42		+19		W A						Kansas		20-17		+ 1		W A						Kansas		38-23		-19		L A							
Kansas St.		7-26		+ 9		L N						Nebraska		21-73		+35		L N						Kansas St.		20-37		+ 2		L N						Kansas St.		7-21		- 8		L A							
Nebraska		7-69		+37		L A						Okla. St.		27-17		- 1		W A						Missouri		30-13		-17		L N						Missouri		42-23		-12		W A							
Okla. St.		7-30		+ 6		L A						Texas A&M		16-33		+15		L N						Okla. St.		33-14		- 9		W A						Okla. St.		31-0		-19		W A							
Texas A&M		7-51		+15		L N						Texas Tech		12-22		+10		P N						Nebraska		3-13		+14		W N						Nebraska		7-21		+ 8		L A							
Texas Tech		32-21		+24		W A																		Copper Bowl at Tucson		6-31		+ 1		L N						John Hancock Bowl at El Paso		41-10		- 6		W A							
																								BYU †																									

STATISTICS SUMMARY		OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.		AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG		AS DOG VS. SPREAD					TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME	
		STRAIGHT-UP		VS. SPREAD		STR.-UP		ALL			STR.-UP		ALL						
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002		12-2		8-6		11-2		7-6			1-0		1-0			54			
HOME		6-0		4-2		6-0		4-2			—		—			57			
AWAY		4-2		2-4		3-2		1-4			—		—			55			
NAT.		11-1		8-4		10-1		7-4			—		—			54			
ART.		1-1		0-2		1-1		0-2			—		—			51			
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002		23-4		14-13		21-3		12-12			2-1		2-1			49			
HOME		12-1		6-7		12-1		6-7			—		—			54			
AWAY		7-3		5-5		6-2		4-4			—		—			49			
NAT.		20-2		12-10		18-2		10-10			—		—			49			
ART.		3-2		2-3		3-1		2-2			—		—			44			
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002		36-4		22-18		30-3		16-17			1-0		2-2			49			
HOME		18-1		9-10		17-1		8-10			—		—			53			
AWAY		11-3		7-7		9-2		5-6			—		—			51			
NAT.		31-2		19-14		26-2		14-14			1-0		2-2			51			
ART.		5-2		3-4		4-1		2-3			—		—			45			
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002		48-15		34-28		38-7		22-23			2-1		3-5			48			
HOME		26-3		16-12		22-2		12-12			0-1		0-2			50			
AWAY		15-9		12-12		12-4		7-9			1-0		2-2			50			
NAT.		43-11		31-22		34-5		20-19			2-1		2-2			48			
ART.		5-4		3-6		4-2		2-4			—		—			49			
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002		75-45-1		53-65-2		60-15-1		32-43-1			4-2		4-9			48			
HOME		39-17		22-31-2		34-7		16-24-1			1-1		0-4			49			
AWAY		26-21		21-26		20-6		11-15			2-0		2-3			50			
NAT.		55-31-1		39-45-2		44-10-1		23-31-1			2-2		2-7			48			
ART.		20-14		14-20		16-5		9-12			2-0		2-2			49			

REAL LINES • REAL TIME • UNREAL PRICE

• **FREE** •

FEISTLINES.COM

FREE LINES AND MORE

LIVE LINES FROM THE BEST OFFSHORE SPORTSBOOKS • JIM FEIST'S PERSONAL LINE
BOXSCORES ON EVERY GAME • CURRENT SCORES FOR GAMES IN PROGRESS
WEATHER & INJURY REPORTS • LINE HISTORY

UP-TO-THE-SECOND OFFSHORE LINES -
DIRECT TO YOUR COMPUTER
ABSOLUTELY FREE!

AVAILABLE
IN HTML
& JAVA
VERSIONS!

Feist's Offshore Connection

20% CASH BACK

CLICK

Game	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
12:00 PM 127 Milwaukee vs 128 Chicago	12	15	175	195	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185
1:00 PM 129 New York vs 130 Boston	9	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
1:00 PM 131 New York vs 132 Boston	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1:00 PM 133 New York vs 134 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 135 New York vs 136 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 137 New York vs 138 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 139 New York vs 140 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 141 New York vs 142 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 143 New York vs 144 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 145 New York vs 146 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 147 New York vs 148 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 149 New York vs 150 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 151 New York vs 152 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 153 New York vs 154 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 155 New York vs 156 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 157 New York vs 158 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 159 New York vs 160 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 161 New York vs 162 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 163 New York vs 164 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 165 New York vs 166 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 167 New York vs 168 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 169 New York vs 170 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 171 New York vs 172 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 173 New York vs 174 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 175 New York vs 176 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 177 New York vs 178 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 179 New York vs 180 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 181 New York vs 182 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 183 New York vs 184 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 185 New York vs 186 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 187 New York vs 188 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 189 New York vs 190 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 191 New York vs 192 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 193 New York vs 194 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 195 New York vs 196 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 197 New York vs 198 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
1:00 PM 199 New York vs 200 Boston	21	23	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21

FOX 3:30p
CBS

WORLD CHAMPION
HANDICAPPER
JIM FEIST

THE OFFICIAL INTERNATIONAL ROTATION

SIGN UP FREE @
FEISTLINES.COM

OKLAHOMA STATE COWBOYS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-5 6-1 4-0 3-4	vs.spr. 8-4 5-1 2-2 4-0 4-3	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-4 2-3 2-1 1-6	vs.spr. 4-6 1-4 3-2 1-2 3-4	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-6 2-4 1-4 2-0 0-8	vs.spr. 3-6-1 3-1-1 0-5 1-1 2-5-1	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 4-2 1-4 0-6 1-5	vs.spr. 4-5-1 3-3 1-3-1 3-1-1 1-5	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 4-1 1-4 4-1 0-5	vs.spr. 5-6 2-3 2-3 2-3 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ La. Tech † at Shreveport	36-39	P	L	§ So. Miss.	9-17	+12	W	§ Tulsa †	36-26	-14	L	§ La-Lafayette †	24-7	-34	L	§ Kansas	38-28	-9	W
N. Iowa †	45-10	-	A	La. Tech †	30-23	-10	L	SW Tex. St.	23-0	-	A	Tulsa	46-9	-9	W	§ Tulsa †	20-35	-8	L
UCLA †	24-38	+7	L	§ Texas A&M †	7-21	+10	L	So. Miss. †	6-28	+5	L	§ Miss. St.	11-29	+3	L	Miss. St. †	42-23	P	W
SMU †	52-16	-17	W	Nwestern St. †	24-0	-	A	§ Texas	7-42	+21	L	§ Nebraska	14-38	+27	W	§ Nebraska † at Kansas City	17-24	+26	W
§ Texas	15-17	+24	W	Missouri †	38-41*	-7	L	§ Missouri	10-24	+3	L	Texas Tech †	41-21	-3	W	§ Texas Tech †	17-24	+3	L
§ Kansas St.	9-44	+15	L	Texas	17-45	+18	L	§ Iowa St. †	26-33	+7	P	Kansas St.	21-44	+6	L	§ Kansas St.	20-52	+21	L
Nebraska	24-21	+9	W	§ Iowa St.	14-28	+8	L	§ Colorado	21-37	+10	L	§ Texas A&M	3-21	+11	L	Oklahoma †	41-26	-8	W
Texas A&M	28-23	+3	W	Colorado †	19-22	+9	W	Texas A&M	16-21	+15	W	Texas	21-34	+8	L	Texas A&M	6-17	+5	L
§ Texas Tech	24-49	+9	L	Texas Tech	30-49	+5	L	§ Texas Tech	0-58	+9	L	Kansas	45-13	-7	W	§ Texas	34-37	+11	W
§ Kansas	55-20	-23	W	§ Baylor	38-22	-7	W	Baylor	50-22	-16	W	§ Baylor	34-14	-20	P	La-Lafayette	44-20	-35	L
Baylor	63-28	-28	W	§ Oklahoma	16-13	+26	W	Oklahoma	7-12	+26	W	§ Oklahoma	7-44	+13	L	Baylor	24-10	-15	L
Oklahoma	38-28	+15	W																
Houston Bowl at Houston																			
§ So. Miss.	33-23	-9	W																

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-2 4-1 5-2 3-2	vs.spr. 9-3 4-2 5-0 5-2 4-1	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 5-2 0-3 1-6 2-5	vs.spr. 4-5-1 3-2-1 1-2 2-0-1 2-5	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-6 1-4 3-4 1-1 2-6	vs.spr. 6-5 3-1 3-4 0-2 6-2	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-7-1 2-2-1 1-5 2-1-1 0-6	vs.spr. 4-6 0-4 4-2 1-3 3-3	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-4 1-4 1-1 1-5	vs.spr. 4-5 2-2 2-3 0-2 4-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Iowa St. †	21-14	+6	W	S.W. Mo. St. †	23-20*	-	A	Nebraska †	21-64	+28	L	§ N. Illinois †	31-14	-9	W	S.W. Mo. St. †	45-7	-	A
§ La-Lafayette †	31-7	-6	W	§ Texas Tech at Dallas	3-31	+14	L	§ Tulsa †	23-24	-1	L	§ Baylor †	10-14	+12	W	§ Tulsa †	16-10	+3	W
Fresno St. †	35-0	-8	W	Tulsa †	30-9	-3	W	S.W. Mo. St. †	35-7	-	A	Tulsa †	17-10	-10	L	§ Arizona St. †	10-12	+14	W
La-Monroe †	38-7	-20	W	Utah St. †	31-17	-6	W	§ Wyoming	25-45	+10	L	N. Texas †	36-34	-	A	TCU	27-22	-15	L
Texas	42-16	+6	W	§ Texas †	14-71	+17	L	§ Tennessee	0-31	+35	W	§ Nebraska	3-32	+28	L	Nebraska †	13-27	+20	W
Colorado †	33-29	+3	W	§ Colorado †	13-35	+32	W	Kansas St.	17-23	+17	W	Missouri	15-24	-8	L	§ Missouri	9-42	-3	L
Missouri	50-51*	-10	L	Iowa St.	28-27	-1	P	§ Missouri	30-26	+1	W	Iowa St.	31-31	-9	L	§ Iowa St.	17-20	P	L
§ Texas A&M †	25-28*	+9	W	§ Missouri	28-35*	+3	L	§ Iowa St.	14-38	P	L	§ Kansas	14-24	+14	W	Kansas	6-13	-	A
§ Oklahoma	30-7	-6	W	Texas A&M	19-38	+13	L	Colorado	32-45	+23	W	§ Colorado	3-17	+29	W	Colorado	14-31	+16	L
Texas Tech	3-27	-5	L	Oklahoma	17-27	+1	L	§ Oklahoma	12-0	+15	W	Oklahoma	14-33	+9	L	§ Oklahoma	0-31	+19	L
§ Baylor	24-14	-9	W	Baylor	37-17	+2	W	Kansas	17-22	+9	W	§ Kansas St.	6-23	+16	L	Kansas St.	17-21	+5	W
Alamo Bowl at San Antonio								§ Hawaii †	24-20	-5	L								
§ Purdue †	20-33	+4	L																

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	8-4	4-0	4-0	—	—	1-0	3-0	3-4	4-3	—	1-1	1-1	2-1	61
HOME	6-1	5-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	3-1	3-1	—	1-1	1-0	1-0	62
AWAY	1-3	2-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	58
NAT.	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	44
ART.	7-4	6-4	3-0	3-0	—	—	—	3-0	3-3	3-3	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	64
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-12	12-10	6-1	5-2	—	1-0	1-1	3-1	4-10	7-7	—	1-2	2-2	4-3	55
HOME	8-5	6-5	3-1	2-2	—	—	0-1	2-1	3-4	4-3	—	1-2	2-0	1-1	59
AWAY	3-6	5-4	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	1-6	3-4	—	—	0-2	3-2	46
NAT.	3-4	5-2	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-4	3-2	—	—	0-1	3-1	39
ART.	9-8	7-8	4-1	3-2	—	—	0-1	3-1	3-6	4-5	—	1-2	2-1	1-2	61
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	15-20	15-16-1	8-1	6-3	—	1-0	1-1	4-2	4-18	9-12-1	0-1	1-3-1	2-3	6-5	52
HOME	10-9	9-6-1	4-1	3-2	—	—	0-1	3-1	3-8	6-4-1	—	1-3-1	2-0	3-1	53
AWAY	4-10	5-9	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	1-10	3-8	0-1	—	0-3	3-4	48
NAT.	3-7	5-5	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-7	3-5	0-1	—	0-1	3-3	41
ART.	12-13	10-11-1	6-1	4-3	—	—	0-1	4-2	3-11	6-7-1	—	1-3-1	2-2	3-2	56
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	25-32	24-28-2	17-2	11-7-1	—	3-0	4-2	4-5-1	4-29	12-20-1	0-3	1-5-1	2-4	9-8	52
HOME	18-12	14-12-1	11-1	7-5	—	2-0	2-1	3-4	3-11	6-7-1	—	1-5-1	2-1	3-1	53
AWAY	6-18	8-15-1	5-1	3-2-1	—	1-0	1-1	1-1-1	1-17	5-13	0-3	—	0-3	5-7	49
NAT.	4-12	7-8-1	3-0	2-0-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-0-1	1-12	5-8	0-2	—	0-1	5-5	43
ART.	21-20	17-20-1	14-2	9-7	—	2-0	3-2	4-5	3-17	7-12-1	0-1	1-5-1	2-3	4-3	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	48-65-1	51-52-3	29-7-1	19-16-2	1-1-1	6-3	7-6	5-6-1	11-54	31-33-1	3-4	5-7-1	4-5	19-17	48
HOME	32-26-1	26-23-2	18-4-1	11-11-1	1-0-1	3-1	3-5	4-5	6-21	14-12-1	2-1	3-5-1	3-2	6-4	51
AWAY	15-35	23-26-1	10-3	7-5-1	0-1	3-2	3-1	1-1-1	5-30	16-19	1-3	2-1	1-3	12-12	45
NAT.	9-19	15-12-1	5-0	4-0-1	—	3-0	1-0	0-0-1	4-19	11-12	1-2	1-1	1-1	8-8	43
ART.	39-46-1	36-40-2	24-7-1	15-16-1	1-1-1	3-3	6-6	5-6	7-35	20-21-1	2-2	4-6-1	3-4	11-9	50

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 7-6 5-3 2-2 5-3 1-3	vs. spr. 5-7 3-4 2-2 4-4 1-3	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 11-1 5-1 5-0 8-1 3-0	vs. spr. 7-5 1-5 5-0 4-5 3-0	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 10-2 6-0 3-2 8-0 2-2	vs. spr. 6-6 3-3 2-3 3-5 3-1	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 9-3 6-0 2-3 7-0 2-3	vs. spr. 7-5 4-2 2-3 4-3 3-2	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 8-4 6-0 2-3 8-2 0-2	vs. spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 5-5 1-1					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
Miss. St.	36-13	-12	W	A	Wisconsin †	31-28	-11	L	A	Nevada	36-7	-33	L	A	Mich. St. †	20-27	+10	W	A	Mich. St.	48-14	-1	W	A
Fresno St.	28-24	-17	L	A	Utah	24-10	-17	L	A	Wisconsin	23-27	+6	W	A	UTEP	47-28	-21	L	A	UTEP †	33-26	-20	L	A
Idaho	58-21	-32	W	A	USC †	24-22	-6	L	A	Idaho	42-13	-21	W	A	Nevada	72-10	-14	W	A	San Jose St.	58-3	-23	W	A
Port. St.	41-0	—	-	A	Utah St. †	38-21	-15	W	N	UCLA	29-10	-3	W	A	USC †	33-30*	-1	W	A	Stanford	63-28	-16	W	A
Arizona †	31-14	-8	W	N	Arizona †	63-28	-5	W	N	Wash.	23-16	-1	W	A	Wash. †	20-34	+2	L	A	Wash. St.	51-29	-13	W	A
UCLA	31-30	+2	W	N	California	48-7	-12	W	N	USC	28-17	+1	W	N	UCLA †	29-34	+3	L	N	UCLA	38-41*	+10	W	N
Arizona St.	42-45	-10	L	A	Stanford	42-49	-7	L	A	Arizona †	14-10	-9	L	A	Arizona †	44-41	+3	W	N	USC	17-13	-7	L	A
USC	33-44	+1	L	A	Wash. St.	24-17	+2	W	A	Arizona St.	56-55*	-5	L	N	Arizona St.	20-17	-8	L	A	Arizona †	3-38	+2	L	N
Stanford	41-14	-15	W	A	Arizona St. †	42-24	-10	W	A	Wash. St.	27-24*	-9	L	A	Wash. St. †	52-10	-15	W	A	Wash.	27-22	-6	L	A
Wash. St.	21-32	+3	L	A	UCLA	21-20	+1	W	N	California	25-17	-12	L	A	California	24-19	-7	L	N	Arizona St.	51-19	-8	W	A
Wash.	14-42	-6	L	A	Oregon St.	17-14	-10	L	A	Oregon St.	13-23	+5	L	A	Oregon St.	25-14	-6	W	A	Oregon St.	41-44*	-9	L	A
Oregon St.	24-45	+5	L	A	Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ				Holiday Bowl at San Diego				Sun Bowl at El Paso				Aloha Bowl at Honolulu							
Seattle Bowl at Seattle	17-38	-8	L	A	Colorado	38-16	+3	W	N	Texas †	35-30	+7	W	N	Minnesota	24-20	+3	W	A	Colorado	43-51	-5	L	A

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 7-5 4-2 2-2 5-0 2-5	vs. spr. 8-4 4-2 3-2 4-1 4-3	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 6-5 4-2 2-3 5-0 1-5	vs. spr. 5-6 3-3 2-3 3-2 2-4	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 9-3 4-2 5-0 7-2 2-1	vs. spr. 6-5-1 2-4 4-0-1 4-1-1 2-1	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 9-4 6-1 3-2 5-2 3-2	vs. spr. 7-5 5-1 2-3 4-3 3-2	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str up 5-6 2-3 3-3 4-2 1-4	vs. spr. 5-6 1-4 4-2 3-3 2-3					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
Arizona †	16-9	+3	W	A	Fresno St. †	30-27*	-6	L	N	Utah †	27-20	-7	P	N	Port. St.	58-16	—	-	A	Colo. St.	23-9	-8	W	N
Nevada	24-20	-3	W	A	Nevada	44-30	-16	L	A	Illinois †	34-31	-5	L	A	Hawaii †	16-36	-7	L	A	Montana	35-30	-28	L	A
Fresno St.	43-40*	-19	L	A	Colo. St.	35-28	-4	W	A	UCLA	38-31	+5	W	N	Utah	16-34	-3	L	A	Illinois	13-7	-5	W	A
Stanford †	49-58	+8	L	N	Wash. St.	44-55	+2	L	A	Stanford	21-28	-9	L	A	Iowa	40-18	-1	W	A	California	41-42	+12	W	A
Wash. St.	13-24	+7	L	A	Arizona St. †	27-48	+12	L	A	Pacific	45-7	-29	W	A	USC	22-7	+20	W	N	USC	13-24	+2	L	A
UCLA	31-39	+11	W	A	UCLA †	22-41	+4	L	A	California	52-30	-5	W	N	Wash. St.	7-21	+12	L	A	Arizona St. †	45-36	+2	W	N
Utah	31-13	-7	W	A	Stanford	22-27*	+4	W	N	Wash. St. †	26-7	-6	W	A	California	23-7	-2	W	A	Wash.	6-21	+10	L	A
USC †	22-24	+8	W	N	Wash.	14-33	+6	L	A	Arizona St.	24-35	-13	L	A	Wash.	31-20	+8	W	A	Wash. St.	46-23	-3	W	A
Wash.	31-28	+21	W	A	Arizona	49-31	+3	W	A	Wash.	24-22	+3	W	A	Arizona	10-9	+7	W	A	Arizona †	10-31	+7	L	N
Arizona St.	31-52	+9	L	N	California	40-23	-2	W	A	Arizona	17-13	-2	W	N	Arizona St.	34-10	-6	W	A	Stanford	34-38	-5	L	A
Oregon St.	48-30	-14	W	A	Oregon St.	49-13	-8	W	A	Oregon St.	12-10	-15	L	A	Stanford	55-21	-3	W	N	Oregon St.	12-15	-7	L	A
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas				Cotton Bowl at Dallas				Rose Bowl at Pasadena																
Air Force	41-13	-3	W	A	Colorado	6-38	+7	L	N	Penn State	20-38	+17	L	N										

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	5-7	5-3	4-4	—	0-1	1-2	3-1	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-2	—	—	59
HOME	5-3	3-4	4-2	3-3	—	0-1	0-1	3-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	62
AWAY	2-2	2-2	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-2	—	—	57
NAT.	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53
ART.	5-6	3-7	4-3	3-4	—	0-1	0-2	3-1	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-2	—	—	61
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-7	12-12	13-4	8-9	—	1-2	1-3	6-4	4-3	4-3	4-1	0-2	—	—	57
HOME	10-4	4-9	9-3	4-8	—	0-2	0-2	4-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	58
AWAY	7-2	7-2	4-0	4-0	—	1-0	1-0	2-0	3-2	3-2	3-0	0-2	—	—	57
NAT.	7-0	7-0	4-0	4-0	—	1-0	1-0	2-0	3-0	3-0	3-0	—	—	—	58
ART.	11-7	5-12	9-4	4-9	—	0-2	0-3	4-4	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-2	—	—	57
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	28-9	18-18	21-4	11-14	1-0	2-3	1-5	7-6	6-5	7-4	5-1	1-3	1-0	—	55
HOME	16-4	7-12	15-3	7-11	1-0	1-2	0-3	5-6	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	53
AWAY	10-4	9-5	6-0	4-2	—	1-1	1-1	2-0	4-4	5-3	4-0	1-3	—	—	57
NAT.	10-0	9-1	5-0	4-1	—	1-1	1-0	2-0	5-0	5-0	4-0	—	1-0	—	62
ART.	18-9	9-17	16-4	7-13	1-0	1-2	0-5	5-6	1-5	2-4	1-1	1-3	—	—	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	45-16	31-29	36-6	20-22	3-0	3-7	2-7	12-8	8-10	11-7	6-3	2-4	3-0	—	58
HOME	28-4	15-16	27-3	15-15	3-0	2-4	1-4	9-7	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	55
AWAY	14-10	13-11	9-1	5-5	—	1-2	1-2	3-1	5-9	8-6	5-2	1-4	2-0	—	60
NAT.	12-3	11-4	6-0	4-2	—	1-2	1-0	2-0	6-3	7-2	5-1	0-1	2-0	—	62
ART.	33-13	20-25	30-6	16-20	3-0	2-5	1-7	10-8	2-7	4-5	1-2	2-3	1-0	—	56
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	81-39	62-55-1	62-12	38-35-1	10-1	10-12-1	4-9	14-13	17-27	24-20	10-5	4-8	6-3	4-4	56
HOME	48-14	30-30	42-8	25-25	7-1	6-6	1-6	11-12	4-6	5-5	2-2	0-2	2-1	1-0	54
AWAY	29-21	28-21-1	19-2	12-8-1	3-0	3-5-1	3-2	3-1	10-19	16-13	7-3	3-5	3-2	3-3	58
NAT.	22-11	21-11-1	13-0	9-3-1	3-0	2-3-1	2-0	2-0	9-11	12-8	6-1	2-3	3-2	1-2	60
ART.	59-28	41-44	49-12	29-32	7-1	8-9	2-9	12-13	8-16	12-12	4-4	2-5	3-1	3-2	54

OREGON STATE BEAVERS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 8-5 home 6-1 away 2-3 as fav 7-4 as dog 0-1 str.up 6-6 vs.spr. 5-1 1-4 6-5 0-1	overall 5-6 home 4-1 away 1-5 as fav 3-1 as dog 1-5 str.up 5-5 vs.spr. 2-2 3-3 1-3 4-2	overall 11-1 home 6-0 away 4-1 as fav 7-0 as dog 2-1 str.up 9-2 vs.spr. 5-0 3-2 5-2 3-0	overall 7-5 home 5-1 away 2-3 as fav 4-1 as dog 2-4 str.up 8-3 vs.spr. 4-1 4-1 4-2	overall 5-6 home 3-3 away 2-3 as fav 2-0 as dog 1-6 str.up 7-3 vs.spr. 5-1 2-2 2-0 4-3
opponent score vs. spread surf Eastern Ky. † 49-10 — - A Temple † 35-3 -10 W A UNLV 47-17 -16- W A Fresno St. 59-19 -13- W A USC 0-22 + 3- L N UCLA 35-43 - 5- L A Arizona St. † 9-13 - 1- L N California 24-13 - 6 W A Arizona 38-3 -17 W A Wash. 29-41 - 4 L A Stanford 31-21 -12- L N Oregon 45-24 - 5 W A Insight Bowl at Phoenix § Pittsburgh † 13-38 - 2- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Fresno St. † 24-44 - 3- L N N. Mex. St. † 27-22 -16 L N UCLA 7-38 + 3- L A Wash. St. 27-34 +12- W A Arizona † 38-3 - 7- W A Arizona St. 24-41 + 3- L N California 19-10 -17- L A USC 13-16* + 6- W N Wash. 49-24 + 3- W A N. Arizona 45-10 — - A Oregon 14-17 +10- W A	opponent score vs. spread surf E. Wash. 21-19 — - A New Mexico † 28-20 - 8- L N SDSU 35-3 -16- W A USC 31-21 + 6- W A Wash. † 30-33 + 9- W A Stanford 38-6 - 9 W A UCLA 44-38 + 3 W N Wash. St. 38-9 -13 W A California 38-32 - 6- L N Arizona † 33-9 P W N Oregon 23-13 - 5- W A Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ § Notre Dame † 41-9 - 3- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Nevada 28-13 + 1 W N Fresno St. 46-23 - 3- W A Ga. Southern 48-41 — - A USC 29-37 +13- W N Wash. 21-47 + 2- L A Stanford 17-21 + 8 W N UCLA 55-7 + 1 W A Wash. St. 27-13 - 5 W A California 17-7 - 9 W A Arizona † 28-20 - 3 W A Oregon 14-25 + 6 L A Oahu Bowl at Honolulu § Hawaii 17-23 - 8- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Nevada 48-6 - 8- W A Baylor 27-17 - 7 W A USC † 20-40 +14 L N Arizona St. † 3-24 +10- L N Utah St. † 20-16 - 4 P N Stanford 30-23 P W N Arizona 7-28 + 7- L A Wash. 34-35 +16- W A California 19-20 + 2 W A UCLA 34-41 +16- W A Oregon 44-41* + 9 W A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 3-8 home 3-4 away 0-4 as fav 3-0 as dog 0-8 str.up 4-7 vs.spr. 4-3 0-4 2-1 2-6	overall 2-9 home 2-4 away 0-5 as fav 1-1 as dog 1-8 str.up 4-7 vs.spr. 3-3 1-4 1-1 3-6	overall 1-10 home 1-4 away 0-6 as fav 0-2 as dog 0-8 str.up 2-6 vs.spr. 1-1 1-2 0-2 2-4	overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 2-1 as dog 2-5 str.up 7-4 vs.spr. 3-3 4-1 1-2 6-1	overall 4-7 home 2-3 away 2-4 as fav 1-0 as dog 3-7 str.up 7-4 vs.spr. 4-1 3-3 1-0 6-4
opponent score vs. spread surf N. Texas 33-7 -13 W A Stanford 24-27 +11- W A Arizona St. 10-13 +11 W A San Jose St. 26-12 -18 L A Utah St. 24-16 - 7 W A UCLA 10-34 +22- L N Wash. 17-45 +24 L A California 14-33 + 5 L N Arizona † 7-27 +16- L N USC 0-23 +12 L A Oregon 30-48 +14 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Montana 14-35 - 3 L A USC 17-46 +26- L N Baylor 10-42 +17- L A California 42-48* +15- W N Wash. St. 3-24 + 9 L A Stanford 26-12 + 9 W A Arizona † 7-33 +19- L N Arizona St. 14-29 +23- W A Wash. 3-42 +23- L A N. Illinois 67-28 -21 W A Oregon 13-49 + 8- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Idaho 14-7 — - A Pacific † 10-23 -13- L N N. Texas 27-30 -14- L A Arizona St. † 11-20 + 9 P N Wash. 16-26 + 6- L A Wash. St. 14-40 +10 L A California 12-13 + 1 P A Stanford 3-24 + 9- L N Arizona † 9-14 + 7 W A USC † 10-28 +15- L A Oregon 10-12 +15- W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Arizona St. † 16-22 +11- W N Wyoming 44-31 - 6- W A Fresno St. † 14-24 P L N Arizona † 10-30 +22 W N USC 19-27 + 5 L A UCLA † 23-14 + 7- W N Stanford 29-35 - 2- L A Wash. 10-24 +14- W A Pacific 24-12 -18 L A Wash. St. 21-3 + 6- W A Oregon 13-17 + 5- W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Wyoming 27-16 + 9 W N Fresno St. † 30-48 +16- L N Wash. St. 6-51 +10 L A Arizona 0-33 +14 L A Arizona St. 30-14 +18 W A Pacific 42-7 -11 W A USC 9-34 +22 L N UCLA 17-20 +22 W A Stanford 27-31 +13 W N Wash. 21-28 +13- W A Oregon 15-12 + 7- W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	6-6	7-4	6-5	0-2	2-2	1-0	3-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	52		
HOME	6-1	5-1	5-1	5-1	—	2-1	—	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	60		
AWAY	2-3	1-4	2-2	1-3	0-1	0-1	1-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	40		
NAT.	1-3	0-4	1-2	0-3	0-2	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	36		
ART.	7-2	6-2	6-2	6-2	—	2-2	1-0	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	59		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-11	11-11	10-5	7-8	0-2	2-3	2-0	3-3	1-6	4-3	—	2-3	—	2-0	51		
HOME	10-2	7-3	7-1	6-2	—	2-1	1-0	3-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	55		
AWAY	3-8	4-7	3-3	1-5	0-1	0-2	1-0	0-2	0-5	3-2	—	1-2	—	2-0	46		
NAT.	2-6	1-7	2-3	0-5	0-2	0-1	—	0-2	0-3	1-2	—	1-2	—	—	44		
ART.	11-5	10-4	8-2	7-3	—	2-2	2-0	3-1	1-3	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	54		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-12	20-13	17-5	12-10	0-2	4-4	3-1	5-3	3-7	7-3	1-0	3-3	1-0	2-0	51		
HOME	16-2	12-3	11-1	10-2	—	3-1	2-0	5-1	2-1	2-1	—	2-1	—	—	51		
AWAY	7-9	7-9	5-3	1-7	0-1	0-3	1-1	0-2	1-6	5-2	1-0	1-2	1-0	2-0	50		
NAT.	7-6	4-9	5-3	1-7	0-2	1-2	0-1	0-2	1-3	2-2	1-0	1-2	—	—	50		
ART.	17-6	16-4	12-2	11-3	—	3-2	3-0	5-1	2-4	5-1	—	2-1	1-0	2-0	51		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	36-23	35-19-1	24-6	18-11-1	1-2	7-4-1	5-2	5-3	6-17	15-8	4-1	3-4	3-1	5-2	51		
HOME	24-6	21-5	16-1	15-2	1-0	5-1	4-0	5-1	4-5	6-3	2-1	2-1	1-1	1-0	53		
AWAY	11-15	13-12-1	7-3	2-7-1	0-1	1-3-1	1-1	0-2	2-12	9-5	2-0	1-3	2-0	4-2	49		
NAT.	10-10	8-11-1	6-3	1-7-1	0-2	1-2-1	0-1	0-2	2-7	5-4	2-0	1-2	1-0	1-2	48		
ART.	26-13	27-8	18-3	17-4	1-0	6-2	5-1	5-1	4-10	10-4	2-1	2-2	2-1	4-0	53		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	50-64	59-47-3	31-10	23-17-1	1-4	9-4-1	5-2	8-7	12-53	34-29-2	4-1-1	6-7	7-6-1	17-15	48		
HOME	35-24	36-17-1	23-3	20-6	1-2	7-1	4-0	8-3	7-21	16-11-1	2-1-1	5-3	2-3	7-4	48		
AWAY	14-38	22-28-2	7-5	2-9-1	0-1	1-3-1	1-1	0-4	5-32	18-18-1	2-0	1-4	5-3-1	10-11	47		
NAT.	12-25	14-21-2	6-4	1-8-1	0-2	1-2-1	0-1	0-3	4-20	11-12-1	2-0	1-3	3-1-1	5-8	47		
ART.	38-39	45-26-1	25-6	22-9	1-2	8-2	5-1	8-4	8-33	23-17-1	2-1-1	5-4	4-5	12-7	48		

PENN STATE NITTANY LIONS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 9-4 8-5 home 7-1 5-3 away 2-2 3-1 as fav 7-2 5-4 as dog 2-2 3-1	overall 5-6 7-4 home 3-3 3-3 away 2-3 4-1 as fav 2-2 2-2 as dog 3-4 5-2	overall 5-7 5-7 home 4-2 4-2 away 0-4 0-4 as fav 4-4 4-4 as dog 1-3 1-3	overall 10-3 7-6 home 5-2 3-4 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 10-2 7-5 as dog 0-1 0-1	overall 9-3 6-6 home 6-0 5-1 away 2-3 0-5 as fav 9-1 6-4 as dog 0-2 0-2
opponent score vs. spread surf C. Florida 27-24 -14 L N Nebraska † 40-7 +4 W N La. Tech 49-17 -13 W N Iowa 35-42* -8 L N @ Wisconsin 34-31 +2 W A @ Michigan 24-27* +4 W N N'western 49-0 -25 W N @ Ohio State 7-13 +4 L N Illinois 18-7 -16 L N Virginia 35-14 -16 W N @ Indiana 58-25 -25 W N Mich. St. 61-7 -21 W N Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando § Auburn 9-13 -7 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Miami-Fla † 7-33 +13 L N Wisconsin 6-18 -1 L N @ Iowa 18-24 +9 W N @ Michigan 0-20 +12 L N @ N'western 38-35 +10 W N Ohio State 29-27 +6 W N So. Miss. 38-20 -2 W N @ Illinois 28-33 +10 W A Indiana 28-14 -2 W N @ Mich. St. 42-37 +2 W A @ Virginia 14-20 -6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf § USC at E. Ruth. 5-29 -1 L N Toledo 6-24 -17 L N La. Tech 67-7 -16 W N @ Pittsburgh 0-12 -5 L A @ Ohio State 6-45 +12 L N Purdue 22-20 +11 W N @ Minnesota 16-25 +7 L A Illinois 39-25 -3 W N § Indiana † at Indianapolis 27-24 -2 W A Iowa 23-26* -17 L N @ Michigan 11-33 +15 L N Mich. St. 42-23 -1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Arizona 41-7 -5 W N Akron 70-24 -45 W N Pittsburgh 20-17 -34 L N @ Miami-Fla 27-23 -2 W N Indiana 45-24 -27 L N @ Iowa 31-7 -28 L N Ohio State 23-10 -11 W N @ Purdue 31-25 -4 W N @ Illinois 27-7 -16 W A Minnesota 23-24 -14 L N Michigan 27-31 -6 L N @ Mich. St. 28-35 +1 L A Alamog Bowl at San Antonio § Texas A&M † 24-0 -7 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf So. Miss. 34-6 -7 W N Bowl Green 48-3 -38 W N @ Pittsburgh 20-13 -25 L A @ Ohio State 9-28 +15 L N @ Minnesota 27-17 -17 L A Purdue 31-13 -8 W N Illinois 27-0 -34 L N @ Michigan 0-27 -3 L N N'western 41-10 -24 W N @ Wisconsin 3-24 +2 L A Mich. St. 51-28 -6 W N Outback Bowl at Tampa § Kentucky 26-14 -7 W N
overall 9-3 5-7 home 5-1 2-4 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 9-2 5-6 as dog 0-1 0-1	overall 11-2 7-6 home 5-1 2-4 away 3-1 2-2 as fav 9-1 5-5 as dog 2-1 2-1	overall 9-3 6-6 home 4-2 3-3 away 4-1 2-3 as fav 9-2 6-5 as dog 0-1 0-1	overall 12-0 7-5 home 6-0 4-2 away 5-0 2-3 as fav 12-0 7-5 as dog — —	overall 10-2 6-6 home 5-1 2-4 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 9-1 5-5 as dog 1-1 1-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Pittsburgh 34-17 -32 L N Temple 52-10 -37 W N @ Louisville 57-21 -21 W A @ Illinois 41-6 -25 W A Ohio State 31-27 -6 L N Minnesota 16-15 -34 L N @ N'western 30-27 -16 L N Michigan 8-34 -1 L N @ Purdue 42-17 -6 W N Wisconsin 35-10 -14 W N @ Mich. St. 14-49 -4 L A Citrus Bowl at Orlando § Florida 6-21 +14 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf § USC at E. Ruth. 24-7 -3 W A Louisville 24-7 -20 L N N. Illinois 49-0 -46 W N § Temple at E. Ruth. 41-0 -28 W A @ Wisconsin 23-20 -8 L A @ Ohio State 7-38 +9 L N Purdue 31-14 -22 L N Iowa 20-21 -10 L N @ Indiana 48-26 -14 W N N'western 34-9 -9 W N @ Michigan 29-17 +1 W N Mich. St. 32-29 -7 L N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe § Texas † 38-15 +1 W X	opponent score vs. spread surf Texas Tech 24-23 -21 L N Temple 66-14 -34 W N @ Rutgers † at E. Ruth. 59-34 -19 W A Wisconsin † 9-17 -13 L N Ohio State 25-28 +2 L N @ Purdue 26-23 -12 L N @ Iowa 41-27 -4 W N Indiana 45-21 -23 W N @ N'western 10-21 -7 L A Michigan 27-17 -3 W N @ Mich. St. 24-20 -7 L A Outback Bowl at Tampa § Auburn 43-14 -2 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Minnesota † 56-3 -13 W A USC 38-14 -7 W N Iowa 61-21 -21 W N Rutgers 55-27 -31 L N @ Temple at Franklin Field 48-21 -44 L N @ Michigan 31-24 -1 W N Ohio State 63-14 -13 W N @ Indiana 35-29 -26 L N @ Illinois 35-31 -11 L A N'western 45-17 -38 L N Mich. St. 59-31 -19 W N Rose Bowl at Pasadena § Oregon 38-20 -17 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Minnesota 38-20 -22 L N USC 21-20 -5 L N @ Iowa 31-0 -5 W N Rutgers † 31-7 -14 W N @ Maryland † 70-7 -17 W N Michigan 13-21 -6 L N @ Ohio State 6-24 +3 L N Indiana 38-31 -12 L N Illinois 28-14 -9 W N @ N'western 43-21 -17 W A @ Mich. St. 38-37 -4 L A Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando § Tennessee 31-13 +10 W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-4	8-5	7-2	5-4	—	—	0-2	5-2	2-2	3-1	1-0	2-1	—	—	—	51	
HOME	7-1	5-3	6-1	4-3	—	—	0-1	4-2	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	—	54	
AWAY	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-2	2-1	1-0	1-1	—	—	—	54	
NAT.	8-4	7-5	7-2	5-4	—	—	0-2	5-2	1-2	2-1	—	2-1	—	—	—	50	
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	65	
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-10	15-9	9-4	7-6	2-1	0-1	0-2	5-2	5-6	8-3	2-0	3-1	3-0	0-2	50		
HOME	10-4	8-6	8-2	6-4	2-1	—	0-1	4-2	2-2	2-2	—	2-0	—	—	0-2	48	
AWAY	4-5	7-2	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	3-4	6-1	2-0	1-1	3-0	—	56		
NAT.	12-9	12-9	9-4	7-6	2-1	0-1	0-2	5-2	3-5	5-3	—	3-1	2-0	0-2	47		
ART.	2-1	3-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-1	3-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	68		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	19-17	20-16	13-8	11-10	5-2	0-2	0-2	6-4	6-9	9-6	2-0	3-2	3-0	1-4	48		
HOME	14-6	12-8	11-4	9-6	4-1	—	0-1	5-4	3-2	3-2	—	2-0	—	1-2	49		
AWAY	4-9	7-6	1-2	1-2	—	0-2	—	1-0	3-7	6-4	2-0	1-2	3-0	0-2	50		
NAT.	16-14	16-14	12-7	10-9	4-2	0-1	0-2	6-4	4-7	6-5	—	3-1	2-0	1-4	48		
ART.	3-3	4-2	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	2-2	3-1	2-0	0-1	1-0	—	51		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	38-23	33-28	32-11	24-19	6-3	4-3	3-2	11-11	6-12	9-9	2-2	3-2	3-0	1-5	47		
HOME	25-8	20-13	22-6	17-11	4-1	2-1	2-1	9-8	3-2	3-2	—	2-0	—	1-2	50		
AWAY	10-13	10-13	7-3	4-6	1-1	1-2	—	2-3	3-10	6-7	2-2	1-2	3-0	0-3	46		
NAT.	31-18	27-22	27-10	21-16	5-3	3-2	3-2	10-9	4-8	6-6	—	3-1	2-0	1-5	48		
ART.	7-5	6-6	5-1	3-3	1-0	1-1	—	1-2	2-4	3-3	2-2	0-1	1-0	—	44		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	89-33	64-58	80-17	52-45	8-4	10-9	5-6	29-26	9-16	12-13	4-4	3-2	3-1	2-6	50		
HOME	50-13	33-30	47-10	30-27	4-2	4-4	4-3	18-18	3-3	3-3	0-1	2-0	—	1-2	52		
AWAY	30-17	22-25	26-5	15-16	2-1	4-5	0-2	9-8	4-12	7-9	3-3	1-2	3-1	0-3	52		
NAT.	70-26	50-46	64-14	42-36	7-4	8-5	5-4	22-23	6-12	8-10	1-2	3-1	2-1	2-6	50		
ART.	18-7	13-12	16-3	10-9	1-0	2-4	0-2	7-3	2-4	3-3	2-2	0-1	1-0	—	50		

PITTSBURGH PANTHERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 9-4 5-2 3-2 6-1 3-3	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-5 3-3 3-2 3-1 2-4	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-7 5-1 2-3 6-2 1-3	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-6 4-3 1-3 4-1 1-4	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 2-9 2-5 0-4 1-2 0-7										
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf									
Ohio U. †	27-14	-21	L	N	E. Tenn. St.	31-0	—	N	Kent State	30-7	-25	L	A	Bowl. Green	30-10	-5	W	A	Villanova	48-41	—	A		
Texas A&M	12-14	+3	W	N	So. Florida	26-35	-23	L	N	② Bowl. Green	34-16	-6	W	N	② Penn State	17-20	+34	W	N	Penn State	13-20	+25	W	A
② UAB †	26-20	-8	L	N	Miami-Fla †	21-43	+27	W	N	Penn State	12-0	+5	W	A	Kent State	30-23	-33	L	A	② Va. Tech	7-27	+14	L	N
Rutgers	23-3	-25	L	N	② Notre Dame	7-24	+8	L	N	Rutgers	29-17	-19	L	A	Temple	55-24	-17	W	A	Akron	35-0	-12	W	A
Toledo	37-19	-6	W	N	Syracuse	10-42	+3	L	N	② Syracuse	17-24*	+6	L	A	Syracuse †	17-24	+10	W	A	② No. Carolina	10-29	+7	L	N
② Syracuse	48-24	+2	W	A	② Bost. Coll.	7-45	+12	L	A	Bost. Coll.	42-26	-3	W	A	② Bost. Coll. †	16-20	-3	L	A	Rutgers	21-25	-20	L	A
② Notre Dame	6-14	+6	L	N	② Temple	33-7	+1	W	A	② Va. Tech	34-37	+15	W	N	② Rutgers	38-15	-15	W	N	② Syracuse	28-45	+24	W	A
Bost. Coll.	19-16*	-5	L	N	Va. Tech	38-7	+19	W	N	No. Carolina †	17-20	-7	L	A	Va. Tech †	17-30	+22	W	A	Temple	33-34	-14	L	A
② Va. Tech †	28-21	+11	W	N	② Rutgers	42-0	-21	W	N	② Miami-Fla	7-35	+22	L	N	Miami-Fla	3-33	+8	L	A	Bost. Coll.	15-23	+2	L	A
Temple	29-22	-16	L	N	② W. Virginia	23-17	-4	W	A	② Temple	7-0	-8	L	A	Notre Dame	37-27	+9	W	A	② Miami-Fla †	10-38	+23	L	N
② Miami-Fla †	21-28	+19	W	N	UAB	24-6	-7	W	N	W. Virginia	38-28	-6	W	A	② W. Virginia	21-52	P	L	A	W. Virginia	14-52	+15	L	A
W. Virginia	17-24	-2	L	N	Tangerine Bowl at Orlando				Insight.com Bowl at Phoenix															
Insight Bowl at Phoenix					§ N.C. State †	34-19	P	W	N	§ Iowa St. †	29-37	-2	L	N										
§ Oregon St. †	38-13	+2	W	N																				

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 3-2 3-3 4-1 2-1	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 4-2 0-5 2-1 2-6	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 2-9 2-4 0-5 1-1 1-8	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 3-3 0-5 2-0 1-8	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 0-6 3-2 1-0 2-8										
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf									
La-Lafayette	45-13	-6	W	A	W. Virginia †	0-34	+4	L	A	Wash St. †	17-13	+1	W	A	Texas	28-30	+10	W	A	② So. Miss. †	14-10	+9	W	N
② Penn State	17-34	+32	W	N	Kent State †	52-14	-31	W	A	E. Mich. †	66-30	-19	W	A	Ohio U. †	30-16	-21	L	A	Va. Tech †	21-63	+2	L	A
② Houston	35-24	+3	W	N	Houston †	35-42*	-4	L	A	② Texas	27-38	+12	W	A	② Ohio State	3-27	+17	L	N	Ohio State	28-63	+19	L	A
at Robertson Stadium					② Ohio State	0-72	+37	L	N	Ohio State	14-54	+10	L	A	Bost. Coll.	9-21	+2	L	A	Louisville †	7-29	+18	L	A
Miami-Fla †	21-17	+15	W	A	② Miami-Fla	0-45	+35	L	N	Va. Tech	16-26	+2	L	A	② Louisville	29-33	+10	W	A	② Notre Dame	0-44	+38	L	N
② Temple	13-17	-4	L	A	Temple	53-52	+2	W	A	② Bost. Coll.	0-17	+10	L	A	② Syracuse	7-31	+9	L	A	Syracuse	21-24	+23	W	A
Notre Dame	21-45	+10	L	A	② Syracuse	7-55	+32	L	A	② Temple †	27-29	-10	L	A	W. Virginia	41-47	+3	L	A	② W. Virginia	21-42	+23	W	A
② Rutgers	55-48*	-12	L	N	② Va. Tech	17-34	+31	W	N	Miami-Fla	16-17	+14	W	A	② Va. Tech	7-45	+20	L	N	② Rutgers †	21-10	+14	W	A
② Bost. Coll.	21-22	+4	W	A	Bost. Coll. †	20-13	+11	W	A	② Rutgers	24-42	+2	L	N	Temple	45-19	-9	W	A	at E. Ruth.				
Syracuse	27-32	+19	W	A	② Notre Dame	6-60	+37	L	N	Syracuse	10-42	+5	L	A	② Miami-Fla	12-17	+33	W	N	Miami-Fla	7-35	+29	W	A
Va. Tech	30-23	+12	W	A	Rutgers	24-9	-8	W	A	② W. Virginia	0-21	+11	L	A	Rutgers	35-21	+1	W	A	Bost. Coll.	0-33	+16	L	A
② W. Virginia	41-38*	+11	W	A															② Temple	28-18	-20	L	A	
Liberty Bowl at Memphis																								
§ So. Miss.	7-41	+10	L	N																				

1997			str.up vs.spr.			1996			str.up vs.spr.			1995			str.up vs.spr.			1994			str.up vs.spr.			1993			str.up vs.spr.		
overall	6-6	8-4	overall	4-7	5-6	overall	2-9	4-7	overall	3-8	5-6	overall	3-8	5-6	overall	0-6	2-4	overall	3-8	5-6	overall	3-8	5-6	overall	0-6	2-4			
home	3-2	4-1	home	4-2	4-2	home	2-4	3-3	home	3-3	3-3	home	0-6	2-4	home	3-2	3-2	home	3-3	3-3	home	3-2	3-2	home	3-2	3-2			
away	3-3	4-2	away	0-5	1-4	away	0-5	1-4	away	0-5	2-3	away	3-2	3-2	away	1-0	0-1	away	0-5	2-3	away	1-0	0-1	away	1-0	0-1			
as fav	2-1	1-2	as fav	2-1	2-1	as fav	1-1	1-1	as fav	2-0	1-1	as fav	1-0	0-1	as fav	1-0	0-1	as fav	2-0	1-1	as fav	1-0	0-1	as fav	1-0	0-1			
as dog	4-5	7-2	as dog	2-6	3-5	as dog	1-8	3-6	as dog	1-8	4-5	as dog	2-8	5-5	as dog	2-8	5-5	as dog	1-8	4-5	as dog	2-8	5-5	as dog	2-8	5-5			
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		
La-Lafayette	45-13	- 6	W A	W. Virginia †	0-34	+ 4	L A	Wash St. †	17-13	+ 1	W A	Texas	28-30	+10	W A	So. Miss. †	14-10	+ 9	W N	Ohio U. †	30-16	-21	L A	Va. Tech †	21-63	+ 2	L A		
② Penn State	17-34	+32	W N	Kent State †	52-14	-31	W A	E. Mich. †	66-30	-19	W A	Ohio State	3-27	+17	L N	Ohio State	28-63	+19	L A	② Ohio State	3-27	+17	L N	Ohio State	28-63	+19	L A		
② Houston	35-24	+ 3	W N	Houston †	35-42*	- 4	L A	② Texas	27-38	+12	W A	Bost. Coll.	9-21	+ 2	L A	Louisville †	7-29	+18	L A	② Louisville	29-33	+10	W A	Louisville †	7-29	+18	L A		
at Robertson Stadium				② Ohio State	0-72	+37	L N	Ohio State	14-54	+10	L A	② Louisville	29-33	+10	W A	② Notre Dame	0-44	+38	L N	② Syracuse	7-31	+ 9	L A	② Notre Dame	0-44	+38	L N		
Miami-Fla †	21-17	+15	W A	② Miami-Fla	0-45	+35	L N	Va. Tech	16-26	+ 2	L A	② Syracuse	7-31	+ 9	L A	Syracuse	21-24	+23	W A	W. Virginia	41-47	+ 3	L A	Syracuse	21-24	+23	W A		
② Temple	13-17	- 4	L A	Temple	53-52	+ 2	W A	② Bost. Coll.	0-17	+10	L A	W. Virginia	41-47	+ 3	L A	② W. Virginia	21-42	+23	W A	② Temple	13-17	- 4	L A	② W. Virginia	21-42	+23	W A		
Notre Dame	21-45	+10	L A	② Syracuse	7-55	+32	L A	② Temple †	27-29	-10	L A	② Va. Tech	7-45	+20	L N	② Rutgers †	21-10	+14	W A	at E. Ruth.				② Rutgers †	21-10	+14	W A		
② Rutgers	55-48*	-12	L N	② Va. Tech	17-34	+31	W N	Miami-Fla	16-17	+14	W A	Temple	45-19	- 9	W A	Miami-Fla	7-35	+29	W A	② Temple	13-17	- 4	L A	Temple	45-19	- 9	W A		
② Bost. Coll.	21-22	+ 4	W A	Bost. Coll. †	20-13	+11	W A	② Rutgers	24-42	+ 2	L N	② Miami-Fla	12-17	+33	W N	Bost. Coll.	0-33	+16	L A	② Temple	13-17	- 4	L A	② Miami-Fla	12-17	+33	W N		
Syracuse	27-32	+19	W A	② Notre Dame	6-60	+37	L N	Syracuse	10-42	+ 5	L A	Rutgers	35-21	+ 1	W A	② Temple	28-18	-20	L A										
Va. Tech	30-23	+12	W A	Rutgers	24-9	- 8	W A	② W. Virginia	0-21	+11	L A																		
② W. Virginia	41-38*	+11	W A																										
Liberty Bowl at Memphis																													
§ So. Miss.	7-41	+10	L N																										

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-4	6-7	6-1	1-6	0-1	1-1	0-1	0-3	3-3	5-1	2-0	1-1	—	2-0	43
HOME	5-2	2-5	5-1	1-5	0-1	1-1	—	0-3	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	39
AWAY	3-2	3-2	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	2-2	3-1	1-0	0-1	—	2-0	47
NAT.	8-4	5-7	6-1	1-6	0-1	1-1	0-1	0-3	2-3	4-1	1-0	1-1	—	2-0	40
ART.	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	72
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	16-9	13-11	9-2	4-7	0-1	2-1	1-1	1-4	5-7	8-4	3-0	1-2	0-1	4-1	44
HOME	8-5	5-7	6-2	2-6	0-1	1-1	1-0	0-4	1-3	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	43
AWAY	6-4	6-4	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	3-4	4-3	2-0	0-1	0-1	2-1	44
NAT.	13-8	10-10	8-2	3-7	0-1	1-1	1-1	1-4	3-6	6-3	1-0	1-2	0-1	4-0	42
ART.	3-1	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	2-1	2-1	2-0	—	—	0-1	51
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-14	18-18	15-4	7-12	0-2	5-2	1-2	1-6	6-10	10-6	3-0	2-3	0-1	5-2	44
HOME	13-6	8-10	10-3	4-9	0-1	3-2	1-0	0-6	2-3	4-1	—	2-1	—	2-0	43
AWAY	8-7	8-7	5-0	3-2	—	2-0	0-2	1-0	3-7	5-5	2-0	0-2	0-1	3-2	43
NAT.	14-11	12-12	9-3	4-8	0-2	2-1	1-1	1-4	3-8	7-4	1-0	1-2	0-1	5-1	45
ART.	9-3	6-6	6-1	3-4	—	3-1	0-1	0-2	3-2	3-2	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	43
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	30-29	28-29	20-7	11-16	0-2	6-3	1-2	4-9	7-21	16-12	3-1	2-4	2-2	9-5	47
HOME	19-14	15-16	14-5	7-12	0-1	4-2	1-0	2-9	3-9	8-4	0-1	2-1	2-1	4-1	47
AWAY	9-14	11-12	6-1	4-3	—	2-1	0-2	2-0	3-12	7-8	2-0	0-3	0-1	5-4	45
NAT.	15-15	14-15	10-3	5-8	0-2	2-1	1-1	2-4	3-12	8-7	1-0	1-3	0-1	6-3	44
ART.	15-14	14-14	10-4	6-8	—	4-2	0-1	2-5	4-9	8-5	2-1	1-1	2-1	3-2	49
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	48-67	55-58	28-10	16-22	0-2	7-5	3-3	6-12	17-56	38-35	7-5	3-7	5-5	23-18	49
HOME	31-31	31-29	20-6	12-14	0-1	5-3	3-0	4-10	9-25	19-15	3-4	2-4	3-1	11-6	51
AWAY	15-34	22-27	8-3	4-7	—	2-2	0-3	2-2	7-30	18-19	3-1	1-3	2-3	12-12	47
NAT.	18-26	19-24	11-3	5-9	0-2	2-1	1-1	2-5	5-23	13-15	2-1	1-3	1-2	9-9	47
ART.	30-41	36-34	17-7	11-13	—	5-4	2-2	4-7	12-33	25-20	5-4	2-4	4-3	14-9	51

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998									
overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog					
str.up	7-6	4-3	2-3	5-2	str.up	6-6	4-2	2-3	6-2	str.up	8-4	6-0	2-3	—	str.up	7-5	4-2	3-2	6-2	str.up	9-4	6-0	2-4	8-0					
vs.spr.	7-5	4-2	2-3	3-4	vs.spr.	4-7-1	2-3-1	1-4	3-4-1	vs.spr.	5-7	4-2	1-4	5-6	vs.spr.	5-7	3-3	2-3	4-4	vs.spr.	8-5	5-1	2-4	6-2					
1-4	4-1				0-4	1-3				—	—				1-3	1-3			1-3	1-4	2-3								
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
Illinois St.	51-10	—	—	N	© Cincinnati	19-14	—	8	L	A	C. Mich.	48-0	—	38	W	N	© C. Florida †	47-13	—	18	W	N	© USC	17-27	+ 8	L	N		
© Notre Dame	17-24	+ 5	L	N	Akron	33-14	—	19	L	N	Kent State	45-10	—	43	L	N	Notre Dame	28-23	—	4	W	N	Rice	21-19	—	12	L	N	
W. Mich.	28-24	—	17	L	N	© Minnesota	35-28*	—	4	W	A	© Notre Dame	21-23	—	6	L	N	C. Mich.	58-16	—	37	W	N	C. Florida	35-7	—	3	W	N
Wk. Forest	21-24	—	10	L	N	Iowa	23-14	—	3	W	N	Minnesota	38-24	—	13	W	N	N'western	31-23	—	28	L	N	© Notre Dame	30-31	+ 7	W	N	
Minnesota †	28-15	—	3	W	N	© Michigan	10-24	+ 11	L	N	© Penn State	20-22	—	11	L	N	© Michigan	12-38	+ 6	L	N	Minnesota	56-21	—	9	W	N		
© Iowa	28-31	+ 8	W	N	N'western	32-27	—	5	P	N	Michigan	32-31	—	1	L	N	© Ohio State	22-25	+ 2	L	N	© Wisconsin †	24-31	+ 6	L	A			
© Illinois	31-38*	—	3	L	A	Illinois	13-38	—	1	L	N	© N'western	41-28	—	3	W	N	Mich. St.	52-28	+ 2	W	N	© Penn State	13-31	+ 8	L	N		
Michigan	21-23	+ 3	W	N	© Ohio State	9-35	+ 6	L	N	© Wisconsin	30-24*	—	6	L	A	Penn State	25-31	+ 4	L	N	Illinois	42-9	—	24	W	N			
© N'western	42-13	—	13	W	N	Mich. St.	24-14	—	3	W	N	Ohio State	31-27	—	1	W	N	© Minnesota	33-28	—	3	W	A	Iowa	36-14	—	14	W	N
Ohio State	6-10	+ 5	W	N	© Indiana	7-13	+ 2	L	N	© Mich. St.	10-30	—	7	L	A	Wisconsin	21-28	—	1	L	N	© N'western	56-21	—	13	W	N		
© Mich. St.	45-42	—	3	L	N	Notre Dame	18-24	—	2	L	N	Indiana	41-13	—	16	W	N	© Indiana	30-24	—	13	L	N	© Mich. St.	25-24	—	2	L	A
Indiana	34-10	—	22	W	N	Sun Bowl at El Paso	27-33	+ 6	W	A	Rose Bowl at Pasadena	24-34	—	P	L	N	Outback Bowl at Tampa	25-28*	—	5	L	N	Alamo Bowl at San Antonio	37-34	+ 14	W	A		
§ Wash.	34-24	+ 2	W	A	§ Wash. St.	27-33	+ 6	W	A	§ Wash.	24-34	—	P	L	N	§ Georgia	25-28*	—	5	L	N	§ Kansas St. †	37-34	+ 14	W	A			

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993									
overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog	overall	home	away	as fav	as dog					
str.up	9-3	5-1	3-2	7-1	str.up	3-8	3-3	0-5	2-1	str.up	4-6-1	2-3-1	2-3	2-0-1	str.up	4-5-2	3-2-1	1-3-1	3-1-1	str.up	1-10	1-5	0-5	1-1					
vs.spr.	8-4	4-2	3-2	6-2	vs.spr.	5-6	2-4	3-2	1-2	vs.spr.	5-3	4-2	4-1	2-1	vs.spr.	6-5	3-3	3-2	3-2	vs.spr.	4-7	2-4	2-3	0-2					
1-2	1-2				1-7	4-4				2-6	6-2				1-4-1	3-3				0-8	4-4								
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
© Toledo †	22-36	—	9	L	A	© Mich. St.	14-52	+ 2	L	A	© W. Virginia	26-24	+ 9	W	A	Toledo †	51-17	—	17	W	N	© N.C. State †	7-20	+ 10	L	N			
Notre Dame	28-17	+ 19	W	N	© Notre Dame	0-35	+ 22	L	N	Notre Dame	28-35	+ 8	W	N	Ball St.	49-21	—	14	W	N	W. Mich.	28-13	—	17	L	N			
Ball St.	28-14	—	16	L	N	W. Virginia †	6-20	+ 6	L	N	Mich. St.	35-35	—	4	L	N	© Notre Dame	21-39	+ 21	W	N	Notre Dame	0-17	+ 17	W	N			
N'western	21-9	—	3	W	N	N.C. State	42-21	—	4	W	N	Ball St.	35-13	—	18	W	N	© Illinois	22-16	+ 17	W	A	Illinois	10-28	—	5	L	N	
© Minnesota †	59-43	—	6	W	A	Minnesota	30-27	—	3	L	N	© Minnesota †	38-39	+ 1	W	A	© Minnesota	49-37	—	9	W	N	© Minnesota †	56-59	—	P	L	A	
Wisconsin	45-20	—	2	W	N	© Penn State	14-31	+ 22	W	N	Penn State	23-26	+ 12	W	N	© Wisconsin	27-27	+ 15	W	A	Wisconsin	28-42	+ 11	L	N				
© Illinois	48-3	—	11	W	A	Ohio State	14-42	+ 26	L	N	© Ohio State	0-28	+ 22	L	N	© Ohio State	14-48	+ 13	L	N	Ohio State	24-45	+ 20	L	N				
© Iowa	17-35	+ 10	L	N	© Wisconsin	25-33	+ 10	W	A	Wisconsin	38-27	+ 4	W	N	Iowa	21-21	—	10	L	N	© Iowa	17-26	+ 6	L	N				
Mich. St.	22-21	—	P	W	N	Michigan	9-3	+ 14	W	N	© Michigan	0-5	+ 13	W	N	Michigan	23-45	+ 15	L	N	© Michigan	10-25	+ 22	W	N				
Penn State	17-42	+ 6	L	N	© N'western	24-27	+ 10	W	A	N'western	8-23	+ 3	L	N	© Mich. St.	30-42	+ 8	L	A	© Mich. St.	24-27	+ 9	W	N					
© Indiana	56-7	—	16	W	N	Indiana	16-33	—	6	L	N	© Indiana	51-14	—	4	W	N	Indiana	29-33	—	4	L	N	© Indiana	17-24	+ 13	W	N	
Alamo Bowl at San Antonio	33-20	—	4	W	A	§ Okla. St. †	33-20	—	4	W	A	§ Okla. St. †	33-20	—	4	W	A	§ Okla. St. †	33-20	—	4	W	A	§ Okla. St. †	33-20	—	4	W	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	7-5	5-2	3-4	—	1-2	0-1	2-1	1-4	4-1	1-0	2-1	1-0	—	51		
HOME	4-3	4-2	3-1	2-2	—	1-0	0-1	1-1	0-2	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	43		
AWAY	2-3	2-3	2-1	1-2	—	0-2	—	1-0	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	62		
NAT.	6-5	6-4	5-1	3-3	—	1-1	0-1	2-1	0-4	3-1	—	2-1	1-0	—	49		
ART.	1-1	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	63		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-12	11-12-1	11-4	6-8-1	1-2	3-2-1	0-2	2-2	1-8	5-4	1-1	3-2	1-0	0-1	48		
HOME	8-5	6-5-1	7-3	4-5-1	1-2	2-0-1	0-1	1-2	0-2	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	44		
AWAY	4-6	3-7	4-1	2-3	—	1-2	0-1	1-0	0-5	1-4	0-1	0-2	1-0	0-1	50		
NAT.	10-10	8-10-1	9-3	5-6-1	1-2	2-1-1	0-1	2-2	0-7	3-4	0-1	2-2	1-0	0-1	45		
ART.	3-2	3-2	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	56		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-16	16-19-1	19-7	11-14-1	3-3	3-5-1	0-2	5-4	1-8	5-4	1-1	3-2	1-0	0-1	49		
HOME	14-5	10-7-1	13-3	8-7-1	2-3	2-0-1	0-1	4-3	0-2	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	48		
AWAY	6-9	4-11	6-4	3-7	1-0	1-5	0-1	1-1	0-5	1-4	0-1	0-2	1-0	0-1	50		
NAT.	17-13	13-15-1	16-5	10-10-1	3-3	2-2-1	0-1	5-4	0-7	3-4	0-1	2-2	1-0	0-1	49		
ART.	4-3	3-4	3-2	1-4	—	1-3	0-1	—	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	53		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	37-25	29-31-1	33-9	21-20-1	5-5	4-6-1	1-2	11-7	3-15	8-10	2-2	4-5	1-2	1-1	52		
HOME	24-7	18-11-1	22-4	15-10-1	3-4	3-0-1	1-1	8-5	1-3	3-1	1-0	2-1	—	—	51		
AWAY	11-15	8-18	11-4	6-9	2-1	1-5	0-1	3-2	0-11	2-9	0-2	1-4	1-2	0-1	52		
NAT.	30-21	24-25-1	28-7	19-15-1	4-4	3-3-1	1-1	11-7	1-13	5-9	1-2	3-4	1-2	0-1	51		
ART.	7-4	5-6	5-2	2-5	1-1	1-3	0-1	—	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	55		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	58-57-3	60-56-1	48-13-2	33-29-1	6-5	9-11-1	2-3	16-10	6-42-1	26-25	3-4	5-8	6-3	12-10	52		
HOME	38-21-2	33-26-1	32-7-2	22-18-1	4-4	5-5-1	2-1	11-8	4-14	10-8	1-1	3-3	2-0	4-4	51		
AWAY	17-33-1	23-28	15-5	10-10	2-1	3-5	0-2	5-2	2-27-1	13-17	1-3	1-5	4-3	7-6	53		
NAT.	46-46-2	46-46-1	40-10-2	28-23-1	5-4	6-8-1	2-1	15-10	4-35	17-22	1-3	4-7	3-2	9-10	50		
ART.	12-11-1	14-10	8-3	5-6	1-1	3-3	0-2	1-0	4-7-1	9-3	2-1	1-1	3-1	3-0	60		

RICE OWLS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998									
overall					str.up					overall					str.up					overall					str.up				
home					away					home					away					home					away				
as fav					as dog					as fav					as dog					as fav					as dog				
vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.				
vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread				
surt					surt					surt					surt					surt					surt				
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt					
Houston †	10-24	-	7	L A	@ Houston †	21-14	+	1	W N	Houston	30-27*	+	1	W A	@ Houston †	3-28	+	3	L N	SMU †	23-17*	-	5	W A					
@ Mich. St.	10-27	+31	W	N	Duke †	15-13	-	9	L A	@ Michigan	7-38	+27	L	N	@ Michigan	3-37	+28	L	N	@ Purdue	19-21	+12	W	N					
@ Nevada	21-31	+7	L	A	@ Nebraska †	3-48	+34	L	A	Tulsa	16-23	-10	L	A	@ Texas †	13-18	+26	W	N	N'western †	14-23	-	5	L A					
Fresno St. †	28-31	+6	W	A	@ Hawaii	27-24	+4	W	A	@ Oklahoma	14-42	+34	W	N	Navy †	20-17	-	7	L A	@ Texas †	21-59	+6	L	N					
La. Tech †	37-20	+6	W	A	Boise St. †	45-14	+	4	W A	@ San Jose St.	16-29	+	6	L N	@ Tulsa	20-10	-	5	W A	@ San Jose St. †	17-20	-	6	L N					
@ Navy	17-10	-	7	L N	@ Navy	21-13	-	8	P N	Fresno St. †	24-27	+	8	W A	@ Hawaii †	38-19	P	W	A	Tulsa	14-10	-	3	W A					
@ UTEP †	35-38	-12	L	A	Nevada †	33-30*	-15	L	A	Hawaii	38-13	-12	W	A	San Jose St.	49-7	-	9	W A	@ Wyoming	24-34	+7	L	N					
SMU	27-15	-	9	W A	@ La. Tech	38-41*	+	3	W N	@ TCU	0-37	+23	L	N	TCU	42-21	P	W	A	Colo. St.	35-23	+10	W	A					
@ Tulsa	33-18	-	7	W A	@ Fresno St.	24-52	+17	L	N	SMU	43-14	-	8	W A	@ SMU	2-27	-10	L	N	@ TCU	14-12	+	2	W N					
@ Boise St.	7-49	+27	L	A	Tulsa	59-32	-19	W	A	@ UTEP †	21-38	+13	L	A	@ Fresno St.	18-47	+	3	L N	UNLV	38-16	-16	W	A					
Hawaii	28-33	+	7	W A	UTEP †	27-17	-25	L	A	@ Nevada	28-34	-10	L	A	UTEP	29-30	-	8	L A	@ Air Force	16-22	+22	W	N					
					@ SMU	20-37	-	5	L N																				

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993									
overall					str.up					overall					str.up					overall					str.up				
home					away					home					away					home					away				
as fav					as dog					as fav					as dog					as fav					as dog				
vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.					vs.spr.				
vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread					vs. spread				
surt					surt					surt					surt					surt					surt				
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surt					
Air Force †	12-41	-	7	L A	@ Ohio State	7-70	+28	L	N	UNLV †	38-0	-16	W	A	Tulane	13-15	-14	L	A	@ Ohio State	7-34	+15	L	N					
@ Tulane †	30-24	-	3	W A	@ Tulane †	21-14	+	6	W A	Tulane †	15-17	-17	L	A	@ Kansas St.	18-27	+16	W	A	Tulane	34-0	-	9	W A					
@ N'western	40-34	+7	W	N	Kansas St. †	7-34	+22	L	A	@ LSU †	7-52	+13	L	N	@ Iowa St.	28-18	-	2	W A	Sam Houston	14-13	-	-	A					
Texas	31-38	+12	W	A	@ Air Force	17-45	+16	L	N	@ Army	21-21	-	2	L A	Texas Tech	24-21	+	2	W A	Iowa St.	49-21	-	6	W A					
@ Tulsa	42-24	-	9	W A	New Mexico †	38-21	+	5	W A	@ Texas	13-37	+18	L	A	Texas †	19-17	+	7	W A	@ Texas †	38-55	+	2	L A					
BYU †	27-14	+	2	W A	SMU	35-17	+	1	W A	TCU	28-33	+	3	L A	@ Texas A&M	0-7	+	20	W A	TCU	34-19	-11	W	A					
@ New Mexico †	35-23	+	1	W N	@ UTEP †	48-21	-	5	W A	@ Texas Tech	26-31	+15	W	A	@ TCU	25-27	-	1	L N	@ Texas Tech †	16-45	+	3	L A					
@ SMU	6-24	-	5	L N	Utah	51-10	+	4	W A	@ SMU	34-24	-	5	W N	SMU	17-10	-11	L	A	Texas A&M	10-38	+21	L	A					
TCU	38-19	-20	L	A	@ BYU	0-49	+12	L	N	Texas A&M †	10-17	+15	W	A	Baylor	14-19	+	3	L A	@ SMU	31-24	-	4	W A					
@ Utah	14-31	+	1	L N	@ TCU	30-17	-	3	W N	@ Baylor	6-34	+15	L	A	@ Navy	17-29	-16	L	N	@ Baylor	14-38	+	2	L A					
UTEP	31-13	-19	L	A	Tulsa	42-14	-11	W	A	Houston	17-18	-	8	L A	@ Houston	31-13	-17	W	A	Houston	37-7	-12	W	A					

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-7	6-5	3-2	2-3	—	0-1	2-1	0-1	1-5	4-2	—	3-0	0-1	1-1	49		
HOME	2-3	4-1	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	1-2	3-0	—	3-0	—	—	50		
AWAY	2-4	2-4	2-1	1-2	—	—	1-1	0-1	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	49		
NAT.	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	32		
ART.	3-6	5-4	2-2	2-2	—	0-1	2-0	0-1	1-4	3-2	—	3-0	0-1	0-1	53		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-11	11-11-1	8-3	3-7-1	—	0-2	2-2-1	1-3	4-8	8-4	1-0	6-0	0-1	1-3	52		
HOME	7-3	6-4	5-1	2-4	—	0-1	1-1	1-2	2-2	4-0	—	4-0	—	—	53		
AWAY	5-8	5-7-1	3-2	1-3-1	—	0-1	1-1-1	0-1	2-6	4-4	1-0	2-0	0-1	1-3	52		
NAT.	3-4	3-3-1	2-1	0-2-1	—	0-1	0-1-1	—	1-3	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-1	49		
ART.	9-7	8-8	6-2	3-5	—	0-1	2-1	1-3	3-5	5-3	—	5-0	0-1	0-2	54		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	15-19	16-17-1	10-5	5-9-1	—	0-2	3-4-1	2-3	5-14	11-8	2-0	6-1	1-1	2-6	52		
HOME	10-5	10-5	7-2	4-5	—	0-1	2-2	2-2	3-3	6-0	1-0	4-0	1-0	—	52		
AWAY	5-14	6-12-1	3-3	1-4-1	—	0-1	1-2-1	0-1	2-11	5-8	1-0	2-1	0-1	2-6	51		
NAT.	3-8	4-6-1	2-1	0-2-1	—	0-1	0-1-1	—	1-7	4-4	1-0	1-1	—	2-3	48		
ART.	12-11	12-11	8-4	5-7	—	0-1	3-3	2-3	4-7	7-4	1-0	5-0	1-1	0-3	54		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	25-31	28-27-1	16-9	10-14-1	—	3-5	4-5-1	3-4	7-22	16-13	3-1	6-3	2-2	5-7	49		
HOME	17-7	16-8	12-4	8-8	—	2-3	3-3	3-2	4-3	7-0	1-0	4-0	2-0	—	50		
AWAY	8-24	12-19-1	4-5	2-6-1	—	1-2	1-2-1	0-2	3-19	9-13	2-1	2-3	0-2	5-7	48		
NAT.	4-18	8-13-1	2-3	0-4-1	—	0-2	0-1-1	0-1	2-15	8-9	2-1	1-3	0-1	5-4	45		
ART.	21-13	20-14	14-6	10-10	—	3-3	4-4	3-3	5-7	8-4	1-0	5-0	2-1	0-3	51		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	52-58-1	56-53-1	33-16-1	24-25-1	2-2	8-7	6-6-1	8-10	16-42	30-28	7-6	10-4	3-2	10-16	49		
HOME	33-17	30-19	21-8	14-15	—	3-4	4-4	7-7	10-9	15-4	4-1	6-1	3-0	2-2	47		
AWAY	19-41-1	26-34-1	12-8-1	10-10-1	2-2	5-3	2-2-1	1-3	6-33	15-24	3-5	4-3	0-2	8-14	50		
NAT.	8-27	12-22-1	4-6	2-7-1	1-1	1-3	0-1-1	0-2	4-21	10-15	3-2	2-3	0-1	5-9	48		
ART.	44-31-1	44-31	29-10-1	22-18	1-1	7-4	6-5	8-8	12-21	20-13	4-4	8-1	3-1	5-7	49		

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 1-11 5-5 home 1-5 3-2 away 0-6 3-3 as fav 0-1 0-1 as dog 1-9 6-4	overall 2-9 4-7 home 1-6 3-4 away 1-3 1-3 as fav 1-1 1-1 as dog 1-8 3-6	overall 3-8 4-6 home 2-4 2-3 away 1-4 2-3 as fav 1-0 1-0 as dog 1-6 3-6	overall 1-10 4-7 home 1-5 2-4 away 0-5 2-3 as fav — — as dog 1-10 4-7	overall 5-6 5-5 home 3-3 3-2 away 2-3 2-3 as fav — — as dog 4-6 5-5
opponent score vs. spread surt Villanova † 19-37 — - N Buffalo † 11-34 - 8 L N Army † 44-0 + 4 W N @ Pittsburgh 3-23 +25 W N @ Tennessee † 14-35 +41 W N W. Virginia 0-40 +13 L N @ Va. Tech 14-35 +42 W N @ Syracuse 14-45 +16- L A Miami-Fla 17-42 +39 W N Temple 17-20 + 7- W N @ Notre Dame 0-42 +28 L N @ Bost. Coll. 14-44 +28 L A	opponent score vs. spread surt @ Buffalo † 31-15 -15 W N @ Miami-Fla 0-61 +44- L N Va. Tech 0-50 +29 L N Connecticut † 19-20 -19 L N Syracuse 17-24 +23- W N @ Temple 5-30 +13 L A Navy 23-17 + 1 W N @ W. Virginia 7-80 +18- L A Pittsburgh 0-42 +21- L N Bost. Coll. 7-38 +30 L N California 10-20 +11- W N	opponent score vs. spread surt Villanova † 34-21 — - N Buffalo † 59-0 -24- W N @ Va. Tech 0-49 +31 L N @ Pittsburgh 17-29 +19- W A Miami-Fla † 6-64 +29 L N Temple 14-48 + 6- L N @ Navy 28-21 + 7- W N @ Bost. Coll. 13-42 +22 L A W. Virginia 24-31* +13- W N Notre Dame 17-45 +21- L N @ Syracuse 21-49 +25 L A	opponent score vs. spread surt @ California 7-21 +20 W N Texas † 21-38 +23 W N Bost. Coll. 7-27 + 7 L N @ Wk. Forest † 10-17 +17 W N Va. Tech † 20-58 +31 L N @ W. Virginia 16-62 +20 L A Pittsburgh 15-38 +15 L N @ Temple 28-56 +10 L A Navy 7-34 +14 L N Syracuse 24-21* +30- W N @ Miami-Fla 0-55 +33 L N	opponent score vs. spread surt Richmond † 7-6 — - N @ Bost. Coll. 14-41 +22- L A @ Syracuse 14-70 +42 L A Army † 27-15 +10 W N Miami-Fla 17-53 +28 L N @ Pittsburgh 25-21 +20- W A Tulane 24-52 +19 L N Temple 21-10 + 3 W N @ Navy 36-33 +11 W N W. Virginia 14-28 +20- W N @ Va. Tech 7-47 +28- L N
overall 0-11 6-5 home 0-5 3-2 away 0-6 3-3 as fav — — as dog 0-11 6-5	overall 2-9 2-8 home 2-4 1-4 away 0-5 1-4 as fav — — as dog 1-9 2-8	overall 4-7 2-3-1 home 2-4 1-5 away 2-3 1-3-1 as fav 4-0 2-1-1 as dog 0-7 0-7	overall 5-5-1 5-5 home 5-1 1-4 away 0-4-1 4-1 as fav 3-1 0-4 as dog 1-4-1 5-1	overall 4-7 3-7 home 3-3 1-4 away 1-4 2-3 as fav 3-1 1-3 as dog 0-6 2-4
opponent score vs. spread surt Va. Tech 19-59 +20 L N @ Texas † 14-48 +38- W N @ Navy † 7-36 +19- L N Bost. Coll. 21-35 +14- W N @ W. Virginia 0-48 +28 L A Syracuse † 3-50 +33 L N @ Army 35-37 +16- W A Pittsburgh 48-55* +12 W N @ Temple 7-49 +10 L A Wk. Forest 14-28 +20 W N @ Miami-Fla 23-51 +34 W N	opponent score vs. spread surt Villanova † 38-28 — - N Navy † 6-10 + 2- L N Miami-Fla † 0-33 +26 L N @ Va. Tech 14-30 +27- W N @ Syracuse 0-42 +26- L A Army at E. Ruth. 21-42 + 5- L A @ Bost. Coll. 13-37 +14- L A Temple 28-17 + 1- W N W. Virginia 14-55 +18 L N @ Notre Dame 0-62 +41 L N @ Pittsburgh 9-24 + 8 L A	opponent score vs. spread surt @ Duke † 14-24 + 3- L N Navy 27-17 -13- L N Penn State † 34-59 +19 L A Syracuse † 17-27 + 1- L N @ Miami-Fla † 21-56 +14 L N Va. Tech 17-45 + 7 L N Pittsburgh 42-24 - 2 W N @ W. Virginia 26-59 + 7 L A @ Tulane † 45-40 - 4- W A @ Temple 23-20 - 3 P A Bost. Coll. 38-41 + 2 L N	opponent score vs. spread surt Kent State 28-6 -31 L N W. Virginia 17-12 + 1 W N @ Syracuse † 36-37 +11 W A @ Penn State 27-55 +31- W N Miami-Fla 3-24 +19 L N Army at E. Ruth. 16-14 — - A Cincinnati 14-9 -14- L N @ Bost. Coll. 7-7 +12- W A Temple 38-21 -18- L N @ Va. Tech 34-41 +15 W N @ Pittsburgh 21-35 - 1 L A	opponent score vs. spread surt Colgate † 68-6 — - A Duke † at E. Ruth. 39-38 -12 L A @ Penn State † 7-31 +14 L N Temple † at E. Ruth. 62-0 -31- W A Bost. Coll. at E. Ruth. 21-31 + 2- L A @ Army 45-38 - 9 L A @ Va. Tech 42-49 +10- W N Pittsburgh † at E. Ruth. 10-21 -14- L A @ W. Virginia 22-58 +18- L A @ Miami-Fla 17-31 +34- W N Syracuse at E. Ruth. 18-31 + 1- L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	1-11	6-5	0-1 @	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	1-9	6-4	—	1-0	1-0	4-4	47
HOME	1-5	3-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	1-3	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	46
AWAY	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	3-3	47
NAT.	1-9	6-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	1-7	6-2	—	1-0	1-0	4-2	44
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	58
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	3-20	10-12	1-2	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	2-17	9-10	1-0	1-0	1-0	6-10	46
HOME	2-11	6-6	0-2	0-2	—	—	0-1	0-1	2-8	6-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	3-4	43
AWAY	1-9	4-6	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	0-9	3-6	—	—	—	3-6	51
NAT.	3-16	10-8	1-2	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	2-13	9-6	1-0	1-0	1-0	6-6	44
ART.	0-4	0-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	0-4	—	—	—	0-4	59
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	6-28	14-18	2-2	2-2	—	—	0-1	2-1	3-25	12-16	1-0	1-1	2-0	8-15	50
HOME	4-15	8-9	1-2	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	2-12	7-7	1-0	1-1	1-0	4-6	49
AWAY	2-13	6-9	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-13	5-9	—	—	1-0	4-9	52
NAT.	6-21	13-12	2-2	2-2	—	—	0-1	2-1	3-18	11-10	1-0	1-1	2-0	7-9	48
ART.	0-7	1-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-7	1-6	—	—	—	1-6	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	12-44	23-30	2-2	2-2	—	—	0-1	2-1	8-41	21-28	2-0	1-2	3-1	15-25	51
HOME	8-23	13-15	1-2	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	5-20	12-13	2-0	1-2	2-0	7-11	48
AWAY	4-21	10-15	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	3-21	9-15	—	—	1-1	8-14	54
NAT.	11-33	21-20	2-2	2-2	—	—	0-1	2-1	7-30	19-18	2-0	1-2	3-0	13-16	48
ART.	1-11	2-10	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-11	2-10	—	—	0-1	2-9	63
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	27-83-1	41-63-1	12-4	5-10-1	1-1-1	1-0	0-2	3-7	10-78-1	36-53	4-5	1-6	3-3	28-39	53
HOME	20-40	20-34	8-3	3-8	1-0	—	0-1	2-7	7-36	17-26	4-5	1-4	2-0	10-17	51
AWAY	7-43-1	21-29-1	4-1	2-2-1	0-1-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	3-42-1	19-27	—	0-2	1-3	18-22	57
NAT.	19-57	34-38	7-2	3-6	1-0	—	0-1	2-5	9-54	31-32	4-3	1-4	3-0	23-25	51
ART.	8-26-1	7-25-1	5-2	2-4-1	0-1-1	1-0	0-1	1-2	1-24-1	5-21	0-2	0-2	0-3	5-14	60

SAN DIEGO STATE AZTECS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 4-9 home 2-3 away 2-6 as fav 2-1 as dog 2-8	overall 3-8 home 2-4 away 1-4 as fav 1-2 as dog 1-6	overall 3-8 home 0-5 away 3-3 as fav 3-8 as dog 5-6	overall 5-6 home 2-3 away 3-3 as fav 1-2 as dog 3-4	overall 7-5 home 4-2 away 3-2 as fav 5-0 as dog 2-5
str.up 4-9 vs.spr. 6-6-1 score 2-3 vs. spread 4-3-1 surf 1-1-1	str.up 3-8 vs.spr. 4-6 score 2-4 vs. spread 1-4 surf 1-2	str.up 3-8 vs.spr. 5-6 score 0-5 vs. spread 1-4 surf 3-2	str.up 5-6 vs.spr. 6-4 score 2-3 vs. spread 2-2 surf 4-2	str.up 7-5 vs.spr. 4-7-1 score 4-2 vs. spread 2-4 surf 2-3
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Fresno St. † 14-16 +17 W N @ Colorado † 14-34 +22 W N Arizona St. † 28-39 + 6 L N @ Idaho 38-48 - 7 L A UCLA 7-43 +15 L N Utah 36-17 +10 W N @ Wyoming 24-20 - 4 P N UNLV 31-21 - 3 W N @ BYU 10-34 + 1 L N @ New Mexico 8-15 + 5 L N Colo. St. † 21-49 +13 L N @ Air Force 38-34 +16 W N @ Hawaii † 40-41 +14 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Arizona † 10-23 - 1 L N @ Arizona St. † 7-38 + 7 L N @ Colo. St. † 14-7 + 6 W N Air Force † 21-45 - 3 L N E. Illinois † 40-7 - - N @ UNLV 3-31 + 4 L N @ Ohio State 12-27 +22 W N BYU † 21-59 +14 L N New Mexico † 15-20 + 2 L N @ Utah 3-17 +17 W N Wyoming † 38-16 - 8 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Arizona St. † 7-10 + 4 W N Illinois † 13-49 + 7 L N @ Arizona † 3-17 +19 W N @ Oregon St. 3-35 +16 L A @ Wyoming † 34-0 + 3 W N Utah 7-21 + 4 L N @ BYU 16-15 +14 W N Colo. St. 22-34 + 8 L N @ New Mexico 17-16 + 5 W N @ Air Force 24-45 + 9 L N UNLV 24-31 + 5 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf So. Florida 41-12 - - N Illinois 10-38 - 4 L A @ USC 21-24 +25 W N @ Kansas † 41-13 + 1 W A Air Force † 22-23 + 2 W N New Mexico † 21-24 -15 L N @ Colo. St. 17-10 + 6 W N @ Utah 16-38 + 6 L N BYU † 7-30 + 6 L N @ UNLV 37-7 -13 W N Wyoming 39-7 + 1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Wisconsin † 14-26 +10 L N @ USC † 6-35 +19 L N Arizona † 16-35 +16 L N @ Tulsa 24-14 +10 W A Hawaii † 35-13 -23 L N @ New Mexico † 36-33* - 5 L N Utah † 21-20* + 2 W N @ BYU † 0-13 +10 L N Fresno St. 10-0 - 1 W N @ San Jose St. 34-6 - 4 W N UTEP † 34-29 -13 L N Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas § No. Carolina 13-20 + 7 P A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 5-7 home 3-2 away 2-5 as fav 4-1 as dog 1-6	overall 8-3 home 6-0 away 2-3 as fav 8-2 as dog 0-1	overall 8-4 home 5-2 away 3-2 as fav 6-2 as dog 2-2	overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 2-2 as dog 2-4	overall 6-6 home 4-3 away 2-3 as fav 4-3 as dog 1-3
str.up 5-7 vs.spr. 6-6 score 3-2 vs. spread 4-3 surf 4-1	str.up 8-3 vs.spr. 6-5 score 6-0 vs. spread 2-3 surf 8-2	str.up 8-4 vs.spr. 6-5-1 score 5-2 vs. spread 3-4 surf 6-2	str.up 4-7 vs.spr. 5-5 score 3-3 vs. spread 3-3 surf 2-2	str.up 6-6 vs.spr. 4-7 score 4-3 vs. spread 2-3 surf 4-3
opponent score vs. spread surf Navy 45-31 - 4 W N @ Wash. 3-36 +23 L A @ Wisconsin 10-36 + 9 L A @ Air Force † 18-24* + 7 W N @ Arizona † 28-31 +12 W N New Mexico † 21-36 - 4 L N UNLV † 20-17* - 7 L N @ Hawaii † 10-3 - 5 W A @ Wyoming 17-41 +14 L N San Jose St. † 48-21 -14 W N @ Fresno St. 20-19 + 3 W N Colo. St. † 17-38 +14 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Idaho † 40-21 -12 W N @ California 37-42 + 3 L N Oklahoma † 51-31 - 5 W N Hawaii † 56-8 -30 W N @ New Mexico † 48-42 - 6 L N @ Colo. St. 18-27 - 3 L N @ San Jose St. 49-20 -20 W N Wyoming 28-24 - 2 W N @ UNLV 42-44 -27 L A Fresno St. † 31-21 -13 L N Air Force † 28-23 - 1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf California † 33-9 + 5 W N @ Oklahoma 22-38 +16 P N @ BYU † 19-31 + 3 L N Nevada † 30-27 -12 L N @ Utah † 24-21 + 4 W N Fresno St. † 48-24 - 3 W N San Jose St. † 49-20 -23 W N @ UTEP † 45-16 -17 W A New Mexico 38-29 -11 L N Wyoming 31-34 - 7 L N @ Hawaii † 49-10 - 9 W A Colo. St. 13-24 - 2 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Navy 56-14 -14 W N California † 22-20 + 7 W N @ Minnesota † 17-40 P L A @ Colo. St. 17-19 +10 W N Air Force † 35-36 - 9 L N Utah † 22-38 + 7 L N @ New Mexico † 20-13 + 7 W N @ Wyoming 35-52 + 5 L N Hawaii † 38-23 - 3 W N @ BYU † 28-35 +10 W N Fresno St. 42-49 - 6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf CS Northridge † 34-17 - - N @ California 25-45 + 8 L A @ Air Force 38-31 - 4 W N Minnesota † 48-17 -11 W N UCLA 13-52 + 6 L N @ Hawaii † 45-14 + 6 W A Colo. St. † 30-3 -14 W N New Mexico † 20-17 - 9 L N @ Utah 41-45 - 4 L N BYU 44-45 - 5 L N @ Fresno St. 37-63 + 5 L N Wyoming 38-43 - 5 L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PT. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-9	6-6-1	2-1	1-1-1	1-0	0-0-1	0-1	—	2-8	5-5	0-1	0-2	—	5-2	55
HOME	2-3	2-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	—	1-2	58
AWAY	2-6	4-3-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-0-1	0-1	—	1-5	4-2	0-1	0-1	—	4-0	53
NAT.	4-7	5-5-1	2-0	1-0-1	1-0	0-0-1	—	—	2-7	4-5	0-1	0-2	—	4-2	50
ART.	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	83
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	7-17	10-12-1	3-3	2-3-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-1	—	3-14	8-9	0-2	1-4	—	7-3	49
HOME	4-7	3-7	2-2	2-2	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-5	1-5	0-1	0-1	—	1-3	55
AWAY	3-10	7-5-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-0-1	0-1	—	2-9	7-4	0-1	1-3	—	6-0	45
NAT.	7-15	9-11-1	3-2	2-2-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-0	—	3-13	7-9	0-2	1-4	—	6-3	46
ART.	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	83
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	10-25	15-18-1	3-3	2-3-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-1	—	6-22	13-15	1-2	3-6	0-3	9-4	46
HOME	4-12	4-11	2-2	2-2	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-10	2-9	0-1	1-3	0-2	1-3	51
AWAY	6-13	11-7-1	1-1	0-1-1	—	0-0-1	0-1	—	5-12	11-6	1-1	2-3	0-1	8-1	42
NAT.	10-22	14-16-1	3-2	2-2-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-0	—	6-20	12-14	1-2	3-6	0-3	8-3	44
ART.	0-3	1-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	68
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	22-36	25-29-2	9-5	5-8-1	2-1	1-3-1	1-1	1-3	11-31	20-21-1	5-2	4-8-1	1-4	10-7	45
HOME	10-17	8-17	5-3	3-5	2-1	0-1	1-0	0-3	3-14	5-12	3-1	1-4	0-2	1-5	48
AWAY	12-18	17-12-1	4-2	2-3-1	—	1-2-1	0-1	1-0	8-16	15-9	2-1	3-4	1-2	9-2	42
NAT.	20-31	22-26-1	9-3	5-6-1	2-1	1-2-1	1-0	1-3	9-28	17-20	4-2	4-8	0-4	9-6	44
ART.	2-5	3-3-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	2-3	3-1-1	1-0	0-0-1	1-0	1-1	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	53-63	53-57-3	33-15	23-24-1	6-2	5-12-1	2-3	10-7	17-47	30-32-2	5-4	10-12-1	4-6	11-10-1	52
HOME	31-27	23-32	23-10	16-17	6-2	2-7	1-2	7-6	5-17	7-15	3-1	3-6	0-2	1-6	54
AWAY	22-35	30-25-2	10-5	7-7-1	—	3-5-1	1-1	3-1	12-29	23-17-1	2-3	7-6	4-4	10-4-1	50
NAT.	47-53	46-49-2	30-12	20-21-1	6-2	4-11-1	1-2	9-6	14-41	26-28-1	4-4	9-12	3-4	10-8-1	52
ART.	6-10	7-8-1	3-3	3-3	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	3-6	4-4-1	1-0	1-0-1	1-2	1-2	54

SAN JOSE STATE SPARTANS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-7 2-2 3-5 3-0 2-7	vs.spr. 9-4 3-1 5-3 3-0 5-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Arkansas St. †	33-14	P W N	
@ Wash.	10-34	+29- W A	
@ Stanford †	26-63	+17- L N	
@ Illinois	38-35	+23- W A	
UTEP †	58-24	-17- W N	
@ SMU	34-23	- 6 W N	
@ Ohio State	7-50	+29 L N	
@ Nevada	24-52	+ 6 L A	
Boise St.	8-45	+16- L N	
@ Hawaii †	31-40	+24- W A	
La. Tech	42-30	+ 3- W N	
@ Tulsa	49-38	-10 W A	
Fresno St.	16-19	+ 6 W N	

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-9 2-2 1-7 2-1 1-8	vs.spr. 5-7 2-2 3-5 2-1 3-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ USC	10-21	+15- W N	
@ Colorado	15-51	+17 L N	
@ Arizona St. †	15-53	+16 L N	
@ La. Tech †	20-41	+ 7- L N	
SMU	17-24	- 7 L N	
@ UTEP †	40-28	+ 3- W A	
Tulsa	63-27	- 8 W N	
@ Hawaii †	10-34	+12- L A	
Nevada	64-45	- 3- W N	
@ Boise St.	6-56	+18 L A	
@ Fresno St.	21-40	+28 W N	
Stanford	14-41	+19- L N	

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-5 3-2 4-3 4-3 2-2	vs.spr. 8-3 2-2 6-1 4-3 4-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Nebraska	13-49	+49- W A	
@ Stanford †	40-27	+17- W N	
S. Utah †	47-7	- - N	
@ USC	24-34	+22 W N	
Rice	29-16	- 6 W N	
@ SMU †	35-10	- 1 W N	
UTEP †	30-47	- 2- L N	
@ Nevada	49-30	-16 W A	
@ Hawaii †	57-48	- 7- W A	
TCU †	27-24	+14- W N	
@ Tulsa	17-28	- 9- L A	
Fresno St.	6-37	- 1 L N	

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-7 2-1 1-6 1-1 1-6	vs.spr. 3-6 1-1 2-5 1-1 2-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ LSU †	21-29	+28 W N	
@ Colorado	35-63	+24 L N	
St. Mary's	38-3	- - N	
Tulsa †	34-10	- 4 W N	
@ Stanford	44-39	+19 W N	
TCU	0-42	+ 6 L N	
@ Rice	7-49	+ 9- L A	
@ UTEP †	26-42	+ 6- L A	
Hawaii	41-62	- 2- L N	
@ Fresno St.	12-63	+16 L N	

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-8 2-3 2-5 1-1 3-7	vs.spr. 6-6 2-3 4-3 1-1 5-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Stanford	35-23	+14- W N	
Idaho †	12-17	- 9- L N	
@ Oregon	3-58	+23- L A	
New Mexico †	37-20	+ 6- W N	
@ Virginia	14-52	+27- L N	
Rice †	20-17	+ 6- W N	
@ UTEP †	21-28	+ 5 L A	
@ BYU	43-46	+18 W N	
Utah	17-49	+ 7 L N	
@ Hawaii †	45-17	- 8 W A	
SDSU	6-34	+ 4- L N	
@ Fresno St.	21-24	+14 W N	

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-3 2-3 4-7 4-7	vs.spr. 7-4 2-3 5-1 — 7-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Stanford	12-28	+30 W N	
Wisconsin	10-56	+16- L N	
@ Wyoming	10-30	+29 W N	
@ Oregon St.	12-26	+18 W A	
Colo. St.	20-55	+21- L N	
@ UTEP †	10-7	+ 3 W A	
Air Force †	25-22	+15 W N	
Fresno St.	12-53	+ 8 L N	
@ SDSU †	21-48	+14 L N	
Hawaii	38-14	+ 1 W N	
@ UNLV	55-48*	+ 9- W A	

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-9 2-3 1-6 1-0 2-9	vs.spr. 5-6 2-2 3-4 0-1 5-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Air Force	0-45	+22 L N	
California	25-45	+24 W N	
@ Stanford	2-25	+27 W N	
UTEP	26-25	+ 1 W N	
@ Wash. St.	16-52	+27- L A	
Wyoming	22-45	+23 P N	
@ Fresno St. †	18-28	+20 W N	
Colo. St.	13-36	+20 L N	
SDSU	20-49	+20- L N	
@ Hawaii †	38-17	+ 5- W A	
@ Wash.	10-53	+38 L A	
UNLV	31-28	- 7 L N	

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 2-2 1-6	vs.spr. 4-5 3-1 1-4 2-2 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Stanford	33-47	+15 W N	
@ USC	7-45	+35- L N	
N. Illinois	17-18	+ 1 P N	
@ California	7-40	+23 L N	
Utah St.	32-30	- 1 W N	
UNLV	52-14	-15 W N	
@ SDSU †	20-49	+23 L N	
Pacific	30-32	- 4 L N	
@ Arkansas St.	7-21	- 3- L N	
@ N. Mex. St	38-37	+ 5 W N	
@ Nevada	28-45	+17 P N	

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-3 2-5 0-1 3-6	vs.spr. 4-5 1-2 3-3 0-1 4-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Fresno St. †	13-45	+14 L N	
Baylor †	20-54	+16 L N	
@ Stanford	20-51	+31 P N	
La-Lafayette †	31-28	+ 7- W N	
@ California	0-55	+25 L A	
@ Wash.	20-34	+42 W A	
Nevada †	10-42	- - N	
@ UNLV †	10-23	+11 L A	
N. Mex. St †	21-24	- 1 L N	
@ La. Tech †	27-6	+ 7- W N	
@ Pacific	28-15	+ 9- W N	

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-3 0-6 0-1 0-1	vs.spr. 2-3 0-1 2-2 0-1 2-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Louisville	24-31	+13- W A	
@ Stanford	28-31	+19- W N	
Wyoming †	25-36	- 8 L N	
@ California	13-46	+17 L A	
@ Wash.	17-52	+30- L A	
N. Mex. St	52-13	- - N	
La. Tech †	31-6	- - N	
@ La-Lafayette	13-24	- - N	
@ Nevada	45-46	- - N	
UNLV †	14-28	- - N	
Pacific †	20-24	- - N	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	6-7	9-4	3-0	3-0	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	2-7	5-4	—	2-1	—	3-3	64
HOME	2-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-2	2-1	—	2-0	—	0-1	60
AWAY	3-5	5-3	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	1-5	3-3	—	0-1	—	3-2	69
NAT.	4-4	5-3	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	1-4	2-3	—	2-0	—	0-3	61
ART.	2-3	4-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	1-3	3-1	—	0-1	—	3-0	70
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-16	14-11	5-1	5-1	—	2-1	2-0	1-0	3-15	8-10	—	3-1	0-1	5-8	63
HOME	4-4	5-3	3-1	3-1	—	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-3	2-2	—	2-0	—	0-2	67
AWAY	4-12	8-8	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	1-0	—	2-12	6-8	—	1-1	0-1	5-6	63
NAT.	6-11	9-8	4-1	4-1	—	2-1	1-0	1-0	1-10	4-7	—	2-0	0-1	2-6	63
ART.	3-5	5-3	1-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	2-5	4-3	—	1-1	—	3-2	65
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	16-21	22-14	9-4	9-4	1-2	3-1	3-1	2-0	5-17	12-10	—	3-1	0-1	9-8	62
HOME	7-6	7-5	4-3	4-3	0-2	2-1	1-0	1-0	2-3	3-2	—	2-0	—	1-2	62
AWAY	8-15	14-9	5-1	5-1	1-0	1-0	2-1	1-0	3-14	9-8	—	1-1	0-1	8-6	64
NAT.	11-14	14-10	6-3	6-3	1-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	3-11	7-7	—	2-0	0-1	5-6	60
ART.	5-7	8-4	3-1	3-1	—	—	2-1	1-0	2-6	5-3	—	1-1	—	4-2	68
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	23-36	31-26	11-6	11-6	1-3	4-1	4-2	2-0	9-30	19-20	—	5-6	0-2	14-12	61
HOME	11-10	10-9	5-5	5-5	0-3	3-1	1-1	1-0	4-5	5-4	—	4-2	—	1-2	58
AWAY	11-26	20-17	6-1	6-1	1-0	1-0	3-1	1-0	5-25	14-16	—	1-4	0-2	13-10	64
NAT.	17-25	22-18	7-5	7-5	1-3	4-1	1-1	1-0	7-20	14-13	—	4-3	0-1	10-9	60
ART.	6-11	9-8	4-1	4-1	—	—	3-1	1-0	2-10	5-7	—	1-3	0-1	4-3	65
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	38-77	53-49-4	14-10	13-11	2-4	4-4	4-3	3-0	19-62	39-38-4	3-0-1	7-6	4-3	25-29-3	58
HOME	20-25	18-18-2	8-8	7-9	1-4	3-3	1-2	2-0	8-14	11-9-2	2-0-1	4-2	1-1	4-6-1	58
AWAY	17-52	34-31-2	6-2	6-2	1-0	1-1	3-1	1-0	11-48	28-29-2	1-0	3-4	3-2	21-23-2	59
NAT.	29-57	38-35-4	10-9	9-10	2-4	4-4	1-2	2-0	14-43	28-25-4	2-0-1	5-3	3-2	18-20-3	58
ART.	9-20	15-14	4-1	4-1	—	—	3-1	1-0	5-19	11-13	1-0	2-3	1-1	7-9	61

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	str.up vs.spr.				2001	str.up vs.spr.				2000	str.up vs.spr.				1999	str.up vs.spr.				1998	str.up vs.spr.				
	overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav	
	3-9	4-8	2-4	2-4		4-7	6-5	2-4	3-3		3-9	5-7	3-3	3-3		4-6	3-6	3-2	2-2		5-7	5-7	3-4	2-4	
	1-5	2-4	2-1	1-2		2-3	3-2	2-2	2-2		0-6	2-4	2-1	2-1		1-4	1-4	1-1	1-1		2-4	2-4	3-3	3-3	
	as dog	1-8	3-6			as dog	2-5	4-3			as dog	1-7	3-5			as dog	2-5	2-5				0-7	1-6	1-6	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		
Navy †	7-38	-10-	L	N	La. Tech †	6-36	+ 4-	L	N	Kansas †	31-17	+ 3-	W	N	Arkansas †	0-26	+13-	L	N		Rice †	17-23*	+ 5-	L	A
Texas Tech †	14-24	+21	W	N	TCU †	10-38	+11-	L	N	UTEP †	20-37	- 2	L	A	Tulane	19-53	+ 3-	L	A		Tulane †	21-31	+ 7	L	N
TCU †	6-17	+17-	W	N	N.C. State †	17-26	+15	W	N	N.C. State †	0-41	+ 9-	L	N	Hawaii	0-20	-14	L	N		Arkansas †	17-44	+10	L	
Okl. St. †	16-52	+17	L	A	Hawaii †	31-38*	- 5-	L	N	Tulane †	17-29	P	L	N	Kansas	9-27	+ 8	L	A		at Little Rock				
Hawaii	10-42	+23	L	A	San Jose St.	24-17	+ 7	W	N	Houston †	15-17	+10-	W	N	UTEP †	28-42	+ 9-	L	A		Mississippi	41-48*	+ 5-	L	N
San Jose St.	23-34	+ 6	L	N	UTEP	40-14	-11	W	N	San Jose St. †	10-35	+ 1	L	N	Fresno St. †	24-14	+14	W	N		Hawaii †	28-0	- 7-	W	A
Fresno St. †	7-30	+22-	L	N	Nevada	14-35	- 2	L	A	Hawaii †	15-30	+ 3	L	A	Rice	27-2	+10-	W	N		Wyoming	7-12	+ 9-	W	N
La. Tech	37-34	+13	W	N	Fresno St.	13-38	+16-	L	N	Nevada	21-7	-13-	W	N	CS Northridge	58-16	-	-	N		TCU	10-6	- 2-	W	N
Rice	15-27	+ 9	L	A	Tulsa	24-14	- 8-	W	A	Rice	14-43	+ 8-	L	A	Tulsa	28-14	- 3-	W	A		UNLV	10-7	-21	L	N
Nevada	6-24	+ 6-	L	N	Rice	37-20	+ 5	W	N	Tulsa †	24-20	- 2-	W	N	TCU	0-21	+11	L	N		Air Force	7-31	+20-	L	N
UTEP	42-35	- 5-	W	A	No. Carolina	10-19	+24	W	N	Fresno St.	7-14	+18	W	N							Tulsa	33-3	- 8	W	N
Tulsa	24-21	- 7-	L	N						TCU †	7-62	+24-	L	N							Colo. St.	10-32	+ 3-	L	N
																					Navy	24-11	- 4	W	N

1997	str.up vs.spr.				1996	str.up vs.spr.				1995	str.up vs.spr.				1994	str.up vs.spr.				1993	str.up vs.spr.				
	overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav	
	6-5	5-6	3-2	3-2		5-6	3-2	3-2			1-10	4-7													
	2-3	1-4	2-1	0-3		2-4	2-4	1-3			0-5	3-2													
	as dog	4-3	5-2			as dog	2-2	1-3			0-1	0-1													
						as dog	3-4	4-3			1-9	4-6													
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		opponent	score	vs. spread	surf		
Mississippi †	15-23	+ 5	L	N	Tulsa †	17-10	+ 1	W	N	Arkansas †	17-14	+ 9-	W	N	Arkansas at Little Rock	14-34	+ 9-	L	N		Arkansas †	6-10	+13-	W	N
Arkansas † at Shreveport	31-9	+ 6-	W	N	Arkansas	23-10	+11-	W	N	Navy †	2-33	-13-	L	N	UCLA	10-17	+24	W	N		Wisconsin †	16-24	+12	W	A
Navy †	16-46	P	L	N	Utah †	17-21	+ 5	W	N	Oklahoma	10-24	+31	W	N	New Mexico	34-31	- 3	P	A		TCU †	21-15	+ 4-	W	A
BYU	16-19*	+11	W	N	Navy †	17-19	+ 1	L	N	Wisconsin	0-42	+22	L	A	Texas Tech	7-35	+ 7	L	A		Missouri	10-10	+ 7-	W	A
New Mexico †	15-22	+ 6	L	N	BYU	3-31	+14-	L	N	Texas	10-35	+22	L	N	No. Carolina	24-28	+18	W	A		Baylor	12-31	+ 5-	L	A
Utah	20-19	+ 8	W	N	Missouri †	26-27	- 2-	L	N	Texas A&M	17-20	+32	W	A	Baylor	10-44	+14	L	A		Houston	28-28	+ 9	W	A
Wyoming	22-17	+ 5-	W	N	Rice	17-35	- 1	L	A	Houston †	15-38	+ 2	L	N	Houston at Cotton Bowl	33-39	-	-	N		Texas at San Antonio	10-37	+13	L	A
Rice	24-6	+ 5	W	N	New Mexico †	52-31	+ 1-	W	N	Rice	24-34	+ 5	L	A	Texas	20-42	+20	L	A		Texas A&M	13-37	+32	W	A
UTEP	28-14	-19	L	N	Wyoming	17-59	+14	L	N	TCU	16-19	+15-	W	N	Texas A&M at San Antonio	21-21	+25	W	A		Rice	24-31	+ 4-	L	A
Tulsa	42-41	- 7-	L	A	UTEP †	30-0	- 7	W	A	Baylor	7-48	+16	L	N							Texas Tech	24-41	+13-	L	A
TCU †	18-21	-11-	L	N	TCU †	27-24	- 7	L	N	Texas Tech	14-45	+19	L	N	Rice	10-17	+11	W	A		Navy	42-13	+ 2	W	N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	3-9	4-8	2-1	1-2	—	1-0	0-1	0-1	1-8	3-6	—	0-2	0-1	3-3	48		
HOME	2-4	2-4	1-1	0-2	—	—	0-1	0-1	1-3	2-2	—	0-2	—	2-0	47		
AWAY	1-5	2-4	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-5	1-4	—	—	0-1	1-3	49		
NAT.	2-6	3-5	1-1	0-2	—	—	0-1	0-1	1-5	3-3	—	0-2	—	3-1	43		
ART.	1-3	1-3	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	—	0-1	0-2	59		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	7-16	10-13	4-3	3-4	0-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	3-13	7-9	—	2-3	0-1	5-5	48		
HOME	4-8	5-7	2-2	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	2-6	4-4	—	1-2	—	3-2	50		
AWAY	3-8	5-6	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-7	3-5	—	1-1	0-1	2-3	45		
NAT.	5-12	8-9	2-2	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	3-10	7-6	—	2-3	—	5-3	45		
ART.	2-4	2-4	2-1	2-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	—	0-3	0-3	—	—	0-1	0-2	54		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	10-25	15-20	6-4	5-5	1-2	1-1	1-1	2-1	4-20	10-14	0-2	3-3	0-3	7-6	46		
HOME	7-11	8-10	4-2	3-3	1-0	0-1	0-1	2-1	3-8	5-6	0-1	2-2	—	3-3	49		
AWAY	3-14	7-10	2-2	2-2	0-2	1-0	1-0	—	1-12	5-8	0-1	1-1	0-3	4-3	44		
NAT.	8-18	13-13	4-2	3-3	1-0	0-1	0-1	2-1	4-15	10-9	0-1	3-3	0-1	7-4	44		
ART.	2-7	2-7	2-2	2-2	0-2	1-0	1-0	—	0-5	0-5	0-1	—	0-2	0-2	53		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	19-38	23-33	12-5	10-7	2-2	3-1	3-1	2-3	6-32	13-25	0-2	3-8	1-6	9-9	44		
HOME	13-16	12-16	7-3	5-5	2-0	0-1	1-1	2-3	5-12	7-10	0-1	2-5	—	5-4	45		
AWAY	6-22	11-17	5-2	5-2	0-2	3-0	2-0	—	1-20	6-15	0-1	1-3	1-6	4-5	43		
NAT.	15-27	19-22	8-3	6-5	2-0	1-1	1-1	2-3	6-23	13-16	0-1	3-6	1-2	9-7	42		
ART.	4-11	4-11	4-2	4-2	0-2	2-0	2-0	—	0-9	0-9	0-1	0-2	0-4	0-2	51		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	34-75-3	48-61-1	17-9	11-14-1	2-4-1	4-2	3-2	2-6	16-63-3	37-45	3-5	8-14	5-7	21-19	45		
HOME	21-33	22-29-1	10-5	5-9-1	2-1-1	0-2	1-1	2-5	10-25	17-18	2-2	5-8	1-0	9-8	46		
AWAY	12-41-2	24-31	7-4	6-5	0-3	4-0	2-1	0-1	5-37-2	18-26	1-3	2-6	4-7	11-10	43		
NAT.	26-48	34-38	10-6	6-10	2-1	1-2	1-1	2-6	15-39	28-26	3-2	7-9	3-3	15-12	42		
ART.	8-27-3	14-23-1	7-3	5-4-1	0-3-1	3-0	2-1	—	1-24-3	9-19	0-3	1-5	2-4	6-7	49		

SOUTH CAROLINA FIGHTING GAMECOCKS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.
N. Mex. St. †	34-24	-23	L N	5-7 3-8-1	Boise St. †	32-13	-19	L N	9-3 5-5-1	N. Mex. St. †	31-0	-9	W N	8-4 9-3	@ N.C. State †	0-10	+7	L N	0-11 4-7	Ball St. †	38-20	-19	L N	1-10 3-8
@ Virginia †	21-34	-4	L N	3-3 2-3-1	@ Georgia †	14-9	-2	W N	5-1 2-4	Georgia	21-10	+10	W N	5-1 5-1	@ Georgia	9-24	+14	L N	0-6 3-3	Georgia †	3-17	+6	L N	1-5 0-6
Georgia	7-13	+6	P N	1-5 2-3	@ Miss. St. †	16-14	+2	W N	2-2 2-1-1	E. Mich. †	41-6	-23	W N	2-3 3-2	E. Carolina †	3-21	P L N	0-5 1-4	Marshall †	21-24	-5	L N	0-5 3-2	
Temple †	42-21	-17	W N	4-1 2-3	Alabama	37-36	-6	L N	6-1 3-4	Miss. St.	23-19	+1	W N	5-0 5-0	@ Miss. St. †	0-17	+13	L N	— —	Miss. St.	0-38	-2	L N	1-3 0-4
@ Vanderbilt †	20-14	-9	L N	1-6 1-5-1	Kentucky	42-6	-21	W N	1-2 1-1-1	@ Alabama	17-27	+9	L N	3-4 4-3	Mississippi †	10-36	+6	L N	0-10 4-6	@ Mississippi	28-30	+9	W N	0-7 3-4
Miss. St.	34-10	-5	W N		@ Arkansas at Little Rock	7-10	-9	L N		@ Kentucky †	20-17	-2	W N		Kentucky	10-30	+8	L N		@ Kentucky †	28-33	+14	W N	
@ Kentucky †	16-12	+3	W N		Vanderbilt †	46-14	-16	W N		Arkansas	27-7	-7	W N		@ Arkansas † at Little Rock	14-48	+22	L N		Arkansas	28-41	+7	L N	
@ LSU †	14-38	+7	L N		@ Tennessee †	10-17	+7	P N		@ Vanderbilt	30-14	-7	W N		Vanderbilt †	10-11	+4	W N		@ Vanderbilt †	14-17	-6	L A	
Tennessee	10-18	+1	L N		Wofford †	38-14	—	N		Tennessee	14-17	+1	L N		@ Tennessee	7-30	+33	W N		Tennessee	14-49	+17	L N	
Arkansas	0-23	+5	L N		Florida †	17-54	+11	L N		@ Florida	21-41	+13	L N		Florida	3-20	+27	W N		@ Florida	14-33	+32	W N	
@ Florida †	7-28	+14	L N		Clemson	20-15	-5	L N		@ Clemson	14-16	+7	W N		Clemson	21-31	+13	W N		@ Clemson	19-28	+5	L N	
@ Clemson †	20-27	+3	L N		Outback Bowl at Tampa § Ohio State	31-28	P W N			Outback Bowl at Tampa § Ohio State	24-7	+5	W N											

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	str.up vs.spr.
C. Florida †	33-31	-18	L N	5-6 5-6	C. Florida †	33-14	—	N	6-5 6-2	@ Georgia	23-42	+3	L N	4-6-1 5-6	Georgia †	21-24	+6	W N	7-5 8-4	@ Georgia	23-21	+10	W N	4-7 6-5
@ Georgia	15-31	+5	L N	3-3 2-4	Georgia	23-14	+4	W N	3-3 3-2	@ Arkansas	21-51	+2	L N	3-3-1 4-3	Arkansas †	14-0	-3	W N	2-4 3-3	@ Arkansas	17-18	-2	L A	3-4 5-3
@ E. Carolina	26-0	P W N	4-1 3-2	4-1 3-2	E. Carolina †	7-23	+1	L N	3-1 3-1	La. Tech †	68-21	-19	W N	1-3 1-3	La. Tech †	31-6	-21	W N	4-1 4-1	La. Tech †	34-3	-15	W N	1-3 1-3
Miss. St.	17-37	-3	L N	0-5 1-4	Miss. St.	10-14	-1	L N	2-4 3-1	Kentucky	30-35	-12	L N	0-5-1 1-5	@ Kentucky †	23-9	-7	W N	3-2 3-2	Kentucky †	17-21	-11	L N	3-2 3-2
Auburn	6-23	+7	L N		@ Auburn	24-28	+19	W N		LSU †	20-20	+8	W N		@ LSU †	18-17	+8	W N	4-3 5-2	Alabama †	6-17	+14	W N	1-4 3-2
Kentucky	38-24	-2	W N		@ Kentucky †	25-14	-7	W N		Kent State	77-14	-34	W N		E. Carolina	42-56	-8	L N		E. Carolina	27-3	-16	W N	
@ Arkansas † at Little Rock	39-13	-1	W N		Arkansas	23-17	-5	W N		@ Miss. St. †	65-39	P W N		Miss. St.	36-41	-3	L N		@ Miss. St. †	0-23	+3	L N		
Vanderbilt †	35-3	-12	W N		@ Vanderbilt	27-0	-3	W A		Vanderbilt	52-14	-17	W N		@ Vanderbilt	19-16	+1	W A		Vanderbilt	22-0	-6	W N	
Tennessee	7-22	+21	W N		Tennessee	14-31	+18	W N		@ Tennessee	21-56	+17	L N		Tennessee	22-31	+6	L N		@ Tennessee	3-55	+21	L A	
Florida	21-48	+16	L N		@ Florida	25-52	+37	W N		Florida †	7-63	+19	L N		@ Florida	17-48	+27	L N		Florida	26-37	+14	W N	
Clemson †	21-47	+2	L N		@ Clemson †	34-31	+5	W N		Clemson	17-38	+4	L N		@ Clemson	33-7	+3	W N		Clemson	13-16	P L N		
															Carquest Bowl at J.R. Stadium, Miami § W. Virginia	24-21	+2	W N						

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR. UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR. UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3 to 7	7 to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3 to 7	7 to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	3-8-1	4-1	2-3	—	1-1	0-1	1-1	1-6	1-5-1	0-1	1-2-1	0-1	0-1	40
HOME	3-3	2-3-1	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	0-3	0-2-1	0-1	0-1-1	—	—	39
AWAY	2-4	1-5	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	1-3	1-3	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	41
NAT.	5-7	3-8-1	4-1	2-3	—	1-1	0-1	1-1	1-6	1-5-1	0-1	1-2-1	0-1	0-1	40
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-10	8-13-2	10-2	5-7	1-0	1-3	0-2	3-2	2-8	2-6-2	1-1	1-2-2	0-1	0-2	42
HOME	9-4	4-7-1	8-0	4-4	—	1-2	—	3-2	0-4	0-3-1	0-1	0-1-1	—	0-1	47
AWAY	4-6	3-6-1	2-2	1-3	1-0	0-1	0-2	—	2-4	2-3-1	1-0	1-1-1	0-1	0-1	34
NAT.	14-10	8-13-2	10-2	5-7	1-0	1-3	0-2	3-2	2-8	2-6-2	1-1	1-2-2	0-1	0-2	42
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	22-14	17-16-2	15-2	10-7	2-0	2-3	2-2	4-2	5-12	6-9-2	2-2	2-2-2	2-2	0-3	41
HOME	14-5	9-8-1	11-0	7-4	—	1-2	2-0	4-2	2-5	2-4-1	1-2	0-1-1	1-0	0-1	44
AWAY	6-9	6-8-1	4-2	3-3	2-0	1-1	0-2	—	2-7	3-5-1	1-0	1-1-1	1-2	0-2	37
NAT.	22-14	17-16-2	15-2	10-7	2-0	2-3	2-2	4-2	5-12	6-9-2	2-2	2-2-2	2-2	0-3	41
ART.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	23-35	24-31-2	16-5	10-11	2-1	2-5	2-2	4-3	5-29	13-19-2	2-2	3-6-2	3-4	5-7	41
HOME	15-16	12-17-1	12-2	7-7	0-1	1-3	2-0	4-3	2-13	5-9-1	1-2	1-4-1	1-1	2-2	43
AWAY	6-19	10-14-1	4-3	3-4	2-0	1-2	0-2	—	2-16	7-10-1	1-0	1-2-1	2-3	3-5	38
NAT.	23-34	24-30-2	16-4	10-10	2-1	2-4	2-2	4-3	5-29	13-19-2	2-2	3-6-2	3-4	5-7	41
ART.	0-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	31
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	49-64-1	56-54-2	32-12	25-19	5-5	7-5	2-3	11-6	12-50-1	28-33-2	5-7	6-9-2	5-5	12-12	45
HOME	29-33-1	29-31-1	24-7	18-13	2-3	3-3	2-1	11-6	3-24-1	11-16-1	1-4	3-6-1	2-2	5-4	46
AWAY	17-31	24-23-1	8-5	7-6	3-2	4-2	0-2	—	7-26	15-17-1	3-3	2-3-1	3-3	7-8	44
NAT.	47-61-1	54-51-2	31-10	24-17	5-4	6-4	2-3	11-6	11-49-1	27-32-2	4-7	6-9-2	5-5	12-11	45
ART.	2-3	2-3	1-2	1-2	0-1	1-1	—	—	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	37

SOUTH FLORIDA BULLS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2001	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	2000	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1999	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1998	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	
	home	9-2	7-2		home	8-3	6-1-1		home	7-4	—		home	7-4	—		home	8-3	—	
	away	6-0	3-1		away	7-0	4-0		away	6-0	—		away	6-1	—		away	6-1	—	
	as fav	3-2	4-1		as fav	1-3	2-1-1		as fav	1-4	—		as fav	1-3	—		as fav	2-2	—	
	as dog	6-0	5-1		as dog	4-0	4-0		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—	
		1-2	2-1			1-3	2-1-1													
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
Fla. Atlantic †	51-10	—	-	N	N. Illinois †	17-20	+17-	W	A	Jcksnvll. St.	40-0	—	-	N	SDSU †	12-41	—	-	N	
N. Illinois †	37-6	-	7-	W	N	Pittsburgh	35-26	+23	W	N	Kentucky	9-27	—	-	N	SW Tex. St. †	17-10	—	-	N
Arkansas †	3-42	+14	L	N	Memphis †	9-17	+ 8	P	N	J. Madison	26-7	—	-	N	W. Kentucky †	21-6	—	-	N	
at Little Rock					N. Texas †	28-10	-11-	W	N	Baylor †	13-28	—	-	N	Troy St. †	24-41	—	-	N	
Oklahoma †	14-31	+29	W	N	Utah †	21-52	+14	L	N	Troy St.	20-10	—	-	N	S. Illinois †	21-14	—	-	N	
N. Texas †	24-17	- 2	W	A	Connecticut †	40-21	-18	W	N	So. Miss. †	7-41	—	-	N	Liberty †	28-0	—	-	N	
So. Miss. †	16-13	+ 3	W	N	S. Utah	42-12	—	-	N	Liberty †	44-6	—	-	N	Illinois St. †	14-13	—	-	N	
E. Carolina	46-30	- 1	W	N	Liberty	68-37	—	-	N	Connecticut	21-13	—	-	N	New Hamp. †	42-41	—	-	N	
Char. So. †	56-6	—	-	N	Houston †	45-6	-10-	W	N	W. Kentucky †	30-24	—	-	N	J. Madison	3-13	—	-	A	
Memphis †	31-28	-17	L	N	W. Illinois	48-17	—	-	N	Mid. Tenn.	9-45	—	-	A	New Haven †	41-27	—	-	N	
Bowl. Green †	29-7	- 3	W	N	Utah St. †	34-13	- 8	W	N	Austin Peay	59-0	—	-	N	Hofstra †	23-42	—	-	N	
Houston	32-14	-13	W	N																

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	5-6	—		home	—	—		home	—	—		home	—	—		home	—	—
	away	4-3	—		away	—	—		away	—	—		away	—	—		away	—	—
	as fav	1-3	—		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—		as fav	—	—
	as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Ky. Wesleyan †	80-3	—	-	N															
Citadel †	7-10	—	-	N															
Drake †	22-23	—	-	N															
W. Kentucky †	3-31	—	-	N															
Morehead †	33-17	—	-	N															
Elon †	13-41	—	-	N															
S. Illinois †	10-23	—	-	N															
Char. So. †	24-6	—	-	N															
Cumberland	44-0	—	-	N															
Ga. Southern †	23-24	—	-	N															
Davidson †	48-3	—	-	N															

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-2	7-2	6-0	5-1	3-0	—	1-0	1-1	1-2	2-1	1-0	—	—	1-1	49
HOME	6-0	3-1	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	1-0	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	48
AWAY	3-2	4-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	50
NAT.	8-2	6-2	5-0	4-1	2-0	—	1-0	1-1	1-2	2-1	1-0	—	—	1-1	50
ART.	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	41
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	17-5	13-3-1	10-0	9-1	3-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-5	4-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	3-2	52
HOME	13-0	7-1	7-0	6-1	1-0	—	2-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	54
AWAY	4-5	6-2-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-5	3-2-1	—	—	0-0-1	3-2	50
NAT.	16-4	11-3-1	9-0	8-1	2-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-4	3-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	2-2	54
ART.	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	39
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-9	13-3-1	10-0	9-1	3-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-5	4-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	3-2	49
HOME	19-0	7-1	7-0	6-1	1-0	—	2-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	51
AWAY	5-9	6-2-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-5	3-2-1	—	—	0-0-1	3-2	47
NAT.	23-7	11-3-1	9-0	8-1	2-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-4	3-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	2-2	50
ART.	1-2	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	44
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	39-16	13-3-1	10-0	9-1	3-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-5	4-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	3-2	49
HOME	31-2	7-1	7-0	6-1	1-0	—	2-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	51
AWAY	8-14	6-2-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-5	3-2-1	—	—	0-0-1	3-2	46
NAT.	37-12	11-3-1	9-0	8-1	2-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-4	3-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	2-2	49
ART.	2-4	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	45
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	44-22	13-3-1	10-0	9-1	3-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-5	4-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	3-2	48
HOME	35-5	7-1	7-0	6-1	1-0	—	2-0	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	50
AWAY	9-17	6-2-1	3-0	3-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-5	3-2-1	—	—	0-0-1	3-2	45
NAT.	42-18	11-3-1	9-0	8-1	2-0	—	2-0	4-1	2-4	3-2-1	1-0	—	0-0-1	2-2	48
ART.	2-4	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	45

SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI GOLDEN EAGLES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998				
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.	
overall		7-6	2-9-1	overall		6-5	4-7	overall		8-4	5-5	overall		9-3	5-5-1	overall		7-5	6-6	
home		5-1	2-3	home		4-1	2-3	home		2-2	1-2	home		5-0	2-1-1	home		4-1	4-1	
away		2-4	0-5-1	away		2-4	2-4	away		5-2	4-3	away		3-3	3-3	away		3-3	2-4	
as fav		6-2	2-5-1	as fav		5-2	3-4	as fav		5-2	3-5	as fav		8-0	4-3-1	as fav		7-2	6-3	
as dog		0-4	0-4	as dog		1-3	1-3	as dog		2-1	3-0	as dog		0-3	1-2	as dog		0-3	0-3	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
Jackson St. †	55-7	—	-	N	Okla. St.	17-9	-12	-	L N	† Tennessee	16-19	+ 8	-	W N	Tulane	48-14	-10	-	W N	
Illinois	23-20	- 6	-	L N	† La-Lafayette †	35-10	-24	-	W N	† Alabama †	21-0	+ 7	-	W N	N'western St.	40-6	—	-	N	
Memphis †	33-14	-12	-	W N	UAB †	3-0	- 6	-	L N	at Birm.					† Nebraska	13-20	+27	-	W A	
† Alabama †	7-20	+ 7	-	L N	† Memphis †	17-22	- 8	-	L N	† Okla. St. †	28-6	- 5	-	W A	† Texas A&M	6-23	+10	-	L N	
† Army	27-6	-29	-	L A	† Louisville †	14-24	+ 3	-	L N	Memphis †	24-3	-16	-	W N	† E. Carolina	39-22	- 2	-	W N	
† So. Florida †	13-16	- 3	-	L N	Houston	58-14	-20	-	W N	So. Florida †	41-7	—	-	N	Army	24-0	-24	-	P N	
Cincinnati	23-14	-10	-	L N	† Penn State	20-38	+ 2	-	L N	† Tulane	56-24	-13	-	W A	Cincinnati	28-20	-17	-	L N	
† TCU †	7-37	+ 3	-	L N	Tulane	59-6	-18	-	W N	† Houston	6-3	-18	-	L N	† Alabama	14-35	+ 1	-	L N	
† UAB	20-13	- 7	-	P N	† E. Carolina †	28-21	+ 3	-	W N	Louisville	28-49	-13	-	L N	† Memphis	20-5	- 5	-	W N	
Louisville †	17-20*	+ 1	-	L N	† Alabama †	15-28	+ 7	-	L N	† UAB	33-30*	- 9	-	L N	La-Lafayette	48-0	-37	-	W N	
† Tulane	10-31	- 6	-	L A	at Birm.					† Cincinnati	24-27	- 5	-	L A	† Louisville	30-27	- 5	-	L N	
E. Carolina	24-7	- 9	-	W N	TCU †	12-14	-10	-	L N	E. Carolina	9-14	- 6	-	L N	Liberty Bowl at Memphis					
Houston Bowl at Houston										Mobile Bowl at Mobile, AL					§ Colo. St.	23-17	- 7	-	L N	
§ Okla. St.	23-33	+ 9	-	L N						§ TCU †	28-21	+ 7	-	W N		§ Idaho	35-42	-17	-	L A

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.	
home	9-3	9-2-1		home	8-3	6-5		home	6-5	5-6		home	6-5	6-4		home	2-8-1	4-6	
away	4-0	4-0		away	4-0	2-2		away	3-1	2-2		away	4-1	3-1		away	2-2	0-3	
as fav	4-3	4-2-1		as fav	4-3	4-3		as fav	3-4	3-4		as fav	2-4	3-3		as fav	0-6-1	4-3	
as dog	9-0	8-0-1		as dog	5-1	2-4		as dog	6-2	3-5		as dog	4-0	4-0		as dog	1-3	0-4	
	0-3	1-2			3-2	4-1			0-3	2-1			1-5	2-4			0-5-1	4-2	
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
Florida †	6-21	+25	W	N	Georgia	11-7	+11	W	N	N. Illinois †	45-13	-15	W	N	Tulane †	25-10	- 6	W	A
Illinois †	24-7	- 4	W	A	Alabama	10-20	+10	W	N	Alabama	20-24	+12	W	N	Va. Tech	14-24	+ 7	L	N
Nevada	35-19	-14	W	N	at Birm.					Utah St.	24-21	-17	L	N	Memphis	20-3	- 1	W	N
Alabama	13-27	+ 6	L	N	Utah St.	31-24	-13	L	N	Utah St. †	24-21	-17	L	N	Texas A&M	17-41	+22	L	A
at Birm.					La-Lafayette †	52-27	-10	W	N	Indiana	26-27	+ 1	W	N	E. Carolina	10-31	+ 3	L	N
Louisville	42-24	-13	W	N	Louisville	24-7	+ 4	W	A	Tulane	45-0	-16	W	N	Alabama	6-14	+15	W	N
E. Carolina	23-13	-10	P	N	E. Carolina †	28-7	+ 7	W	N	Louisville	25-21	- 8	L	N	La-Lafayette	43-20	- 9	W	N
Tulane	34-13	- 7	W	N	Memphis	16-0	-15	W	N	Cincinnati †	13-16	- 3	L	A	Samford	59-16	-	N	
Cincinnati	24-17	- 3	W	A	Memphis †	31-28	-12	L	A	E. Carolina	34-36	- 4	L	N	Tulsa	47-29	-12	W	N
Tennessee	20-44	+18	L	N	Cincinnati	21-17	-11	L	N	Tennessee	0-42	+26	L	N	Florida	17-55	+29	L	N
Houston	33-0	-19	W	N	Houston †	49-56*	- 7	L	N	Memphis	17-9	- 4	W	N	LSU †	20-18	+ 4	W	N
Memphis	42-18	-11	W	N	at Robertson Stadium					La-Lafayette †	35-32	- 6	L	N					
Liberty Bowl at Memphis					Florida St. †	14-54	+28	L	N										
§ Pittsburgh	41-7	-10	W	N															

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	2-9-1	6-2	2-5-1	0-1	0-2-1	1-0	1-2	0-4	0-4	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	40
HOME	5-1	2-3	4-0	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	42
AWAY	2-4	0-5-1	2-2	0-3-1	0-1	0-1-1	—	0-1	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	34
NAT.	6-5	2-7-1	5-1	2-3-1	0-1	0-1-1	1-0	1-1	0-4	0-4	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	40
ART.	1-1	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	37
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-11	6-16-1	11-4	5-9-1	0-1	0-3-1	1-1	4-4	1-7	1-7	1-4	0-2	0-1	—	41
HOME	9-2	4-6	8-1	4-5	—	0-2	1-0	3-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	40
AWAY	4-8	2-9-1	3-3	1-4-1	0-1	0-1-1	0-1	1-1	1-5	1-5	1-3	0-2	—	—	39
NAT.	12-10	6-14-1	10-3	5-7-1	0-1	0-2-1	1-1	4-3	1-7	1-7	1-4	0-2	0-1	—	41
ART.	1-1	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	37
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-15	12-21-1	16-7	8-14-1	0-1	1-5-1	1-2	6-6	3-8	4-7	1-4	0-2	3-1	—	41
HOME	11-4	5-8	9-3	5-7	—	0-3	1-0	4-4	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	41
AWAY	9-10	6-12-1	7-4	3-7-1	0-1	1-2-1	0-2	2-2	2-6	3-5	1-3	0-2	2-0	—	40
NAT.	18-13	10-18-1	13-5	6-11-1	0-1	0-3-1	1-2	5-5	3-8	4-7	1-4	0-2	3-1	—	40
ART.	3-2	2-3	3-2	2-3	—	1-2	—	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	47
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	37-23	23-32-2	31-9	18-20-2	1-2	3-7-1	5-3	9-8-1	3-14	5-12	1-7	0-2	3-2	1-1	44
HOME	20-5	11-10-1	17-3	11-8-1	—	1-3	3-0	7-5-1	0-2	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	44
AWAY	15-16	11-19-1	13-5	7-10-1	1-2	2-3-1	2-3	2-2	2-11	4-9	1-5	0-2	2-1	1-1	42
NAT.	33-18	19-27-2	27-6	15-16-2	1-2	2-5-1	4-3	8-6-1	3-12	4-11	1-6	0-2	3-2	0-1	43
ART.	4-5	4-5	4-3	3-4	—	1-2	1-0	1-2	0-2	1-1	0-1	—	—	1-0	47
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	68-47-1	53-55-3	56-15	35-33-3	2-2	8-11-1	8-7-1	17-13-1	7-32-1	18-22	2-8	3-4	4-2	9-8	45
HOME	37-9	22-18-1	32-6	22-15-1	1-0	2-4	5-3	14-8-1	0-3	0-3	0-2	0-1	—	—	47
AWAY	28-36-1	29-34-2	22-8	12-16-2	1-2	6-6-1	2-4-1	3-4	6-28-1	17-18	2-6	3-3	3-1	9-8	44
NAT.	59-39	43-47-3	48-11	29-27-3	2-2	4-8-1	7-7-1	16-10-1	6-28	14-20	2-7	2-4	3-2	7-7	45
ART.	9-8-1	10-8	8-4	6-6	—	4-3	1-0	1-3	1-4-1	4-2	0-1	1-0	1-0	2-1	46

STANFORD CARDINAL

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall str.up. vs.spr. home 2-9 5-6 away 2-3 3-2 as fav 0-6 2-4 as dog 2-0 2-0 0-9 3-6	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 9-3 7-5 away 5-1 3-3 as fav 4-1 4-1 as dog 6-2 4-4 3-0 3-0	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 5-6 8-3 away 3-3 4-2 as fav 2-3 4-1 as dog 2-2 2-2 3-4 6-1	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 8-4 7-5 away 5-1 3-3 as fav 4-2 3-2 as dog 3-3 4-2	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 3-8 5-6 away 2-4 2-4 as fav 1-4 3-2 as dog 1-1 1-1 2-6 4-4
opponent score vs. spread surf @ Bost. Coll. 27-34 +10- W A San Jose St. † 63-26 -17- W N @ Arizona St. 24-65 +1 L N @ Notre Dame 7-31 +8- L N Wash St. 11-36 +8- L N Arizona 16-6 -1- W N @ UCLA 18-28 +10- W N @ Oregon 14-41 +15 L A USC 17-49 +19 L N Oregon St. 21-31 +12- W N @ California 7-30 +10 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Bost. Coll. † 38-22 -5- W N Arizona St. † 51-28 -3 W N @ USC 21-16 +2 W N Wash St. 39-45 -4 L N @ Oregon 49-42 +7- W A UCLA 38-28 +8 W N @ Wash. 28-42 P L A @ Arizona † 51-37 -7 W N California 35-28 -24 L N Notre Dame † 17-13 -7- L N @ San Jose St. 41-14 -19- W N Seattle Bowl at Safeco Field § Ga. Tech 14-24 -6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Wash St. † 24-10 -1- W A San Jose St. † 27-40 -17- L N Texas † 27-24 +12- W N Arizona 3-27 -1- L N @ Notre Dame 14-20 +11 W N @ Oregon St. 6-38 +9 L A USC 32-30 +4- W N Wash. 28-31 +7 W N @ UCLA 35-37 +7- W N Arizona St. 29-7 -2 W N @ California 36-30* +1 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Texas 17-69 +10 L N Wash St. † 54-17 -6- W N @ Arizona † 50-22 +13- W N UCLA 42-32 P W N San Jose St. 39-44 -19 L N Oregon St. 21-17 -8 L N @ USC 35-31 +7- W N @ Wash. 30-35 +3- L A @ Arizona St. 50-30 +3 W N California 31-13 -10 W N Notre Dame † 40-37 -5- L N Rose Bowl at Pasadena § Wisconsin 9-17 +14 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf San Jose St. 23-35 -14- L N Arizona 14-31 +7- L N No. Carolina 37-34 +8 W N @ Oregon 28-63 +16- L A @ Notre Dame 17-35 +17 L N Oregon St. 23-30 P L N @ Arizona St. † 38-44* +15- W N @ UCLA 24-28 +28- W N USC 9-34 +10- L N Wash St. 38-28 -7 W N @ California 10-3 +7 W N
overall str.up. vs.spr. home 5-6 4-7 away 4-2 2-4 as fav 1-4 2-3 as dog 4-2 1-5 1-4 3-2	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 7-5 5-7 away 4-2 2-4 as fav 2-3 2-3 as dog 3-2 1-4 4-3 4-3	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 7-4-1 6-6 away 2-2-1 2-3 as fav 5-1 4-2 as dog 1-2-1 3-1	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 3-7-1 3-6-2 away 2-3 1-3-1 as fav 1-4-1 2-3-1 as dog 1-1-1 0-2-1 2-6 3-4-1	overall str.up. vs.spr. home 4-7 3-8 away 3-4 1-6 as fav 1-3 2-2 as dog 2-4 3-3
opponent score vs. spread surf San Jose St. 28-12 -30 L N @ No. Carolina † 17-28 +13 W N @ Oregon St. 27-24 -11- L A Oregon † 58-49 -8- W N Notre Dame 33-15 +1 W N @ Arizona † 22-28 -3- L N Arizona St. 14-31 -1- L N UCLA 7-27 +6 L N @ USC 21-45 +3- L N @ Wash St. 28-38 +15 W A California 21-20 -3- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Utah 10-17 -6 L N San Jose St. 25-2 -27 L N @ Wisconsin 0-14 +8 L A @ Wash. 6-27 +13 L A Oregon 27-24* -4- L N @ Oregon St. 12-26 -9 L A Arizona St. 9-41 +14- L N @ UCLA 21-20 +13 W N USC † 24-20 +8 W N Wash St. 33-17 -1 W N @ California 42-21 +2 W N Sun Bowl at El Paso § Mich. St. 38-0 +8 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ San Jose St. 47-33 -15 L N @ Utah † 27-20 -2 W N Wisconsin 24-24 +3- W N @ Oregon 28-21 +9- W A @ Arizona St. † 30-28 -3- L N Wash. 28-38 -1 L N UCLA 28-42 +3 L N Oregon St. 24-3 -9- W N @ USC 30-31 +14 W N @ Wash St. 36-24 -2 W A California 29-24 -8- L N Liberty Bowl at Memphis § E. Carolina 13-19 -3- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ N'western 41-41 -13- L A San Jose St. 51-20 -31 P N Arizona 10-34 +6 L N @ Notre Dame 15-34 +15 L N @ Arizona St. † 35-36 -1- L N USC 20-27 +1 L N @ Oregon St. 35-29 +2- W A @ UCLA 30-31 +1 P N Wash. 46-28 +6 W N Oregon 21-55 +3 L N @ California 23-24 +3 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Wash. 14-31 +7 L A San Jose St. 31-28 -19- L N Colorado † 41-37 +5 W N UCLA 25-28 -3- L N Notre Dame 20-48 +10 L N @ Arizona † 24-27 +9- W N Arizona St. 30-38 -10 L N Oregon St. 31-27 -13 L N @ USC 20-45 +10- L N @ Oregon 38-34 +5- W A California 17-46 -2- L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-9	5-6	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	0-9	3-6	0-1	—	0-3	3-2	54		
HOME	2-3	3-2	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	0-3	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	55		
AWAY	0-6	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	2-4	0-1	—	0-2	2-1	54		
NAT.	2-7	4-5	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	0-7	2-5	0-1	—	0-3	2-1	54		
ART.	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	58		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-12	12-11	8-2	6-4	2-0	2-2	0-1	2-1	3-9	6-6	1-1	—	2-3	3-2	59		
HOME	7-4	6-5	6-1	4-3	2-0	1-1	0-1	1-1	1-3	2-2	—	—	1-1	1-1	59		
AWAY	4-7	6-5	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	2-6	4-4	1-1	—	1-2	2-1	60		
NAT.	10-9	10-9	8-2	6-4	2-0	2-2	0-1	2-1	2-7	4-5	1-1	—	1-3	2-1	57		
ART.	1-3	2-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	2-1	—	—	1-0	1-1	69		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	16-18	20-14	10-4	8-6	4-1	2-2	0-1	2-2	6-13	12-7	2-1	2-0	3-4	5-2	56		
HOME	10-7	10-7	7-3	5-5	3-1	1-1	0-1	1-2	3-4	5-2	—	2-0	1-1	2-1	56		
AWAY	6-10	10-6	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	3-9	7-5	2-1	—	2-3	3-1	57		
NAT.	14-14	17-11	9-4	7-6	3-1	2-2	0-1	2-2	5-10	10-5	2-1	2-0	2-3	4-1	55		
ART.	2-4	3-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-3	2-2	—	—	1-1	1-1	59		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	27-30	32-25	15-6	11-10	4-1	4-3	1-2	2-4	11-22	20-13	3-1	3-1	5-6	9-5	58		
HOME	17-12	15-14	12-5	8-9	3-1	3-2	1-2	1-4	4-6	6-4	—	2-0	2-2	2-2	58		
AWAY	10-16	16-10	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	7-15	13-9	3-1	1-1	3-4	6-3	60		
NAT.	25-24	29-20	14-6	10-10	3-1	4-3	1-2	2-4	10-17	18-9	3-1	3-0	4-5	8-3	57		
ART.	2-6	3-5	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	—	0-1	1-1	1-2	63		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	53-59-2	53-59-2	31-16-1	16-31-1	7-5	4-10	3-4	2-12-1	21-41-1	36-26-1	7-4-1	7-5	9-8	13-9	56		
HOME	32-25-1	23-34-1	23-11	11-22-1	4-4	3-6	3-3	1-9-1	8-13-1	11-11	1-3	5-2	3-3	2-3	56		
AWAY	20-31-1	28-23-1	8-3-1	5-7	3-1	1-2	0-1	1-3	12-27	23-15-1	6-1-1	2-3	5-5	10-6	57		
NAT.	45-47-1	43-48-2	28-15	14-28-1	5-5	4-10	3-3	2-10-1	16-31-1	28-19-1	5-4-1	6-3	6-6	11-6	56		
ART.	8-12-1	10-11	3-1-1	2-3	2-0	—	0-1	0-2	5-10	8-7	2-0	1-2	3-2	2-3	55		

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 4-8 4-7 home 3-3 2-3 away 1-5 2-4 as fav 1-3 1-3 as dog 2-5 3-4	overall 10-3 8-4 home 6-0 4-1 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 8-0 5-2 as dog 2-3 3-2	overall 6-5 7-4 home 4-2 5-1 away 2-3 2-3 as fav 6-1 6-1 as dog 0-4 1-3	overall 7-5 6-6 home 4-2 4-2 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 6-2 4-4 as dog 1-3 2-2	overall 8-4 5-6 home 5-1 2-3 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 7-2 4-5 as dog 1-2 1-1
opponent score vs. spread surf @ BYU † 21-42 + 3 L N No. Carolina † 22-30 - 5 L A R. Island 63-17 - - A @ Auburn † 34-37* +15- W N Pittsburgh 24-48 - 2- L A @ Temple 16-17 - 4- L A @ W. Virginia 7-34 + 7- L A Rutgers 45-14 -16- W A @ C. Florida † 38-35 + 4 W N Va. Tech 50-42* +10- W A @ Bost. Coll. 20-41 + 7 L A Miami-Fla 7-49 +20- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Ga. Tech at E. Ruth. 7-13 + 8 W N @ Tennessee 9-33 +16 L N C. Florida 21-10 -11 P A Auburn † 31-14 - 3- W A E. Carolina 44-30 - 5- W A @ Rutgers 24-17 -23- L N @ Pittsburgh 42-10 - 3- W N Temple 45-3 -18 W A @ Va. Tech 22-14 +18- W N W. Virginia 24-13 -14- L A @ Miami-Fla 0-59 +20 L N Bost. Coll. 39-28 - 3- W A Insight.com Bowl at Phoenix § Kansas St. 26-3 + 6 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Buffalo † 63-7 -43 W A @ Cincinnati 10-12 - 7- L A @ E. Carolina 17-34 + 5- L N BYU † 42-14 - 7- W A Pittsburgh 24-17* - 6 W A @ Bost. Coll. 13-20 + 1- L A Va. Tech † 14-22 +11- W A @ W. Virginia 31-27 - 3 W A @ Temple 31-12 -10 W A Miami-Fla † 0-26 +12- L A Rutgers 49-21 -25 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Toledo † 35-12 -13- W A C. Mich. † 47-7 -30- W A Michigan † 13-18 + 5- W A W. Virginia 30-7 -13 W A Tulane 47-17 -15 W A @ Pittsburgh † 24-17 -10 L A @ Va. Tech † 0-62 +12 L N Bost. Coll. 23-24 -19 L A Temple 27-10 -19 L A @ Rutgers 21-24* -30- L N @ Miami-Fla 13-45 +10- L N Music City Bowl at Nashville § Kentucky 20-13 + 3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Tennessee 33-34 + 1 P A @ Michigan 38-28 +10 W N Rutgers 70-14 -42 W A @ N.C. State † 17-38 -12 L N Cincinnati † 63-21 -42- L A @ Bost. Coll. 42-25 -13- W A Pittsburgh 45-28 -24 L A @ W. Virginia † 28-35 - 2- L A Va. Tech 28-26 - 4 L A @ Temple 38-7 -27 W A Miami-Fla 66-13 - 3- W A Orange Bowl at Miami § Florida † 10-31 + 7 L N
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
overall 9-4 6-7 home 5-1 3-3 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 9-2 6-5 as dog 0-2 0-2	overall 9-3 6-5 home 4-2 4-2 away 4-1 2-3 as fav 9-3 6-5 as dog - - -	overall 9-3 8-4 home 5-1 4-2 away 3-2 3-2 as fav 7-1 6-2 as dog 2-2 2-2	overall 7-4 5-6 home 4-2 3-3 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 6-0 3-3 as dog 1-4 2-3	overall 6-4-1 3-8 home 3-2 1-4 away 3-2 1-4 as fav 6-1-1 3-5 as dog 0-3 0-3
opponent score vs. spread surf § Wisconsin at E. Ruth. 34-0 - 7- W A N.C. State 31-32* -24- L A @ Oklahoma 34-36 -10- L N @ Va. Tech † 3-31 + 3 L N Tulane † 30-19 -22- L A E. Carolina 56-0 -15 W A @ Rutgers † 50-3 -33 W N Temple 60-7 -28 W A W. Virginia † 40-10 -10 W A Bost. Coll. 20-13 -27 L A @ Pittsburgh 32-27 -19 L A @ Miami-Fla 33-13 - 5- W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe § Kansas St. † 18-35 + 3 L X	opponent score vs. spread surf No. Carolina † 10-27 - 2- L A @ Minnesota † 33-35 - 8- L A Va. Tech 52-21 - 1 W A Rutgers 42-0 -26- W A Pittsburgh 55-7 -32 W A @ Bost. Coll. 45-17 -13- W A @ W. Virginia 30-7 - 4 W A @ Tulane 31-7 -24 P A Army † 42-17 -18- W A @ Temple 36-15 -31 L A Miami-Fla 31-38 - 8 L A Liberty Bowl at Memphis § Houston 30-17 -16- L N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ No. Carolina † 20-9 + 8 W N E. Carolina 24-27 - 4- L A Minnesota 27-17 - 6- W A @ Rutgers † 27-17 - 1- W N Temple 31-14 -22 L A E. Mich. 52-24 -24 W A W. Virginia 22-0 - 1 W A @ Va. Tech 7-31 + 6- L N @ Pittsburgh 42-10 - 5 W A Bost. Coll. 58-29 - 8 W A @ Miami-Fla 24-35 + 4- L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § Clemson 41-0 + 2- W N	opponent score vs. spread surf Oklahoma † 29-30 + 8 W A @ Cincinnati † 34-19 - 7- W A Rutgers † 37-36 -11 L A @ E. Carolina 21-18 - 1- W N Va. Tech 28-20 + 5- W A Pittsburgh 31-7 - 9 W A @ Temple † 49-42 -21 L A Miami-Fla 6-27 +13- L A @ Bost. Coll. 0-31 + 7 L A Maryland 21-16 - 9 L A @ W. Virginia † 0-13 + 2- L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Ball St. † 35-12 -36- L A @ E. Carolina † 41-22 -17- W N @ Texas 21-21 - 6 L A Cincinnati † 24-21 -28- L A Bost. Coll. 29-33 - 7- L A @ Pittsburgh 24-21 -23 L A @ Miami-Fla † 0-49 +17- L N W. Virginia 0-43 + 1 L A Temple 52-3 -38- W A @ Va. Tech 24-45 + 1- L N @ Rutgers at E. Ruth. 31-18 - 1- W A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	4-7	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-2	—	1-0	2-5	3-4	0-1	1-1	0-1	2-1	62
HOME	3-3	2-3	1-2	1-2	0-1	0-1	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	68
AWAY	1-5	2-4	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-4	2-3	0-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	57
NAT.	1-2	2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-2	2-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	69
ART.	3-6	2-6	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-2	—	1-0	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-11	12-11-1	9-3	6-5-1	0-1	4-2	—	2-2-1	4-8	6-6	0-1	2-1	1-1	3-3	53
HOME	9-3	6-4-1	7-2	5-3-1	0-1	3-1	—	2-1-1	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	1-1	59
AWAY	4-7	4-7	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	—	0-1	2-6	3-5	0-1	1-1	0-1	2-2	52
NAT.	5-5	6-4	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	3-5	5-3	0-1	2-0	1-0	2-2	48
ART.	9-6	6-7-1	7-3	5-4-1	0-1	3-2	—	2-1-1	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	0-1	1-1	56
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-16	19-15-1	15-4	12-6-1	1-1	5-2	2-1	4-2-1	4-12	7-9	0-2	2-2	1-1	4-4	51
HOME	13-5	11-5-1	11-2	9-3-1	0-1	4-1	1-0	4-1-1	1-3	2-2	—	—	—	2-2	56
AWAY	6-10	6-10	4-2	3-3	1-0	1-1	1-1	0-1	2-8	3-7	0-2	1-2	0-1	2-2	48
NAT.	5-6	6-5	2-0	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	3-6	5-4	0-1	2-1	1-0	2-2	48
ART.	15-10	13-10-1	13-4	11-5-1	1-1	4-2	2-1	4-1-1	1-6	2-5	0-1	0-1	0-1	2-2	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	35-25	30-27-2	28-8	20-15-1	1-2	6-3	2-2	11-8-1	6-17	10-12-1	1-2-1	3-3	2-1	4-6	52
HOME	22-8	17-10-2	20-3	14-8-1	0-1	5-2	1-0	8-5-1	1-5	3-2-1	0-0-1	1-0	—	2-2	57
AWAY	11-15	10-16	8-5	6-7	1-1	1-1	1-2	3-3	3-10	4-9	0-2	1-2	1-1	2-4	51
NAT.	7-11	8-10	2-2	1-3	—	1-0	—	0-3	5-9	7-7	1-1	2-2	2-0	2-4	49
ART.	28-14	22-17-2	26-6	19-12-1	1-2	5-3	2-2	11-5-1	1-8	3-5-1	0-1-1	1-1	0-1	2-2	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	75-43-1	58-57-3	65-15-1	44-35-2	6-3	10-5	7-6	21-21-2	9-28	14-22-1	2-7-1	4-6	4-1	4-8	51
HOME	43-16	32-24-2	40-8	27-20-1	2-2	6-3	4-3	15-12-1	2-8	5-4-1	0-1-1	2-0	1-0	2-3	55
AWAY	27-24-1	21-30-1	23-7-1	16-14-1	4-1	4-2	2-3	6-8-1	4-17	5-16	0-5	1-5	2-1	2-5	50
NAT.	15-17	15-17	8-3	6-5	2-0	2-0	—	2-5	7-14	9-12	2-3	2-4	3-0	2-5	49
ART.	60-25-1	43-39-3	57-12-1	38-30-2	4-3	8-5	7-6	19-16-2	2-13	5-9-1	0-3-1	2-2	1-1	2-3	52

TEMPLE OWLS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002					2001					2000					1999					1998				
overall 4-8 home 2-5 away 2-3 as fav 2-0 as dog 1-8					overall 4-7 home 3-3 away 1-4 as fav 3-2 as dog 1-5					overall 4-7 home 2-4 away 2-3 as fav 3-0 as dog 1-7					overall 2-9 home 2-2 away 0-7 as fav 1-1 as dog 1-8					overall 2-9 home 0-5 away 2-4 as fav 0-2 as dog 2-6				
str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.				
opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf				
Richmond † 34-7 — - A at Franklin Field					Navy † 45-26 -17- W A at Franklin Field					Navy † 17-6 +10- W N					Maryland † 0-6 + 5- L A at Franklin Field					Toledo † 12-24 +11 L A Akron 28-35 - 9- L A at Franklin Field				
Oregon St. † 3-35 +10 L A at Franklin Field					Toledo 7-33 + 7 L A at Franklin Field					Maryland † 10-17 +10 W N					Kansas St. † 0-40 +34 L A					Bost. Coll. 7-31 +17- L A				
Miami-Fla 21-44 +37- W A at Franklin Field					Bowl. Green † 23-42 - 1- L N					Bowl. Green † 31-14 -10 W A at Franklin Field					Akron 15-25 - 1 L A					Maryland † 20-30 +10 P N				
So. Carolina † 21-42 +17 L N					Bost. Coll. 10-33 +12 L A					E. Mich. † 49-40 -15 L A at Franklin Field					Marshall † 0-34 +28 L A					W. & Mary 38-45 — - A				
Cincinnati 22-35 + 4- L A					Rutgers 30-5 -13 W A					W. Virginia † 24-29 + 6 W A at Franklin Field					Pittsburgh 24-55 +17- L A					W. Virginia 7-37 +25 L A				
Syracuse 17-16 + 4- W A					Syracuse 3-45 +18 L A					Va. Tech 13-35 +30- W N					Bost. Coll. 24-14 +14 W A					Va. Tech 28-24 +35- W N				
Connecticut 38-24 - 2 W N					Pittsburgh 7-33 - 1 L A					Rutgers 48-14 - 6- W N					W. Virginia 17-20 +17 W A					Rutgers 10-21 - 3 L N				
Va. Tech 10-20 +28 W N					Miami-Fla 0-38 +42 W N					Miami-Fla 17-45 +23 L A					Syracuse 10-27 +19 W A					Pittsburgh 34-33 +14- W A				
W. Virginia 20-46 + 7- L A					Va. Tech 0-35 +24 L A					Bost. Coll. 3-31 +10 L A					Va. Tech 7-62 +29 L A					Miami-Fla 7-42 +23- L A				
Pittsburgh 22-29 +16 W N					W. Virginia 17-14 +18 W A					Syracuse 12-31 +10 L A					Miami-Fla 0-55 +32 L N					Syracuse 7-38 +27- L A				
Rutgers 20-17 - 7- L N					Connecticut at Franklin Field 56-7 -17- W A					Pittsburgh 0-7 + 8 W A														
Bost. Coll. 14-36 + 8 L A																								

1997					1996					1995					1994					1993				
overall 3-8 home 3-2 away 0-6 as fav 1-1 as dog 2-7					overall 1-10 home 0-4 away 1-5 as fav 1-2 as dog 0-8					overall 1-10 home 1-3 away 0-6 as fav 1-10 as dog 6-3-2					overall 2-9 home 0-5 away 2-4 as fav 2-9 as dog 8-3					overall 1-10 home 0-5 away 1-5 as fav 1-0 as dog 0-7				
str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.					str.up vs.spr.				
opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf					opponent score vs. spread surf				
W. Mich. † 14-34 - 2 L N					E. Mich. † 28-24 - 2- W A					Kansas St. † 7-34 +24 L A					Akron † 32-7 + 6- W A					E. Mich. † 31-28 - 8- L A				
Bost. Coll. 28-21 +12 W A					Wash St. † 34-38 +10 W N at Franklin Field					W. Virginia 13-24 +23- W A					E. Carolina † 14-31 +13- L A					California † 0-58 +21 L A				
Penn State 10-52 +37 L N					Bowl. Green 16-20 + 3- L N					Penn State 14-66 +34 L N					Army 23-20 + 9- W A					Bost. Coll. 14-66 +31 L A				
Va. Tech † 13-23 +23- W A					Penn State at E. Ruth. 0-41 +28 L A					Bowl. Green † 31-37 + 4- L A					Penn State at Franklin Field 21-48 +44 W N					Rutgers † 0-62 +31- L A at E. Ruth.				
Maryland † 21-24 + 2- L N at Franklin Field					Pittsburgh 52-53 - 2 L A					Syracuse 14-31 +22 W A					Va. Tech 13-41 +28- W N					Army 21-56 — - A				
Pittsburgh 17-13 + 4- W A					Va. Tech 0-38 +23 L N					Pittsburgh † 29-27 +10 W A					Bost. Coll. 28-45 +27 W A					Va. Tech 7-55 — - N				
Syracuse 7-60 +28 L A					W. Virginia 10-30 +21 W A					E. Carolina 22-32 +17 W N					Syracuse † 42-49 +21 W A					Akron 7-31 — - A				
Miami-Fla 15-47 +19- L N					Rutgers 17-28 - 1- L N					Miami-Fla 12-36 +25- W N					Pittsburgh 19-45 + 9- L A					Miami-Fla 7-42 +56- W N				
Rutgers 49-7 -10 W A					Miami-Fla 26-57 +25 L A					Bost. Coll. 9-10 +11- W A					Rutgers 21-38 +18- W N					Syracuse 3-52 +38- L A				
Navy 17-49 +13 L N					Bost. Coll. 20-21 + 9- W A					Va. Tech at Wash. D.C. 16-38 +22 P N					W. Virginia 17-55 +14 L A					W. Virginia 7-49 +41 L A				
W. Virginia 21-41 +21 W A					Syracuse 15-36 +31 W A					Rutgers 20-23 + 3 P A					Miami-Fla 14-38 +36- W A					Pittsburgh 18-28 +20 W A				

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	5-6	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	0-1	—	1-8	4-5	—	1-1	0-3	3-1	49
HOME	2-5	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	—	1-1	0-3	1-0	50
AWAY	2-3	3-2	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	0-1	—	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	48
NAT.	2-3	3-2	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	0-1	—	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	48
ART.	2-5	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-5	2-4	—	1-1	0-3	1-0	50
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-15	10-12	5-2	4-3	1-2	—	0-1	3-0	2-13	6-9	—	1-2	0-3	5-4	47
HOME	5-8	5-7	3-1	3-1	0-1	—	—	3-0	1-7	2-6	—	1-2	0-3	1-1	48
AWAY	3-7	5-5	2-1	1-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-6	4-3	—	—	—	4-3	46
NAT.	2-5	4-3	2-1	1-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	49
ART.	6-10	6-9	3-1	3-1	0-1	—	—	3-0	2-9	3-8	—	1-2	0-3	2-3	47
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	12-22	17-16	8-2	6-4	1-2	1-0	1-1	3-1	3-20	11-12	—	2-2	2-5	7-5	46
HOME	7-12	8-10	5-1	4-2	0-1	—	1-0	3-1	1-11	4-8	—	2-2	1-4	1-2	49
AWAY	5-10	9-6	3-1	2-2	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	2-9	7-4	—	—	1-1	6-3	44
NAT.	4-7	8-3	3-1	2-2	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	1-6	6-1	—	—	1-0	5-1	46
ART.	8-15	9-13	5-1	4-2	0-1	—	1-0	3-1	2-14	5-11	—	2-2	1-5	2-4	47
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	16-40	23-30-1	9-5	7-7	1-4	1-0	2-2	3-1	6-34	16-23-1	—	2-3	2-5-1	12-15	47
HOME	9-19	10-16	6-2	5-3	0-1	—	2-1	3-1	2-16	5-13	—	2-3	1-4	2-6	50
AWAY	7-21	13-14-1	3-3	2-4	1-3	1-0	0-1	—	4-18	11-10-1	—	—	1-1-1	10-9	44
NAT.	5-10	9-5-1	3-2	2-3	1-2	1-0	0-1	—	2-8	7-2-1	—	—	1-0-1	6-2	46
ART.	11-30	14-25	6-3	5-4	0-2	—	2-1	3-1	4-26	9-21	—	2-3	1-5	6-13	48
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	24-87	49-54-3	12-8	9-11	2-7	1-0	3-3	3-1	11-75	40-43-3	0-1-1	4-5	6-6-1	30-31-1	51
HOME	13-38	23-23-1	7-2	6-3	0-1	—	3-1	3-1	5-33	17-20-1	0-1-1	3-4	3-4	11-11	52
AWAY	11-47	26-30-1	5-6	3-8	2-6	1-0	0-2	—	6-40	23-22-1	—	1-1	3-2-1	19-19	50
NAT.	5-28	16-14-2	3-4	2-5	1-4	1-0	0-1	—	2-23	14-9-2	0-1	0-1	2-0-1	12-7-1	51
ART.	19-59	33-40-1	9-4	7-6	1-3	—	3-2	3-1	9-52	26-34-1	0-0-1	4-4	4-6	18-24	51

TENNESSEE VOLUNTEERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
\$ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 8-5 home 4-3 away 2-1 as fav 2-0 as dog 6-4 0-2-1	overall 11-2 home 5-1 away 5-0 as fav 10-2 as dog 1-0	overall 8-4 home 5-1 away 2-2 as fav 6-1 as dog 0-3	overall 9-3 home 7-0 away 2-2 as fav 9-1 as dog 0-2	overall 13-0 home 6-0 away 5-0 as fav 10-0 as dog 3-0
2002 opponent score vs. spread surf Wyoming † 47-7 -31 W N Mid. Tenn. † 26-3 -22 W N Florida 13-30 -5 L N Rutgers † 35-14 -41 L N Arkansas † 41-38* -10 L N Georgia 13-18 +5 P N Alabama † 14-34 -2 L N So. Carolina 18-10 -1 W N Miami-Fla 3-26 +8 L N Miss. St. 35-17 -7 W N Vanderbilt † 24-0 -17 W N SEC Championship at Atlanta Kentucky 24-0 -8 W N Peach Bowl at Atlanta Maryland † 3-30 +1 L A	2001 opponent score vs. spread surf Syracuse 33-9 -16 W N Arkansas † 13-3 -13 L N LSU † 26-18 -9 L N Georgia 24-26 -12 L N Alabama 35-24 -2 W N So. Carolina † 17-10 -7 P N Notre Dame 28-18 -8 W N Memphis 49-28 -24 L N Kentucky 38-35 -18 L N Vanderbilt 38-0 -25 W N Florida 34-32 +17 W N SEC Championship at Atlanta LSU † 20-31 -7 L A Citrus Bowl at Orlando Michigan 45-17 -3 W N	2000 opponent score vs. spread surf So. Miss. 19-16 -8 L N Florida 23-27 +3 L N La-Monroe 70-3 -36 W N LSU † 31-38* -9 L N Georgia † 10-21 +4 L N Alabama 20-10 -3 W N So. Carolina 17-14 -1 W N Memphis 19-17 -11 L N Arkansas 63-20 -13 W N Kentucky 59-20 -19 W N Vanderbilt 28-26 -19 L N Cotton Bowl at Dallas Kansas St. 21-35 +3 L N	1999 opponent score vs. spread surf Wyoming † 42-17 -24 W N Florida † 21-23 +2 P N Memphis 17-16 -30 L N Auburn † 24-0 -19 W N Georgia † 37-20 -10 W N Alabama 21-7 -3 W N So. Carolina 30-7 -33 L N Notre Dame † 38-14 -9 W N Arkansas 24-28 -8 L N Kentucky 56-21 -13 W N Vanderbilt 38-10 -23 W N Fiesta Bowl at Tempe, AZ Nebraska † 21-31 +4 L N	1998 opponent score vs. spread surf Syracuse 34-33 -1 P A Florida † 20-17* +3 W N Houston † 42-7 -27 W N Auburn 17-9 -6 W N Georgia 22-3 +3 W N Alabama 35-18 -17 L N So. Carolina 49-14 -17 W N Ala.-Birm. 37-13 -42 L N Arkansas 28-24 -9 L N Kentucky 59-21 -10 W N Vanderbilt 41-0 -29 W A SEC Championship at Atlanta Miss. St. † 24-14 -14 L A Fiesta Bowl at Tempe Florida St. † 23-16 +5 W X
1997 overall 11-2 home 6-0 away 4-1 as fav 11-0 as dog 0-2	1996 overall 10-2 home 5-1 away 3-1 as fav 10-2 as dog 0-1	1995 overall 11-1 home 7-0 away 3-1 as fav 10-0 as dog 1-1	1994 overall 8-4 home 4-2 away 3-2 as fav 8-2 as dog 0-1	1993 overall 9-2-1 home 7-0 away 2-1-1 as fav 9-2 as dog 0-0-1
1997 opponent score vs. spread surf Texas Tech † 52-17 -24 W N UCLA 30-24 -14 L N Florida 20-33 +4 L N Mississippi 31-17 -28 L N Georgia 38-13 -9 W N Alabama † 38-21 -9 W N So. Carolina 22-7 -21 L N So. Miss. 44-20 -18 W N Arkansas † 30-22 -25 L N Kentucky 59-31 -18 W N Vanderbilt 17-10 -28 L N SEC Championship at Atlanta Auburn † 30-29 -7 L A Orange Bowl at Miami Nebraska † 17-42 +13 L N	1996 opponent score vs. spread surf UNLV † 62-3 -56 W N UCLA † 35-20 -19 L N Florida 29-35 -1 L N Mississippi † 41-3 -23 W N Georgia † 29-17 -14 L N Alabama 20-13 -14 L N So. Carolina 31-14 -18 L N Memphis 17-21 -27 L N Arkansas 55-14 -24 W N Kentucky 56-10 -28 W N Vanderbilt † 14-7 -25 L A Citrus Bowl at Orlando N'western 48-28 -8 W N	1995 opponent score vs. spread surf E. Carolina † 27-7 -17 W N Georgia † 30-27 -12 L N Florida 37-62 +7 L N Miss. St. 52-14 -12 W N Okla. St. 31-0 -35 L N Arkansas 49-31 -7 W N Alabama † 41-14 -4 W N So. Carolina 56-21 -17 W N So. Miss. 42-0 -26 W N Kentucky 34-31 -26 L N Vanderbilt 12-7 -37 L N Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando Ohio State 20-14 +4 W N	1994 opponent score vs. spread surf UCLA 23-25 P L N Georgia † 41-23 -1 W N Florida † 0-31 +5 L N Miss. St. 21-24 -6 L N Wash. St. 10-9 -5 L N Arkansas 38-21 -9 W N Alabama † 13-17 -5 L N So. Carolina 31-22 -6 W N Memphis 24-13 -18 L N Kentucky 52-0 -26 W N Vanderbilt 65-0 -11 W A Gator Bowl at Gainesville Va. Tech † 45-23 -7 W N	1993 opponent score vs. spread surf La. Tech † 50-0 -21 W A Georgia † 38-6 -7 W A Florida 34-41 -1 L N LSU 42-20 -25 L A Duke 52-19 -27 W A Arkansas 28-14 -17 L A Alabama at Little Rock 17-17 +5 W A So. Carolina 55-3 -21 W A Louisville 45-10 -12 W A Kentucky 48-0 -16 W N Vanderbilt 62-14 -34 W A Florida Citrus Bowl at Orlando Penn State 13-31 -10 L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	6-6-1	8-2	6-4	1-1	1-1	1-0	3-2	0-3	0-2-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-1	—	40
HOME	4-3	2-5	4-2	2-4	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-2	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	43
AWAY	2-1	2-0-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	0-1	0-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	—	37
NAT.	8-4	6-5-1	8-2	6-4	1-1	1-1	1-0	3-2	0-2	0-1-1	—	0-0-1	0-1	—	40
ART.	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	33
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	19-7	12-12-2	18-4	11-10-1	3-1	1-2-1	2-1	5-6	1-3	1-2-1	0-1	0-0-1	0-1	1-0	45
HOME	9-4	4-8-1	9-3	4-7-1	0-1	0-1-1	1-1	3-4	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	44
AWAY	7-1	5-2-1	6-0	4-2	2-0	1-0	1-0	0-2	1-1	1-0-1	—	0-0-1	—	1-0	46
NAT.	19-5	12-10-2	18-3	11-9-1	3-1	1-1-1	2-1	5-6	1-2	1-1-1	—	0-0-1	0-1	1-0	45
ART.	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	42
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	27-11	17-19-2	26-5	16-14-1	5-1	1-2-1	2-3	8-8	1-6	1-5-1	0-2	0-2-1	0-1	1-0	47
HOME	14-5	8-10-1	14-3	8-8-1	1-1	0-1-1	1-2	6-4	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	48
AWAY	9-3	6-5-1	8-1	5-4	3-0	1-0	1-1	0-3	1-2	1-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	1-0	44
NAT.	27-9	17-17-2	26-4	16-13-1	5-1	1-1-1	2-3	8-8	1-5	1-4-1	0-1	0-2-1	0-1	1-0	47
ART.	0-2	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	42
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	49-14	32-27-4	45-6	28-21-2	6-1-1	2-2-1	3-5	17-13	4-8	4-6-2	1-2-1	2-3-1	0-1	1-0	47
HOME	27-5	16-15-1	26-3	15-13-1	1-1	0-1-1	2-3	12-8	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	—	48
AWAY	16-5	12-6-3	14-2	10-5-1	4-0-1	2-0	1-2	3-3	2-3	2-1-2	0-0-1	1-1-1	—	1-0	45
NAT.	45-12	30-24-3	42-5	27-19-1	6-1	2-1-1	3-5	16-12	3-7	3-5-2	1-1-1	1-3-1	0-1	1-0	47
ART.	3-2	1-3-1	3-1	1-2-1	0-0-1	0-1	—	1-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	46
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	98-25-1	63-57-4	93-12	57-46-2	7-3-1	6-6-1	8-5	36-32	5-12-1	6-10-2	1-2-1	4-5-1	0-2	1-1	50
HOME	56-8	34-29-1	55-5	33-26-1	1-2	1-3-1	4-3	27-18	1-3	1-3	1-0	0-2	0-1	—	49
AWAY	31-11-1	21-19-3	29-5	18-15-1	5-1-1	5-1	2-2	6-11	2-5-1	3-3-2	0-0-1	2-2-1	0-1	1-0	50
NAT.	83-23	53-50-3	79-11	49-40-1	7-3	5-4-1	8-5	29-28	4-11	4-9-2	1-1-1	2-5-1	0-2	1-1	50
ART.	14-2-1	9-7-1	14-1	8-6-1	0-0-1	1-2	—	7-4	0-1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	51

TEXAS LONGHORNS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 11-2 6-1 4-1 10-2 1-0	5-6-1 2-5 3-1-1 5-6-1 1-0	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 11-2 5-0 2-2-1 6-6-1 —	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 9-3 5-0 3-1 8-3 1-0	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 9-5 4-2 4-1 8-4 1-1	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 9-3 6-0 1-3 7-1 2-2				
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf				
N. Texas †	27-0	-34	L N	N. Mex. St †	41-7	-35	L N	La-Lafayette	52-10	-46	L N	N.C. State †	20-23	-9	L N				
@ No. Carolina †	52-21	-13	W N	No. Carolina	44-14	-22	W N	@ Stanford †	24-27	-12	L N	Stanford	69-17	-10	W N				
Houston †	41-11	-35	L N	@ Houston †	53-26	-30	L N	Houston †	48-0	-24	W N	@ Rutgers †	38-21	-23	L N				
@ Tulane	49-0	-32	W A	Texas Tech †	42-7	-17	W N	Okla. St.	42-7	-21	W N	Rice †	18-13	-26	L N				
Okla. St.	17-15	-24	L N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	3-14	-3	L N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	14-63	-3	L N	@ Baylor †	62-0	-16	W N				
Oklahoma	24-35	-3	L N	@ Okla. St.	45-17	-18	W A	@ Colorado	28-14	+1	W N	Kansas St.	17-35	-6	L N				
@ Kansas St. †	17-14	+3	W A	Colorado	41-7	-12	W N	Missouri	46-12	-23	W N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	38-28	-5	W N				
Iowa St.	21-10	-12	L N	@ Missouri	35-16	-21	L N	Baylor	48-14	-39	L N	Nebraska	24-20	+9	W N				
@ Nebraska †	27-24	-3	P A	@ Baylor	49-10	-33	W N	@ Texas Tech †	29-17	-10	W A	@ Iowa St.	44-41	-10	L N				
Baylor	41-0	-40	W N	Kansas	59-0	-34	W N	@ Kansas	51-16	-11	W A	@ Okla. St.	34-21	-8	W A				
@ Texas Tech	38-42	-6	L A	@ Texas A&M	21-7	-14	P N	Texas A&M	43-17	-5	W N	Texas Tech †	58-7	-16	W N				
Texas A&M	50-20	-11	W N	Big 12 Championship at Irving, TX				Holiday Bowl at San Diego				@ Texas A&M	16-20	-2	L N				
Cotton Bowl at Dallas				§ Colorado †	37-39	-7	L A	§ Oregon †	30-35	-7	L N	Big-12 Championship at San Antonio							
§ LSU	35-20	-10	W N	Holiday Bowl at San Diego				§ Nebraska	6-22	+8	L A	§ Nebraska	6-22	+8	L A				
				§ Wash. †	47-43	-13	L N	Cotton Bowl at Dallas				§ Arkansas	6-27	-5	L N				
1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 1-4 4-5 0-2	2-9 1-4 1-4 1-8 1-1	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-5 5-1 2-2 6-2 2-3	8-5 4-2 3-1 4-4 4-1	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 10-2-1 6-0 4-1 8-1 2-1-1	9-4 4-2 4-1 6-3 3-1	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-4 3-2 3-2 5-2 2-2	8-4 4-1 2-3 4-3 3-1	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-5-1 3-1-1 1-3 5-1 0-4-1	7-4 4-1 2-2 5-1 2-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Rutgers †	48-14	-38	L N	Missouri †	40-10	-21	W N	@ Hawaii †	38-17	-11	W A	@ Pittsburgh	30-28	-10	L A	@ Colorado †	14-36	+13	L A
UCLA	3-66	-10	L N	N. Mex. St †	41-7	-39	L N	Pittsburgh	38-27	-12	L A	Louisville	30-16	-11	W A	Syracuse	21-21	+6	W A
@ Rice	38-31	-12	L A	Notre Dame	24-27	+3	W N	@ Notre Dame	27-55	+7	L N	@ TCU †	34-18	-6	W N	@ Louisville	10-41	+7	L A
@ Okla. St.	16-42	-6	L A	@ Virginia †	13-37	+4	L N	@ SMU	35-10	-22	W N	Colorado	31-34	+7	W A	Rice †	55-38	-2	W A
§ Oklahoma	27-24	-4	L N	Okla. St. †	71-14	-17	W N	Rice	37-13	-18	W A	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	17-10	+3	W N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	17-38	+11	L N
@ Missouri	29-37	-3	L N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	27-30	-21	L N	§ Oklahoma at Dallas	24-24	+2	W N	@ Rice †	17-19	-7	L A	§ SMU at San Antonio	37-10	-13	W A
Colorado	30-47	+5	L N	@ Colorado	24-28	+10	W A	Virginia	17-16	+2	W A	SMU	42-20	-20	W A	Texas Tech	22-31	-5	L A
@ Baylor	21-23	-9	L A	Baylor	28-23	-12	L N	Texas Tech †	48-7	-4	W A	@ Texas Tech	9-33	-2	L A	@ Houston †	34-16	-6	W A
Texas Tech †	10-24	-1	L N	@ Texas Tech †	38-32	+6	W A	@ Houston †	52-20	-21	W A	Texas A&M	10-34	+5	L A	TCU	24-3	-13	W A
Kansas	45-31	-6	W N	@ Kansas	38-17	-6	W A	TCU	27-19	-18	L A	Houston	48-13	-26	W A	Baylor	38-17	-6	W A
@ Texas A&M	16-27	+16	W N	Texas A&M	51-15	-3	W N	Baylor †	21-13	-4	W A	@ Baylor	63-35	+3	W A	@ Texas A&M †	9-18	+19	W A
				Big-12 Championship at St. Louis			@ Texas A&M	16-6	+4	W A	Sun Bowl at El Paso								
				§ Nebraska	37-27	+20	W A	Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				§ No. Carolina	35-31	P W A					
				Fiesta Bowl at Tempe			§ Va. Tech †	10-28	-2	L A									
				§ Penn State †	15-38	-1	L X												

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. / GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR. UP	ALL	- to 3	3 to 7	7 to 10	over 10		STR. UP	ALL	- to 3	3 to 7	7 to 10	over 10		
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	11-2	6-6-1	10-2	5-6-1	0-1-1	0-1	—	5-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	50	
HOME	6-1	2-5	6-1	2-5	0-1	—	—	2-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	44	
AWAY	4-1	3-1-1	3-1	2-1-1	0-0-1	0-1	—	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56	
NAT.	8-1	4-5	8-1	4-5	0-1	—	—	4-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	48	
ART.	3-1	2-1-1	2-1	1-1-1	0-0-1	0-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	52	
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	22-4	12-12-2	21-4	11-12-2	0-1-1	0-3	—	11-8-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	52	
HOME	11-1	6-6	11-1	6-6	0-1	—	—	6-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	47	
AWAY	9-1	5-3-2	8-1	4-3-2	0-0-1	0-1	—	4-2-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56	
NAT.	18-2	9-10-1	18-2	9-10-1	0-1	0-1	—	9-8-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	51	
ART.	4-2	3-2-1	3-2	2-2-1	0-0-1	0-2	—	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	58	
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	31-7	19-17-2	29-7	17-17-2	0-2-1	1-3	1-1	15-11-1	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	54	
HOME	17-1	10-8	17-1	10-8	0-1	1-0	—	9-7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	50	
AWAY	12-2	8-4-2	10-2	6-4-2	0-0-1	0-1	1-0	5-3-1	2-0	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	54	
NAT.	25-5	14-15-1	24-5	13-15-1	0-2	1-1	0-1	12-11-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53	
ART.	6-2	5-2-1	5-2	4-2-1	0-0-1	0-2	1-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	57	
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	49-15	31-31-2	44-12	26-28-2	0-4-1	4-5	4-2	18-17-1	5-3	5-3	3-0	—	—	1-2	1-1	55	
HOME	27-3	16-14	25-3	14-14	0-1	2-1	1-1	11-11	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	—	55	
AWAY	17-6	11-10-2	14-4	8-8-2	0-2-1	0-1	2-0	6-5-1	3-2	3-2	2-0	—	—	0-1	1-1	57	
NAT.	41-10	24-26-1	38-9	21-25-1	0-3	4-3	2-2	15-17-1	3-1	3-1	2-0	—	—	1-1	—	56	
ART.	8-5	7-5-1	6-3	5-3-1	0-1-1	0-2	2-0	3-0	2-2	2-2	1-0	—	—	0-1	1-1	54	
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	84-38-2	65-57-2	72-23	46-47-2	2-8-1	11-9	4-6	29-24-1	11-15-2	18-10	6-0	6-4	1-3	5-3	—	55	
HOME	46-10-1	33-24	43-6	27-22	2-2	6-2	1-2	18-16	3-4-1	6-2	2-0	3-2	1-0	—	—	55	
AWAY	28-18	23-21-2	22-9	14-15-2	0-3-1	3-3	2-3	9-6-1	6-9	9-6	3-0	2-2	0-2	4-2	—	55	
NAT.	52-20-1	34-38-1	48-13	27-33-1	1-4	6-5	2-3	18-21-1	4-7-1	7-5	3-0	2-2	1-2	1-1	—	56	
ART.	32-17-1	31-18-1	24-9	19-13-1	1-3-1	5-4	2-3	11-3	7-8-1	11-5	3-0	4-2	0-1	4-2	—	53	

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
str.up. vs.spr. overall 6-6 4-8 home 3-4 2-5 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 5-4 3-6 as dog 1-2 1-2	str.up. vs.spr. overall 8-4 4-6-1 home 5-1 2-2-1 away 2-3 1-4 as fav 6-0 3-3 as dog 1-4 1-3-1	str.up. vs.spr. overall 7-5 6-6 home 4-2 5-1 away 3-2 1-4 as fav 6-2 4-4 as dog 1-3 2-2	str.up. vs.spr. overall 8-4 7-5 home 6-0 5-1 away 1-3 1-3 as fav 7-2 6-3 as dog 1-2 1-2	str.up. vs.spr. overall 11-3 8-6 home 6-0 2-4 away 4-1 3-2 as fav 9-1 4-6 as dog 2-2 4-0
opponent score vs. spread surf La-Lafayette † 31-7 -29 L N @ Pittsburgh 14-12 -3 L N Va. Tech 3-13 +1 L N La. Tech † 31-3 -13 W N Texas Tech 47-48* -6 L N @ Baylor 41-0 -21 W N @ Kansas 47-22 -23 W A Nebraska † 31-38 -6 L N @ Okla. St. 23-28 -3 L A Oklahoma 30-26 +10 W N Missouri 27-33* -9 L N @ Texas 20-50 +11 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf McNeese St † 38-24 - - N @ Wyoming † 28-20 -15 L N Okla. St. † 21-7 -10 W N Notre Dame 24-3 -2 W N Baylor 16-10 -25 L N @ Colorado 21-31 +9 L N @ Kansas St. 31-24 +10 W A Iowa St. 24-21 -6 L N @ Texas Tech 0-12 +5 L A @ Oklahoma 10-31 +17 L N Texas 7-21 +14 P N § TCU 28-9 -4 W A § Galleries Furniture.com at Houston § Independence Bowl at Shreveport	opponent score vs. spread surf @ Notre Dame 10-24 +3 L N Wyoming † 51-3 -14 W N UTEP † 45-17 -26 W N Texas Tech 33-15 -15 W N Colorado 19-26 -8 L N @ Baylor 24-0 -27 L N @ Iowa St. 30-7 -2 W N Kansas St. 26-10 +5 W N @ Okla. St. 21-16 -15 L A Oklahoma 31-35 +9 W N @ Texas 17-43 +5 L N § Miss. St. † 41-43* -1 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf § La. Tech † at Shreveport 37-17 -15 W N Tulsa † 62-13 -32 W N So. Miss. 23-6 -10 W N @ Texas Tech † 19-21 -16 L A Baylor 45-13 -30 W N Kansas 34-17 -31 L N @ Oklahoma † 6-51 -3 L N Okla. St. 21-3 -11 W N @ Nebraska 0-37 +14 L A @ Missouri 51-14 -7 W N Texas 20-16 +2 W N Alamo Bowl at San Antonio § Penn State † 0-24 +7 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf § Florida St. † at E. Ruth. 14-23 +15 W A La. Tech † 28-7 -22 L N @ So. Miss. 24-6 -2 W N N. Texas † 28-9 -35 L N @ Kansas 24-21 -13 L A Nebraska 28-21 +12 W N @ Baylor † 35-14 -7 W N Texas Tech 17-10 -9 L N @ Okla. St. 17-6 -5 W A Oklahoma 29-0 -19 W N Missouri 17-14 -6 L N @ Texas 24-26 -2 L N Big 12 Championship at St. Louis § Kansas St. 36-33* +17 W A Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Ohio State † 14-24 +13 W A
1997	1996	1995	1994	1993
str.up. vs.spr. overall 9-4 6-6 home 6-0 3-2 away 2-2 2-2 as fav 7-1 4-4 as dog 1-2 2-1	str.up. vs.spr. overall 6-6 5-7 home 3-3 3-3 away 3-3 2-4 as fav 6-4 5-5 as dog 0-2 0-2	str.up. vs.spr. overall 9-3 5-6 home 5-1 2-3 away 3-2 2-3 as fav 7-2 4-5 as dog 1-1 1-1	str.up. vs.spr. overall 10-0-1 5-5 home 6-0 3-3 away 4-0 2-1 as fav 9-0-1 5-5	str.up. vs.spr. overall 10-2 9-3 home 6-0 4-2 away 4-1 4-1 as fav 10-0 8-2 as dog 0-2 1-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Sam Houston 59-6 - - N La-Lafayette 66-0 -38 W N § N. Texas at Dallas 36-10 -31 L N @ Colorado 16-10 +3 W A Iowa St. 56-17 -33 W N @ Kansas St. 17-36 P L A @ Texas Tech 13-16 -5 L A Okla. St. † 28-25* -9 L N Baylor 38-10 -21 W N @ Oklahoma 51-7 -15 W N Texas 27-16 -16 L N Big 12 Championship at San Antonio § Nebraska 15-54 +18 L A Cotton Bowl at Dallas § UCLA 23-29 +14 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf @ BYU 37-41 -7 L N @ La-Lafayette † 22-29 -20 L N N. Texas 55-0 -36 W N Colorado 10-24 +4 L N La. Tech 63-13 -25 W N @ Iowa St. 24-21 -13 L N Kansas St. † 20-23 -1 L N Texas Tech 10-13 -1 L N @ Okla. St. 38-19 -13 W A @ Baylor 24-7 -5 W A Oklahoma 33-16 -15 W N @ Texas 15-51 +3 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf LSU 33-17 -15 W A Tulsa 52-9 -29 W A @ Colorado 21-29 +4 L A @ Texas Tech 7-14 -9 L A SMU 20-17 -32 L A @ Baylor 24-9 -2 W A Houston 31-7 -27 L A @ Rice † 17-10 -15 L A Mid. Tenn. 56-14 - - A @ TCU 38-6 -11 W N Texas 6-16 -4 L A Alamo Bowl at San Antonio § Michigan † 22-20 +5 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf @ LSU † 18-13 -7 L N Oklahoma 36-14 -2 W A So. Miss. 41-17 -22 W A Texas Tech 23-17 -18 L A @ Houston † 38-7 - - A Baylor 41-21 -10 W A Rice 7-0 -20 L A § SMU at San Antonio 21-21 -25 L A @ Texas 34-10 -5 W A @ Louisville 26-10 -12 W A TCU 34-17 -19 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf LSU 24-0 -18 W A @ Oklahoma 14-44 +1 L A Missouri 73-0 -13 W A @ Texas Tech 31-6 -12 W A Houston 34-10 -23 W A @ Baylor 34-17 -15 W A @ Rice 38-10 -21 W A SMU 37-13 -32 L A Louisville † 42-7 -12 W A @ TCU 59-3 -25 W A Texas † 18-9 -19 L A Cotton Bowl at Dallas § Notre Dame 21-24 +8 W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	6-6	4-8	5-4	3-6	—	0-4	0-1	3-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	52
HOME	3-4	2-5	2-3	1-4	—	0-2	0-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	1-0	—	52
AWAY	3-2	2-3	3-1	2-2	—	0-2	—	2-0	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	51
NAT.	5-5	3-7	4-3	2-5	—	0-3	0-1	2-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	1-0	0-1	50
ART.	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	60
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	14-10	8-14-1	11-4	6-9	1-0	1-5	0-1	4-3	2-6	2-5-1	0-1	0-1	2-1	0-2-1	45
HOME	8-5	4-7-1	6-3	3-6	1-0	0-3	0-1	2-2	1-2	1-1-1	0-1	—	1-0	0-0-1	44
AWAY	5-5	3-7	4-1	2-3	—	0-2	—	2-1	1-4	1-4	—	0-1	1-1	0-2	46
NAT.	11-8	5-12-1	9-3	4-8	1-0	0-4	0-1	3-3	1-5	1-4-1	0-1	—	1-1	0-2-1	45
ART.	3-2	3-2	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	—	1-0	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	44
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-15	14-20-1	17-6	10-13	2-1	1-5	0-2	7-5	3-9	4-7-1	0-2	1-2	3-1	0-2-1	46
HOME	12-7	9-8-1	9-4	6-7	1-0	0-3	0-2	5-2	2-3	3-1-1	0-1	1-0	2-0	0-0-1	47
AWAY	8-7	4-11	7-1	3-5	1-0	0-2	—	2-3	1-6	1-6	0-1	0-2	1-1	0-2	43
NAT.	17-13	11-17-1	14-5	8-11	2-1	0-4	0-2	6-4	2-8	3-6-1	0-2	1-1	2-1	0-2-1	47
ART.	4-2	3-3	3-1	2-2	—	1-1	—	1-1	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	1-0	—	43
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	40-22	29-31-1	33-9	20-22	3-2	4-7	0-3	13-10	6-13	9-9-1	1-2	1-3	3-1	4-3-1	44
HOME	24-7	16-13-1	19-4	11-12	1-0	0-4	0-3	10-5	4-3	5-1-1	1-1	1-0	2-0	1-0-1	44
AWAY	13-11	8-16	12-4	7-9	2-1	3-3	—	2-5	1-7	1-7	0-1	0-2	1-1	0-3	43
NAT.	33-15	22-24-1	28-7	17-18	3-2	2-6	0-3	12-7	4-8	5-6-1	1-2	1-1	2-1	1-2-1	45
ART.	7-7	7-7	5-2	3-4	—	2-1	—	1-3	2-5	4-3	—	0-2	1-0	3-1	41
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	84-37-1	59-58-1	72-16-1	46-43	5-4	6-11	0-5	35-23	8-20	13-14-1	1-4	3-5	4-1	5-4-1	45
HOME	50-11	31-26-1	43-7	26-24	2-2	0-5	0-4	24-13	4-4	5-2-1	1-1	1-1	2-0	1-0-1	46
AWAY	29-19	20-27	26-8	18-16	3-1	5-6	0-1	10-8	2-10	2-10	0-3	1-3	1-1	0-3	44
NAT.	47-23	32-35-1	41-11	25-27	3-4	2-8	0-4	20-11	4-12	7-8-1	1-3	1-2	3-1	2-2-1	47
ART.	37-14-1	27-23	31-5-1	21-16	2-0	4-3	0-1	15-12	4-8	6-6	0-1	2-3	1-0	3-2	43

TEXAS CHRISTIAN HORNED FROGS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	str.up vs.spr.				2001	str.up vs.spr.				2000	str.up vs.spr.				1999	str.up vs.spr.				1998	str.up vs.spr.			
	overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav
	10-2	6-0	3-2	8-1		6-6	2-2	4-3	4-1		10-2	6-0	4-1	10-2		8-4	5-1	2-3	7-1		7-5	3-3	4-1	3-2
	2-1	2-1	4-5	2-1		2-4	3-2	3-3	2-4		—	—	—	—		1-2	2-1	6-2	1-2		3-4	4-3	4-3	4-3
opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread
@ Cincinnati				29-36* + 3 L A	@ Nebraska				7-21 +29 W A	@ Nevada				41-10 -24 W A	Arizona †				31-35 +12- W N	@ Iowa St.				31-21 + 4- W N
@ N'western				48-24 - 5- W N	@ N. Texas †				19-5 -17- L A	N'western				41-14 -10 W N	@ N'western				7-17 - 8- L N	Oklahoma †				9-10 + 6 W N
SMU †				17-6 -17- L N	@ SMU †				38-10 -11- W N	Arkansas St. †				52-3 -26 W N	@ Arkansas St. †				24-21 -16 L N	Air Force †				35-34 + 8- W N
N. Texas †				16-10 -12- L N	N'western St. †				24-27* - - N	@ Navy				24-0 -26 L N	@ Fresno St. †				19-26* + 4- L N	Vanderbilt †				19-16* -10 L N
Houston				34-17 -10 W N	@ Houston †				34-17 - 7- W N	Hawaii				41-21 -39 L N	San Jose St.				42-0 - 6 W N	Fresno St. †				21-10 - 1- W N
@ Army				46-27 -18- W A	Tulane				22-48 - 9- L A	@ Tulsa †				17-3 -27- L A	Tulsa †				56-17 -17 W N	@ SMU				6-10 + 2- L N
@ Louisville				45-31 +13 W N	Army				38-20 -12- W N	Rice				37-0 -23 W N	@ Rice				21-42 P L A	@ Colo. St.				21-42 +13- L N
So. Miss. †				37-7 - 3 W N	E. Carolina †				30-37 + 5- L N	@ San Jose St. †				24-27 -14- L N	@ Hawaii †				34-14 - 4 W A	Wyoming				27-34 + 3- L N
Tulane				17-10 -13- L N	@ UAB				17-38 + 6- L N	Fresno St.				24-7 -13- W N	N. Texas				27-3 -22 W N	Rice				12-14 - 2- L N
@ E. Carolina				28-31 - 9 L N	Louisville †				37-22 + 8 W N	UTEP				47-14 -12- W N	UTEP				52-24 -10- W N	@ Tulsa				17-7 - 5- W A
Memphis				27-20 -14- L N	@ So. Miss. †				14-12 +10- W N	@ SMU †				62-7 -24- W N	SMU				21-0 -11 W N	@ UNLV				41-18 - 6- W A
Liberty Bowl at Memphis					Gallerifurniture.com at Houston					Mobile Bowl at Mobile, AL					Mobile Alabama Bowl at Mobile					Sun Bowl at El Paso				
§ Colo. St.				17-3 + 3- W N	§ Texas A&M				9-28 + 4- L A	§ So. Miss. †				21-28 - 7- L N	§ E. Carolina †				28-14 + 5 W N	§ USC				28-19 +16 W A

1997	str.up vs.spr.				1996	str.up vs.spr.				1995	str.up vs.spr.				1994	str.up vs.spr.				1993	str.up vs.spr.			
	overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav		overall	home	away	as fav
	1-10	1-4	0-6	0-1		4-7	2-3	2-4	2-0		6-5	4-1	2-4	6-0		7-5	3-2	4-2	3-0		4-7	3-3	1-4	1-1
	5-6	2-3	3-3	0-1		1-4	4-2	1-1	4-5		5-6	1-4	4-2	3-3		8-3-1	3-2	5-1	2-1		4-7	2-4	2-3	0-2
	1-9	5-5	0-6	1-9		2-7	4-5	2-7	4-5		0-5	2-3	0-5	2-3		4-5	6-2-1	4-5	6-2-1		2-6	3-5	2-6	3-5
opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread	opponent				score vs. spread
@ Kansas				10-17 +10- W A	@ Oklahoma				20-7 +10 W N	Iowa St. †				27-10 -13- W N	@ No. Carolina †				17-27 +18- W N	Oklahoma †				3-35 +18 L A
Utah †				18-32 + 4- L N	Kansas †				17-52 + 6 L N	@ Kansas †				20-38 + 3- L A	@ New Mexico †				44-29 + 5- W N	New Mexico †				35-34 + 1- W A
@ Vanderbilt †				16-40 + 7 L A	@ New Mexico †				7-27 + 4 L N	@ Vanderbilt †				16-3 - 3- W A	Kansas †				31-21 + 7 W N	SMU †				15-21 - 4- L A
No. Carolina †				10-31 +26 W N	@ Tulane †				7-35 + 2- L A	Houston				31-21 -17 L N	Texas †				18-34 + 6- L N	@ Okla. St.				22-27 +15- W A
@ UNLV				19-21 + 8 W A	UTEP †				18-0 - 5- W N	@ Rice				33-28 - 3- W A	Baylor				18-42 + 2- L N	@ Rice				19-34 +11- L A
Tulsa †				22-33 - 7- L N	@ Utah				7-21 +16 W N	Tulane				16-11 -16- L N	@ Tulane †				30-28 - 9 L A	Tulane				14-7 - 9 L A
@ BYU				10-31 +18- L N	BYU				21-45 +16 L N	@ Baylor				24-27 +13 W A	@ Houston †				31-10 -12- W A	@ Baylor				38-13 +14 W A
New Mexico				10-40 + 8 L N	UNLV				42-34 -19- L N	SMU				19-16 -15- L N	Rice				27-25 + 1 W N	Houston				28-10 P W A
@ Rice				19-38 +20- W A	@ Tulsa				31-24 + 5- W A	@ Texas Tech				6-27 +10- L A	@ SMU				35-14 - 3 W A	@ Texas Tech				21-49 +10- L A
@ UTEP †				17-24 + 2 L A	Rice				17-30 + 3 L N	@ Texas				19-27 +18 W A	@ Texas A&M				17-34 +19- W A	@ Texas				3-24 +13 L A
SMU †				21-18 +11- W N	@ SMU †				24-27 + 7 W N	Texas A&M				6-38 +11 L N	Texas Tech				24-17 + 5- W N	Texas A&M				3-59 +25 L A
Independence Bowl at Shreveport															Virginia †				10-20 +10 P N					

TEXAS EL-PASO MINERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 2-3 0-6 1-10	vs.spr. 3-8 1-3 1-5 3-8	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-3 0-6 0-8	vs.spr. 2-6 1-3 1-5 1-7	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 5-0 3-4 2-4	vs.spr. 6-6 4-1 2-5 2-4	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 4-1 1-6 2-5	vs.spr. 7-4-1 3-2 4-2-1 5-1-1	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 1-7	vs.spr. 7-4 4-1 3-3 5-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Sacr. St. †	42-12	—	A	New Mexico †	6-26	+ 2	L N	Oklahoma †	14-55	+27	L N	New Mexico †	13-10	- 4-	L A	Texas Tech †	3-35	+17-	L A
Kentucky	17-77	+19-	L N	Tex-Southern †	52-6	—	A	SMU †	37-20	+ 2	W A	Oregon	28-47	+21-	W A	Oregon †	26-33	+20-	W A
Oklahoma †	0-68	+44	L N	Boise St. †	17-42	+ 6	L A	Texas A&M †	17-45	+26	L N	Kansas St. †	7-40	+33	P A	N. Mex. St. †	24-33	- 7	L N
Hawaii †	6-31	+19	L A	Tulsa †	26-10	P	W A	Hawaii †	39-7	- 9-	W A	N. Mex. St. †	54-23	+ 3	W A	N. Mex. St. †	24-33	- 7	L N
San Jose St. †	24-58	+17-	L N	Alabama at Birm.	7-56	+22	L N	N. Mex. St. †	41-31	-11	L A	Hawaii †	3-33	- 3	W A	San Jose St. †	28-21	- 5	W A
N. Mex. St. †	14-49	+17	L N	Hawaii	7-66	+ 8	L A	Tulsa †	40-7	- 3	W A	SMU †	42-28	- 9-	W A	Fresno St. †	6-32	+10-	L N
Rice †	38-35	+12	W A	San Jose St. †	28-40	- 3-	L A	San Jose St. †	47-30	+ 2-	W N	Arizona †	21-34	+22-	W N	Hawaii	30-13	-16	W A
Tulsa	0-20	+ 2-	L A	SMU	14-40	+11	L N	Fresno St. †	23-13	- 3-	W A	Fresno St.	23-24*	+10-	W N	Utah	27-34*	+17	W N
Boise St. †	3-58	+33	L A	La. Tech †	30-53	+14	L A	Nevada	45-22	-25-	L A	San Jose St. †	42-26	- 6-	W A	BYU	14-31	+10	L A
Nevada	17-23	+27	W A	Rice †	17-27	+25-	W A	Rice †	38-21	-13-	W A	Tulsa †	19-43	-14-	L A	SDSU †	29-34	+13	W N
SMU	35-42	+ 5-	L A	Nevada †	31-48	+ 2-	L A	TCU	14-47	+12-	L N	Rice	30-29	+ 8-	W A				
La. Tech at Shreveport	24-38	+24	W A					Humanitarian Bowl				TCU	24-52	+10-	L N				
								Boise St.	23-38	+ 9	L A								

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG/GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	3-8	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	3-8	0-1	0-1	—	3-6	60
HOME	2-3	1-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	1-3	—	0-1	—	1-2	60
AWAY	0-6	1-5	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	1-5	0-1	—	—	1-4	61
NAT.	0-4	0-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	0-4	—	—	—	0-4	76
ART.	2-6	3-4	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-6	3-4	0-1	0-1	—	3-2	53
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	5-16	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-18	4-15	0-3	0-2	0-1	4-9	60
HOME	4-6	2-6	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-5	1-5	0-1	0-1	—	1-3	62
AWAY	0-12	2-10	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-12	2-10	0-2	0-1	0-1	2-6	57
NAT.	0-7	0-7	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-7	0-7	0-1	—	—	0-6	65
ART.	4-12	5-9	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-11	4-8	0-2	0-2	0-1	4-3	57
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	12-23	11-22	6-1	4-3	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-2	3-22	6-19	2-3	0-2	0-2	4-12	59
HOME	9-6	6-7	4-1	3-2	—	1-1	1-0	1-1	2-5	2-5	1-1	0-1	—	1-3	59
AWAY	3-16	4-15	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	1-16	3-14	1-2	0-1	0-2	2-9	59
NAT.	1-10	1-10	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	1-10	1-1	—	—	0-9	65
ART.	11-13	10-12	6-1	4-3	1-0	1-1	1-0	1-2	2-12	5-9	1-2	0-2	0-2	4-3	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-38	25-30-1	11-4	8-7	1-0	3-4	2-0	2-3	6-34	16-23-1	3-3	1-2	1-3	11-15-1	57
HOME	15-10	13-10	9-2	7-4	—	3-2	2-0	2-2	3-8	5-6	2-1	0-1	0-1	3-3	57
AWAY	5-27	11-20-1	2-2	1-3	1-0	0-2	—	0-1	3-25	10-17-1	1-2	1-1	1-2	7-12-1	57
NAT.	2-17	6-13	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	2-16	6-12	1-1	1-0	—	4-11	61
ART.	18-21	19-17-1	11-3	8-6	1-0	3-3	2-0	2-3	4-18	10-11-1	2-2	0-2	1-3	7-4-1	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	32-82-1	48-58-3	14-8	10-11-1	3-3	3-5-1	2-0	2-3	11-73-1	36-47-2	3-5	1-9	2-4	30-29-2	54
HOME	24-26-1	23-21-1	12-4	9-6-1	2-2	3-2-1	2-0	2-2	6-21-1	13-15	2-2	0-6	1-1	10-6	54
AWAY	8-54	24-36-2	2-4	1-5	1-1	0-3	—	0-1	5-50	22-31-2	1-3	1-2	1-3	19-23-2	55
NAT.	2-41	15-27-1	0-3	0-3	0-1	0-2	—	—	2-38	15-24-1	1-2	1-1	0-1	13-20-1	57
ART.	30-41-1	33-31-2	14-5	10-8-1	3-2	3-3-1	2-0	2-3	9-35-1	21-23-1	2-3	0-8	2-3	17-9-1	53

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.
overall	home	5-1	6-6	overall	home	7-5	7-4	overall	home	6-2	7-6	overall	home	6-5	5-6	overall	home	7-5	7-5
away	3-4	5-1	5-1	away	4-2	3-2	3-2	away	7-6	4-4	4-4	away	4-1	4-1	4-1	away	5-1	3-1	3-3
as fav	3-4	2-5	2-5	as fav	2-2	3-1	3-1	as fav	1-3	3-1	3-1	as fav	2-4	1-5	1-5	as fav	1-3	1-3	1-3
as dog	7-1	6-2	6-2	as dog	5-2	5-2	5-2	as dog	6-1	4-3	4-3	as dog	3-1	2-2	2-2	as dog	6-3	4-3	4-3
	2-4	2-4	2-4		1-3	2-2	2-2		1-5	3-3	3-3		3-4	3-4	3-4		1-2	3-0	3-0
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
① Ohio State	21-45	+ 6	L	N	New Mexico †	42-30	-11	W	A	New Mexico †	24-3	-17	W	A	① Arizona St. †	13-31	+ 6	L	N
② SMU †	24-14	-21	L	N	§ N. Texas †	42-14	-21	W	A	Utah St. †	38-16	-21	W	A	① La-Lafayette †	38-17	-22	L	N
Mississippi	42-28	- 3	W	A	at Irving, TX					N. Texas †	13-7	-30	L	A	N. Texas †	14-21	-31	L	A
N.C. State	48-51	- 1	L	A	① Texas †	7-42	+17	L	N	La-Lafayette †	26-0	-32	L	A	Texas A&M †	21-19	+16	W	A
③ New Mexico †	49-0	- 8	W	N	Kansas †	31-34	-13	L	A	① Texas A&M	15-33	+15	L	N	① Okla. St. †	21-41	+ 3	L	N
④ Texas A&M	48-47	+ 6	W	N	Kansas St. †	38-19	+14	W	A	Baylor †	28-0	-21	W	A	Colorado	31-10	+ 5	W	A
⑤ Iowa St. †	17-31	+ 6	L	N	① Nebraska †	31-41	+27	W	A	Nebraska †	3-56	+20	L	A	① Baylor †	35-7	- 8	W	N
Missouri †	52-38	- 6	W	A	① Baylor	63-19	-12	W	N	① Kansas St. †	23-28	+30	W	A	① Missouri	7-34	+ 1	L	N
⑥ Colorado	13-37	+ 5	L	N	Texas A&M	12-0	- 5	W	A	① Kansas	45-39	+ 3	W	A	Iowa St.	28-16	- 2	W	A
Baylor	62-11	-32	W	A	① Okla. St.	49-30	- 5	W	A	Texas †	17-29	+10	L	A	① Texas †	7-58	+16	L	N
Okla. St.	49-24	- 9	W	A	Oklahoma	13-30	+ 7	L	A	Okla. St.	58-0	- 9	W	A	Oklahoma	38-28	+12	W	A
Texas	42-38	+ 6	W	A	SF Austin St.	58-3	-	-	A	① Oklahoma	13-27	+24	W	N					
⑦ Oklahoma †	15-60	+13	L	N	Alamo Bowl at San Antonio					Gallerylurniture.com at Houston									
Tangerine Bowl at Orlando					§ Iowa	16-19	- 1	L	A	§ E. Carolina †	27-40	- 1	L	A					
§ Clemson †	55-15	- 4	W	N															

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.
overall	home	6-5	7-4	overall	home	7-5	7-3-2	overall	home	9-3	8-4	overall	home	6-6	8-4	overall	home	6-6	7-5
away	3-2	3-3	3-3	away	3-2	4-1	4-1	away	5-3	5-3	4-1	away	0-4	5-1	5-1	away	3-2	3-2	3-2
as fav	3-3	3-3	3-2	as fav	5-3	4-1-2	4-1-2	as fav	8-0	8-0	6-2	as fav	4-2	4-2	4-2	as fav	2-3	3-3	3-3
as dog	3-3	3-3	4-2	as dog	2-2	3-6-1	3-6-1	as dog	1-2	1-2	2-1	as dog	1-4	3-2	3-2	as dog	1-5	5-1	2-4
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
① Tennessee †	17-52	+24	L	N	① Kansas St.	14-21	+ 7	P	A	① Penn State	23-24	+21	W	N	New Mexico	37-31	- 4	W	A
La-Lafayette †	59-14	-28	W	A	§ Okla. St.	31-3	-14	W	N	Missouri †	41-14	-14	W	A	Nebraska †	16-42	+24	L	A
N. Texas †	27-30	-30	L	A	① Georgia †	12-15	- 2	L	N	① Baylor †	7-9	P	L	A	① Oklahoma	11-17	+22	W	N
① Baylor †	35-14	- 5	W	A	Utah St. †	58-20	-21	W	A	Texas A&M	14-7	+ 9	W	A	SMU	35-7	- 7	W	A
Kansas †	17-7	- 9	W	A	Baylor †	45-24	- 7	W	A	Arkansas St. †	63-25	-37	W	A	① Texas A&M	17-23	+18	W	A
② Nebraska	0-29	+36	W	A	① Kansas	30-17	+ 1	W	A	Rice	31-26	-15	L	A	① Rice	21-24	- 2	L	A
Texas A&M	16-13	+ 5	W	A	Nebraska	10-24	+22	W	A	① New Mexico	34-7	- 7	W	N	Baylor	38-7	P	W	A
Kansas St.	2-13	+ 7	L	A	① Texas A&M	13-10	+ 1	W	N	① Texas †	7-48	+ 4	L	A	Texas	33-9	+ 2	W	A
③ Texas †	24-10	+ 1	W	N	Texas †	32-38	- 6	L	A	TCU	27-6	-10	W	A	La-Lafayette	39-7	-20	W	A
④ Okla. St.	27-3	+ 5	W	A	La-Lafayette	56-21	-28	W	A	① SMU	45-14	-19	W	N	§ Houston	34-0	-29	W	A
Oklahoma	21-32	-24	L	A	① Oklahoma	22-12	-10	P	N	① Houston †	38-26	-19	L	A	at San Antonio				
					Alamo Bowl at San Antonio					Copper Bowl at Tucson									
					§ Iowa †	0-27	- 3	L	A	§ Air Force †	55-41	- 3	W	N	① USC	14-55	+ 6	L	N

1999				1998				1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.				
overall	home	6-5	5-6	overall	home	7-5	7-5	overall	home	6-5	5-6	overall	home	6-6	8-4	overall	home	6-6	7-5	overall	home	6-6	7-5				
away	4-1	4-1	4-1	away	5-1	3-3	3-3	away	7-6	4-4	4-4	away	4-1	4-1	4-1	away	3-2	3-2	3-2	away	3-2	3-2	3-2				
as fav	3-1	2-2	2-2	as fav	2-4	1-5	1-5	as fav	1-3	3-1	3-1	as fav	2-4	1-5	1-5	as fav	2-3	3-3	3-3	as fav	1-5	5-1	2-4				
as dog	3-4	3-4	3-4	as dog	1-2	3-0	3-0	as dog	1-5	3-3	3-3	as dog	1-4	3-2	3-2	as dog	1-5	5-1	2-4	as dog	1-5	5-1	2-4				
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf			
① Arizona St. †	13-31	+ 6	L	N	UTEP †	35-3	-17	W	A	① Pacific †	55-7	-20	W	A	① Tennessee †	17-52	+24	L	N	① Nebraska	27-50	+21	L	A			
① La-Lafayette †	38-17	-22	L	N	§ N. Texas †	30-0	-22	W	A	at Irving, TX					① Georgia	37-52	+ 9	L	N	① Baylor	29-28	+ 3	W	A			
N. Texas †	14-21	-31	L	A	Fresno St. †	34-28	-13	L	A	① Iowa St.	31-24	- 6	W	N	① Texas A&M	6-31	+12	L	A	Texas A&M	34-36	- 2	L	A			
Texas A&M †	21-19	+16	W	A	① Iowa St.	31-24	- 6	W	N	Baylor †	31-29	-12	L	A	N.C. State †	45-16	- 3	W	A	Rice †	45-16	- 3	W	A			
① Okla. St. †	21-41	+ 3	L	N	Baylor †	31-29	-12	L	A	Okla. St. †	24-17	- 3	W	A	① Texas	31-22	+ 5	W	A	① Texas	31-22	+ 5	W	A			
Colorado	31-10	+ 5	W	A	① Colorado	17-19	+ 5	W	A	① Colorado	17-19	+ 5	W	A	TCU	49-21	-10	W	A	TCU	49-21	-10	W	A			
① Baylor †	35-7	- 8	W	N	① Texas A&M	10-17	+ 9	W	N	Missouri	26-28	- 1	L	A	① SMU	41-24	-13	W	A	① SMU	41-24	-13	W	A			
① Missouri	7-34	+ 1	L	N	Missouri	26-28	- 1	L	A	Texas	42-35	+ 2	W	A	§ Houston †	58-7	-17	W	A	§ Houston †	58-7	-17	W	A			
Iowa St.	28-16	- 2	W	A	Texas	42-35	+ 2	W	A	Oklahoma	38-28	+12	W	A	at San Antonio					John Hancock Bowl at El Paso							
① Texas †	7-58	+16	L	N	Oklahoma	38-28	+12	W	A											§ Oklahoma	10-41	+ 6	L	A			
Oklahoma	38-28	+12	W	A																							

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-5	8-6	7-1	6-2	1-1	2-0	2-0	1-1	2-4	2-4	—	2-3	—	0-1	69
HOME	5-1	5-1	4-1	4-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	80
AWAY	3-4	2-5	2-0	1-1	—	—	1-0	0-1	1-4	1-4	—	1-3	—	0-1	60
NAT.	4-4	3-5	3-0	2-1	—	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-4	1-4	—	1-3	—	0-1	61
ART.	5-1	5-1	4-1	4-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	80
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	16-10	15-10	12-3	11-4	1-2	4-0	2-0	4-2	3-7	4-6	—	2-4	—	2-2	63
HOME	9-3	8-3	6-2	6-2	1-1	2-0	1-0	2-1	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	—	1-0	66
AWAY	5-6	5-6	4-0	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-6	2-5	—	1-3	—	1-2	63
NAT.	5-5	4-6	4-0	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-5	1-5	—	1-3	—	0-2	62
ART.	11-5	11-4	8-3	8-3	1-2	3-0	1-0	3-1	2-2	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	64
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	23-16	22-16	18-4	15-7	1-3	4-0	3-0	7-4	4-12	7-9	1-0	2-4	0-1	4-4	58
HOME	15-5	12-7	12-2	10-4	1-1	2-0	2-0	5-3	2-3	2-3	—	1-1	0-1	1-1	55
AWAY	6-9	8-7	4-0	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	2-9	5-6	1-0	1-3	—	3-3	61
NAT.	5-7	5-7	4-0	3-1	—	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-7	2-6	—	1-3	—	1-3	59
ART.	18-9	17-9	14-4	12-6	1-3	3-0	2-0	6-3	3-5	5-3	1-0	1-1	0-1	3-1	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	36-26	34-27	27-8	21-14	3-4	5-0	4-2	9-8	8-18	13-13	2-1	4-6	1-1	6-5	54
HOME	24-7	19-11	17-4	13-8	3-2	2-0	2-0	6-6	6-3	6-3	1-0	2-1	0-1	3-1	53
AWAY	9-16	12-13	7-1	5-3	—	2-0	2-1	1-2	2-15	7-10	1-1	2-5	1-0	3-4	55
NAT.	8-13	8-13	7-2	5-4	—	2-0	2-2	1-2	1-11	3-9	0-1	1-4	1-0	1-4	53
ART.	28-13	26-14	20-6	16-10	3-4	3-0	2-0	8-6	7-7	10-4	2-0	3-2	0-1	5-1	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	70-51	71-47-2	52-16	43-24-1	4-7	10-3	6-2-1	23-12	16-34	27-22-1	7-1	7-10-1	2-2	11-9	52
HOME	43-15	38-19	32-8	27-13	4-3	5-1	3-0	15-9	9-7	10-6	2-0	3-2	1-1	4-3	53
AWAY	20-30	26-22-2	13-4	9-7-1	0-2	3-1	3-1-1	3-3	7-25	17-14-1	5-1	4-6-1	1-1	7-6	50
NAT.	15-20	16-18-1	12-4	9-6-1	0-1	3-1	3-2-1	3-2	3-16	7-12	2-1	1-5	1-1	3-5	52
ART.	55-31	55-29-1	40-12	34-18	4-6	7-2	3-0	20-10	13-18	20-10-1	5-0	6-5-1	1-1	8-4	52

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-5 5-1 4-3 6-1 2-4	vs.spr. 8-5 4-1 4-3 6-1 2-4	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-0 3-3 3-2 1-0	vs.spr. 5-6-1 3-3 1-3-1 4-6-1 1-0	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-1 6-0 4-1 7-1 1-0	vs.spr. 7-2 3-1 4-1 6-2 1-0	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 4-3 2-2 5-2 0-3	vs.spr. 5-5 3-3 2-2 5-2 0-3	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-5 5-1 2-4 7-1 0-4	vs.spr. 5-6-1 3-3 2-3-1 4-3-1 1-3						
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
Cal Poly †	44-16	—	-	A	Minnesota †	38-7	-	5	W A	③ Penn State	24-6	+17-	W N	Syracuse †	12-35	+13-	L A	Temple †	24-12	-11	W A				
③ E. Mich. †	65-13	-21-	W A		③ Temple at Franklin Field	33-7	-	7	W A	Weber St. †	51-0	—	-	A	③ Ball St.	23-10	-	6-	W N	③ Ohio State	0-49	+37	L N		
③ Minnesota	21-31	+7-	L A		③ C. Mich.	52-28	-24	P A		E. Illinois †	31-26	—	-	A	Mass.	24-3	—	-	A	W. Mich. †	35-7	-	7-	W A	
UNLV †	38-21	-	8	W A	N. Illinois †	41-20	-17-	W A		③ W. Mich. †	14-21	-	2	L N	③ Bowl. Green †	23-34	-	2	L N	③ Miami-OH	14-28	+6-	L N		
③ Pittsburgh	19-37	+6	L N		③ Ohio U. †	48-41	-15-	L N		C. Mich. †	41-0	-22-	W A	Kent State †	47-7	-19-	W A	③ C. Florida †	24-31	+3	L A				
Ball St. †	37-17	-18-	W A		③ Ball St.	20-24	-23-	L N		③ E. Mich.	42-14	-18	W A	③ Marshall †	13-38	+23	L A	Ball St.	27-6	-20	W A				
③ C. Florida †	27-24	+4	W N		Navy †	21-20	-27-	L A		Marshall †	42-0	-	7	W A	E. Mich.	13-20	-10-	L A	Bowl. Green †	24-16	-	9-	L A		
Miami-OH †	13-27	-	3	L A	W. Mich. †	41-35	-11	L A		③ Navy	35-14	-18-	W N	La. Tech	17-34	+6	L A	③ Akron	24-17	-	6	W A			
C. Mich. †	44-17	-14	W A		E. Mich. †	28-7	-34	L A		③ N. Illinois	38-24	-	4	W A	③ C. Mich.	32-13	-	6	W A	③ N. Illinois	16-3	-13	P A		
③ W. Mich.	42-21	-	6	W A	③ Bowl. Green †	21-56	-	3	L N	Ball St.	31-3	-31	L A	N. Illinois	44-14	-	6	W A	C. Mich.	17-14	-13	L A			
③ N. Illinois	33-30	+1	W A		Mid American Champ. Marshall †	41-36	+3	W A		Bowl. Green †	51-17	-24-	W A	W. Mich.	45-21	-	3-	W A	③ E. Mich.	7-10	-12	L A			
Bowl. Green †	42-24	-	2-	W A	Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI § Cincinnati	23-16	-	4-	W A									Mid American Champ. ③ Marshall †	17-23	+12	W A				
③ Marshall	45-49	+3	L A																						
Motor City Bowl at Pontiac, MI § Bost. Coll. †	25-51	+4-	L A																						

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 6-0 3-3 8-1 1-2	vs.spr. 7-5 4-2 3-3 6-3 1-2	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-2 3-2 5-0 1-3	vs.spr. 6-4 2-3 4-1 4-1 2-2	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-0-1 5-0 5-0-1 6-0 2-0-1	vs.spr. 7-3 1-3 5-0 3-3 3-0	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-4-1 4-1-1 2-3 0-1 0-1	vs.spr. 0-1 — 0-1 — 0-1	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-3 1-4 — 0-2	vs.spr. 0-2 0-1 0-1 — 0-2						
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
Purdue †	36-22	+9	W A		Indiana †	6-40	+3	L A		E. Tenn. St. †	41-20	—	-	A	Indiana St. †	20-17	—	-	A	③ Indiana †	0-27	+13	L N		
E. Mich. †	38-35	-	6	L A	③ Akron †	27-10	-14	W A		③ W. Mich. †	31-21	+6	-	W N	③ Purdue †	17-51	+17	L N	N. S. Illinois †	49-28	—	-	A		
③ W. Mich. †	23-13	-	2-	W N	③ E. Mich. †	24-7	-	1	W A	③ Nevada	49-35	—	-	N	Liberty †	47-37	—	-	A	Ohio U. †	28-10	—	-	A	
Nevada †	31-13	-	1	W A	Weber St. †	31-24	—	-	A	③ Cincinnati †	45-31	+8	W A	③ Ohio U.	31-6	—	-	N	③ Bowl. Green	10-17	—	-	N		
③ C. Mich.	41-10	-12	W A		Bowl. Green †	24-16	-	2-	W A	Ball St. †	17-14	-10	L A	Ball St. †	24-24	—	-	A	③ Ball St.	30-31	—	-	N		
N. Illinois	41-14	-28	-	L A	③ La. Tech	20-61	-	9	L N	③ Miami-OH	28-28	+3	W N	Bowl. Green †	16-31	—	-	A	Miami-OH †	19-22	—	-	A		
③ Bowl. Green	35-20	-10	W N		W. Mich.	10-7*	-	9	L A	③ Bowl. Green	35-16	-	1	W N	③ Akron †	48-25	—	-	A	③ Cincinnati	24-31	+5	-	L A	
Miami-OH	35-28	-	1	W A	Miami-OH	7-27	+3	-	L A	E. Mich.	34-28	-12	-	L A	Kent State	48-14	—	-	A	③ Kent State	45-27	—	-	N	
③ Ball St.	3-35	-11	-	L N	C. Mich.	23-20	-	2-	W A	③ C. Mich.	19-7	-	7	W A	③ C. Mich.	27-45	—	-	A	C. Mich.	7-38	—	-	A	
Akron	42-10	-21	W A		③ Ball St.	14-24	+12	W N	Akron	41-7	-28	-	W A	W. Mich.	37-34	—	-	A	③ W. Mich.	26-39	—	-	N		
③ C. Florida	17-34	+5-	L N		③ Ohio U.	24-23	+7	W N	Ohio U.	31-20	-28	-	L A	③ E. Mich.	37-40	—	-	A	E. Mich. †	14-0	—	-	A		
Mid American Champ. ③ Marshall †	14-34	+9	L A							Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas § Nevada †	40-37*	P	W A												

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-5	8-5	6-1	6-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	3-0	2-4	2-4	1-1	1-2	0-1	—	62
HOME	5-1	4-1	4-1	4-1	1-1	—	1-0	2-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	56
AWAY	4-3	4-3	2-0	2-0	—	1-0	—	1-0	2-3	2-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	65
NAT.	1-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	53
ART.	8-4	7-4	6-1	6-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	3-0	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-1	0-1	—	63
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	19-7	13-11-1	15-3	10-7-1	1-2	4-0	1-0	4-5-1	3-4	3-4	2-1	1-2	0-1	—	60
HOME	11-1	7-4	9-1	6-4	1-1	1-0	1-0	3-3	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	56
AWAY	7-5	5-6-1	5-2	3-3-1	0-1	2-0	—	1-2-1	2-3	2-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	—	65
NAT.	2-3	1-4	1-2	0-3	0-1	—	—	0-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	63
ART.	17-4	12-7-1	14-1	10-4-1	1-1	4-0	1-0	4-3-1	2-3	2-3	2-1	0-1	0-1	—	60
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	29-8	20-13-1	22-4	16-9-1	1-3	6-0	1-0	8-6-1	4-4	4-4	2-1	1-2	0-1	1-0	56
HOME	17-1	10-5	13-1	9-5	1-1	2-0	1-0	5-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53
AWAY	11-6	9-7-1	8-3	6-4-1	0-2	3-0	—	3-2-1	3-3	3-3	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	59
NAT.	4-4	3-5	2-3	1-4	0-2	—	—	1-2	2-1	2-1	—	1-1	—	1-0	53
ART.	25-4	17-8-1	20-1	15-5-1	1-1	6-0	1-0	7-4-1	2-3	2-3	2-1	0-1	0-1	—	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	42-18	30-24-2	34-7	25-14-2	1-4	11-0	2-1	11-9-2	4-11	5-10	2-2	1-4	0-1	2-3	51
HOME	26-5	16-11	21-2	15-8	1-1	4-0	2-1	8-6	1-3	1-3	1-1	0-1	—	0-1	49
AWAY	15-12	13-12-2	12-5	9-6-2	0-3	6-0	—	3-3-2	3-7	4-6	1-1	1-2	0-1	2-2	52
NAT.	5-7	4-8	3-4	2-5	0-3	1-0	—	1-2	2-3	2-3	—	1-2	—	1-1	51
ART.	37-11	26-16-2	31-3	23-9-2	1-1	10-0	2-1	10-7-2	2-8	3-7	2-2	0-2	0-1	1-2	51
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	79-36-2	50-39-2	53-8	38-21-2	8-4	12-1	2-3	16-13-2	8-19-1	11-17	3-3	3-7	2-2	3-5	51
HOME	48-11-1	23-20	33-2	21-14	5-1	4-1	2-3	10-9	2-6	2-6	1-2	0-3	1-0	0-1	49
AWAY	29-24-1	25-18-2	19-6	16-7-2	3-3	7-0	—	6-4-2	6-12-1	9-10	2-1	3-3	1-2	3-4	52
NAT.	13-16-1	11-13	6-5	5-6	2-3	1-0	—	2-3	4-7-1	6-6	1-0	3-3	—	2-3	51
ART.	66-20-1	39-26-2	47-3	33-15-2	6-1	11-1	2-3	14-10-2	4-12	5-11	2-3	0-4	2-2	1-2	51

TROY STATE TROJANS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-8 3-1 0-7 0-1 0-7	vs.spr. 5-3 0-1 5-2 0-1 5-2	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 5-0 2-4 2-0 1-4	vs.spr. 4-3 0-1 4-2 1-1 3-2	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 4-1 5-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-2 4-1 7-1 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-1 4-3 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
@ Nebraska †	16-31	+32	W	A	@ Nebraska	14-42	+47	W	A	@ Ala. A&M †	28-13	—	—	N	@ Cincinnati †	31-24	—	—	A	Alabama St. †	26-0	—	—	N
@ UAB †	26-27	+1	W	N	@ Mid. Tenn. †	17-54	+13	L	A	@ Appal. St. †	34-28	—	—	A	@ Alabama St.	27-20	—	—	N	@ Marshall †	12-42	—	—	A
S. Utah †	40-15	—	—	N	Nicholls St.	26-0	—	—	N	Alabama St.	62-19	—	—	N	@ So. Florida †	41-24	—	—	N	@ Chattanooga †	23-6	—	—	N
@ Iowa St. †	12-42	+16	L	N	@ Miami-Fla	7-38	+49	W	N	@ So. Florida	10-20	—	—	N	@ Mid. Tenn. †	48-31	—	—	A	Samford †	27-23	—	—	N
@ Missouri	7-44	+12	L	N	@ Miss. St.	21-9	+23	W	N	@ N'western St †	17-24	—	—	A	@ N'western St †	24-21	—	—	N	@ Sam Hous. †	17-14	—	—	A
Austin Peay †	41-3	—	—	N	CS Northrdg.	44-31	—	—	N	SW Tex. St. †	31-7	—	—	N	@ SW Tex. St.	24-17	—	—	N	@ SF Austin St.	14-21	—	—	N
@ Miss. St.	8-11	+11	W	N	S. Utah	20-17	—	—	N	@ Sam Hous. †	23-21	—	—	A	Sam Hous.	41-16	—	—	N	SW Tex. St. †	20-17	—	—	N
@ Marshall	7-24	+20	W	A	@ Maryland †	14-47	+25	L	N	Nicholls St.	41-9	—	—	N	@ Nicholls St. †	20-0	—	—	N	@ N'western St	14-13	—	—	A
Fla. Atlantic	21-6	—	—	N	@ La-Monroe †	44-12	-11	W	N	@ SF Austin St.	6-0	—	—	N	SF Austin St. †	27-7	—	—	N	Nicholls St. †	31-10	—	—	N
@ Arkansas at Little Rock	0-23	+24	W	N	Jacksnvil. St.	21-3	—	—	N	McNeese St.	20-16	—	—	N	@ McNeese St. †	7-24	—	—	N	McNeese St.	3-23	—	—	N
§ Florida A&M at Mobile	24-7	—	—	N	N. Texas	18-16	-6	L	N	@ Jacksnvil. St.	28-0	—	—	N	@ Jacksnvil. St.	35-16	—	—	N	@ Jacksnvil. St. †	31-7	—	—	N
Utah St.	16-19*	-7	L	N						Appal. St.	30-33	—	—	N	J. Madison	27-7	—	—	N	@ Florida A&M	17-27	—	—	N
														Florida A&M	10-17	—	—	N						

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 3-2 1-4 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 12-2 7-0 5-2 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 11-1 5-1 6-0 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-0 4-4 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 12-1-1 6-0-1 6-1 — —	vs.spr. — — — — —					
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf					
§ Alcorn St. at Mobile, AL	30-0	—	—	N	@ Alcorn St.	61-7	—	—	N	E. Tenn. St.	31-7	—	—	N	@ Connecticut †	31-21	—	—	N	@ UAB	37-3	—	—	A
Eastern Ky. †	21-12	—	—	N	@ Eastern Ky. †	40-38	—	—	A	N'western St †	34-17	—	—	N	@ La-Lafayette †	39-20	—	—	N	@ N'western St †	21-14	—	—	A
@ Alabama St. †	20-13	—	—	N	Nicholls St. †	37-7	—	—	N	@ Nicholls St. †	17-3	—	—	N	Alabama St.	49-27	—	—	N	@ Nicholls St. †	24-17	—	—	A
@ Nicholls St. †	20-22	—	—	N	@ SF Austin St. †	10-13	—	—	N	@ Alabama St. †	28-10	—	—	N	@ N'western St †	20-24	—	—	A	@ Alabama St. †	38-3	—	—	N
@ SW Tex. St. †	17-31	—	—	N	Alabama St. †	37-6	—	—	N	W. Kentucky †	56-39	—	—	N	Nicholls St.	35-14	—	—	N	Char. So.	56-0	—	—	N
Sam Hous. †	13-10	—	—	N	McNeese St. †	16-12	—	—	N	@ La-Monroe †	20-10	—	—	N	Char. So.	55-20	—	—	N	Liberty	35-13	—	—	N
SF Austin St. †	13-20	—	—	N	SW Tex. St.	24-13	—	—	N	@ Char. So.	66-7	—	—	N	@ C. Florida	39-38	—	—	N	Central St.	21-21	—	—	N
@ Samford	14-25	—	—	N	@ Jacksonville St. †	31-21	—	—	N	Jacksonville St. †	35-7	—	—	N	@ W. Kentucky †	38-16	—	—	N	C. Florida	29-15	—	—	N
N'western St	13-14	—	—	N	@ N'western St †	26-13	—	—	A	UAB †	60-7	—	—	N	@ Cincinnati	24-28	—	—	A	W. Kentucky	31-24	—	—	N
@ McNeese St. †	7-10	—	—	N	@ Sam Hous.	35-14	—	—	A	@ C. Florida	28-17	—	—	N	@ Alcorn St.	44-47	—	—	N	Alcorn St.	63-21	—	—	N
Jacksonville St. †	49-0	—	—	N	Samford	50-10	—	—	N	@ Samford †	50-20	—	—	N	Samford	30-26	—	—	N	@ Samford	52-24	—	—	N
					Florida A&M	29-25	—	—	N	Ga. Southern	21-24	—	—	N	@ J. Madison	26-45	—	—	A	SF Austin St.	42-20	—	—	N
					Murray St.	31-3	—	—	N										@ McNeese St	35-28	—	—	N	
					@ Montana	7-70	—	—	N										@ Marshall	21-24	—	—	A	

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	5-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-7	5-2	1-0	—	—	4-2	39		
HOME	3-1	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	40		
AWAY	0-7	5-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-7	5-2	1-0	—	—	4-2	39		
NAT.	4-6	3-3	0-1	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-5	3-2	1-0	—	—	2-2	39		
ART.	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	39		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-12	9-6	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	42		
HOME	8-1	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39		
AWAY	2-11	9-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	45		
NAT.	11-8	6-5	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-7	5-3	1-0	—	—	4-3	41		
ART.	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	51		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-15	9-6	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	43		
HOME	12-2	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	44		
AWAY	7-13	9-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	42		
NAT.	18-10	6-5	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-7	5-3	1-0	—	—	4-3	41		
ART.	2-5	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	50		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	39-21	9-6	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	42		
HOME	20-4	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	41		
AWAY	18-17	9-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	42		
NAT.	33-15	6-5	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-7	5-3	1-0	—	—	4-3	40		
ART.	6-6	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	49		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	87-35-1	9-6	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	46		
HOME	45-7-1	0-2	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	46		
AWAY	40-28	9-4	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	1-11	8-4	1-0	—	—	7-4	46		
NAT.	76-25-1	6-5	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-7	5-3	1-0	—	—	4-3	45		
ART.	11-10	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-4	3-1	—	—	—	3-1	50		

[illegible]

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AVG GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	9-3	3-1	3-1	—	1-0	—	2-1	4-4	6-2	1-0	2-1	1-0	2-1	49
HOME	4-2	3-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	2-1	2-1	—	2-0	—	0-1	45
AWAY	3-3	5-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	2-3	4-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	2-0	48
NAT.	3-3	5-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	1-3	3-1	1-0	0-1	1-0	1-0	51
ART.	5-2	4-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	3-1	3-1	—	2-0	—	1-1	47
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-14	12-11	3-1	3-1	—	1-0	—	2-1	6-12	9-9	2-0	2-2	3-2	2-5	59
HOME	6-5	4-5	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	3-4	3-4	—	2-1	1-1	0-2	53
AWAY	4-9	7-6	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	3-8	6-5	2-0	0-1	2-1	2-3	62
NAT.	4-7	7-4	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	2-7	5-4	2-0	0-1	2-0	1-3	61
ART.	7-7	5-7	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	4-5	4-5	—	2-1	1-2	1-2	57
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	17-19	18-16	7-2	5-4	1-0	2-1	—	2-3	7-16	12-11	3-0	2-2	3-2	4-7	59
HOME	10-6	7-7	4-1	3-2	1-0	2-0	—	0-2	4-5	4-5	1-0	2-1	1-1	0-3	57
AWAY	6-13	10-9	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	3-11	8-6	2-0	0-1	2-1	4-4	61
NAT.	6-10	10-6	3-0	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	2-10	7-5	2-0	0-1	2-0	3-4	61
ART.	11-9	8-10	4-2	3-3	1-0	2-1	—	0-2	5-6	5-6	1-0	2-1	1-2	1-3	58
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	32-27	30-26-1	22-2	15-9	3-0	5-1	—	7-8	7-24	14-16-1	3-1-1	2-2	3-4	6-9	62
HOME	19-8	13-11-1	13-1	9-5	2-0	3-0	—	4-5	4-7	4-6-1	1-1-1	2-1	1-1	0-3	60
AWAY	11-19	15-15	7-1	4-4	1-0	1-1	—	2-3	3-17	10-10	2-0	0-1	2-3	6-6	62
NAT.	10-15	15-10	7-0	5-2	—	2-0	—	3-2	2-15	9-8	2-0	0-1	2-2	5-5	61
ART.	22-12	15-16-1	15-2	10-7	3-0	3-1	—	4-6	5-9	5-8-1	1-1-1	2-1	1-2	1-4	62
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	47-68	53-55-4	31-6	22-14-1	6-3	6-2	1-1	9-8-1	12-60	30-39-3	3-2-1	3-9	6-7	18-21-2	54
HOME	27-27	21-27-3	19-5	13-10-1	4-3	4-1	0-1	5-5-1	5-21	8-16-2	1-2-1	3-5	3-2	1-7-1	53
AWAY	18-41	30-28-1	10-1	7-4	2-0	1-1	1-0	3-3	7-39	22-23-1	2-0	0-4	3-5	17-14-1	54
NAT.	12-28	21-18-1	8-0	6-2	—	2-0	—	4-2	3-28	14-16-1	2-0	0-3	2-3	10-10-1	54
ART.	35-40	32-37-3	23-6	16-12-1	6-3	4-2	1-1	5-6-1	9-32	16-23-2	1-2-1	3-6	4-4	8-11-1	54

TULSA GOLDEN HURRICANE

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-11 1-5 0-6 1-1 0-10	vs.spr. 5-7 2-4 3-3 1-1 4-6	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 1-5 0-5 0-1 0-6	vs.spr. 1-9 1-4 0-5 0-1 1-7	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-7 3-3 2-4 3-0 2-7	vs.spr. 7-5 5-1 2-4 3-0 4-5	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-5 1-4 0-1 1-8	vs.spr. 2-8 1-4 1-4 0-1 2-7	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-3 1-4 1-1 2-6	vs.spr. 3-7 2-3 1-4 1-1 2-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Okla. St. †	0-37	+37-	W A	Indiana St. †	51-0	— -	A	No. Carolina †	9-30	+18	L N	S.W. Mo. St.	45-21	— -	A	S.W. Mo. St. †	49-14	— -	A
Arkansas St. †	19-21	- 5	L N	Fresno St.	18-37	+11-	L A	Okla. St. †	26-36	+14	W A	Okla. St.	9-46	+ 9	L A	Okla. St. †	35-20	+ 8-	W A
La. Tech	9-53	+22	L N	UTEP †	10-26	P	L A	Rice	23-16	+10	W A	Texas A&M †	13-62	+32-	L N	W. Virginia	21-44	+13	L A
Baylor †	25-37	+11-	L A	N. Mex. St.	7-24	- 4-	L A	La. Tech †	22-10	- 6	W A	San Jose St. †	10-34	+ 4	L N	SDSU	14-24	-10	L A
Kansas †	33-43	+ 4	L A	Boise St. †	10-41	+12-	L A	Hawaii †	24-14	- 3-	W A	Rice	10-20	+ 5	L N	Colo. St.	7-34	+ 9-	L N
Boise St. †	24-52	+24	L A	Hawaii	15-36	+ 8	L A	UTEP †	7-40	+ 3	L A	TCU †	17-56	+17	L N	Rice	10-14	+ 3-	L A
Hawaii	14-37	+32	W A	San Jose St.	27-63	+ 8	L N	N. Mex. St. †	28-42	+ 8	L N	Hawaii	21-35	+ 5	L A	Air Force	21-42	+11	L A
UTEP	20-0	- 2-	W A	Okla. St.	0-58	+41	L N	TCU †	3-17	+27-	W A	Fresno St.	14-28	+14-	W A	UNLV	20-16	- 3-	W A
Rice	18-33	+ 7-	L A	Rice	32-59	+19	L A	Fresno St. †	12-34	+19	L N	UTEP †	43-19	+14-	W A	SMU	3-33	+ 8	L N
Fresno St.	12-31	+26	W N	SMU	14-24	+ 8-	L A	SMU †	20-24	+ 2-	L N	La-Monroe	34-37	- 7-	L A	TCU	7-17	+ 5	L A
San Jose St.	38-49	+10	L A	La. Tech	7-19	+18	W A	San Jose St.	28-17	+ 9-	W A	SMU	14-28	+ 3-	L A	Wyoming	35-0	+10-	W A
SMU	21-24	+ 7-	W N					Nevada	38-3	-12-	W A								

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-4 1-5 0-1 2-8	vs.spr. 5-6 2-3 3-3 0-1 5-5	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-3 2-4	vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-3 2-4	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-2 1-4 1-1 2-6	vs.spr. 5-5 2-2 3-2 1-1 4-4	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-3 1-5 1-3 1-5	vs.spr. 6-4 2-2 4-2 1-3 5-1	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-6-1 1-3-1 3-3 1-2-1 2-4	vs.spr. 5-5 0-4 5-1 1-3 4-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Cincinnati †	24-34	+10-	W A	SMU †	10-17	- 1	L N	Baylor †	5-37	+ 9	L A	Missouri †	20-17	+ 7-	W A	Iowa	25-26	+12-	W N
Iowa	16-54	+26	L N	Okla. St. †	9-30	+ 3	L A	Okla. St. †	24-23	+ 1	W A	Memphis †	18-42	- 3	L A	Houston	38-24	+ 3-	W A
Missouri	21-42	+ 5-	L A	Iowa †	27-20	+18	W A	Texas A&M	9-52	+29-	L A	Wyoming	7-17	+11-	W N	Okla. St. †	10-16	- 3-	L A
Rice	24-42	+ 9-	L A	Okla. St.	31-24	+ 9-	W N	E. Tenn. St. †	45-20	— -	A	Okla. St. †	10-17	+10	W A	Okla. St.	20-41	+24	W A
UTEP	18-33	-13-	L A	Colo. St.	20-14	- 1	W A	§ La. Tech † at Shreveport	23-27	- 3	L N	UTEP †	17-24	-14	L A	Cincinnati	15-22	- 9	L A
TCU †	33-22	+ 7-	W N	BYU †	30-55	+10	L A	Wyoming	35-6	+ 8-	W A	UNLV †	44-22	-11	W A	Memphis	23-19	+10	W N
Colo. St.	8-44	+24	L N	Utah	19-45	+13-	L N	UTEP †	38-28	- 6	W A	E. Carolina †	21-28	+ 9	W A	Mid. Tenn.	38-17	— -	A
Utah	21-13	+10-	W A	New Mexico	23-34	- 1-	L A	Memphis	7-10	+ 5-	W N	So. Miss.	29-47	+12	L N	E. Carolina	52-26	- 4	W N
BYU	39-49	+18	W N	TCU	24-31	- 5-	L A	BYU	35-45	+16-	W N	S.W. Mo. St.	38-28	— -	A	Arkansas	11-24	+ 3	L A
SMU	41-42	+ 7-	W A	UTEP †	38-21	- 7	W A	E. Carolina	7-28	+14-	L N	Cincinnati	13-28	- 2-	L A	So. Miss.	30-30	- 9-	L A
New Mexico	13-51	+14-	L N	Rice	14-42	+11	L A	Cincinnati	5-24	+ 5	L A	Louisville	27-34	+13	W A	Louisville	0-28	+ 7-	L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	1-11	5-7	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-10	4-6	—	0-1	1-2	3-3	54
HOME	1-5	2-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-5	1-4	—	0-1	0-2	1-1	57
AWAY	0-6	3-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-5	3-2	—	—	1-0	2-2	50
NAT.	0-5	2-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-4	2-2	—	—	1-0	1-2	50
ART.	1-6	3-4	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-6	2-4	—	0-1	0-2	2-1	56
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	2-21	6-16	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-2	—	—	0-18	5-13	—	0-1	1-5	4-7	53
HOME	2-10	3-8	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-9	2-7	—	0-1	0-4	2-2	49
AWAY	0-11	3-8	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-9	3-6	—	—	1-1	2-5	57
NAT.	0-7	2-5	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-6	2-4	—	—	1-1	1-3	57
ART.	2-14	4-11	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-12	3-9	—	0-1	0-4	3-4	51
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	7-28	13-21	4-2	4-2	1-0	2-2	—	1-0	2-25	9-18	0-2	0-1	3-6	6-9	50
HOME	5-13	8-9	3-1	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	1-12	5-8	0-1	0-1	1-4	4-2	47
AWAY	2-15	5-12	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	1-13	4-10	0-1	—	2-2	2-7	53
NAT.	0-11	2-9	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-10	2-8	0-1	—	1-2	1-5	54
ART.	7-17	11-12	4-1	4-1	1-0	2-1	—	1-0	2-15	7-10	0-1	0-1	2-4	5-4	48
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	13-44	18-36	5-4	5-4	1-0	3-2	0-2	1-0	5-39	13-31	0-2	0-7	4-9	9-13	49
HOME	9-21	11-16	3-3	3-3	1-0	1-1	0-2	1-0	3-18	8-13	0-1	0-5	2-4	6-3	47
AWAY	4-23	7-20	2-1	2-1	—	2-1	—	—	2-21	5-18	0-1	0-2	2-5	3-10	52
NAT.	0-16	2-14	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	0-15	2-13	0-1	0-1	1-4	1-7	54
ART.	13-28	16-22	5-3	5-3	1-0	3-1	0-2	1-0	5-24	11-18	0-1	0-6	3-5	8-6	48
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	30-81-1	43-63	10-14-1	10-15	2-5	6-4	0-4	2-2	14-66	33-47	1-4	2-9	11-13	19-21	51
HOME	18-36-1	19-30	5-10-1	5-11	2-2	1-3	0-4	2-2	7-26	14-19	1-1	0-7	5-8	8-3	49
AWAY	12-44	24-32	5-3	5-3	0-2	5-1	—	—	7-40	19-28	0-3	2-2	6-5	11-18	52
NAT.	4-29	11-22	1-3	1-3	0-2	1-1	—	—	3-26	10-19	0-1	1-1	3-4	6-13	54
ART.	26-52-1	32-41	9-11-1	9-12	2-3	5-3	0-4	2-2	11-40	23-28	1-3	1-8	8-9	13-8	49

2002	str.up vs.spr.				2001	str.up vs.spr.				2000	str.up vs.spr.				1999	str.up vs.spr.				1998	str.up vs.spr.				
	overall	5-7	5-6-1			overall	6-5	6-4			overall	7-4	7-3			overall	5-5	7-3-1			overall	4-7	3-5		
	home	4-2	4-1-1			home	4-1	2-2			home	4-2	3-2			home	3-2	3-2			home	4-2	1-2		
	away	1-5	1-5			away	2-4	4-2			away	3-2	4-1			away	2-4	4-1-1			away	0-5	2-3		
	as fav	3-1	1-3			as fav	4-2	3-3			as fav	3-1	2-2			as fav	2-1	1-1-1			as fav	1-0	0-1		
as dog	2-6	4-3-1		as dog	1-3	3-1		as dog	3-3	5-1		as dog	3-5	6-2		as dog	0-7	3-4							
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	
@ Florida	3-51	+31-	L	N	Montana St. †	41-13	—	-	N	Chattanooga †	20-15	—	-	N	@ Missouri	28-31	+21-	W	N	@ Nebraska	7-38	+47	W	A	
Troy St. †	27-26	-	1-	L	N	@ Florida St.	7-29	+27	W	N	@ Kansas †	20-23	+9	W	A	@ Va. Tech	10-31	+25	W	N	Tenn. Tech †	38-6	—	-	N
Pittsburgh †	20-26	+8-	W	N	Army	55-3	-16	W	N	@ LSU †	13-10	+16-	W	N	Houston †	29-10	+7	W	N	Kansas †	37-39*	+7	W	N	
@ La-Lafayette †	0-34	-	7-	L	N	@ So. Miss. †	0-3	+6	W	N	La-Lafayette	47-2	-18	W	N	La-Monroe †	47-0	-13-	W	N	La-Lafayette †	24-13	-18-	L	N
Memphis †	31-17	+8	L	N	@ C. Florida †	7-24	-2	L	N	Louisville	17-38	-1	L	N	Memphis †	14-38	-3	L	N	@ E. Carolina	7-26	+13-	L	N	
Houston	51-34	-5	W	N	Cincinnati	17-31	-7-	L	N	Memphis	13-9	+2-	W	N	@ Cincinnati	24-21*	+6	W	A	@ La. Tech †	23-54	+17-	L	N	
@ Tulane	14-35	+6-	L	A	Tulane	34-27	-9	L	N	Mid. Tenn.	14-9	-12	L	N	@ Wk. Forest	3-47	+6-	L	N	Va. Tech †	0-41	+21	L	N	
@ Army	29-26	-7-	L	A	@ Memphis	17-14	+1	W	N	@ E. Carolina	16-13	+13	W	N	@ Louisville	14-23	+14	W	N	@ La-Monroe †	14-20	+2-	L	N	
So. Miss.	13-20	+7	P	N	TCU	38-17	-6-	W	N	@ Cincinnati	21-33	+5-	L	A	E. Carolina	36-17	+13	W	N	@ Tennessee	13-37	+42	W	N	
E. Carolina	36-29	+4	W	N	@ Houston †	43-21	-15-	W	N	So. Miss.	30-33*	+9-	W	N	La. Tech	20-41	+8	L	N	Mid. Tenn.	26-17	—	-	N	
@ Louisville	21-41	+19	L	N	@ Pittsburgh	6-24	+7-	L	N	@ Army	27-7	-8	W	A	@ Tulane †	23-20*	-3	P	A	Tenn.-Martin	48-17	—	-	N	
@ Cincinnati	23-31	+17	W	A																					

1997	str.up vs.spr.				1996	str.up vs.spr.				1995	str.up vs.spr.				1994	str.up vs.spr.				1993	str.up vs.spr.			
	overall	5-6	4-4			overall	5-6	—			overall	5-6	—			overall	7-4	—			overall	9-2	—	
	home	3-1	1-0			home	5-2	—			home	4-2	—			home	4-3	—			home	4-1	—	
	away	2-5	3-4			away	0-4	—			away	1-4	—			away	3-1	—			away	5-1	—	
	as fav	2-0	1-1			as fav	—	—			as fav	—	—			as fav	—	—			as fav	—	—	
as dog	0-6	3-3		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—		as dog	—	—						
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf
@ Kansas †	0-24	+23	L	A	@ Auburn †	0-29	—	-	N	Alabama St. †	24-27	—	-	N	Alabama St. †	24-27	—	-	A	Troy St.	3-37	—	-	A
@ Memphis †	7-28	+14-	L	N	@ Maryland †	15-39	—	-	N	@ La-Lafayette †	21-56	—	-	N	@ Dayton †	28-10	—	-	A	Morehead	52-14	—	-	A
@ Arizona †	10-24	+33	W	N	Arkansas St. †	42-17	—	-	N	Jacksonville. St.	26-28	—	-	N	Jacksonville. St.	12-28	—	-	A	@ W. Kentucky †	13-40	—	-	N
Jacksonville. St. †	24-17	—	-	N	Jacksonville. St. †	24-17	—	-	N	@ W. Kentucky †	18-32	—	-	N	@ Kansas	0-72	—	-	A	@ Miles	31-6	—	-	N
@ La-Lafayette †	42-7	-6	W	N	W. Kentucky †	24-0	—	-	N	@ Mid. Tenn. †	13-28	—	-	A	W. Kentucky	22-31	—	-	A	Lambuth	40-14	—	-	A
W. Kentucky †	20-16	—	-	N	La-Lafayette	39-29	—	-	N	Wofford	28-0	—	-	N	Wofford	34-27	—	-	A	@ Miss. Valley	30-13	—	-	N
@ Cincinnati †	29-33	+19	W	N	@ La. Tech †	31-35	—	-	N	@ N. Texas	19-14	—	-	A	Miss. Valley	24-14	—	-	A	@ Char. So.	48-20	—	-	N
@ Va. Tech	0-37	+23-	L	N	@ Vanderbilt	15-31	—	-	A	@ Troy St. †	7-60	—	-	N	Char. So.	54-14	—	-	A	@ Wofford †	23-11	—	-	N
La. Tech	29-32	+7	W	N	C. Florida	13-35	—	-	N	Char. So.	40-14	—	-	N	@ Morehead	36-15	—	-	A	@ Butler	31-27	—	-	N
Tenn. Tech	38-14	—	-	N	Cincinnati	14-34	—	-	N	Knoxville	61-8	—	-	N	Butler	19-14	—	-	A	Dayton	27-19	—	-	A
@ Arkansas St.	13-7	-12	L	N	Char. So. †	49-13	—	-	N	Miles	37-8	—	-	N	@ Prairie V.	48-6	—	-	N	Prairie V.	58-12	—	-	A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	5-6-1	3-1	1-3	0-1	1-1	0-1	—	2-6	4-3-1	—	1-1-1	2-0	1-2	53
HOME	4-2	4-1-1	2-0	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	2-2	3-0-1	—	1-0-1	2-0	—	55
AWAY	1-5	1-5	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	0-1	—	0-4	1-3	—	0-1	—	1-2	51
NAT.	4-5	4-4-1	2-1	1-2	0-1	1-1	—	—	2-4	3-2-1	—	1-0-1	2-0	0-2	53
ART.	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	52
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	11-12	11-10-1	7-3	4-6	0-2	2-1	0-3	2-0	3-9	7-4-1	1-0	2-1-1	2-1	2-2	48
HOME	8-3	6-3-1	5-1	3-3	0-1	2-0	0-2	1-0	2-2	3-0-1	—	1-0-1	2-0	—	55
AWAY	3-9	5-7	2-2	1-3	0-1	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-7	4-4	1-0	1-1	0-1	2-2	41
NAT.	10-10	10-8-1	6-3	4-5	0-2	2-1	0-2	2-0	3-7	6-3-1	1-0	2-0-1	2-1	1-2	47
ART.	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-1	—	—	0-1	—	0-2	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	52
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-16	18-13-1	10-4	6-8	0-3	2-1	1-3	3-1	6-12	12-5-1	2-0	2-2-1	4-1	4-2	45
HOME	12-5	9-5-1	7-2	4-5	0-2	2-0	0-2	2-1	3-3	5-0-1	1-0	1-0-1	3-0	—	50
AWAY	6-11	9-8	3-2	2-3	0-1	0-1	1-1	1-0	3-9	7-5	1-0	1-2	1-1	4-2	40
NAT.	16-12	15-10-1	8-4	5-7	0-3	2-1	0-2	3-1	6-8	10-3-1	2-0	2-0-1	3-1	3-2	44
ART.	2-4	3-3	2-0	1-1	—	—	1-1	—	0-4	2-2	—	0-2	1-0	1-0	48
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	27-29	28-21-2	13-5	7-10-1	0-4-1	2-1	1-3	4-2	9-24	21-11-1	2-1	5-3-1	4-2	10-5	46
HOME	19-9	13-9-1	9-3	5-7	0-3	2-0	0-2	3-2	5-6	8-2-1	1-0	3-0-1	3-1	1-1	50
AWAY	8-20	15-12-1	4-2	2-3-1	0-1-1	0-1	1-1	1-0	4-18	13-9	1-1	2-3	1-1	9-4	42
NAT.	23-24	23-18-1	10-5	6-9	0-4	2-1	0-2	4-2	8-19	17-9-1	2-1	4-1-1	3-2	8-5	46
ART.	4-5	5-3-1	3-0	1-1-1	0-0-1	—	1-1	—	1-5	4-2	—	1-2	1-0	2-0	46
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	58-53	32-25-2	15-5	8-11-1	0-4-1	3-1	1-3	4-3	9-30	24-14-1	2-1	6-3-1	4-2	12-8	47
HOME	39-18	14-9-1	9-3	5-7	0-3	2-0	0-2	3-2	5-7	9-2-1	1-0	4-0-1	3-1	1-1	50
AWAY	19-35	18-16-1	6-2	3-4-1	0-1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	4-23	15-12	1-1	2-3	1-1	11-7	45
NAT.	43-39	26-21-1	12-5	7-10	0-4	3-1	0-2	4-3	8-23	19-11-1	2-1	5-1-1	3-2	9-7	47
ART.	15-14	6-4-1	3-0	1-1-1	0-0-1	—	1-1	—	1-7	5-3	—	1-2	1-0	3-1	48

UCF (CENTRAL FLA.) GOLDEN KNIGHTS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
overall		7-5	6-5	overall		6-5	6-3-1	overall		7-4	4-4	overall		4-7	4-5	overall		9-2	5-4
home		4-2	1-4	home		5-0	4-0	home		4-1	1-1	home		3-3	1-3	home		5-0	1-2
away		3-3	5-1	away		1-5	2-3-1	away		3-3	3-3	away		1-4	3-2	away		4-2	4-2
as fav		5-2	3-4	as fav		4-1	4-1	as fav		3-1	2-2	as fav		2-1	2-1	as fav		6-0	3-3
as dog		1-3	3-1	as dog		1-4	2-2-1	as dog		1-3	2-2	as dog		0-6	2-4	as dog		1-2	2-1
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
@ Penn State 24-27 +14 W N				@ Clemson 13-21 +16 W N				@ Ga. Tech † 17-21 +14 W N				Purdue † 13-47 +18 L N				@ La. Tech † 64-30 + 3 W N			
@ Arizona St. † 13-46 + 4 L N				@ Syracuse 10-21 +11 P A				N'western St. † 19-7 — N				@ Florida † 27-58 +36 W N				E. Illinois † 48-0 — N			
@ Marshall † 21-26 +10 W A				@ Tulane 36-29 — 5 W A				@ Akron † 24-35 — 2 L A				@ Ga. Tech † 10-41 +29 L N				@ Purdue 7-35 + 3 L N			
Liberty † 48-17 — N				@ Va. Tech 14-46 +24 L N				W. & Mary † 52-7 — N				@ Georgia 23-24 +27 W N				@ Bowl. Green 38-31 — 20 L N			
@ W. Mich. 31-27 — 1 W A				UAB † 24-7 + 2 W N				@ E. Mich. 31-10 — 6 W A				E. Illinois 31-21 — N				@ Toledo † 31-24 — 3 W A			
Toledo † 24-27 — 4 L N				Liberty † 63-0 — N				@ N. Illinois 20-40 + 4 L A				Nicholls St. 28-0 — N				N. Illinois † 38-17 — 35 L N			
Akron 28-17 — 18 L N				La-Monroe 38-6 — 31 W N				Eastern Ky. 34-3 — N				La. Tech † 35-46 + 5 L N				@ La-Lafayette † 42-10 — 28 W N			
Syracuse † 35-38 — 4 L N				@ Utah St. 27-30 — 6 L N				La-Monroe 55-0 — 31 W N				E. Mich. 31-6 — 8 W N				Youngstown † 44-32 —			
@ Buffalo 45-21 — 18 W N				Akron † 57-17 — 17 W N				@ Alabama 40-38 +18 W N				@ Auburn 10-28 +10 L N				@ Auburn 6-10 + 5 W N			
Kent State † 32-6 — 25 W N				@ Arkansas 20-27 + 5 L N				@ La. Tech † 20-16 — 6 L N				@ Mid. Tenn. † 39-14 — 14 W A				Ball St. 37-14 — 30 L N			
@ Miami-OH 48-31 + 4 W N				La-Lafayette † 31-0 — 27 W N				Va. Tech † 21-44 +12 L N				Bowl. Green 30-33* — 11 L N				New Mexico 38-6 — 20 W N			
Ohio U. 42-32 — 16 L N																			

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall		5-6	5-4	overall		5-6	—	overall		6-5	—	overall		7-4	—	overall		9-3	—
home		4-0	2-0	home		4-1	—	home		5-1	—	home		3-2	—	home		7-0	—
away		1-6	3-4	away		1-5	—	away		1-4	—	away		4-2	—	away		2-3	—
as fav		3-1	2-2	as fav		—	—	as fav		—	—	as fav		—	—	as fav		—	—
as dog		0-5	3-2	as dog		—	—	as dog		—	—	as dog		—	—	as dog		—	—
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
@ Mississippi † 23-24 +12 W N				W. & Mary † 39-33 — N				Eastern Ky. † 40-32 — N				@ Maine 28-6 — N				Valdosta St. 35-30 — N			
@ So. Carolina † 31-33 +18 W N				@ So. Carolina † 14-33 — N				Car.-Newman 35-21 — N				Valdosta St. 14-31 — N				@ E. Carolina 17-41 — N			
@ Nebraska 24-38 +43 W A				@ New Mexico † 7-17 — N				@ Florida St. † 14-46 — N				Beth-Cook. 48-17 — N				McNeese St. 22-3 — N			
Idaho † 41-10 — 7 W N				@ Ball St. 10-31 — N				@ McNeese St. † 7-49 — N				W. Kentucky 59-45 — N				Yale 42-28 — N			
@ Auburn † 14-41 +15 L N				@ E. Carolina 7-28 — N				Samford 41-14 — N				@ Illinois St. 27-26 — A				Samford 48-17 — N			
@ Kent State 59-43 — 19 L A				Samford 38-6 — N				Liberty 6-7 — N				@ Samford 35-36 — N				W. Illinois 35-17 — N			
Samford 52-7 — N				La-Monroe 38-39 — N				@ Hawaii † 14-45 — A				@ La-Monroe † 33-16 — N				@ Beth-Cook. 34-14 — N			
@ Miss. St. 28-35 + 4 L N				@ Ga. Tech 20-27 — N				@ La-Monroe † 34-14 — N				Troy St. 38-39 — N				@ Troy St. 15-29 — N			
@ La-Monroe 41-45 — 11 L N				Illinois St. 42-15 — N				Beth-Cook. 38-7 — N				@ Liberty † 49-24 — A				Liberty 55-19 — N			
E. Mich. 27-10 — N				@ UAB 35-13 — N				@ Troy St. 17-28 — N				@ E. Carolina 20-23 — N				Buffalo 42-7 — N			
Toledo 34-17 — 5 W N				Bowl. Green 27-19 — N				Maine 37-17 — N				Buffalo 48-0 — N				@ La. Tech † 38-16 — N			
																@ Youngstown 30-56 — A			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	-to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		-to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-5	6-5	5-2	3-4	1-0	0-2	—	2-2	1-3	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	58		
HOME	4-2	1-4	3-2	1-4	—	0-2	—	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	57		
AWAY	3-3	5-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	1-3	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	60		
NAT.	6-4	4-5	4-2	2-4	—	0-2	—	2-2	1-2	2-1	—	1-1	—	1-0	60		
ART.	1-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	52		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-10	12-8-1	9-3	7-5	1-0	1-3	—	5-2	2-7	5-3-1	1-0	1-2	—	3-1-1	54		
HOME	9-2	5-4	6-2	4-4	—	0-2	—	4-2	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	53		
AWAY	4-8	7-4-1	3-1	3-1	1-0	1-1	—	1-0	1-7	4-3-1	—	1-2	—	3-1-1	54		
NAT.	11-8	9-8	7-3	5-5	—	0-3	—	5-2	2-5	4-3	1-0	1-2	—	2-1	54		
ART.	2-2	3-0-1	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	0-2	1-0-1	—	—	—	1-0-1	50		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	20-14	16-12-1	12-4	9-7	1-1	2-4	—	6-2	3-10	7-5-1	1-0	1-3	—	5-2-1	52		
HOME	13-3	6-5	7-2	5-4	—	0-2	—	5-2	1-1	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	51		
AWAY	7-11	10-7-1	5-2	4-3	1-1	2-2	—	1-0	2-9	6-4-1	—	1-3	—	5-1-1	53		
NAT.	17-10	12-10	9-3	6-6	—	0-4	—	6-2	3-7	6-4	1-0	1-2	—	4-2	53		
ART.	3-4	4-2-1	3-1	3-1	1-1	2-0	—	—	0-3	1-1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0-1	51		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	33-23	25-21-1	20-5	14-11	2-1	2-4	1-0	9-6	4-18	11-10-1	1-1	3-4	—	7-5-1	53		
HOME	21-6	8-10	11-3	7-7	—	0-2	1-0	6-5	1-3	1-3	1-0	0-1	—	0-2	52		
AWAY	12-17	17-11-1	9-2	7-4	2-1	2-2	—	3-1	3-15	10-7-1	0-1	3-3	—	7-3-1	54		
NAT.	28-19	19-19	15-4	9-10	—	0-4	1-0	8-6	4-15	10-9	1-1	3-3	—	6-5	53		
ART.	5-4	6-2-1	5-1	5-1	2-1	2-0	—	1-0	0-3	1-1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0-1	52		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	65-47	30-25-1	23-6	16-13	2-1	4-4	1-0	9-8	4-23	14-12-1	1-1	3-5	—	10-6-1	54		
HOME	44-10	10-10	13-3	9-7	—	2-2	1-0	6-5	1-3	1-3	1-0	0-1	—	0-2	54		
AWAY	21-37	20-15-1	10-3	7-6	2-1	2-2	—	3-3	3-20	13-9-1	0-1	3-4	—	10-4-1	54		
NAT.	57-40	23-22	17-5	11-11	—	2-4	1-0	8-7	4-19	12-11	1-1	3-4	—	8-6	53		
ART.	8-7	7-3-1	6-1	5-2	2-1	2-0	—	1-1	0-4	2-1-1	—	0-1	—	2-0-1	60		

[illegible]

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-5	7-6	6-3	5-4	0-1	2-1	1-0	2-2	2-1	2-1	1-0	1-1	—	—	54
HOME	2-4	1-5	2-2	1-3	0-1	1-0	—	0-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	58
AWAY	5-1	5-1	3-1	3-1	—	1-1	—	2-0	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	53
NAT.	5-5	4-6	5-3	4-4	0-1	1-1	1-0	2-2	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	51
ART.	3-0	3-0	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	66
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	15-9	12-11-1	12-7	9-9-1	0-3	3-2-1	1-1	5-3	3-1	3-1	2-0	1-1	—	—	52
HOME	6-5	3-7-1	6-3	3-5-1	0-2	1-0-1	—	2-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	57
AWAY	8-4	8-4	5-4	5-4	0-1	2-2	0-1	3-0	3-0	3-0	2-0	1-0	—	—	49
NAT.	10-8	7-10-1	9-6	6-8-1	0-2	1-2-1	1-1	4-3	1-1	1-1	1-0	0-1	—	—	51
ART.	5-1	5-1	3-1	3-1	0-1	2-0	—	1-0	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	55
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	21-15	17-17-2	15-10	10-14-1	0-5	4-2-1	1-3	5-4	6-4	7-2-1	2-0	5-2-1	—	—	54
HOME	11-7	6-11-1	9-5	4-9-1	0-4	2-0-1	0-1	2-4	2-1	2-1	—	2-1	—	—	59
AWAY	9-7	9-6-1	5-5	5-5	0-1	2-2	0-2	3-0	4-2	4-1-1	2-0	2-1-1	—	—	51
NAT.	16-11	11-15-1	12-9	7-13-1	0-4	2-2-1	1-3	4-4	4-1	4-1	1-0	3-1	—	—	55
ART.	5-4	6-2-1	3-1	3-1	0-1	2-0	—	1-0	2-3	3-1-1	1-0	2-1-1	—	—	53
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	35-24	25-32-2	28-15	17-25-1	0-7	8-2-1	2-6	7-10	7-7	8-5-1	2-0	5-4-1	1-0	0-1	56
HOME	20-9	12-16-1	17-6	9-13-1	0-4	4-0-1	1-2	4-7	3-2	3-2	—	2-2	1-0	—	57
AWAY	14-13	11-15-1	10-8	7-11	0-3	4-2	0-3	3-3	4-4	4-3-1	2-0	2-2-1	—	0-1	56
NAT.	28-19	18-28-1	23-13	13-22-1	0-5	5-2-1	2-6	6-9	5-4	5-4	1-0	3-3	1-0	0-1	56
ART.	7-5	7-4-1	5-2	4-3	0-2	3-0	—	1-1	2-3	3-1-1	1-0	2-1-1	—	—	56
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	70-47	56-58-3	54-26	35-43-2	4-8-1	15-9-1	3-10	13-16	15-19	20-13-1	6-3	8-6-1	3-1	3-3	55
HOME	39-19	29-27-2	32-13	20-23-2	2-5-1	7-3-1	2-5	9-10	6-5	8-3	2-0	3-3	2-0	1-0	54
AWAY	29-24	25-27-1	20-10	14-16	2-3	8-4	0-4	4-5	9-13	11-10-1	4-3	4-3-1	1-1	2-3	56
NAT.	59-35	47-45-2	45-22	29-36-2	4-6-1	10-7-1	3-10	12-13	13-11	17-7	5-1	6-4	3-1	3-1	54
ART.	11-12	9-13-1	9-4	6-7	0-2	5-2	—	1-3	2-8	3-6-1	1-2	2-2-1	—	0-2	57

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-7 3-3 2-4 3-1 2-5	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 1-4 3-3 3-1 1-5	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-5 6-0 2-5 6-1 2-4	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 0-5 3-3 1-0 2-8	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 0-11 0-5 0-6 0-11 4-7		
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	
Game ended with 7:41 remaining																
Wisconsin †	7-27	—	-	N	Arkansas †	10-14	+5-	W N	Iowa St.	22-37	+9-	L N	N. Texas †	26-3	-1-	W A
Kansas †	31-20	-14-	L N	N'western †	28-37	+3-	L N	N. Texas †	38-0	-17	W N	Baylor †	27-24	+10-	W N	
Oregon St.	17-47	+16-	L A	Arizona †	21-38	+2	L N	BYU	7-10	+9	W N	Iowa St. †	0-24	+3-	L N	
Toledo †	21-38	+8	L A	BYU	31-35	+7	W N	Air Force	34-13	+5-	W N	Utah †	14-52	+14-	L N	
Nevada †	21-17	-1-	W N	Nevada	27-12	-10-	W A	Nevada †	38-7	-21	W N	Nevada	12-26	+7-	L N	
New Mexico	16-25	-8	L N	SDSU	31-3	-4	W N	Colo. St. †	19-20	+7	W N	Wyoming	35-32	+24	W N	
BYU †	24-3	+9	W N	Colo. St.	24-26	-5	L N	Wyoming	42-23	-20-	L N	BYU †	0-29	+17-	L N	
SDSU	21-31	+3	L N	Wyoming	47-26	-9	W N	Mississippi	40-43*	+9-	W N	New Mexico †	6-27	+8	L N	
Wyoming	49-48*	-9	L N	Utah	14-42	P	L N	Utah	16-38	-2-	L N	Air Force	16-35	+24-	W N	
Utah †	17-28	+11	P A	New Mexico †	17-27	+5	L N	New Mexico	18-14	-9	L N	SDSU	7-37	+13	L N	
Air Force	32-49	+5	L N	Air Force	34-10	+3	W N	SDSU	31-24	-5-	W N	Colo. St.	17-35	+23	W N	
Colo. St.	36-33	+17	W N					Hawaii †	34-32	-7	L A					
								Las Vegas Bowl								
								Arkansas †	31-14	+2	W N					

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 3-2 0-6 2-1 0-7	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 1-11 1-5 0-6 1-11 8-3-1	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 2-9 2-3 0-6 1-1 1-8	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-5 5-2 2-3 1-2 1-2	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 1-4 2-4 — —	
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Nevada	14-31	+17	P N	Tennessee †	3-62	+56	L N	Rice †	0-38	+16	L A	E. Mich. †	17-3	—	- A
Air Force	24-25	+21	W N	Air Force †	17-65	+31	L A	Arkansas St. †	28-23	-9	L A	C. Mich.	23-35	—	- A
Hawaii †	25-15	-5	W A	Wisconsin †	17-52	+37	W A	E. Mich. †	6-51	-1-	L A	Idaho †	38-48	—	- A
Illinois St. †	41-6	—	- A	Colo. St.	16-35	+36-	W N	Iowa St.	30-57	+15	L A	Utah St. †	23-21	—	- N
USC †	21-35	+25-	W N	Wyoming	21-33	+30	W A	Hawaii †	30-58	+13	L A	N. Mex. St. †	31-27	—	- N
TCU	21-19	-8	L A	Nevada	17-54	+15	L A	N. Illinois	14-62	+13	L A	La. Tech †	24-20	—	- A
SDSU †	17-20*	+7	W N	BYU	28-63	+38	W N	San Jose St.	14-52	+15	L N	Tulsa †	22-44	+11	L A
Fresno St. †	28-46	+11-	L N	Hawaii †	28-38	+10	P A	Nevada	32-55	+30	W N	San Jose St. †	23-10	-11	W A
Colo. St.	19-45	+20-	L A	Fresno St.	23-34	+16-	W A	N. Texas	34-24	+11	W A	La-Lafayette	27-28	—	- N
Wyoming	23-35	+19	W N	TCU	34-42	+19-	W N	Utah St.	0-42	+12	L A	Nevada	32-27	—	- A
San Jose St.	48-55*	-9-	L A	SDSU	44-42	+27	W A	N. Mex. St	34-58	+11	L A	Kansas St.	3-42	+16	L A
				San Jose St.	28-31	+7	W N								
								Las Vegas Bowl							
								C. Mich. †	52-24	+5	W A				

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993					
		str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.			str.up	vs.spr.		
overall		3-8	5-4-1	overall		1-11	8-3-1	overall		2-9	2-9	overall		7-5	2-2	overall		3-8	—		
home		3-2	1-3	home		1-5	4-2	home		2-3	1-4	home		5-2	2-1	home		1-4	—		
away		0-5	4-1-1	away		0-6	4-1-1	away		0-6	1-5	away		2-3	0-1	away		2-4	—		
as fav		2-1	1-2	as fav		—	—	as fav		1-1	0-2	as fav		1-0	1-0	as fav		—	—		
as dog		0-7	4-2-1	as dog		1-11	8-3-1	as dog		1-8	2-7	as dog		1-2	1-2	as dog		—	—		
opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread	surf		
Ⓢ Nevada	14-31	+17	P	N	Ⓢ Tennessee †	3-62	+56	L	N	Ⓢ Rice †	0-38	+16	L	A	E. Mich. †	17-3	—	-	A		
Ⓢ Air Force	24-25	+21	W	N	Air Force †	17-65	+31	L	A	Arkansas St. †	28-23	-	9	L	A	Ⓢ C. Mich.	23-35	—	-	A	
Hawaii †	25-15	-	5	W	A	Wisconsin †	17-52	+37	W	A	Ⓢ E. Mich. †	6-51	-	1	L	A	Idaho †	38-48	—	-	A
Illinois St. †	41-6	—	-	A	Ⓢ Colo. St.	16-35	+36	-	W	N	Ⓢ Iowa St.	30-57	+15	L	A	Ⓢ Utah St. †	23-21	—	-	N	
Ⓢ USC †	21-35	+25	-	W	N	Wyoming	21-33	+30	W	A	Hawaii †	30-58	+13	L	A	Ⓢ N. Mex. St. †	31-27	—	-	N	
TCU	21-19	-	8	L	A	Nevada	17-54	+15	L	A	Ⓢ N. Illinois	14-62	+13	L	A	La. Tech †	24-20	—	-	A	
Ⓢ SDSU †	17-20*	+7	W	N	Ⓢ BYU	28-63	+38	W	N	Ⓢ San Jose St.	14-52	+15	L	N	Ⓢ Tulsa †	22-44	+11	L	A		
Ⓢ Fresno St. †	28-46	+11	-	L	N	Ⓢ Hawaii †	28-38	+10	P	A	Ⓢ Nevada	32-55	+30	W	N	San Jose St. †	23-10	-11	W	A	
Colo. St.	19-45	+20	-	L	A	Fresno St.	23-34	+16	-	W	A	N. Texas	34-24	+11	W	A	Ⓢ La-Lafayette	27-28	—	-	N
Ⓢ Wyoming	23-35	+19	W	N	Ⓢ TCU	34-42	+19	-	W	N	Utah St.	0-42	+12	L	A	Nevada	32-27	—	-	A	
San Jose St.	48-55*	-	9	-	L	A	SDSU	44-42	+27	W	A	N. Mex. St	34-58	+11	L	A	Kansas St.	3-42	+16	L	A
					Ⓢ San Jose St.	28-31	+7	W	N												

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	5-7	3-7-1	3-1	1-3	1-0	—	0-2	0-1	2-5	2-4-1	0-1	0-1	1-1	1-1-1	54
HOME	3-3	1-4	3-1	1-3	1-0	—	0-2	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	57
AWAY	2-4	2-3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-4	2-3-1	0-1	—	1-1	1-1-1	52
NAT.	5-4	3-5	3-1	1-3	1-0	—	0-2	0-1	2-2	2-2	0-1	0-1	1-0	1-0	54
ART.	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	0-1	0-1-1	56
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-14	9-12-1	6-2	4-4	1-0	1-1	1-2	1-1	3-10	5-7-1	1-2	2-3	1-1	1-1-1	52
HOME	4-7	3-7	4-2	2-4	1-0	1-1	0-2	0-1	0-3	1-2	—	1-2	—	—	55
AWAY	5-7	6-5-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	3-7	4-5-1	1-2	1-1	1-1	1-1-1	49
NAT.	8-11	8-10	5-2	3-4	1-0	1-1	1-2	0-1	3-7	5-5	1-2	2-3	1-0	1-0	52
ART.	1-3	1-2-1	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	0-1	0-1-1	51
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	17-19	17-17-1	12-3	7-8	1-1	2-2	1-3	3-2	5-14	10-8-1	2-2	4-3	3-2	1-1-1	51
HOME	10-7	7-9	8-2	4-6	1-0	1-1	0-3	2-2	2-3	3-2	1-0	2-2	—	—	52
AWAY	7-12	10-8-1	4-1	3-2	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	3-11	7-6-1	1-2	2-1	3-2	1-1-1	51
NAT.	15-16	16-14	10-3	6-7	1-1	2-1	1-3	2-2	5-11	10-6	2-2	4-3	3-1	1-0	51
ART.	2-3	1-3-1	2-0	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	0-1	0-1-1	54
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-38	26-30-1	13-3	8-8	2-1	2-2	1-3	3-2	7-33	18-21-1	2-2	4-6	3-5	9-8-1	49
HOME	10-17	9-17	8-2	4-6	1-0	1-1	0-3	2-2	2-13	5-10	1-0	2-5	0-1	2-4	50
AWAY	10-21	17-13-1	5-1	4-2	1-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	5-20	13-11-1	1-2	2-1	3-4	7-4-1	49
NAT.	17-28	23-21	10-3	6-7	1-1	2-1	1-3	2-2	7-23	17-13	2-2	4-4	3-3	8-4	49
ART.	3-10	3-9-1	3-0	2-1	1-0	0-1	—	1-0	0-10	1-8-1	—	0-2	0-2	1-4-1	52
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	36-79	43-48-3	17-5	10-12	2-2	3-2	1-6	4-2	10-61	33-35-3	2-2	7-6	3-5-1	21-22-2	54
HOME	22-33	17-27	12-3	6-9	1-0	2-1	0-6	3-2	5-23	11-17	1-0	3-5	0-1	7-11	55
AWAY	14-46	26-21-3	5-2	4-3	1-2	1-1	1-0	1-0	5-38	22-18-3	1-2	4-1	3-4-1	14-11-2	54
NAT.	21-44	32-24-1	10-3	6-7	1-1	2-1	1-3	2-2	7-36	26-16-1	2-2	6-4	3-3	15-7-1	51
ART.	15-35	11-24-2	7-2	4-5	1-1	1-1	0-3	2-0	3-25	7-19-2	—	1-2	0-2-1	6-15-1	58

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	11-2 6-0 4-2 10-1 1-1	vs. spr. 9-4 4-2 8-3 1-1	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	6-6 4-2 2-3 5-2 1-3	vs. spr. 6-6 2-4 4-1 3-4 3-1	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	5-7 2-5 2-2 2-7 3-0	vs. spr. 3-9 0-7 2-2 0-9 3-0	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	6-6 4-2 2-4 5-3 0-3	vs. spr. 4-8 2-4 2-6 1-2	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	8-5 6-1 2-3 8-2 0-3	vs. spr. 9-4 6-1 3-2 6-2 1-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Auburn †	24-17	-7	L N	San Jose St.	21-10	-15	L N	§ Penn State	29-5	+1	W N	⑥ Hawaii †	62-7	-27	W A	Purdue	27-17	-8	W N
⑥ Colorado	40-3	+3	W N	Kansas St.	6-10	+2	L N	Colorado †	17-14	-7	L N	SDSU	24-21	-25	L N	SDSU †	35-6	-19	W N
⑥ Kansas St. †	20-27	+2	L A	⑥ Oregon †	22-24	+6	W A	San Jose St.	34-24	-22	L N	⑥ Oregon †	30-33*	+1	L A	Oregon St. †	40-20	-14	W N
Oregon St.	22-0	-3	W N	Stanford	16-21	-2	L N	⑥ Oregon St.	21-31	-6	L A	Oregon St.	37-29	-13	L N	⑥ Florida St.	10-30	+13	L N
⑥ Wash St.	27-30*	-3	L A	⑥ Wash.	24-27	+6	W A	Arizona	15-31	-7	L N	⑥ Arizona	24-31	+1	L N	Arizona St.	35-24	-3	W N
California	30-28	-12	L N	Arizona St.	48-17	-1	W N	Oregon	17-28	-1	L N	⑥ Notre Dame	24-25	+8	W N	California	31-32	-11	L N
Wash.	41-21	-7	W N	⑥ Notre Dame	16-27	P	L N	Stanford	30-32	-4	L N	Stanford	31-35	-7	L N	⑥ Wash St. †	42-14	-9	W A
⑥ Oregon	44-33	-1	W A	⑥ Arizona	41-34	-6	W N	California	16-28	-8	L N	⑥ California	7-17	-5	L N	⑥ Oregon	13-17	+7	W A
⑥ Stanford	49-17	-19	W N	Oregon St.	16-13*	-6	L N	⑥ Arizona St. †	44-38*	+3	W N	Arizona St.	16-26	-6	L N	Wash.	33-10	-6	W N
Arizona St.	34-13	-15	W N	⑥ California	55-14	-13	W N	Wash St.	27-33	-13	L N	⑥ Wash St.	31-28	-8	L A	⑥ Stanford	34-9	-10	W N
⑥ UCLA	52-21	-6	W N	UCLA	27-0	+3	W N	⑥ UCLA	38-35	+3	W N	UCLA	17-7	-6	W N	⑥ UCLA	17-34	+5	L N
Notre Dame †	44-13	-11	W N	Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas				Notre Dame	21-38	-1	L N	La. Tech	45-19	P	W N	Notre Dame	10-0	-8	W N
Orange Bowl at Miami				§ Utah	6-10	-3	L N									Sun Bowl at El Paso			
§ Iowa †	38-17	-5	W N													§ TCU	19-28	-16	L A

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	6-5 3-3 3-2 5-1 1-4	vs. spr. 6-5 3-3 3-2 3-3 3-2	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	6-6 3-2 3-3 5-4 1-2	vs. spr. 4-8 2-3 2-4 3-6 1-2	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	9-2-1 5-1 3-1-1 9-2-1 —	vs. spr. 5-7 2-4 2-3 5-7 —	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	8-3-1 4-1-1 3-2 7-2-1 0-1	vs. spr. 7-5 3-3 3-2 6-4 0-1	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	8-5 4-1 3-3 6-2 2-3	vs. spr. 8-5 4-1 4-2 5-3 3-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Florida St. †	7-14	+11	W N	§ Penn State	7-24	+3	L A	San Jose St.	45-7	-35	W N	Wash.	24-17	-4	W N	§ No. Carolina	9-31	-8	L N
Wash St.	21-28	-7	L N	⑥ Penn State	46-17	-26	W N	Houston †	45-10	-37	L N	⑥ Penn State	14-38	+7	L N	Houston	49-7	-10	W N
⑥ California	27-17	-3	W N	⑥ Illinois	55-3	-3	W A	⑥ Arizona †	31-10	-6	W N	Baylor †	37-27	-13	L N	⑥ Penn State	20-21	+5	W N
UNLV †	35-21	-25	L N	Oregon St.	26-9	-19	L A	Arizona St.	31-0	-20	W N	Oregon	7-22	-20	L N	Wash St. †	34-3	-9	W N
⑥ Arizona St.	7-35	+2	L N	⑥ Houston	15-22	-16	L N	⑥ California	26-16	-14	L N	⑥ Oregon St.	27-19	-5	W A	⑥ Arizona	7-38	+1	L N
⑥ Notre Dame	20-17	+6	W N	California	14-7	-8	L N	Wash St.	26-14	-14	L N	⑥ Stanford	27-20	-1	W N	⑥ Oregon	24-13	-2	W A
Oregon †	24-22	-8	L N	Arizona	14-7	-8	L N	⑥ Notre Dame	10-38	-4	L N	California	61-0	-10	W N	Oregon St.	34-9	-22	W N
⑥ Wash.	0-27	+17	L A	⑥ Arizona St. †	35-48*	+6	L N	⑥ Wash.	21-21	-4	L A	⑥ Wash St.	23-10	P	W A	⑥ Notre Dame	13-31	+12	L N
Stanford	45-21	-3	W N	⑥ Wash St. †	29-24	-3	W A	Stanford	31-30	-14	L N	Arizona	45-28	-4	W N	⑥ California	42-14	+3	W A
⑥ Oregon St.	23-0	-12	W A	Wash.	10-21	-3	L N	⑥ Oregon St. †	28-10	-15	W A	⑥ UCLA	19-31	-5	L N	Stanford	45-20	-10	W N
UCLA	24-31	+8	W N	⑥ Stanford †	20-24	-8	L N	UCLA	20-24	-7	L N	Notre Dame	17-17	-3	L N	⑥ Wash.	22-17	+2	W A
				⑥ UCLA	41-48*	-3	L N	Rose Bowl at Pasadena				§ Texas Tech	55-14	-6	W N	UCLA	21-27	-1	L N
				Notre Dame	27-20*	+13	W N	§ N'western	41-32	-3	W N					Freedom Bowl at Anaheim			
																§ Utah †	28-21	-17	L N

WWW.JIMFEIST.COM															<i>JIM FEIST COLLEGE FOOTBALL ANNUAL 2003</i>														
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

UTAH UTEES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-5 3-2 2-4 5-5 0-1	vs.spr. 3-7-1 1-3-1 2-4 2-7-1 1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Utah St. †	23-3	-12	W N
Indiana †	40-13	-15	W A
@ Arizona †	17-23	-3	L N
@ Michigan	7-10	+10	W N
Air Force	26-30	-8	L A
@ SDSU	17-36	-10	L N
Colo. St.	20-28	-2	L A
@ New Mexico	35-42*	-6	L N
UNLV †	28-17	-11	P A
@ Wyoming	23-18	-11	L N
BYU	13-6	-8	L A
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ USC	10-6	+3	W N

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 5-0 2-4 5-2 2-2	vs.spr. 8-4 3-2 4-2 3-4 4-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah St. †	23-19	-9	L N
@ Oregon	10-24	+17	W A
@ Indiana	28-26	+2	W N
New Mexico	37-16	-7	W N
So. Florida †	52-21	-14	W N
Wyoming	35-0	-18	W N
@ Colo. St.	17-19	-2	L N
@ UNLV	42-14	P	W N
SDSU	17-3	-17	L N
@ BYU †	21-24	+6	W N
@ Air Force	37-38	-17	L N
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ USC	10-6	+3	W N

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 1-5 3-2 3-6 1-0	vs.spr. 4-7 1-5 3-2 3-6 1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Arizona †	3-17	-3	L N
California	21-24	-3	L N
Wash. St. †	21-38	-11	L N
Air Force †	14-23	-2	L N
@ Utah St.	35-14	-12	W N
@ SDSU	21-7	-4	W N
Colo. St. †	17-24	-2	L N
@ New Mexico	3-10	P	L N
UNLV	38-16	+2	W N
@ Wyoming	34-0	-15	W N
BYU	27-34	-5	L N
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ Fresno St.	17-16	-5	L N

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 9-3 4-1 4-2 4-2 3-1	vs.spr. 8-4 4-1 4-2 5-3 3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Wash. St.	27-7	+2	W A
Utah St. †	38-18	-16	W N
@ UNLV †	52-14	-14	W N
@ Boise St. †	20-26	-16	L A
La-Monroe †	42-0	-28	W N
@ Air Force †	21-15	+4	W N
SDSU	38-16	-6	W N
@ Colo. St. †	24-31	+1	L N
Wyoming	29-43	-8	L N
New Mexico	52-7	-12	W N
@ BYU	20-17	+6	W N
Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ Fresno St.	17-16	-5	L N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-2 3-2 7-3 0-1	vs.spr. 5-6 2-4 3-2 4-6 1-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Utah St. †	20-12	-10	L N
Louisville †	45-22	-16	W N
Hawaii †	30-21	-24	L N
Boise St. †	28-31	-16	L N
@ Wyoming	24-27	+8	W N
Fresno St.	24-16	-7	W N
@ SDSU †	20-21*	-2	L N
@ San Jose St.	49-17	-7	W N
UTEP	34-27*	-17	L N
@ New Mexico	41-7	-11	W N
BYU	24-26	-2	L N

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 3-2 3-3 4-4 2-1	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 4-4 2-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah St. †	14-21	-14	L N
@ Louisville	27-21	+4	W A
@ TCU †	32-18	-4	W N
UTEP †	56-3	-27	W N
@ Fresno St.	13-27	-9	L N
SMU	19-20	-8	L N
@ Oregon	13-31	+7	L A
New Mexico	15-10	-2	W N
@ Tulsa	13-21	-10	L A
Rice	31-14	-1	W N
@ BYU	20-14	+4	W N
Copper Bowl at Tucson			
§ Wisconsin †	10-38	+8	L N

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-1 4-1 4-2 6-2 2-2	vs.spr. 5-7 3-2 2-4 3-5 2-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah St. †	17-20	-14	L N
@ Stanford	17-10	+6	W N
@ SMU †	21-17	-5	L N
Fresno St. †	45-17	-9	W N
Kansas †	45-42	+3	W N
@ UTEP †	34-27	-16	L A
TCU	21-7	-16	L N
Tulsa	45-19	-13	W N
@ Rice	10-51	-4	L A
@ New Mexico †	31-24	-1	W N
BYU	17-37	+6	L N
Copper Bowl at Tucson			
§ Wisconsin †	10-38	+8	L N

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 3-4 4-0 4-1 3-2	vs.spr. 5-5-1 2-4-1 3-1 2-3 3-1-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Oregon †	20-27	+7	P N
Stanford †	20-27	+2	L N
@ New Mexico †	36-9	+4	W N
Fresno St. †	25-21	-1	W N
@ UTEP †	34-21	-19	L A
SDSU †	21-24	-4	L N
Colo. St.	14-19	P	L N
Air Force	22-21	-2	L N
Utah St.	40-20	-17	W N
@ Wyoming	30-24	+3	W N
@ BYU	34-17	+5	W N
Freedom Bowl at Anaheim			
§ Arizona †	16-13	+4	W N

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 5-0 4-2 6-2 3-0	vs.spr. 7-4 2-2 4-2 4-4 3-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah St. †	32-17	-11	W N
Idaho St. †	66-0	-	N
@ Oregon	34-16	+3	W A
Wyoming †	41-7	-11	W N
@ SDSU †	38-22	-7	W N
Hawaii	14-3	-21	L N
Colo. St.	45-31	+1	W N
UTEP	52-7	-26	W N
@ New Mexico	21-23	-7	L N
@ Air Force	33-40	-7	L N
BYU	34-31	-5	L N
Freedom Bowl at Anaheim			
§ Arizona †	16-13	+4	W N

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-6 4-2 3-3 4-2 3-4	vs.spr. 7-6 3-3 3-3 4-3 4-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Arizona St. †	0-38	+14	L N
Utah St. †	31-29	-5	L N
@ Kansas	41-16	+8	W A
@ Wyoming	12-28	+2	L N
Idaho †	17-28	-3	L N
New Mexico †	35-42	-1	L N
@ UTEP †	45-29	-7	W A
Colo. St.	38-21	-7	W N
SDSU	45-41	+4	W N
@ Hawaii †	30-41	+3	L A
Air Force	41-24	-6	W N
@ BYU	34-31	+7	W N
Freedom Bowl at Anaheim			
§ USC †	21-28	+17	W N

5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	33-24	28-28-1	26-18	17-26-1	0-9	3-3	2-5	12-9-1	6-5	10-1	4-1	3-0	1-0	2-0	45
HOME	17-10	11-15-1	16-10	10-15-1	0-5	1-1	2-4	7-5-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	49
AWAY	14-14	16-12	9-8	7-10	0-4	2-1	0-1	5-4	4-5	8-1	2-1	3-0	1-0	2-0	44
NAT.	29-20	25-24	23-15	16-22	0-8	3-3	2-3	11-8	5-4	8-1	3-1	3-0	1-0	1-0	46
ART.	4-4	3-4-1	3-3	1-4-1	0-1	—	0-2	1-1-1	1-1	2-0	1-0	—	—	1-0	41
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	71-45	58-55-2	50-29	33-45-1	4-11	8-10	3-8	18-16-1	19-14	24-8-1	8-4	10-2-1	3-1	3-1	48
HOME	36-19	24-28-2	32-15	21-25-1	3-7	3-5	3-5	12-8-1	3-3	3-2-1	2-1	1-1-1	—	—	50
AWAY	32-24	31-25	17-14	12-19	1-4	5-4	0-3	6-8	14-9	18-5	5-3	8-1	3-0	2-1	47
NAT.	61-37	51-45-1	44-24	31-37	4-10	7-9	3-6	17-12	15-11	19-6-1	6-3	9-1-1	2-1	2-1	48
ART.	10-8	7-10-1	6-5	2-8-1	0-1	1-1	0-2	1-4-1	4-3	5-2	2-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	49

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 3-2 1-5 0-1 3-6	vs.spr. 4-5-1 3-1 1-4-1 0-1 4-4-1	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 3-2 1-5 1-1 1-6	vs.spr. 3-6 1-2 2-4 0-2 3-4	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 5-6 3-2 2-4 1-0 2-5	vs.spr. 4-5 2-1 2-4 1-0 2-5	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 2-3 2-4 2-2 1-5	vs.spr. 3-7 1-3 2-4 1-3 2-4	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-8 2-4 1-4 1-0 1-8	vs.spr. 5-4-1 2-2-1 3-2 0-1 5-3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah †	3-23	+12-	L N	Utah †	19-23	+ 9	W N	Texas Tech †	16-38	+21	L A	Georgia †	7-38	+29	L N	Utah †	12-20	+10	W N
Nebraska †	13-44	+31	P A	LSU †	14-31	+23	W N	S. Utah †	30-14	—	- N	SF Austin St.	51-17	—	- N	New Mexico †	36-39*	+11	W N
Idaho St. †	38-33	—	- N	Wyoming †	42-43	- 5	L N	Arizona St. †	20-44	+21-	L N	Utah †	18-38	+16-	L N	Colorado	6-25	+23	W N
Iowa	7-48	+22	L N	Oregon †	21-38	+15	L N	Utah	14-35	+12	L N	BYU †	31-34*	+17	W N	Sam Hous. †	47-17	—	- N
Boise St. †	38-63	+21	L A	BYU †	34-54	+19-	L N	BYU †	14-38	+20-	L N	Arkansas St.	20-14	-12	L N	Oregon St. †	16-20	+ 4	P N
BYU †	34-35	+18	W N	Idaho St. †	28-27	—	- N	N. Texas	17-12	P W A	Kansas St.	0-40	+29-	L A	Wash.	12-53	+21	L A	
New Mexico	45-44*	+ 3	W N	C. Florida	30-27	+ 6	W N	Idaho	31-14	+12-	W N	Idaho †	3-31	+ 7	L A	Idaho	14-26	+ 6	L N
La-Monroe †	48-51*	- 6	L N	Connecticut	38-31	-14-	L N	Arkansas St.	44-31	- 5	W N	Boise St.	27-33	- 3	L N	Boise St. †	16-30	+ 1	L A
N. Mex. St.	32-30	+ 2-	W N	Weber St.	56-43	—	- N	N. Mex. St. †	44-37	+ 6-	W N	N. Mex. St.	6-14	- 2-	L N	N. Mex. St.	29-26*	+ 4-	W N
Troy St.	19-16*	+ 7-	W N	So. Florida †	13-34	+ 8	L N	Boise St.	38-66	+16	L A	Nevada	37-35	+ 3	W N	Nevada	21-26	+ 6-	W N
Mid. Tenn.	28-45	+ 7	L A	Fresno St.	21-70	+23	L N	Idaho St.	24-27	—	- N	N. Texas	34-7	- 4	W A	N. Texas	28-27	- 7-	L N

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 3-2 3-3 3-1 2-4	vs.spr. 6-5 2-2 4-2 2-2 4-2	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-5 4-2 2-3 4-0 1-4	vs.spr. 5-4 2-2 3-2 3-1 2-3	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 2-1 2-4	vs.spr. 5-4 3-1 2-3 2-1 3-3	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 3-6 1-4 2-4 — 0-3	vs.spr. 0-3 0-1 0-2 — 0-3	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-5 3-2 3-2 1-0 1-4	vs.spr. 5-1 2-0 2-1 1-0 4-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Utah †	21-14	+14-	W N	Utah †	20-17	+14	W N	Arkansas St. †	17-21	- 5-	L N	Utah †	17-32	+11	L N	La-Lafayette †	34-13	—	- N
Idaho St. †	41-7	—	- N	CS Northridge	57-27	—	- N	Boise St. †	14-38	—	- N	Colo. St.	16-41	+19	L N	Utah †	29-31	+ 5-	W N
Colo. St. †	24-35	+13	W N	So. Miss.	24-31	+13	W N	So. Miss. †	21-24	+17-	W N	Ohio U.	5-0	—	- N	Baylor	24-28	+ 5-	W N
New Mexico †	22-25	P	L N	Okla. St. †	17-31	+ 6-	L A	San Jose St.	30-32	+ 1	L N	UNLV †	21-23	—	- N	Fresno St. †	14-30	+16-	W N
BYU †	35-42	+13-	W N	Texas Tech †	20-58	+21-	L A	Colo. St. †	17-59	+18	L N	BYU †	6-34	+24-	L N	LSU †	17-38	+ 7-	L N
Oregon St.	16-24	+ 7	L A	BYU †	17-45	+14	L N	N. Illinois	42-7	+ 3	W N	La. Tech †	7-3	—	- N	Nevada †	44-48	—	- N
N. Mex. St. †	38-7	-26	W N	N. Mex. St. †	53-21	-12	W N	N. Mex. St. †	27-14	+ 4-	W N	La-Lafayette †	25-27	—	- N	UNLV †	33-26	—	- A
Idaho	63-17	- 2-	W A	Boise St. †	39-14	- 9-	W A	Utah	20-40	+17	L N	Pacific	6-28	—	- N	BYU	58-56	+ 7-	W N
Boise St.	24-20	-24-	L N	Idaho	35-28	- 4-	W N	Nevada	25-30	—	- N	E. Wash.	31-49	—	- N	Pacific	24-21	—	- N
Nevada	38-19	+ 4-	W N	N. Texas	21-13	-18	L N	UNLV	42-0	-12	W A	Nevada	28-56	—	- N	La. Tech	24-13	—	- N
N. Texas	48-51	-13	L A	Nevada	27-54	—	- N	Pacific	38-22	- 9-	W N	N. Mex. St.	47-20	—	- N	N. Mex. St.	20-17	—	- N
Humanitarian Bowl at Boise, ID																Las Vegas Bowl at Las Vegas			
§ Cincinnati																§ Ball St.			
19-35																42-33			
+ 2																- 2-			
L A																W A			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-7	4-5-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	3-6	4-4-1	2-0	0-1	1-0	1-3-1	67
HOME	3-2	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-2	3-1	2-0	—	—	1-1	63
AWAY	1-5	1-4-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-4	1-3-1	—	0-1	1-0	0-2-1	70
NAT.	4-4	4-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	3-3	4-2	2-0	—	1-0	1-2	63
ART.	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-2-1	—	0-1	—	0-1-1	77
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	8-14	7-11-1	1-2	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	4-12	7-8-1	2-0	1-1	2-1	2-6-1	67
HOME	6-4	4-3	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	3-3	4-2	2-0	1-0	—	1-2	67
AWAY	2-10	3-8-1	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	1-9	3-6-1	—	0-1	2-1	1-4-1	66
NAT.	8-11	7-9	1-2	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	4-9	7-6	2-0	1-0	2-1	2-5	65
ART.	0-3	0-2-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-2-1	—	0-1	—	0-1-1	77
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	13-20	11-16-1	2-2	1-3	—	1-2	—	0-1	6-17	9-13-1	2-0	2-1	2-1	3-11-1	64
HOME	9-6	6-4	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	4-4	5-3	2-0	1-0	—	2-3	62
AWAY	4-14	5-12-1	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	2-13	4-10-1	—	1-1	2-1	1-8-1	65
NAT.	12-15	10-12	2-2	1-3	—	1-2	—	0-1	6-12	9-9	2-0	2-0	2-1	3-8	63
ART.	1-5	1-4-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-5	0-4-1	—	0-1	—	0-3-1	69
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-35	19-27-2	5-4	2-7	0-2	2-2	0-1	0-2	8-30	16-20-2	3-1	4-3-1	3-1	6-15-1	58
HOME	13-13	9-9-1	3-3	1-5	0-2	1-1	0-1	0-1	4-9	8-4-1	2-0	2-1-1	1-0	3-3	56
AWAY	7-22	10-18-1	2-1	1-2	—	1-1	—	0-1	4-21	8-16-1	1-1	2-2	2-1	3-12-1	60
NAT.	18-25	16-19-1	4-4	1-7	0-2	1-2	0-1	0-2	8-20	15-12-1	3-0	4-1-1	3-1	5-10	58
ART.	2-10	3-8-1	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	0-10	1-8-1	0-1	0-2	—	1-5-1	56
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	46-66	40-44-2	15-6	10-11	2-2	3-3	2-1	3-5	14-49	29-32-2	4-3	8-5-1	4-2	13-22-1	56
HOME	26-27	18-15-1	8-3	4-7	0-2	2-1	1-1	1-3	7-15	14-7-1	3-0	3-1-1	2-0	6-6	57
AWAY	19-38	21-28-1	6-3	5-4	1-0	1-2	1-0	2-2	7-33	15-24-1	1-2	5-4	2-2	7-16-1	56
NAT.	39-51	33-31-1	10-5	5-10	0-2	2-3	1-1	2-4	14-35	28-20-1	4-1	8-1-1	4-2	12-16	56
ART.	7-15	7-13-1	5-1	5-1	2-0	1-0	1-0	1-1	0-14	1-12-1	0-2	0-4	—	1-6-1	59

VANDERBILT COMMODORES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 4-7 3-2 1-1 0-2 0-9	vs.spr. 4-5 1-4 0-2 4-5	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-5 1-4 1-1 0-7	vs.spr. 4-5 2-3 1-3 1-1 3-4	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 1-4 2-3 2-1 1-7	vs.spr. 5-6 2-3 3-2 1-2 4-4	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-6 3-2 2-0 2-6 5-3	vs.spr. 5-5 2-3 3-2 0-2 5-3	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-4 0-5 0-1 2-8	vs.spr. 4-7 2-4 2-3 0-1 4-6
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Ga. Tech	3-45	+20-	L N	Mid. Tenn. †	28-37	- 7-	L N	Miami-OH †	30-33	- 7-	L N	Alabama	17-28	+14-	W N	@ Miss. St. †	0-42	+12-	L N
Furman †	49-18	- -	N	Alabama	9-12	+10-	W N	@ Alabama at Birm.	10-28	+21-	W N	N. Illinois	34-31	-17	L N	@ Alabama at Birm.	7-32	+20	L N
@ Auburn	6-31	+21	L N	Richmond	28-22	- -	N	Mississippi	7-12	+ 4	L N	@ Mississippi	37-34*	+16-	W N	Mississippi	6-30	+ 7	L A
@ Mississippi	38-45	+22-	W N	Auburn †	21-24	+ 5	W N	Duke †	26-7	-18	W N	@ Duke †	31-14	+ 3-	W N	@ TCU †	16-19*	+10	W N
So. Carolina †	14-20	+ 9-	W N	Georgia	14-30	+ 7	L N	@ Auburn	0-33	+14	L N	Miss. St.	14-42	+ 5-	L N	W. Mich.	24-27	- 5	L A
Mid. Tenn. †	20-21	- 6-	L N	@ So. Carolina †	14-46	+16-	L N	@ Wk. Forest	17-10	-10	L N	Citadel	58-0	- -	N	@ Georgia	6-31	+27	W N
@ Georgia	17-48	+25-	L N	@ Duke	42-28	- 7	W N	@ Georgia	19-29	+22	W N	Georgia	17-27	+ 7-	L N	So. Carolina †	17-14	+ 6-	W A
Connecticut	28-24	- 8	L N	@ Florida	13-71	+34	L N	So. Carolina	14-30	+ 7	L N	@ So. Carolina †	11-10	- 4-	L N	Duke	36-33*	+ 4	W A
Alabama	8-30	+22-	W N	Kentucky	30-56	P L	N	Florida	20-43	+18-	L N	@ Florida	6-13	+28	W N	Florida	13-45	+29	L A
Florida	17-21	+21-	W N	@ Tennessee	0-38	+25-	L N	@ Kentucky	24-20	+ 6-	W N	Kentucky †	17-19	+ 3	W N	@ Kentucky	17-55	+22-	L N
@ Kentucky	21-41	+15-	L N	@ Mississippi	27-38	+16	W N	@ Tennessee at Adolphus Coliseum	26-28	+19	W N	Tennessee	10-38	+23	L N	Tennessee	0-41	+29	L A
§ Tennessee at Adolphus Coliseum	0-24	+17-	L N																

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 2-4 1-4 3-0 0-8	vs.spr. 4-6-1 2-3-1 2-3 1-1-1 3-5	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-5 1-4 1-0 0-9	vs.spr. 5-5 3-2 2-3 0-1 5-4	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 2-4 0-5 1-0 1-9	vs.spr. 5-5 3-3 3-2 1-0 5-5	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 2-4 3-2 4-1 1-4	vs.spr. 5-5 2-4 3-2 4-1 3-2	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 3-3 1-4 3-0 1-7	vs.spr. 5-5 5-1 1-4 3-0 3-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
N. Texas †	29-12	-17	P A	Notre Dame †	7-14	+22	W A	Alabama †	25-33	+13	W A	Wk. Forest †	35-14	- 7	W A	@ Wk. Forest	27-12	- 3	W N
Alabama †	0-20	+12	L A	@ Alabama	26-36	+17	W N	@ Notre Dame	0-41	+18	L N	Alabama	7-17	+21	W N	Alabama	6-17	+13	W A
TCU †	40-16	- 7	W A	Mississippi †	9-20	+ 1	L A	TCU †	3-16	+ 3-	L A	Mississippi †	14-20	P L	A	@ Mississippi †	7-49	+ 6-	L N
@ Mississippi †	3-15	+ 4-	L N	@ LSU †	0-35	+20	L N	Arkansas †	7-35	+ 8-	L A	@ Arkansas at Little Rock	6-42	+ 6	L N	Auburn †	10-14	+ 7	W A
LSU	6-7	+16-	W A	@ N. Texas	19-7	-15	L A	Georgia	6-17	+ 9-	L A	@ Cincinnati †	34-24	- 6	W A	Cincinnati †	17-7	- 7	W A
@ N. Illinois	17-7	-22	L A	@ Georgia	2-13	+15	W N	@ So. Carolina	14-52	+17-	L N	@ Georgia	43-30	+20	W N	Georgia	3-41	+ 3	L A
Georgia	13-34	+11	L A	So. Carolina	0-27	+ 3-	L A	@ Mississippi	10-21	+12-	W N	So. Carolina	16-19	- 1	L A	@ So. Carolina	0-22	+ 6	L N
@ So. Carolina †	3-35	+12-	L N	Ala.-Birm.	31-15	- -	A	Kentucky	14-10	+ 5	W A	N. Illinois	17-16	-16-	L A	Kentucky	12-7	+ 6	W A
@ Florida	7-20	+36	W N	Florida	21-28	+43	W A	La. Tech	29-6	- 9-	W A	@ Kentucky	24-6	- 3	W N	Navy	41-7	- 9-	W A
Kentucky	10-21	+ 4	L A	@ Kentucky	0-25	+ 2-	L N	@ Florida	7-38	+43	W N	Florida	7-24	+23-	W A	@ Florida	0-52	+24	L N
@ Tennessee	10-17	+28	W N	Tennessee †	7-14	+25-	W A	@ Tennessee	7-12	+37	W N	Tennessee	0-65	+11-	L A	@ Tennessee	14-62	+34	L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	STR.-UP	ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	4-7	1-1	0-2	-	0-1	0-1	-	0-9	4-5	-	-	1-0	3-5	49		
HOME	2-4	3-2	1-1	0-2	-	0-1	0-1	-	0-3	3-0	-	-	1-0	2-0	45		
AWAY	0-5	1-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	0-5	1-4	-	-	-	1-4	59		
NAT.	2-10	4-7	1-1	0-2	-	0-1	0-1	-	0-9	4-5	-	-	1-0	3-5	49		
ART.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	8-13	2-2	1-3	-	1-1	0-2	-	0-16	7-9	-	1-1	1-0	5-8	52		
HOME	3-9	5-5	1-2	0-3	-	0-1	0-2	-	0-6	5-1	-	1-1	1-0	3-0	48		
AWAY	1-9	3-7	1-0	1-0	-	1-0	-	-	0-9	2-7	-	-	-	2-7	61		
NAT.	4-19	8-13	2-2	1-3	-	1-1	0-2	-	0-16	7-9	-	1-1	1-0	5-8	52		
ART.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	7-27	13-19	4-3	2-5	-	1-1	0-4	1-0	1-23	11-13	-	2-3	1-0	8-10	49		
HOME	4-13	6-9	2-3	1-4	-	0-1	0-3	1-0	0-9	5-4	-	1-3	1-0	3-1	47		
AWAY	3-12	6-9	2-0	1-1	-	1-0	0-1	-	1-12	5-8	-	1-0	-	4-8	53		
NAT.	7-27	13-19	4-3	2-5	-	1-1	0-4	1-0	1-23	11-13	-	2-3	1-0	8-10	49		
ART.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	14-42	22-31	6-4	2-8	-	1-3	0-4	1-1	5-37	20-22	1-0	5-5	2-1	12-16	48		
HOME	8-21	10-16	3-4	1-6	-	0-2	0-3	1-1	2-16	9-9	1-0	3-5	1-1	4-3	48		
AWAY	6-19	11-14	3-0	1-2	-	1-1	0-1	-	3-19	10-12	-	2-0	1-0	7-12	49		
NAT.	12-38	20-27	6-3	2-7	-	1-2	0-4	1-1	3-34	18-19	1-0	3-4	2-1	12-14	48		
ART.	2-4	2-4	0-1	0-1	-	0-1	-	-	2-3	2-3	-	2-1	-	0-2	47		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	30-81	49-57-1	18-5	10-12-1	2-1	5-3	2-4	1-4-1	8-74	39-43	1-3	8-12	2-3	28-25	42		
HOME	18-41	25-29-1	10-5	6-8-1	0-1	3-2	2-3	1-2-1	4-34	19-19	1-2	6-8	1-3	11-6	41		
AWAY	12-38	23-27	8-0	4-4	2-0	2-1	0-1	0-2	4-38	19-23	0-1	2-4	1-0	16-18	44		
NAT.	15-56	31-37	8-3	4-7	2-0	1-2	0-4	1-1	4-52	27-29	1-1	3-8	2-1	21-19	45		
ART.	15-25	18-20-1	10-2	6-5-1	0-1	4-1	2-0	0-3-1	4-22	12-14	0-2	5-4	0-2	7-6	37		

VIRGINIA TECH HOKIES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.		overall	str.up	vs.spr.	
home	10-4	7-7		home	8-4	6-6		home	11-1	6-6		home	11-1	6-4	10-2	home	9-3	10-2	
away	6-2	4-4		away	4-2	3-3		away	6-0	3-3		away	6-0	3-1	4-2	away	4-2	4-2	
as fav	3-2	3-2		as fav	4-1	3-2		as fav	4-1	2-3		as fav	5-0	3-2	5-0	as fav	4-1	5-0	
as dog	10-3	6-7		as dog	8-2	5-5		as dog	11-0	6-5		as dog	10-0	6-3	7-2	as dog	7-2	7-2	
	0-1	1-0			0-1	1-0			0-1	0-1			0-1	0-1	3-0		2-1	3-0	
opponent	score	vs.	spread surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread surf	opponent	score	vs.	spread surf
Arkansas St.	63-7	-38	W N	Connecticut	52-10	-50	L N	Akron	52-23	-31	L N	J. Madison	47-0	—	- N	E. Carolina	38-3	-9	W N
LSU	26-8	-6	W N	W. Mich.	31-0	-15	W N	@ E. Carolina †	45-28	-5	W N	UAB	31-10	-25	L N	@ Clemson	37-0	+2	W N
Marshall †	47-21	-11	W N	@ Rutgers	50-0	-29	W N	Rutgers	49-0	-31	W N	Clemson †	31-11	-12	W N	@ Miami-Fla †	27-20*	+4	W N
@ Texas A&M	13-3	-1	W N	C. Florida	46-14	-24	W N	@ Bost. Coll.	48-34	-14	L A	@ Virginia †	31-7	-5	W N	@ Pittsburgh	27-7	-14	W N
@ W. Mich.	30-0	-22	W A	@ W. Virginia	35-0	-18	W A	Temple	35-13	-30	L N	@ Rutgers †	58-20	-31	W N	@ Bost. Coll. †	17-0	-7	W A
@ Bost. Coll. †	28-23	-9	L A	Bost. Coll. †	34-20	-20	L N	W. Virginia †	48-20	-21	W N	Syracuse †	62-0	-12	W N	Temple	24-28	-35	L N
Rutgers	35-14	-42	L N	Syracuse	14-22	-18	L N	@ Syracuse †	22-14	-11	L A	@ Pittsburgh †	30-17	-22	L A	@ Ala.-Birm. †	41-0	-21	W N
Temple	20-10	-28	L N	@ Pittsburgh	7-38	-19	L N	Pittsburgh	37-34	-15	L N	@ W. Virginia	22-20	-19	L A	W. Virginia	27-13	-4	W N
Pittsburgh †	21-28	-11	L N	@ Temple	35-0	-24	W A	@ Miami-Fla	21-41	+9	L N	Miami-Fla †	43-10	-8	W N	@ Syracuse	26-28	+4	W A
@ Syracuse	42-50*	-10	L A	@ Virginia	31-17	-18	L N	@ C. Florida †	44-21	-12	W N	@ Temple	62-7	-29	W A	Rutgers	47-7	-28	W N
W. Virginia †	18-21	-7	L N	Miami-Fla	24-26	+13	W N	Virginia	42-21	-20	W N	Bost. Coll.	38-14	-24	P N	Virginia	32-36	-2	L N
Virginia	21-9	-7	W N																
@ Miami-Fla	45-56	+17	W N																
San Francisco Bowl at San Francisco				Gator Bowl at Jacksonville				Gator Bowl at Jacksonville				Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				Music City Bowl at Nashville			
§ Air Force †	20-13	-11	L N	§ Florida St.	17-30	P	L N	§ Clemson	41-20	-5	W N	§ Florida St. †	29-46	+6	L A	§ Alabama †	38-7	-5	W A

1997	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1996	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1995	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1994	overall	str.up	vs.spr.	1993	overall	str.up	vs.spr.
	home	7-5	4-3		home	10-2	7-5		home	10-2	9-2-1		home	8-4	5-7		home	9-3	6-4-1
	away	5-1	3-3		away	7-0	5-2		away	3-2	3-2		away	5-1	2-4		away	6-0	3-2
	as fav	2-3	1-4		as fav	3-1	2-2		as fav	5-0	5-0		as fav	3-2	3-2		as fav	2-3	2-2-1
	as dog	7-3	4-6		as dog	9-0	6-3		as dog	7-2	6-2-1		as dog	7-2	4-5		as dog	7-0	5-2
		0-2	0-2			1-2	1-2			3-0	3-0			1-2	1-2			1-3	1-2-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Rutgers	59-19	-20	W N	@ Akron †	21-18	-39	L A	Bost. Coll. †	14-20	-2	L N	Arkansas St.	34-7	-35	L N	Bowl. Green	33-16	-13	W N
Syracuse †	31-3	-3	W N	@ Bost. Coll.	45-7	-2	W A	Cincinnati	0-16	-14	L N	@ So. Miss.	24-14	-7	W N	@ Pittsburgh †	63-21	-2	W A
@ Temple †	23-13	-23	L A	Rutgers	30-14	-27	L N	Miami-Fla	13-7	+9	W N	@ Bost. Coll.	12-7	+2	W A	@ Miami-Fla	2-21	+16	L N
Arkansas St.	50-0	-41	W N	@ Syracuse	21-52	+1	L A	@ Pittsburgh	26-16	-2	W A	W. Virginia †	34-6	-14	W N	Maryland	55-28	-14	W N
Miami-OH	17-24	-19	L N	Temple	38-0	-23	W N	@ Navy	14-0	-13	W N	@ Syracuse	20-28	-5	L A	@ W. Virginia	13-14	+1	P A
Bost. Coll.	17-7	-18	L N	Pittsburgh	34-17	-31	L N	Akron	77-27	-37	W N	Temple	41-13	-28	L A	Temple	55-7	—	-
@ W. Virginia	17-30	-1	L A	La-Lafayette	47-16	-20	W N	@ Rutgers	45-17	-7	W N	@ E. Carolina	27-20	-6	W N	Rutgers	49-42	-10	L N
Ala.-Birm.	37-0	-23	W N	E. Carolina †	35-14	-6	W N	@ W. Virginia	27-0	-3	W A	Pittsburgh	45-7	-20	W N	E. Carolina	31-12	-21	L N
Miami-Fla †	27-25	-6	L N	@ Miami-Fla	21-7	+6	W N	Syracuse	31-7	-6	W N	@ Miami-Fla	3-24	+13	L N	@ Bost. Coll.	34-48	+2	L A
@ Pittsburgh	23-30	-12	L A	W. Virginia	31-14	-4	W N	§ Temple at Wash. D.C.	38-16	-22	P N	Rutgers	41-34	-15	L N	Syracuse	45-24	-1	W N
@ Virginia †	20-34	+4	L N	Virginia	26-9	-1	W N	@ Virginia	36-29	+4	W N	Virginia	23-42	-1	L N	@ Virginia	20-17	+4	W A
Gator Bowl at Jacksonville				Orange Bowl at Miami				Sugar Bowl at New Orleans				Gator Bowl at Gainesville				Independence Bowl at Shreveport			
§ No. Carolina	3-42	+11	L N	§ Nebraska †	21-41	+16	L N	§ Texas †	28-10	+2	W A	§ Tennessee †	23-45	+7	L N	§ Indiana	45-20	-2	W N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-4	7-7	10-3	6-7	1-0	2-0	0-2	3-5	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	49
HOME	6-2	4-4	6-2	4-4	—	2-0	0-1	2-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	46
AWAY	3-2	3-2	3-1	2-2	1-0	—	0-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	58
NAT.	8-3	6-5	8-2	5-5	1-0	2-0	0-1	2-4	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	47
ART.	2-1	1-2	2-1	1-2	—	—	0-1	1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	57
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	18-8	13-13	18-5	11-12	1-0	2-0	0-2	8-10	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	47
HOME	10-4	7-7	10-3	6-7	—	2-0	0-1	4-6	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	47
AWAY	7-3	6-4	7-2	5-4	1-0	—	0-1	4-3	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	50
NAT.	14-7	10-11	14-4	8-10	1-0	2-0	0-1	5-9	0-2	2-0	—	—	—	2-0	47
ART.	4-1	3-2	4-1	3-2	—	—	0-1	3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	48
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	29-9	19-19	29-5	17-17	1-0	4-0	0-2	12-15	0-3	2-1	—	—	0-1	2-0	52
HOME	16-4	10-10	16-3	9-10	—	2-0	0-1	7-9	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	51
AWAY	11-4	8-7	11-2	7-6	1-0	1-0	0-1	5-5	0-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	54
NAT.	23-8	16-15	23-4	14-13	1-0	4-0	0-1	9-12	0-3	2-1	—	—	0-1	2-0	52
ART.	6-1	3-4	6-1	3-4	—	—	0-1	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	51
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	49-13	35-25-1	46-7	30-22-1	1-1	8-0	2-2	19-19-1	2-5	5-2	1-0	2-1	0-1	2-0	51
HOME	26-6	17-13-1	25-5	16-13-1	0-1	3-0	2-1	11-11-1	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	50
AWAY	20-5	16-9	18-2	12-8	1-0	3-0	0-1	8-7	2-3	4-1	1-0	2-0	0-1	1-0	51
NAT.	38-10	28-18-1	35-6	24-16-1	1-1	6-0	2-1	15-14-1	2-3	4-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	2-0	51
ART.	11-3	7-7	11-1	6-6	—	2-0	0-1	4-5	0-2	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	93-29	66-51-3	83-14	55-40-2	9-4	14-2	2-2	30-32-2	8-14	11-10-1	3-2-1	5-2	1-2	2-4	50
HOME	52-10	33-26-1	49-9	31-26-1	3-3	6-1	2-1	20-21-1	1-1	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	50
AWAY	35-14	29-19-1	29-5	21-13	5-1	6-1	0-1	10-10	6-9	8-6-1	2-2-1	5-1	0-1	1-2	48
NAT.	73-20	52-37-2	66-10	45-29-2	5-3	12-1	2-1	26-24-2	5-9	7-7	1-0	3-1	1-2	2-4	50
ART.	20-9	14-14-1	17-4	10-11	4-1	2-1	0-1	4-8	3-5	4-3-1	2-2-1	2-1	—	—	48

WASHINGTON HUSKIES

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
S = NEUTRAL SITE

* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-6 5-2 2-3 4-3 3-3	5-8 2-5 3-2 1-6 4-2												
2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-4 6-0 2-3 5-1 2-3	6-6 4-2 1-4 2-4 3-2												
2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 11-1 6-0 4-1 8-0 2-1	5-5-2 2-3-1 2-2-1 2-4-2 2-1												
1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-5 4-2 3-2 5-3 2-2	7-5 3-3 3-2 4-4 3-1												
1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 6-6 4-2 2-3 5-1 1-5	3-8-1 1-4-1 2-3 1-4-1 2-4												
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Michigan	29-31	+ 6	W N	Michigan	23-18	- 3	W A	Idaho	44-20	-22	W A	BYU †	28-35	+ 3-	L N
San Jose St.	34-10	-29-	L A	Idaho	53-3	-22	W A	Miami-Fla	34-29	+ 3-	W A	Air Force	21-31	- 4	L A
Wyoming †	38-7	-30-	W A	California	31-28	-15	L N	Colorado	17-14	+ 4	W N	Colorado	31-24	+ 3-	W A
Idaho	41-27	-31-	L A	USC	27-24	- 6	L A	Oregon	16-23	+ 1-	L A	Oregon †	34-20	- 2-	W A
California	27-34	-11	L A	UCLA	13-35	+13-	L N	Oregon St. †	33-30	- 9-	L A	Oregon St.	47-21	- 2-	W A
Arizona	32-28	-13-	L A	Arizona	31-28	-16	L A	Arizona St. †	21-15	- 6	P N	Arizona St.	7-28	-10	L A
USC	21-41	+ 7	L N	Arizona St. †	33-31	+ 3-	W N	California	36-24	-16	L A	California	31-27	- 3	W N
Arizona St. †	16-27	+ 3-	L N	Stanford	42-28	P	W A	Stanford	31-28	- 7	L N	Stanford	35-30	- 3-	W A
UCLA	24-34	- 3	L A	Oregon St.	24-49	- 3-	L A	Arizona	35-32	- 7	L A	Arizona †	33-25	+ 5-	W N
Oregon St.	41-29	+ 4	W A	Wash St.	26-14	+ 2	W A	UCLA	35-28	- 7	P A	UCLA	20-23*	- 9	L N
Oregon	42-14	+ 6-	W A	Miami-Fla	7-65	+25	L N	Wash St.	51-3	- 7-	W A	Wash St.	24-14	-14	L A
Wash St.	29-26*	+ 8-	W A	Holiday Bowl at San Diego				Rose Bowl at Pasadena				Holiday Bowl at San Diego			
Sun Bowl at El Paso				§ Texas †	43-47	+13-	W N	§ Purdue	34-24	P	W N	§ Kansas St. †	20-24	+10	W N
§ Purdue	24-34	- 2	L A												

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 8-4 3-3 4-1 8-3 0-1	7-5 2-4 4-1 7-4 0-1												
1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 9-3 6-0 3-2 8-0 1-3	6-3-1 6-0 2-2-1 7-1 1-2-1												
1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-4-1 3-2-1 4-1 4-2 3-2-1	5-7 1-5 4-1 1-5 4-2												
1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-4 6-0 1-4 6-3 1-1	4-7 3-3 1-4 3-6 1-1												
1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up vs.spr. 7-4 5-1 2-3 7-3 0-1	5-6 5-1 0-5 5-6 0-1												
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf	opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
BYU	42-20	- 8	W N	Arizona St. †	42-45	+ 3	P N	Arizona St.	23-20	-12-	L A	USC	17-24	+ 4-	L N
SDSU	36-3	-23-	W A	BYU	29-17	- 4	W A	Ohio State	20-30	+ 8-	L N	Ohio State	25-16	- 6	W A
Nebraska	14-27	- 3-	L A	Arizona	31-17	- 5-	W A	Army	21-13	-26	L A	Miami-Fla	38-20	+15	W N
Arizona St.	26-14	-15	L A	Stanford	27-6	-13	W A	Oregon St.	26-16	- 6-	W A	UCLA	37-10	-12	W A
California	30-3	-16	W N	Notre Dame	20-54	+10-	L N	Notre Dame	21-29	+ 4	L A	San Jose St.	34-20	-42	L A
Arizona	58-28	-11-	W N	UCLA	41-21	- 6-	W A	Stanford	38-28	+ 1	W N	Arizona St.	35-14	-17	W A
Oregon St.	45-17	-24	W A	Oregon	33-14	- 6	W A	Arizona †	31-17	+ 1-	W N	Oregon	20-31	- 8	L A
USC	27-0	-17	W A	USC	21-10	+ 3	W N	USC	21-21	+ 4	W A	Oregon St.	24-10	-14-	L A
Oregon	28-31	-21	L A	Oregon St.	42-3	-23-	W A	Oregon	22-24	- 3	L A	Stanford	28-46	- 6	L N
UCLA	28-52	+ 2-	L N	San Jose St.	53-10	-38	W A	UCLA	38-14	+ 5	W N	California	31-19	-15	L A
Wash St.	35-41	- 6	L A	Wash St.	31-24*	-10-	L A	Wash St.	33-30	-13	L A	Wash St.	6-23	- 2	L A
Aloha Bowl at Honolulu				Holiday Bowl at San Diego				Sun Bowl at El Paso							
§ Mich. St.	51-23	- 5	W A	§ Colorado †	21-33	+ 5-	L N	§ Iowa	18-38	- 5-	L A				

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	7-6	5-8	4-3	1-6	0-2	—	—	1-4	3-3	4-2	—	3-2	1-0	—	56
HOME	5-2	2-5	4-2	1-5	0-1	—	—	1-4	1-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	58
AWAY	2-3	3-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-3	3-2	—	2-2	1-0	—	55
NAT.	0-3	1-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	1-2	—	1-2	—	—	55
ART.	7-3	4-6	4-3	1-6	0-2	—	—	1-4	3-0	3-0	—	2-0	1-0	—	57
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	15-10	11-14	9-4	3-10	1-2	0-2	—	2-6	5-6	7-4	1-0	4-2	1-0	1-2	58
HOME	11-2	6-7	8-2	3-7	1-1	0-1	—	2-5	2-0	2-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	55
AWAY	4-6	4-6	1-1	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	3-5	4-4	—	3-2	1-0	0-2	59
NAT.	2-6	3-5	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	1-6	3-4	—	2-2	—	1-2	62
ART.	13-4	8-9	8-4	3-9	1-2	0-2	—	2-5	4-0	4-0	1-0	2-0	1-0	—	56
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	26-11	16-19-2	17-4	5-14-2	1-2	0-4-2	1-1	3-7	7-7	9-5	1-1	6-2	1-0	1-2	57
HOME	17-2	8-10-1	13-2	4-10-1	1-1	0-2-1	0-1	3-6	3-0	3-0	1-0	2-0	—	—	58
AWAY	8-7	6-8-1	4-1	1-3-1	—	0-2-1	1-0	0-1	4-6	5-5	0-1	4-2	1-0	0-2	54
NAT.	6-6	5-6-1	3-0	0-2-1	—	0-1-1	—	0-1	2-6	4-4	—	3-2	—	1-2	56
ART.	20-5	11-13-1	14-4	5-12-1	1-2	0-3-1	1-1	3-6	5-1	5-1	1-1	3-0	1-0	—	57
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	39-22	26-32-3	27-8	10-22-3	4-3	1-5-2	1-5-1	4-9	10-14	14-10	1-1	10-6	2-0	1-3	55
HOME	25-6	12-17-2	20-5	7-16-2	2-2	1-3-1	0-3-1	4-8	4-1	4-1	1-0	3-1	—	—	55
AWAY	13-12	11-13-1	7-2	3-5-1	2-0	0-2-1	1-2	0-1	6-10	8-8	0-1	7-4	1-0	0-3	54
NAT.	9-10	9-9-1	4-1	1-3-1	1-0	0-1-1	0-1	0-1	4-9	7-6	—	5-4	1-0	1-2	56
ART.	30-12	17-23-2	23-7	9-19-2	3-3	1-4-1	1-4-1	4-8	6-5	7-4	1-1	5-2	1-0	0-1	55
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	77-41-1	55-60-4	60-19	33-43-3	4-7	9-10-2	3-7-1	17-19	15-22-1	20-17-1	4-3-1	12-9	2-1	2-4	53
HOME	48-12-1	29-30-2	43-10	23-28-2	2-4	6-5-1	1-3-1	14-16	4-2-1	5-2	1-0	4-2	—	—	50
AWAY	27-23	22-26-2	16-7	9-13-1	2-2	2-4-1	2-4	3-3	11-16	13-13-1	3-3-1	8-5	1-1	1-4	54
NAT.	17-20	17-18-2	7-4	4-6-1	1-1	0-2-1	1-2	2-1	9-16	12-12-1	3-2-1	6-6	1-1	2-3	57
ART.	60-21-1	38-42-2	53-15	29-37-2	3-6	9-8-1	2-5-1	15-18	6-6-1	8-5	1-1	6-3	1-0	0-1	51

WASHINGTON STATE COUGARS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-3 vs.spr. 5-1 4-1 4-1 3-2 7-1 5-3 1-2 1-2	2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 vs.spr. 5-1 4-1 4-1 3-2 7-2 5-4 2-0 2-0	2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 4-7 vs.spr. 1-5 2-4 3-2 4-1 0-1 0-1 4-6 6-4	1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-9 vs.spr. 2-4 4-2 1-5 2-4 1-1 1-1 2-8 5-5	1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 vs.spr. 2-4 4-1 1-4 1-4 3-0 1-2 0-8 1-7
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
§ Nevada at Seattle	31-7	-26 L A	Idaho †	36-7	-9 W A	Stanford †	10-24	+1- L A	Utah	7-27	-2- L A	Illinois	20-13	-11- L A
Idaho	49-14	-27 W A	Boise St. †	41-20	-6 W A	Utah †	38-21	+11 W N	Stanford †	17-54	+6- L N	Boise St. †	33-21	-11 W A
Ohio State	7-25	+7 L N	California	51-20	-14 W A	Idaho	34-38	-10- L A	Idaho	17-28	+3 L A	Idaho	24-16	-16- L A
Montana St.	45-28	- A	Arizona †	48-21	-2- W N	California	21-17	+6- W N	Arizona	24-30	+13- W A	California	14-24	+4- L N
California	48-38	-2- W N	Oregon St.	34-27	-12- L A	Boise St.	42-35	+2- W A	California	31-7	+4 W A	UCLA	17-49	+23- L N
USC	30-27*	+3 W A	Stanford	45-39	+4 W N	Arizona †	47-53*	+13- W N	La-Lafayette	44-0	-21 W A	Oregon	29-51	+13- L A
Stanford	36-11	-8- W N	Montana St. †	53-28	- A	Arizona St.	20-23*	+1 L A	Arizona St.	21-33	+7- L N	USC †	14-42	+9 L A
Arizona †	21-13	-13 L N	Oregon	17-24	-2 L A	Oregon St.	9-38	+13 L A	Oregon St.	13-27	+5 L A	Arizona St.	28-38	+6- L A
Arizona St.	44-22	-7 W A	UCLA	20-14	+3 W A	Oregon	24-27*	+9- W A	Oregon †	10-52	+15- L A	Arizona †	7-41	+23- L N
Oregon	32-21	-3- W A	Arizona St.	28-16	-2- W N	USC	33-27	+13 W N	USC	28-31	+8- W A	Stanford	28-38	+7- L N
Wash.	26-29*	-8- L A	Wash.	14-26	-2 L A	Wash.	3-51	+7- L A	Wash.	14-24	+14 W A	Wash.	9-16	+9 W A
UCLA	48-27	P W N	§ Purdue	33-27	-6- L A				§ Hawaii †	22-14	+4- W A			
Rose Bowl at Pasadena														
§ Oklahoma	14-34	+6 L N												

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 vs.spr. 6-0 4-1 4-1 4-1 6-2 2-2 3-1	1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 vs.spr. 3-2 2-4 2-4 3-2 5-0 3-2 0-6 2-4	1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 3-8 vs.spr. 3-2 0-6 3-3 2-3 2-3 1-5 4-2	1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 vs.spr. 3-2 3-2 3-2 5-1 3-2 3-1 4-0	1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 5-6 vs.spr. 4-1 1-5 1-5 4-0 0-6 1-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread	opponent	score	vs. spread
UCLA	37-34	-1 W A	Colorado	19-37	+21 W A	Pittsburgh †	13-17	-1- L A	§ Illinois † at Soldier Field	10-9	+8 W N	Michigan	14-41	+23 L N
USC	28-21	+7 W N	Temple † at Franklin Field	38-34	-10 L N	Montana	38-21	-15 W A	Fresno St.	24-3	-7 W A	Montana St.	54-14	-27- W A
Illinois	35-22	-11- W A	Oregon	55-44	-2- W A	UCLA	24-15	+6 W A	UCLA	21-0	+7 W N	Oregon St.	51-6	-10 W A
Boise St.	58-0	-39- W A	San Jose St.	52-16	-27- W A	Nebraska	21-35	+29 W A	UCLA	9-10	+5 W N	USC †	3-34	+9 L N
Oregon	24-13	-7- W A	Arizona †	26-34	+7- L N	Oregon St.	40-14	-10 W A	Tennessee	21-7	-12 W A	Pacific	12-0	-19 L N
California	63-37	-16- W A	Oregon St.	24-3	-9 W A	USC	14-26	+14 W N	Oregon	7-10	P L A	Arizona St.	44-25	-2- W A
Arizona	35-34*	-13 L A	California †	21-18	-3- L A	Oregon †	7-26	+6- L A	Arizona	28-21	-7 P N	California	34-7	- A
Arizona St. †	31-44	+4- L N	USC †	24-29	+3 L A	Arizona †	14-24	-5- L A	Arizona St. †	26-23	-11 L A	Arizona	6-9	+13 W N
La-Lafayette	77-7	-45- W A	UCLA	14-38	+4 L N	California	11-27	-3 L N	California	10-23	P L A	Oregon	23-46	+3 L A
Stanford	38-28	-15 L A	Stanford	17-33	+1 L N	Stanford	24-36	+2 L A	Oregon St.	3-21	-6- L A	UCLA	27-40	+7 L A
Wash.	41-35	+6 W A	Wash.	24-31*	+10- W A	Wash.	30-33	+13 W A	Wash.	23-6	+2 W A	Wash.	3-26	+10 L A
Rose Bowl at Pasadena									Alamo Bowl at San Antonio					
§ Michigan	16-21	+7 W N							§ Baylor †	10-3	-6- W A			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV.GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	10-3	7-5	7-1	5-3	1-0	2-0	1-1	1-2	1-2	1-2	1-0	0-2	—	—	55
HOME	5-1	4-1	3-1	3-1	—	2-0	0-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	61
AWAY	4-1	3-2	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	1-0	0-1	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	54
NAT.	4-2	3-3	3-0	2-1	1-0	—	1-0	0-1	0-2	0-2	—	0-2	—	—	53
ART.	6-1	4-2	4-1	3-2	—	2-0	0-1	1-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	—	—	—	57
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	20-5	14-9	14-3	10-7	3-2	3-1	2-1	2-3	3-2	3-2	2-0	1-2	—	—	56
HOME	10-2	7-3	6-2	5-3	0-1	2-0	1-1	2-1	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	58
AWAY	8-2	7-3	6-1	5-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	1-1	1-1	—	1-1	—	—	57
NAT.	7-2	6-3	5-0	4-1	3-0	—	1-0	0-1	1-2	1-2	—	1-2	—	—	57
ART.	13-3	8-6	9-3	6-6	0-2	3-1	1-1	2-2	2-0	2-0	2-0	—	—	—	56
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	24-12	20-14	14-4	10-8	3-2	3-1	2-1	2-4	7-8	9-6	3-2	2-2	1-1	3-1	56
HOME	11-7	9-7	6-3	5-4	0-1	2-0	1-1	2-2	3-4	4-3	3-2	—	1-1	—	57
AWAY	11-4	11-4	6-1	5-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	0-1	4-3	5-2	—	2-1	—	3-1	58
NAT.	10-3	10-3	5-0	4-1	3-0	—	1-0	0-1	4-3	5-2	—	2-2	—	3-0	59
ART.	14-9	10-11	9-4	6-7	0-2	3-1	1-1	2-3	3-5	4-4	3-2	—	1-1	0-1	55
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	30-29	28-29	18-5	12-11	3-3	3-1	2-1	4-6	9-24	15-18	3-3	4-7	3-3	5-5	54
HOME	15-15	14-14	9-4	6-7	0-2	2-0	1-1	3-4	4-11	8-7	3-2	1-2	3-2	1-1	53
AWAY	13-13	14-12	7-1	6-2	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-1	5-12	7-10	0-1	3-4	0-1	4-4	55
NAT.	10-9	10-9	5-0	4-1	3-0	—	1-0	0-1	4-9	5-8	—	2-5	0-1	3-2	58
ART.	20-20	18-20	13-5	8-10	0-3	3-1	1-1	4-5	5-15	10-10	3-3	2-2	3-2	2-3	51
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	61-55	59-53-1	42-9	29-21-1	6-5	5-4-1	6-2	12-10	15-44	29-30	4-7	10-11	4-6	11-6	51
HOME	34-22	30-23	25-5	19-11	3-2	3-2	3-1	10-6	6-15	11-10	4-4	2-3	3-2	2-1	54
AWAY	23-31	26-27-1	14-4	9-8-1	3-3	1-1-1	3-1	2-3	8-27	16-19	0-3	7-7	0-4	9-5	50
NAT.	16-20	17-18-1	8-1	4-4-1	3-1	0-0-1	1-1	0-2	7-19	12-14	0-1	6-7	1-3	5-3	50
ART.	45-35	42-35	34-8	25-17	3-4	5-4	5-1	12-8	8-25	17-16	4-6	4-4	3-3	6-3	51

WEST VIRGINIA MOUNTAINEERS

 KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
 § = NEUTRAL SITE

 * = OVERTIME
 ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
overall 9-4 home 4-2 away 5-1 as fav 5-2 as dog 3-2 vs. spr. 9-3 vs. dog 4-1	overall 3-8 home 3-3 away 0-5 as fav 3-1 as dog 0-7 vs. spr. 4-7 vs. dog 2-4 vs. fav 2-3 vs. dog 2-5	overall 7-5 home 4-3 away 2-2 as fav 5-0 as dog 2-5 vs. spr. 4-8 vs. dog 3-4 vs. fav 0-4 vs. dog 2-3	overall 4-7 home 4-2 away 0-4 as fav 3-3 as dog 0-4 vs. spr. 5-6 vs. dog 4-2 vs. fav 1-3 vs. dog 2-4	overall 8-4 home 4-2 away 4-1 as fav 7-1 as dog 1-3 vs. spr. 8-4 vs. dog 4-2 vs. fav 3-2 vs. dog 6-2
opponent score vs. spread surf Chattanooga † 56-7 — - A Wisconsin 17-34 +12 L A Cincinnati † 35-32 + 4 W A E. Carolina 37-17 - 7 W A Maryland 17-48 - 5 L A Rutgers 40-0 -13 W N Syracuse 34-7 - 7 W A Miami-Fla 23-40 +20 W A Temple 46-20 - 7 W A Bost. Coll. 24-14 - 3 W A Va. Tech † 21-18 + 7 W N Pittsburgh 24-17 + 2 W N Continental Tire Bowl at Charlotte § Virginia † 22-48 - 5 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Bost. Coll. 10-34 + 4 L A Ohio U. 20-3 - 5 W A Kent State 34-14 -27 L A Maryland 20-32 + 9 L N Va. Tech 0-35 +18 L A Notre Dame 24-34 +12 W N Miami-Fla † 3-45 +33 L N Rutgers 80-7 -18 W A Syracuse 13-24 +14 W A Temple 14-17 -18 L A Pittsburgh 17-23 + 4 L A	opponent score vs. spread surf Bost. Coll. 34-14 - 2 W A Maryland 30-17 - 9 W A Miami-Fla 10-47 + 7 L A Temple † 29-24 - 6 L A at Franklin Field Idaho 28-16 -12 L A Va. Tech † 20-48 +21 L N Notre Dame 28-42 + 2 L A Syracuse 27-31 + 3 L A Rutgers 31-24* -13 L N E. Carolina 42-24 + 3 W A Pittsburgh 28-38 + 6 L A Music City Bowl at Nashville § Mississippi 49-38 + 3 W N	opponent score vs. spread surf E. Carolina at Charlotte 23-30 - 5 L N Miami-OH 43-27 - 3 W A Maryland 0-33 - 6 L N Syracuse 7-30 +13 L A Navy 28-31 -10 L A Rutgers 62-16 -20 W A Temple 20-17 -17 L A Miami-Fla 20-28 +17 W N Va. Tech 20-22 +19 W A Bost. Coll. 17-34 + 4 L A Pittsburgh 52-21 P W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Ohio State † 17-34 +11 L A Maryland † 42-20 -19 W A Tulsa 44-21 -13 W A Navy 45-24 -17 W N Temple 37-7 -25 W A Miami-Fla 31-34 - 4 L A Va. Tech 13-27 + 4 L N Syracuse † 35-28 + 2 W A Rutgers 28-14 -20 L N Bost. Coll. 35-10 -13 W A Pittsburgh 52-14 -15 W A at Three Rivers Stadium Insight.com Bowl at Tucson § Missouri † 31-34 + 4 W N
overall 7-5 home 5-1 away 2-3 as fav 2-3 as dog 2-3 vs. spr. 5-6 vs. dog 3-2	overall 8-4 home 4-2 away 4-1 as fav 8-1 as dog 0-3 vs. spr. 5-7 vs. dog 2-4 vs. fav 3-2 vs. dog 0-3	overall 5-6 home 4-2 away 1-4 as fav 4-2 as dog 1-4 vs. spr. 5-5 vs. dog 3-3 vs. fav 2-2 vs. dog 2-1	overall 7-6 home 4-2 away 3-2 as fav 5-3 as dog 1-3 vs. spr. 6-7 vs. dog 3-3 vs. fav 3-2 vs. dog 1-3	overall 11-1 home 7-0 away 4-0 as fav 8-0 as dog 3-1 vs. spr. 8-3 vs. dog 5-1 vs. fav 3-1 vs. dog 3-1
opponent score vs. spread surf Marshall 42-31 -14 L A E. Carolina 24-17 - 7 P A Bost. Coll. 24-31 - 7 L A Miami-Fla 28-17 + 8 W N Rutgers 48-0 -28 W A Maryland 31-14 - 9 W N Va. Tech 30-17 + 1 W A Syracuse † 10-40 +10 L A Temple 41-21 -21 L A Notre Dame 14-21 +10 W N Pittsburgh 38-41* -11 L A Carquest Bowl at Miami § Ga. Tech † 30-35 + 3 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Pittsburgh † 34-0 - 4 W A W. Mich. 34-9 -28 L A E. Carolina 10-9 - 8 L A Purdue † 20-6 - 6 W N Maryland † 13-0 -12 W A Bost. Coll. 34-17 -13 W A Temple 30-10 -21 L A Miami-Fla † 7-10 - 3 L A Syracuse 7-30 + 4 L A Rutgers 55-14 -18 W N Va. Tech 14-31 + 4 L N Gator Bowl at Jacksonville § No. Carolina 13-20 + 6 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf Purdue 24-26 - 9 L A Temple 24-13 -23 L A Maryland † 17-31 - 3 L N Kent State 45-6 -31 W A E. Carolina 20-23 + 3 P N Bost. Coll. 31-19 + 6 W A Syracuse 0-22 + 1 L A Va. Tech 0-27 + 3 L A Rutgers 59-26 - 7 W A Miami-Fla † 12-17 +12 W N Pittsburgh 21-0 -11 W A	opponent score vs. spread surf Nebraska at E. Ruth. 0-31 +17 L A Ball St. 16-14 -19 L A Rutgers 12-17 - 1 L N Maryland 13-24 -14 L A Va. Tech † 6-34 +14 L N Missouri 34-10 P W A Pittsburgh 47-41 - 3 W A Miami-Fla 6-38 +21 L A La. Tech 52-16 -17 W A Temple 55-17 -14 W A Bost. Coll. 21-20 + 8 W A Syracuse † 13-0 - 2 W A Carquest Bowl at J.R. Stadium, Miami § So. Carolina 21-24 - 2 L N	opponent score vs. spread surf E. Mich. 48-6 -36 W A Maryland † 42-37 - 6 L N Missouri 35-3 -13 W A Va. Tech 14-13 - 1 P A Louisville 36-34 + 3 W A Pittsburgh 42-21 -23 L A Syracuse 43-0 - 1 W A Rutgers 58-22 -18 W A Temple 49-7 -41 W A Miami-Fla 17-14 + 5 W A Bost. Coll. 17-14 + 1 W A Sugar Bowl at New Orleans § Florida † 7-41 + 6 L A

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV./GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	9-4	9-3	5-2	5-2	—	1-2	3-0	1-0	3-2	4-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	1-1	53
HOME	4-2	4-1	3-1	3-1	—	1-1	2-0	—	0-1	1-0	—	—	—	1-0	54
AWAY	5-1	5-1	2-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	3-1	3-1	1-0	1-0	1-0	0-1	50
NAT.	3-1	3-1	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	2-0	2-0	1-0	—	1-0	—	47
ART.	6-3	6-2	4-1	4-1	—	1-1	3-0	—	1-2	2-1	—	1-0	—	1-1	56
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	12-12	13-10	8-3	7-4	—	2-2	3-0	2-2	3-9	6-6	1-0	1-2	1-1	3-3	50
HOME	7-5	6-5	6-2	5-3	—	2-1	2-0	1-2	0-3	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	49
AWAY	5-6	7-4	2-0	2-0	—	—	1-0	1-0	3-6	5-4	1-0	1-1	1-1	2-2	49
NAT.	3-4	4-3	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	2-3	3-2	1-0	—	1-1	1-1	49
ART.	9-8	9-7	7-2	6-3	—	2-1	3-0	1-2	1-6	3-4	—	1-2	—	2-2	50
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	19-17	17-18	13-3	9-7	1-0	2-3	4-0	2-4	5-14	8-11	1-2	3-3	1-2	3-4	53
HOME	11-8	9-9	9-2	7-4	1-0	2-1	3-0	1-3	1-6	2-5	0-2	1-1	0-1	1-1	51
AWAY	7-8	7-8	4-0	2-2	—	0-1	1-0	1-1	3-8	5-6	1-0	1-2	1-1	2-3	52
NAT.	5-5	5-5	2-1	1-2	—	0-1	—	1-1	3-4	4-3	1-0	1-0	1-1	1-2	55
ART.	14-12	12-13	11-2	8-5	1-0	2-2	4-0	1-3	2-10	4-8	0-2	2-3	0-1	2-2	52
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	31-28	30-28	23-7	17-13	1-0	3-6	4-1	9-6	6-21	12-15	2-2	4-5	1-2	5-6	53
HOME	19-12	17-13	15-4	12-7	1-0	3-2	3-1	5-4	2-8	4-6	1-2	1-1	0-1	2-2	54
AWAY	11-13	11-13	8-1	5-4	—	0-2	1-0	4-2	3-12	6-9	1-0	1-4	1-1	3-4	50
NAT.	7-10	8-9	4-3	2-5	—	0-3	—	2-2	3-7	6-4	1-0	2-1	1-1	2-2	53
ART.	24-18	22-19	19-4	15-8	1-0	3-3	4-1	7-4	3-14	6-11	1-2	2-4	0-1	3-4	54
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	69-50	59-56-3	53-15	36-30-2	3-4-1	7-8-1	5-3	21-15	13-35	21-26-1	5-5-1	6-9	4-3	6-9	49
HOME	43-19	32-27-2	35-8	23-18-2	2-1-1	4-2-1	3-3	14-12	6-11	8-9	3-3	2-2	1-1	2-3	49
AWAY	25-23	25-22-1	18-4	13-9	1-2	3-4	2-0	7-3	6-19	11-13-1	2-1-1	2-5	3-2	4-5	48
NAT.	12-20	14-17-1	8-6	5-9	0-3	1-4	1-0	3-2	4-14	9-8-1	1-1-1	2-3	3-1	3-3	49
ART.	57-30	45-39-2	45-9	31-21-2	3-1-1	6-4-1	4-3	18-13	9-21	12-18	4-4	4-6	1-2	3-6	49

WESTERN MICHIGAN BRONCOS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME * = OVERTIME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE ** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

10-YEAR HISTORY

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998			
overall		4-8	4-7	overall		5-6	3-7	overall		9-3	9-2	overall		7-5	5-6	overall		7-4	5-5
home		2-4	0-5	home		4-1	2-2	home		5-0	4-0	home		4-1	2-2	home		4-1	3-1
away		2-4	4-2	away		1-5	1-5	away		4-3	5-2	away		3-4	3-4	away		3-3	2-4
as fav		3-1	2-2	as fav		4-2	2-4	as fav		5-2	5-2	as fav		6-0	3-3	as fav		5-1	2-3
as dog		0-7	2-5	as dog		0-4	1-3	as dog		3-1	4-0	as dog		0-5	2-3	as dog		2-3	3-2
str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.			
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
Indiana St. † 48-17 — - A				Illinois St. † 48-7 — - N				Wisconsin † 7-19 +28 W A				Florida † 26-55 +35- W N				N. Illinois † 37-23 -18 L N			
@ Michigan 12-35 +22- L N				@ Va. Tech 0-31 +15 L N				@ Iowa 27-21 + 7- W N				Youngstown 46-28 — - N				@ Indiana † 30-45 + 4 L N			
@ Purdue 24-28 +17- W N				@ Michigan 21-38 +14- L N				Indiana St. † 56-0 — - N				@ Missouri † 34-48 +13 L N				@ Toledo † 7-35 + 7- L A			
Va. Tech 0-30 +22 L A				@ E. Mich. † 31-10 -26 L A				Toledo † 21-14 + 2 W N				@ N. Illinois † 24-21 - 8- L A				Ohio U. † 35-37 + 4 W N			
@ Buffalo 31-17 -11- W N				Akron † 31-14 -15 W N				Ohio U. 23-10 - 5 W N				C. Mich. † 38-16 -14 W N				La-Monroe † 27-14 - 6- W N			
C. Florida 27-31 + 1- L A				Bowl. Green 37-28 - 7 W N				@ Marshall † 30-10 + 7 W A				@ E. Mich. † 40-37 - 8- L A				@ Vanderbilt 27-24 + 5 W A			
@ Bowl. Green † 45-48* +17- W N				@ N. Illinois 12-20 - 3- L A				N. Illinois 52-22 - 5 W N				Buffalo † 45-17 -33 L N				E. Mich. 45-35 -10 P N			
N. Illinois 20-24 + 2- L A				@ Miami-OH 11-25 + 3 L N				@ Kent State 42-0 -24 W A				Ball St. 28-0 -18 W N				@ C. Mich. 24-26 - 4 L A			
@ Ball St. 7-17 - 6- L N				@ Toledo † 35-41 +11 W A				@ Ball St. 42-3 -21- W N				Akron 24-10 - 1 W A				@ Kent State 48-23 -16- W A			
E. Mich. 33-31 -26- L A				C. Mich. 20-17 -17- L N				@ C. Mich. 17-21 -35 L A				Marshall 17-31 +11- L N				@ Ball St. 24-23 -10- L N			
Toledo 21-42 + 6 L A				Ball St. † 31-35 - 9 L N				E. Mich. 28-0 -27 W N				@ Toledo 21-45 + 3- L A				Bowl. Green 56-27 + 2- W N			
@ C. Mich. 35-10 - 2 W A								Mid-American Champ. @ Marshall 14-19 - 6- L A				Mid American Champ. @ Marshall † 30-34 +20 W A							

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993			
overall		8-3	6-5	overall		2-9	5-5	overall		7-4	7-3	overall		7-4	1-0	overall		7-3-1	2-0
home		4-1	3-2	home		1-5	1-4	home		4-1	2-2	home		5-0	—	home		3-2	—
away		4-2	3-3	away		1-4	4-1	away		3-3	5-1	away		2-4	1-0	away		4-1-1	2-0
as fav		4-0	2-2	as fav		1-2	1-2	as fav		6-1	5-2	as fav		—	—	as fav		—	—
as dog		4-3	4-3	as dog		1-6	4-3	as dog		0-2	2-0	as dog		1-0	1-0	as dog		1-1	2-0
str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.				str.up vs.spr.			
opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf				opponent score vs. spread surf			
Temple † 34-14 + 2 W N				E. Illinois † 20-28 — - N				Weber St. † 28-21 — - N				@ Miami-OH 28-25 — - N				Youngstown † 13-17 — - N			
@ Mich. St. 10-42 +27- L A				@ W. Virginia 9-34 +28 W A				@ Indiana † 10-24 +18 W N				W. Illinois 43-7 — - N				@ Purdue 13-28 +17 W N			
@ N. Illinois 21-13 -13 L A				E. Mich. † 12-19 - 4 L N				Toledo † 21-31 - 6- L N				@ Iowa St. 23-19 + 4 W A				Akron 20-3 — - N			
Toledo † 13-23 + 2- L N				@ C. Mich. 28-38 + 4- L A				@ Ball St. 0-10 P L N				Akron † 19-6 — - N				Miami-OH 17-0 — - N			
@ Ohio U. 7-31 +15 L N				Akron † 7-27 - 8- L N				@ Kent State 52-6 -12 W N				Kent State 24-10 — - N				@ Kent State 27-21 — - N			
Ball St. † 21-13 - 1- W N				Ball St. 5-28 + 7 L N				Akron 7-3 -24 L N				@ C. Mich. 28-35 — - A				C. Mich. 18-23 — - N			
@ Bowl. Green 34-21 + 4 W N				@ Wyoming 28-42 +28 W N				@ Ohio U. 34-17 -12- W N				@ Ball St. 13-16 — - N				@ E. Mich. † 21-20 — - A			
Kent State 50-27 -14- W N				@ Toledo 7-10* + 9- W A				@ Auburn 13-34 +36- W N				E. Mich. 33-14 — - N				@ Army 20-7 + 8- W A			
@ E. Mich. 41-38 + 1 W A				Ohio U. 0-38 + 4 L N				Bowl. Green 17-0 - 5 W N				Ohio U. 15-3 — - N				@ Ohio U. 34-28 — - N			
C. Mich. 38-24 -15- L N				@ Bowl. Green 16-13* + 7- W N				@ E. Mich. † 23-13 - 1 W A				@ Toledo 34-37 — - A				Toledo 39-26 — - N			
@ La-Monroe 32-19 + 1- W N				Kent State 76-27 -11- W N				C. Mich. 48-31 - 8- W N				@ La-Lafayette † 14-17 — - N				@ Bowl. Green 14-14 — - N			

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD						AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD						ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AVG. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	ALL		- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10			
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	4-8	4-7	3-1	2-2	1-0	0-1	—	1-1	0-7	2-5	0-2	0-1	—	2-2	52		
HOME	2-4	0-5	1-0	0-1	—	—	—	0-1	0-4	0-4	0-2	0-1	—	0-1	54		
AWAY	2-4	4-2	2-1	2-1	1-0	0-1	—	1-0	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	51		
NAT.	1-4	3-2	1-1	1-1	—	0-1	—	1-0	0-3	2-1	—	—	—	2-1	52		
ART.	3-4	1-5	2-0	1-1	1-0	—	—	0-1	0-4	0-4	0-2	0-1	—	0-1	52		
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	9-14	7-14	7-3	4-6	1-0	1-2	0-1	2-3	0-11	3-8	0-3	0-1	—	3-4	51		
HOME	6-5	2-7	4-1	2-3	—	1-0	0-1	1-2	0-4	0-4	0-2	0-1	—	0-1	53		
AWAY	3-9	5-7	3-2	2-3	1-0	0-2	—	1-1	0-7	3-4	0-1	—	—	3-3	48		
NAT.	5-8	5-7	4-2	3-3	—	1-1	0-1	2-1	0-6	2-4	0-1	—	—	2-3	50		
ART.	4-6	2-7	3-1	1-3	1-0	0-1	—	0-2	0-5	1-4	0-2	0-1	—	1-1	51		
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	18-17	16-16	12-5	9-8	1-0	3-3	0-1	5-4	3-12	7-8	1-3	1-1	1-0	4-4	47		
HOME	11-5	6-7	7-1	5-3	—	3-0	0-1	2-2	1-4	1-4	1-2	0-1	—	0-1	51		
AWAY	7-12	10-9	5-4	4-5	1-0	0-3	—	3-2	2-8	6-4	0-1	1-0	1-0	4-3	45		
NAT.	12-8	11-7	8-2	7-3	—	3-1	0-1	4-1	2-6	4-4	1-1	—	1-0	2-3	48		
ART.	6-9	5-9	4-3	2-5	1-0	0-2	—	1-3	1-6	3-4	0-2	1-1	—	2-1	46		
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	32-26	26-27-1	23-6	14-14-1	2-0	4-4	0-3-1	8-7	5-20	12-13	2-3	3-3	1-1	6-6	52		
HOME	19-7	11-10-1	13-1	8-5-1	—	4-0	0-1-1	4-4	2-6	3-5	2-2	1-1	—	0-2	54		
AWAY	13-19	15-17	10-5	6-9	2-0	0-4	0-2	4-3	3-14	9-8	0-1	2-2	1-1	6-4	51		
NAT.	21-13	17-13-1	15-2	10-6-1	—	4-1	0-1-1	6-4	3-11	7-7	2-1	1-1	1-0	3-5	54		
ART.	11-13	9-14	8-4	4-8	2-0	0-3	0-2	2-3	2-9	5-6	0-2	2-2	0-1	3-1	49		
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	63-49-1	47-40-1	34-9	22-20-1	4-0	5-6	1-4-1	12-10	12-32	25-19	5-4	5-6	4-1	11-8	48		
HOME	36-16	17-18-1	20-4	13-10-1	1-0	5-2	1-2-1	6-6	3-9	4-8	3-3	1-3	—	0-2	48		
AWAY	27-33-1	30-22	14-5	9-10	3-0	0-4	0-2	6-4	9-23	21-11	2-1	4-3	4-1	11-6	48		
NAT.	46-30-1	32-23-1	24-5	17-11-1	1-0	5-3	1-2-1	10-6	7-19	15-11	4-2	2-3	2-0	7-6	48		
ART.	17-19	15-17	10-4	5-9	3-0	0-3	0-2	2-4	5-13	10-8	1-2	3-3	2-1	4-2	49		

WISCONSIN BADGERS

KEY: @ = AWAY GAME † = NIGHT GAME
§ = NEUTRAL SITE* = OVERTIME
** = DOUBLE OVERTIME

2002				2001				2000				1999				1998																																			
overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 8-6 5-3 2-3 6-3 1-3				vs.spr. 7-6 4-4 2-2 4-5 3-1				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 9-4 4-3 4-1 9-1 0-2				vs.spr. 5-8 2-5 3-2 3-7 2-0				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 10-2 5-1 4-1 7-1 2-1				vs.spr. 7-4 4-1 3-2 5-3 2-1																			
opponent				score				vs. spread				surf				opponent				score				vs. spread				surf				opponent				score				vs. spread				surf							
Fresno St. †				23-21				- 9- L A								W. Mich. †				19-7				-28 L A								Murray St.				49-10				- - A											
Game ended with 7:41 remaining																Oregon				27-23				- 6- L A								Ball St.				50-10				-36- W A											
② UNLV †				27-7				- - N								Cincinnati				28-25*				-14- L A								② Cincinnati				12-17				-27- L A											
W. Virginia				34-17				-12 W A								N'western				44-47*				-16 L A								Michigan				16-21				+ 3- L A											
N. Illinois				24-21				-22- L A								Michigan				10-13				+ 8- W N								Ohio State				42-17				+ 7 W N											
Arizona				31-10				- 4- W A								Ohio State				7-23				P L A								Minnesota				20-17*				- 2- W A											
Penn State				31-34				- 2 L A								Mich. St.				17-10				- 3- W A								Indiana				59-0				-15 W A											
② Indiana				29-32				-12 L N								Purdue				24-30*				+ 6- W A								Mich. St.				40-10				- 2 W A											
Ohio State				14-19				+ 6- W A								Iowa				13-7				-15 L N								N'western				35-19				-22 L N											
② Mich. St. †				42-24				- 4 W N								Minnesota				41-20				- 5 W A								Purdue				28-21				+ 1 W N											
② Iowa				3-20				+ 9- L N								Indiana				43-22				- 7 W N								Iowa				41-3				-32 W A											
Illinois				20-37				- 6 L A								Hawaii †				34-18				-20- L A								Rose Bowl at Pasadena																			
② Michigan				14-21				+10- W N								Sun Bowl at El Paso																§ Stanford				17-9				-14 L N											
Minnesota				49-31				- 7- W A								§ UCLA				21-20				- 6- L A																											
Alamo Bowl at San Antonio																																																			
§ Colorado †				31-28*				+ 7 W A																																											

1997				1996				1995				1994				1993																															
overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 8-5 5-1 3-2 7-0 1-5				vs.spr. 5-8 4-2 3-1 3-4 2-4				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 4-5-2 2-3-1 2-2-1 3-4-2 1-1				vs.spr. 3-8 2-4 1-4 2-7 1-1				overall home away as fav as dog				str.up 7-4-1 4-1-1 2-3-2 6-2-1 1-2				vs.spr. 7-5 4-2 2-3 6-3 1-2															
opponent				score				vs. spread				surf				opponent				score				vs. spread				surf				opponent				score				vs. spread				surf			
§ Syracuse				0-34				+ 7- L A								E. Mich.				24-3				-27 L A								Colorado †				7-43				- 2- L A							
Boise St.				28-24				-40 L A								② UNLV †				52-17				-37 L A								Stanford				24-24				- 3- L N							
② San Jose St.				56-10				-16- W N								Stanford				14-0				- 8 W A								SMU				42-0				-22 W A							
SDSU				36-10				- 9 W A								Penn State				20-23				+ 8 W A								② Penn State †				17-9				+13- W N							
Indiana				27-26				-14 L A								Ohio State				14-17				+27 W N								Ohio State				16-27				+ 4- L A							
② N'western †				26-25				- 3- L N								N'western				30-34				- 5 L A								N'western				0-35				- 2- L A							
Illinois				31-7				-13 W A								Mich. St.				13-30				+ 3- L A								Mich. St.				45-14				- 7 W A							
② Purdue				20-45				+ 2 L N								Purdue				33-25				-10 L A								Purdue				27-38				- 4 L N							
② Minnesota				22-21				- 5- L A								Minnesota				45-28				-13- W A								② Purdue				34-27				- 7- L A							
Iowa				13-10				+ 8- W A								② Iowa				0-31				+ 2- L N								Iowa				20-33				- 6- L A							
Michigan				16-26				+14- W A								② Illinois				35-15				- 7 W A								Illinois				3-3				- 1- L A							
② Penn State				10-35				+14- L N								② Hawaii †				59-10				-28 W A																							
Outback Bowl at Tampa																Copper Bowl at Tucson																															
§ Georgia				6-33				+ 9- L N								§ Utah †				38-10				- 8 W N																							

Hall of Fame Bowl at Tampa				§ Duke				34-20				- 8 W N				Rose Bowl at Pasadena				§ UCLA				21-16				+ 6- W N			
----------------------------	--	--	--	--------	--	--	--	-------	--	--	--	---------	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	--	--------	--	--	--	-------	--	--	--	----------	--	--	--

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	8-6	7-6	6-3	4-5	0-1	2-1	1-1	1-2	1-3	3-1	—	2-0	0-1	1-0	49
HOME	5-3	4-4	5-2	3-4	0-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	0-1	1-0	—	1-0	—	—	52
AWAY	2-3	2-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	0-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	43
NAT.	2-3	2-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	0-2	1-1	—	—	0-1	1-0	43
ART.	6-3	5-4	5-2	3-4	0-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	1-1	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	52
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	13-13	12-12	8-7	5-10	1-2	2-3	1-2	1-3	3-6	7-2	1-0	3-1	0-1	3-0	52
HOME	8-7	6-8	7-5	4-8	1-1	1-3	1-2	1-2	0-2	2-0	—	2-0	—	—	53
AWAY	4-6	5-4	1-2	1-2	0-1	1-0	—	0-1	2-4	4-2	1-0	0-1	0-1	3-0	48
NAT.	4-3	4-2	1-1	1-1	—	1-0	—	0-1	2-2	3-1	1-0	—	0-1	2-0	40
ART.	9-10	8-10	7-6	4-9	1-2	1-3	1-2	1-2	1-4	4-1	—	3-1	—	1-0	56
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	22-17	17-20	17-8	8-17	1-2	5-5	1-2	1-8	3-8	9-2	1-0	4-1	1-1	3-0	49
HOME	12-10	8-13	11-6	5-12	1-1	2-4	1-2	1-5	0-3	3-0	—	3-0	—	—	53
AWAY	8-7	8-6	5-2	3-4	0-1	3-0	—	0-3	2-5	5-2	1-0	0-1	1-1	3-0	45
NAT.	6-4	6-3	3-1	2-2	—	2-0	—	0-2	2-3	4-1	1-0	—	1-1	2-0	38
ART.	16-13	11-17	14-7	6-15	1-2	3-5	1-2	1-6	1-5	5-1	—	4-1	—	1-0	53
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	43-20	33-27	34-9	21-22	4-2	7-5	1-3	9-12	6-10	12-4	2-0	5-3	2-1	3-0	48
HOME	23-11	17-15	21-6	14-13	3-1	3-4	1-2	7-6	0-4	3-1	—	3-1	—	—	51
AWAY	16-9	14-10	11-3	7-7	1-1	4-0	0-1	2-5	4-6	7-3	2-0	1-2	1-1	3-0	43
NAT.	14-5	11-7	8-1	4-5	—	3-0	0-1	1-4	5-4	7-2	2-0	1-1	2-1	2-0	41
ART.	29-15	22-20	26-8	17-17	4-2	4-5	1-2	8-8	1-6	5-2	—	4-2	—	1-0	50
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	80-40-4	64-56	64-17-3	42-42	6-5	9-12	7-5	20-20	12-22-1	22-13	4-3	7-6	4-3	7-1	47
HOME	42-18-3	34-26	37-10-2	26-23	4-3	4-6	4-3	14-11	2-7-1	8-2	1-0	4-2	2-0	1-0	48
AWAY	30-20-1	24-26	22-7-1	13-17	2-2	5-5	0-2	6-8	7-13	11-9	3-3	1-4	1-1	6-1	46
NAT.	23-12-1	20-15	13-2-1	8-8	—	3-3	2-1	3-4	9-10	12-7	3-3	2-1	2-2	5-1	44
ART.	57-28-3	44-41	51-15-2	34-34	6-5	6-9	5-4	17-16	3-12-1	10-6	1-0	5-5	2-1	2-0	48

2002	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-10 2-3 — 1-10	vs.spr. 5-5-1 2-1-1 3-3 5-5-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
§ Tennessee at Nashville	7-47	+31	L N
@ C. Mich.	20-32	+ 3-	L A
Boise St.	13-35	+ 6	L N
@ Wash. †	7-38	+30-	L A
Citadel	34-30	— -	N
@ Colo. St.	36-44	+24-	W N
SDSU	20-24	+ 4	P N
Air Force	34-26	+17-	W N
@ UNLV	48-49*	+ 9	W N
@ BYU	31-35	+11-	W N
Utah	18-23	+11-	W N
@ New Mexico	20-49	+ 8	L N

2001	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 2-9 1-5 — 1-9	vs.spr. 5-5 3-2 — 5-5
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Furman	20-14	— -	N
Texas A&M †	20-28	+15-	W N
@ Utah St. †	43-42	+ 5	W N
Colo. St.	14-42	+ 4	L N
New Mexico	29-30	+ 3	W N
@ Air Force	13-24	+13	W N
@ Utah	0-35	+18	L N
UNLV	26-47	+ 9	L N
BYU	34-41	+24	W N
@ SDSU †	16-38	+ 8-	L N
@ Kansas	14-27	+ 5	L A

2000	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 1-10 1-4 0-6 1-3 0-7	vs.spr. 4-7 1-4 3-3 1-3 3-4
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Auburn †	21-35	+12	L N
@ Texas A&M †	3-51	+14	L N
C. Mich.	31-10	-12-	W N
Nevada †	28-35	-14-	L N
@ New Mexico †	10-45	- 3-	L N
SDSU †	0-34	- 3	L N
Air Force	34-51	+15-	L N
@ UNLV	23-42	+20-	W N
@ BYU †	7-19	+17	W N
Utah	0-34	+15-	L N
@ Colo. St.	13-37	+28-	W N

1999	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-4 4-2 3-2 3-3 3-1	vs.spr. 4-6 2-3 3-3 1-5 3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Tennessee †	17-42	+24	L N
Weber St.	41-16	— -	N
@ Air Force	10-7	+ 8-	W N
Idaho	28-13	- 9-	W N
UNLV	32-35	-24	L N
@ La-Monroe †	38-20	-23-	L N
Colo. St. †	13-24	- 2-	L N
@ Utah	43-29	+ 8	W N
BYU	31-17	+ 7-	W N
New Mexico	42-28	-17	L N
@ SDSU	7-39	- 1-	L N

1998	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-3 5-1 3-2 6-1 1-2	vs.spr. 5-5 2-3 3-2 3-4 2-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Montana St.	17-9	— -	N
@ Georgia	9-16	+20	W N
La. Tech	31-19	- 7-	W N
Utah	27-24	- 8-	L N
SMU	12-7	- 9-	L N
@ UNLV	28-25*	-21-	L A
Rice	34-24	- 7-	W N
@ TCU	34-27	- 3-	W N
@ Colo. St.	27-19	+ 7-	W N
Air Force	3-10	+ 6	L N
@ Tulsa	0-35	-10-	L A

1997	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 7-6 5-1 2-5 7-4 0-2	vs.spr. 6-7 3-3 3-4 4-7 2-0
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Ohio State †	10-24	+30	W N
Iowa St.	56-10	-14-	W N
@ Hawaii †	35-6	-10-	W A
San Jose St.	30-10	-29	L N
@ Colorado	19-20	+14-	W A
Montana	28-13	-12	W N
@ Nevada	34-30	- 8	L N
Colo. St.	7-14	- 1-	L N
@ SMU	17-22	- 5-	L N
SDSU	41-17	-14	W N
UNLV	35-23	-19	L N
@ Air Force	3-14	- 1-	L N
@ Fresno St.	7-24	- 6-	L N

1996	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 10-2 6-0 4-1 10-0 0-2	vs.spr. 5-6-1 4-2 0-4-1 4-5-1 1-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Idaho	40-38	-17-	L N
@ Iowa St. †	41-38*	- 4	L N
Hawaii	66-0	-21	W N
Air Force	22-19	- 2	W N
@ UNLV	33-21	-30	L A
@ San Jose St.	45-22	-23	P N
W. Mich.	42-28	-28	L N
Fresno St.	42-21	-14	W N
SMU	59-17	-14	W N
@ SDSU	24-28	+ 2-	L N
@ Colo. St.	25-24	- 2	L N
WAC Championship at Las Vegas			
§ BYU	25-28*	+ 9-	W A

1995	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-5 4-2 2-3 5-2 1-3	vs.spr. 7-4 4-2 3-2 5-2 2-2
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
@ Air Force	10-34	+ 8-	L N
Hawaii	52-6	- 6	W N
Okla. St.	45-25	-10-	W N
@ Tulsa	6-35	- 8-	L A
Louisville	27-20	- 3	W N
@ BYU	20-23	+ 7-	W N
Colo. St.	24-31	+ 1	L N
Utah	24-30	- 3	L N
@ SDSU	34-31	+ 7	W N
Fresno St.	38-10	- 4-	W N
@ UTEP	42-19	-19	W A

1994	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 6-6 5-1 1-5 4-1 1-5	vs.spr. 6-5 3-2 3-3 3-2 3-3
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
UTEP	36-13	-14	W N
@ Oregon St.	31-44	+ 6-	L A
Tulsa	17-7	-11-	L N
@ Utah †	7-41	+11-	L N
@ Nebraska	32-42	+40	W A
La-Monroe	28-14	— -	N
@ Fresno St. †	24-38	+ 7-	L N
SDSU	52-35	- 5	W N
Air Force	17-34	- 3-	L N
@ Colo. St. †	24-35	+12-	W N
New Mexico	38-28	- 1	W N
@ Hawaii †	13-10	+ 2	W A

1993	overall home away as fav as dog	str.up 8-4 4-2 4-1 3-2 3-1	vs.spr. 6-4 3-2 3-1 3-2 3-1
opponent	score	vs. spread	surf
Oregon St.	16-27	- 9	L N
N. Iowa	45-42	— -	N
@ San Jose St. †	36-25	+ 8	W N
Utah	28-12	- 2	W N
@ Air Force	31-18	+ 1	W N
@ UTEP †	33-26	— -	A
Hawaii	48-10	- 4	W N
Fresno St.	32-28	- 2	W N
@ New Mexico	7-10	P	L N
Colo. St.	21-41	-10	L N
@ SDSU	43-38	+ 5-	W N
Copper Bowl at Tucson			
§ Kansas St. †	17-52	+ 3-	L N

STATISTICS SUMMARY	OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV. STR.-UP	AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD					AS DOG STR.-UP	AS DOG VS. SPREAD					ACTUAL TOTAL PTS AV. GAME
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10		ALL	- to 3	3- to 7	7- to 10	over 10	
1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	5-5-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	5-5-1	—	0-2-1	1-1	4-2	60
HOME	2-3	2-1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	2-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	2-0	51
AWAY	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	0-1	1-1	2-1	68
NAT.	2-8	5-3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-8	5-3-1	—	0-1-1	1-1	4-1	62
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	48
2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	10-10-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-19	10-10-1	—	2-4-1	1-3	7-3	57
HOME	3-8	5-3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-8	5-3-1	—	1-2-1	0-1	4-0	54
AWAY	1-10	5-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	5-6	—	1-2	1-2	3-2	60
NAT.	4-16	10-7-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-16	10-7-1	—	2-2-1	1-3	7-2	58
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	46
3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	5-29	14-17-1	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-26	13-14-1	—	2-4-1	1-3	10-7	55
HOME	4-12	6-7-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	—	1-1	1-10	5-5-1	—	1-2-1	0-1	4-2	53
AWAY	1-16	8-9	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-15	8-8	—	1-2	1-2	6-4	56
NAT.	5-26	14-14-1	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-23	13-11-1	—	2-2-1	1-3	10-6	56
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	46
5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-36	23-28-1	10-7	5-12	0-3	1-1	3-2	1-6	6-29	18-16-1	—	2-5-1	5-3	11-8	51
HOME	13-15	10-13-1	7-4	4-7	0-2	—	3-2	1-3	2-11	6-6-1	—	1-3-1	1-1	4-2	49
AWAY	7-20	13-14	3-3	1-5	0-1	1-1	—	0-3	4-17	12-9	—	1-2	4-2	7-5	53
NAT.	19-32	23-23-1	9-6	5-10	0-3	1-1	3-2	1-4	6-26	18-13-1	—	2-3-1	5-3	11-7	52
ART.	1-4	0-5	1-1	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	45
10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	57-59	53-54-2	39-16	24-30-1	5-7	5-5	3-6	11-12-1	11-42	29-23-1	2-2	4-7-1	8-5	15-9	52
HOME	37-21	27-24-1	29-9	21-17	5-4	4-1	3-4	9-8	2-12	6-7-1	0-1	1-3-1	1-1	4-2	53
AWAY	20-35	25-28-1	10-7	3-13-1	0-3	1-4	0-2	2-4-1	9-27	22-14	2-1	3-3	6-4	11-6	52
NAT.	51-50	47-46-2	35-14	22-26-1	5-7	5-5	3-5	9-9-1	10-35	25-19-1	1-2	4-4-1	7-5	13-8	53
ART.	6-9	6-8	4-2	2-4	—	—	0-1	2-3	1-7	4-4	1-0	0-3	1-0	2-1	49

STATISTICS SUMMARY															
OVERALL RECORD		AS FAV.		AS FAVORITE VS. SPREAD		AS DOG		AS DOG VS. SPREAD		ACTUAL TOTAL PTS. AV. GAME					
	STRAIGHT-UP	VS. SPREAD	STR. UP	ALL	-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10	STR. UP	ALL	-to 3	3-to 7	7-to 10	over 10	

1-YR. HISTORY: 2002	2-10	5-5-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	5-5-1	—	0-2-1	1-1	4-2	60
HOME	2-3	2-1-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-3	2-1-1	—	0-1-1	—	2-0	51
AWAY	0-6	3-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-6	3-3	—	0-1	1-1	2-1	68
NAT.	2-8	5-3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-8	5-3-1	—	0-1-1	1-1	4-1	62
ART.	0-2	0-2	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-2	0-2	—	0-1	—	0-1	48

2-YR. HISTORY: 2001-2002	4-19	10-10-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-19	10-10-1	—	2-4-1	1-3	7-3	57
HOME	3-8	5-3-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-8	5-3-1	—	1-2-1	0-1	4-0	54
AWAY	1-10	5-6	—	—	—	—	—	—	1-10	5-6	—	1-2	1-2	3-2	60
NAT.	4-16	10-7-1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2-16	10-7-1	—	2-2-1	1-3	7-2	58
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	46

3-YR. HISTORY: 2000-2002	5-29	14-17-1	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-26	13-14-1	—	2-4-1	1-3	10-7	55
HOME	4-12	6-7-1	1-2	1-2	0-1	—	—	1-1	1-10	5-5-1	—	1-2-1	0-1	4-2	53
AWAY	1-16	8-9	0-1	0-1	—	0-1	—	—	1-15	8-8	—	1-2	1-2	6-4	56
NAT.	5-26	14-14-1	1-3	1-3	0-1	0-1	—	1-1	2-23	13-11-1	—	2-2-1	1-3	10-6	56
ART.	0-3	0-3	—	—	—	—	—	—	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	46

5-YR. HISTORY: 1998-2002	20-36	23-28-1	10-7	5-12	0-3	1-1	3-2	1-6	6-29	18-16-1	—	2-5-1	5-3	11-8	51
HOME	13-15	10-13-1	7-4	4-7	0-2	—	3-2	1-3	2-11	6-6-1	—	1-3-1	1-1	4-2	49
AWAY	7-20	13-14	3-3	1-5	0-1	1-1	—	0-3	4-17	12-9	—	1-2	4-2	7-5	53
NAT.	19-32	23-23-1	9-6	5-10	0-3	1-1	3-2	1-4	6-26	18-13-1	—	2-3-1	5-3	11-7	52
ART.	1-4	0-5	1-1	0-2	—	—	—	0-2	0-3	0-3	—	0-2	—	0-1	45

10-YR. HISTORY: 1993-2002	57-59	53-54-2	39-16	24-30-1	5-7	5-5	3-6	11-12-1	11-42	29-23-1	2-2	4-7-1	8-5	15-9	52
HOME	37-21	27-24-1	29-9	21-17	5-4	4-1	3-4	9-8	2-12	6-7-1	0-1	1-3-1	1-1	4-2	53
AWAY	20-35	25-28-1	10-7	3-13-1	0-3	1-4	0-2	2-4-1	9-27	22-14	2-1	3-3	6-4	11-6	52
NAT.	51-50	47-46-2	35-14	22-26-1	5-7	5-5	3-5	9-9-1	10-35	25-19-1	1-2	4-4-1	7-5	13-8	53
ART.	6-9	6-8	4-2	2-4	—	—	0-1	2-3	1-7	4-4	1-0	0-3	1-0	2-1	49

HEISMAN VOTING 2002

PLAYER	SCHOOL	POS	1ST	2ND	3RD	TOTAL
Carson Palmer	USC	QB	242	224	154	1,328
Brad Banks	Iowa	QB	199	173	152	1,095
Larry Johnson	Penn State	RB	108	130	142	726
Willis McGahee	Miami (Fla.)	RB	101	118	121	660
Ken Dorsey	Miami (Fla.)	QB	122	89	99	643
Byron Leftwich	Marshall	QB	22	26	34	152
Jason Gesser	Washington State	QB	5	22	15	74
Chris Brown	Colorado	RB	5	11	11	48
Kliff Kingsbury	Texas Tech	QB	6	2	11	33
Quentin Griffin	Oklahoma	RB	1	8	9	28

PAST HEISMAN WINNERS

YR	PLAYER	SCHOOL	POS	YR	PLAYER	SCHOOL	POS
2002	Carson Palmer	USC	QB	1968	O.J. Simpson	USC	HB
2001	Eric Crouch	Nebraska	QB	1967	Gary Beban	UCLA	QB
2000	Chris Weinke	Florida State	QB	1966	Steve Spurrier	Florida	QB
1999	Ron Dayne	Wisconsin	RB	1965	Mike Garrett	USC	HB
1998	Ricky Williams	Texas	RB	1964	John Huarte	Notre Dame	QB
1997	Charles Woodson	Michigan	DB-WR	1963	Roger Staubach	Navy	QB
1996	Danny Wuerffel	Florida	QB	1962	Terry Baker	Oregon State	QB
1995	Eddie George	Ohio State	RB	1961	Ernie Davis	Syracuse	HB
1994	Rashaan Salaam	Colorado	RB	1960	Joe Bellino	Navy	HB
1993	Charlie Ward	Florida State	QB	1959	Billy Cannon	LSU	HB
1992	Gino Torretta	Miami (Fla.)	QB	1958	Pete Dawkins	Army	HB
1991	Desmond Howard	Michigan	WR	1957	John David Crow	Texas A&M	HB
1990	Ty Detmer	BYU	QB	1956	Paul Hornung	Notre Dame	QB
1989	Andre Ware	Houston	QB	1955	Howard Cassady	Ohio State	HB
1988	Barry Sanders	Oklahoma State	RB	1954	Alan Ameche	Wisconsin	FB
1987	Tim Brown	Notre Dame	WR	1953	Johnny Lattner	Notre Dame	HB
1986	Vinny Testaverde	Miami (Fla.)	QB	1952	Billy Vessels	Oklahoma	HB
1985	Bo Jackson	Auburn	RB	1951	Dick Kazmaier	Princeton	HB
1984	Doug Flutie	Boston College	QB	1950	Vic Janowicz	Ohio State	HB
1983	Mike Rozier	Nebraska	RB	1949	Leon Hart	Notre Dame	E
1982	Herschel Walker	Georgia	RB	1948	Doak Walker	SMU	HB
1981	Marcus Allen	USC	RB	1947	Johnny Lujack	Notre Dame	QB
1980	George Rogers	South Carolina	RB	1946	Glenn Davis	Army	HB
1979	Charles White	USC	RB	1945	Doc Blanchard	Army	FB
1978	Billy Sims	Oklahoma	RB	1944	Les Horvath	Ohio State	QB-HB
1977	Earl Campbell	Texas	RB	1943	Angelo Bertelli	Notre Dame	QB
1976	Tony Dorsett	Pittsburgh	RB	1942	Frank Sinkwich	Georgia	HB
1975	Archie Griffin	Ohio State	RB	1941	Bruce Smith	Minnesota	HB
1974	Archie Griffin	Ohio State	RB	1940	Tom Harmon	Michigan	HB
1973	John Cappelletti	Penn State	RB	1939	Nile Kinnick	Iowa	HB
1972	Johnny Rodgers	Nebraska	WR	1938	Davey O'Brien	Texas Christian	QB
1971	Pat Sullivan	Auburn	QB	1937	Clint Frank	Yale	HB
1970	Jim Plunkett	Stanford	QB	1936	Larry Kelley	Yale	E
1969	Steve Owens	Oklahoma	HB	1935	Jay Berwanger	Chicago	HB

BOWL RESULTS 2002

DATE	BOWL	MATCHUP (RKT)
December 17, 2002	NEW ORLEANS	North Texas 24, Cincinnati 19
December 18, 2002	GMAC	Marshall 38, Louisville 15
December 23, 2002	TANGERINE	Texas Tech 55, Clemson 15
December 25, 2002	LAS VEGAS	UCLA 27, New Mexico 13
	HAWAII	Tulane 36, Hawaii 28
December 26, 2002	MOTOR CITY	Boston College 51, Toledo 26
	INSIGHT	Pittsburgh 38, Oregon State 13
December 27, 2002	HOUSTON	Oklahoma State 33, Southern Miss 23
	INDEPENDENCE	Mississippi 27, Nebraska 23
	HOLIDAY	Kansas State 34, Arizona State 27
December 28, 2002	CONTINENTAL TIRE	Virginia 48, West Virginia 22
	ALAMO	Wisconsin 31, Colorado 28 (OT)
December 30, 2002	MUSIC CITY	Minnesota 29, Arkansas 14
	SEATTLE	Wake Forest 38, Oregon 17
December 31, 2002	HUMANITARIAN	Boise State 34, Iowa State 16
	SUN	Purdue 34, Washington 24
	SILICON VALLEY	Fresno State 30, Georgia Tech 21
	LIBERTY	Texas Christian 17, Colorado State 3
	PEACH	Maryland 30, Tennessee 3
	SAN FRANCISCO	Virginia Tech 20, Air Force 13
January 1, 2003	OUTBACK	Michigan 38, Florida 30
	COTTON	Texas 35, LSU 20
	GATOR	North Carolina State 28, Notre Dame 6
	CAPITAL ONE	Auburn 13, Penn State 9
	ROSE	Oklahoma 34, Washington State 14
	SUGAR	Georgia 26, Florida State 13
January 2, 2003	ORANGE	USC 38, Iowa 17
January 3, 2003	FIESTA	Ohio State 31, Miami (Fla.) 24 (2 OT)

COACHING CHANGES 2003

TEAM	FORMER COACH	RECORD	YEARS	NEW COACH
Alabama	Dennis Franchione	17-8	2	Mike Price
Alabama	Mike Price	0-0	0	Mike Shula
Ball State	Bill Lynch	37-53	8	Brady Hoke
Baylor	Kevin Steele	9-36	4	Guy Morriss
Bowling Green	Urban Meyer	17-6	2	Gregg Brandon
East Carolina	Steve Logan	69-58	11	John Thompson
Houston	Dana Dimel	8-26	3	Art Briles
Kentucky	Guy Morriss	9-14	2	Rich Brooks
Louisiana-Monroe	Bobby Keasler	8-29	4	Mike Collins
Louisiana-Monroe	Mike Collins	3-6	1	Charlie Weatherbie
Louisville	John L. Smith	41-21	5	Bob Petrino
Michigan State	Bobby Williams	16-17	4	John L. Smith
Texas A&M	R.C. Slocum	123-47-2	14	Dennis Franchione
Tulsa	Keith Burns	7-28	3	Steve Kragthorpe
UCLA	Bob Toledo	49-32	7	Karl Dorrell
Utah	Ron McBride	88-63	13	Urban Meyer
Washington State	Mike Price	83-77	14	Bill Doba
Wyoming	Vic Koenning	5-29	3	Joe Glenn

Note: Records reflect games played through 2002 season.

Coaching changes in — 2002: 18; 2001: 14; 2000: 32; 1999: 32

BCS RANKINGS 2002

RK	TEAM	POLL AVG.	C AVG.	S RANK	L	Q WIN	TOTAL
1.	Miami (Fla.)	1	1.17	0.76	0	0	2.93
2.	Ohio State	2	1.67	0.80	0	0.5	3.97
3.	Georgia	4	3.17	0.20	1	0	8.37
4.	USC	5	3.67	0.04	2	0.2	10.51
5.	Iowa	3	4.83	1.96	1	0	10.79
6.	Washington State	7	7.00	0.84	2	0.7	16.14
7.	Oklahoma	8	6.33	0.56	2	0.1	16.79
8.	Kansas State	6	10.67	2.16	2	0.7	20.13
9.	Notre Dame	11.5	6.83	0.60	2	0	20.93
10.	Texas	9	9.50	0.88	2	0.3	21.08
11.	Michigan	11.5	9.33	0.08	3	0	23.91
12.	Penn State	10	13.33	0.64	3	0	26.97
13.	Colorado	14	15.17	0.40	4	0.3	33.27
14.	Florida State	16	13.83	0.12	4	0	33.95
15.	West Virginia	14	17.33	1.64	2	0	35.97

Poll Average: Average of USA TODAY/ESPN Coaches and AP polls. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average: Average of Richard Billingsley, Wes Colley, Kenneth Massey, David Rothman, Jeff Sagarin's USA TODAY, Matthews/Scripps-Howard, Anderson & Hester, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the rankings, dropping the highest and the lowest.

Schedule Rank: Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative win/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative win/loss records of the team's opponents' opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses: One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component: The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 15 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.5 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 15th-ranked BCS team. The final BCS Standings will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are deducted from the team's score.

AP & COACHES POLLS 2002

ASSOCIATED PRESS POLL

RK	TEAM (FPV)	RECORD	POINTS	PRV
1.	Ohio State (71)	14-0	1,775	2
2.	Miami (Fla.)	12-1	1,693	1
3.	Georgia	13-1	1,598	4
4.	USC	11-2	1,590	5
5.	Oklahoma	12-2	1,476	8
6.	Texas	11-2	1,363	9
7.	Kansas State	11-2	1,356	6
8.	Iowa	11-2	1,334	3
9.	Michigan	10-3	1,182	12
10.	Washington State	10-3	1,085	7
11.	Alabama	10-3	988	13
12.	North Carolina State	11-3	943	17
13.	Maryland	11-3	844	20
14.	Auburn	9-4	821	19
15.	Boise State	12-1	692	18
16.	Penn State	9-4	675	10
17.	Notre Dame	10-3	657	11
18.	Virginia Tech	10-4	544	21
19.	Pittsburgh	9-4	520	24
20.	Colorado	9-5	307	14
21.	Florida State	9-5	291	16
22.	Virginia	9-5	250	NR
23.	Texas Christian	10-2	231	NR
24.	Marshall	11-2	201	NR
25.	West Virginia	9-4	195	15

USA TODAY/ESPN FINAL POLL

RK	TEAM (FPV)	RECORD	POINTS	PRV
1.	Ohio State (61)	14-0	1,525	2
2.	Miami (Fla.)	12-1	1,451	1
3.	Georgia	13-1	1,378	4
4.	USC	11-2	1,362	5
5.	Oklahoma	12-2	1,244	8
6.	Kansas State	11-2	1,230	6
7.	Texas	11-2	1,140	9
8.	Iowa	11-2	1,105	3
9.	Michigan	10-3	1,011	11
10.	Washington State	10-3	932	7
11.	North Carolina State	11-3	876	17
12.	Boise State	12-1	808	15
13.	Maryland	11-3	803	18
14.	Virginia Tech	10-4	644	19
15.	Penn State	9-4	619	10
16.	Auburn	9-4	579	22
17.	Notre Dame	10-3	525	12
18.	Pittsburgh	9-4	486	23
19.	Marshall	11-2	333	24
20.	West Virginia	9-4	297	13
21.	Colorado	9-5	291	14
22.	Texas Christian	10-2	274	NR
23.	Florida State	9-5	219	16
24.	Florida	8-5	145	20
25.	Virginia	9-5	141	NR

PAST NATIONAL CHAMPIONS

BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
2002	Ohio State	(14-0-0)
2001	Miami (Fla.)	(12-0-0)
2000	Oklahoma	(13-0-0)
1999	Florida State	(12-0-0)
1998	Tennessee	(13-0-0)

CONSENSUS POLL CHAMPIONS

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1997	Michigan	(12-0-0)
	Nebraska	(13-0-0)
1996	Florida	(12-1-0)
1995	Nebraska	(12-0-0)
1994	Nebraska	(13-0-0)
1993	Florida State	(12-1-0)
1992	Alabama	(13-0-0)
1991	Washington	(12-0-0)
	Miami (Fla.)	(12-0-0)
1990	Colorado	(11-1-1)
	Georgia Tech	(11-0-1)
1989	Miami (Fla.)	(11-1-0)
1988	Notre Dame	(12-0-0)
1987	Miami (Fla.)	(12-0-0)
1986	Penn State	(12-0-0)
1985	Oklahoma	(11-1-0)
1984	BYU	(13-0-0)
1983	Miami (Fla.)	(11-1-0)
1982	Penn State	(11-1-0)
1981	Clemson	(12-0-0)
1980	Georgia	(12-0-0)
1979	Alabama	(12-0-0)
1978	Alabama	(12-1-0)
	USC	(12-1-0)

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1977	Notre Dame	(11-1-0)
1976	Pittsburgh	(12-0-0)
1975	Oklahoma	(11-1-0)
1974	Oklahoma	(11-0-0)
	USC	(10-1-1)
1973	Notre Dame	(11-0-0)
	Alabama	(11-1-0)
1972	USC	(12-0-0)
1971	Nebraska	(13-0-0)
1970	Nebraska	(11-0-1)
	Texas	(10-1-0)
	Ohio State	(9-1-0)

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1969	Texas	(11-0-0)
1968	Ohio State	(10-0-0)
1967	USC	(10-1-0)
1966	Notre Dame	(9-0-1)
	Michigan State	(9-0-1)
1965	Alabama	(9-1-1)
	Michigan State	(10-1-0)
1964	Alabama	(10-1-0)
	Arkansas	(11-0-0)
	Notre Dame	(9-1-0)
1963	Texas	(11-0-0)
1962	USC	(11-0-0)
1961	Alabama	(11-0-0)
	Ohio State	(8-0-1)
1960	Minnesota	(8-2-0)
	Mississippi	(10-0-1)

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1959	Syracuse	(11-0-0)
1958	LSU	(11-0-0)
	Iowa	(8-1-1)
1957	Auburn	(10-0-0)
	Ohio State	(9-1-0)
1956	Oklahoma	(10-0-0)
1955	Oklahoma	(11-0-0)
1954	Ohio State	(10-0-0)
	UCLA	(9-0-0)
1953	Maryland	(10-1-0)
1952	Michigan State	(9-0-0)
1951	Tennessee	(10-0-0)
1950	Oklahoma	(10-1-0)

ASSOCIATED PRESS CHAMPIONS

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1949	Notre Dame	(10-0-0)
1948	Michigan	(9-0-0)
1947	Notre Dame	(9-0-0)
1946	Notre Dame	(8-0-1)
1945	Army	(9-0-0)
1944	Army	(9-0-0)
1943	Notre Dame	(9-1-0)
1942	Ohio State	(9-1-0)
1941	Minnesota	(8-0-0)
1940	Minnesota	(8-0-0)
1939	Texas A&M	(11-0)
1938	Texas Christian	(11-0)
1937	Pittsburgh	(9-0-1)
1936	Minnesota	(7-1-0)

RETROACTIVE POLL CHAMPIONS

YEAR	SCHOOL	RECORD
1935	Minnesota	(8-0-0)
1934	Minnesota	(8-0-0)
1933	Michigan	(7-0-1)
1932	USC	(10-0-0)
1931	USC	(10-1-0)
1930	Notre Dame	(10-0-0)
	Alabama	(10-0-0)
1929	Notre Dame	(9-0-0)
1928	Georgia Tech	(10-0-0)
1927	Illinois	(7-0-1)
	Yale	(7-1-0)
1926	Alabama	(9-0-1)
	Stanford	(10-0-1)
1925	Alabama	(10-0-0)
1924	Notre Dame	(10-0-0)
1923	Illinois	(8-0-0)
	Michigan	(8-0-0)
1922	Cornell	(8-0-0)
	Princeton	(8-0-0)
	California	(9-0-0)
1921	Cornell	(8-0-0)
	California	(9-0-1)
1920	California	(9-0-0)
1919	Harvard	(9-0-1)
	Illinois	(6-1-0)
	Notre Dame	(9-0-0)
1918	Pittsburgh	(4-1-0)
	Michigan	(5-0-0)
1917	Georgia Tech	(9-0-0)
1916	Pittsburgh	(8-0-0)
1915	Cornell	(9-0-0)
1914	Army	(9-0-0)
1913	Harvard	(9-0-0)
1912	Harvard	(9-0-0)
	Penn State	(8-0-0)
1911	Princeton	(8-0-2)
	Penn State	(8-0-1)
1910	Harvard	(8-0-1)
	Pittsburgh	(9-0-0)
1909	Yale	(10-0-0)
1908	Pennsylvania	(11-0-1)
	LSU	(10-0-0)
1907	Yale	(9-0-1)
1906	Princeton	(9-0-1)
1905	Chicago	(11-0-0)
1904	Pennsylvania	(12-0-0)
	Michigan	(10-0-0)
1903	Princeton	(11-0-0)
1902	Michigan	(11-0-0)
1901	Michigan	(11-0-0)
	Harvard	(12-0-0)
1900	Yale	(12-0-0)
1899	Harvard	(10-0-1)
	Princeton	(12-1-0)
1898	Harvard	(11-0-0)
1897	Pennsylvania	(15-0-0)
1896	Princeton	(10-0-0)
	Lafayette	(11-0-1)
1895	Pennsylvania	(14-0-0)
1894	Yale	(16-0-0)
1893	Princeton	(11-0-0)
1892	Yale	(13-0-0)
1891	Yale	(13-0-0)
1890	Harvard	(11-0-0)
1889	Princeton	(10-0-0)
1888	Yale	(13-0-0)
1887	Yale	(9-0-0)
1886	Yale	(9-0-1)
1885	Princeton	(9-0-0)
1884	Yale	(8-0-1)
1883	Yale	(8-0-0)
1882	Yale	(8-0-0)
1881	Yale	(5-0-1)
1880	Yale	(4-0-1)
	Princeton	(4-0-1)
1879	Princeton	(4-0-1)
1878	Princeton	(6-0-0)
1877	Yale	(3-0-1)
1876	Yale	(3-0-0)
1875	Princeton	(2-0-0)
	Harvard	(4-0-0)
1874	Yale	(3-0-0)
1873	Princeton	(1-0-0)
1872	Princeton	(1-0-0)
1871	None Selected	
1870	Princeton	(1-0-0)
1869	Princeton	(1-1-0)

TEAM STATS 2002

HONOR ROLL

RUSHING OFFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	RUSH	NET	AVG	TD	YDS/GM	W	L
1.	Air Force	12	733	3774	5.15	40	314.50	8	4
2.	West Virginia	12	662	3443	5.20	36	286.92	9	3
3.	Navy	12	652	3249	4.98	34	270.75	2	10
4.	Nebraska	13	672	3496	5.20	29	268.92	7	6
5.	Kansas State	12	609	3209	5.27	49	267.42	10	2
6.	Rice	11	606	2725	4.50	24	247.73	4	7
7.	Colorado	13	608	3136	5.16	27	241.23	9	4
8.	Wake Forest	12	652	2879	4.42	30	239.92	6	6
9.	Ohio	12	649	2878	4.43	30	239.83	4	8
10.	Penn State	12	490	2802	5.72	36	233.50	9	3

PASSING OFFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	ATT	COM	INT	PCT	YDS	YDS/ATT	TD	YDS/GM
1.	Hawaii	13	679	375	25	55.23	5043	7.43	33	387.9
2.	Texas Tech	13	718	476	14	66.30	4980	6.94	45	383.1
3.	Marshall	12	531	361	14	67.98	4555	8.58	31	379.6
4.	Washington	12	567	347	13	61.20	4229	7.46	26	352.4
5.	San Diego State	13	584	352	10	60.27	4302	7.37	24	330.9
6.	UCF	12	442	270	17	61.09	3837	8.68	31	319.8
7.	Utah State	11	487	258	16	52.98	3388	6.96	21	308.0
8.	USC	12	463	292	10	63.07	3685	7.96	32	307.1
9.	Arizona State	13	501	278	15	55.49	3961	7.91	29	304.7
10.	Boise State	12	358	234	8	65.36	3648	10.19	31	304.0

TOTAL OFFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	PL	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM	W	L
1.	Boise State	12	878	6202	7.06	74	516.83	11	1
2.	Marshall	12	917	6091	6.64	54	507.58	10	2
3.	Hawaii	13	965	6510	6.75	62	500.77	10	3
4.	Texas Tech	13	107	6280	5.84	64	483.08	8	5
5.	Toledo	13	964	6178	6.41	63	475.23	9	4
6.	Miami (Fla.)	12	810	5687	7.02	67	473.92	12	0
7.	Purdue	12	957	5479	5.73	47	456.58	6	6
8.	Bowling Green	12	898	5387	6.00	65	448.92	9	3
9.	Illinois	12	915	5356	5.85	43	446.33	5	7
10.	USC	12	929	5290	5.69	55	440.83	10	2

RUSHING DEFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	RUSH	NET	AVG	TD	YDS/GM	W	L
1.	Texas Christian	11	362	689	1.90	9	62.6	9	2
2.	Iowa	12	367	818	2.23	13	68.2	11	1
3.	Kansas State	12	420	887	2.11	6	73.9	10	2
4.	Ohio State	13	385	1023	2.66	4	78.7	13	0
5.	Alabama	13	390	1042	2.67	10	80.2	10	3
6.	USC	12	366	962	2.63	9	80.2	10	2
7.	Washington State	12	407	988	2.43	10	82.3	10	2
8.	South Florida	11	420	959	2.28	8	87.2	9	2
9.	Oregon State	12	438	1108	2.53	10	92.3	8	4
10.	Washington	12	407	1153	2.83	15	96.1	7	5

PASS EFFICIENCY DEFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	ATT	COM	PCT	INT	YDS	TD	YDS/GM	W	L
1.	Miami (Fla.)	12	332	156	46.99	10	1434	8	119.50	12	0
2.	LSU	12	333	148	44.44	16	1716	11	143.00	8	4
3.	Florida	12	325	164	50.46	7	1792	13	149.33	8	4
4.	Mississippi State	12	282	140	49.65	14	1847	22	153.92	3	9
5.	Southern Mississippi	12	339	156	46.02	15	1885	4	157.08	7	5
6.	Marshall	12	318	154	48.43	14	1894	9	157.83	10	2
7.	Boston College	12	332	174	52.41	13	1906	10	158.83	8	4
8.	Tennessee	12	330	159	48.18	12	1911	9	159.25	8	4
9.	Connecticut	12	322	162	50.31	20	1925	14	160.42	6	6
10.	Texas	12	354	173	48.87	21	1954	16	162.83	10	2

TOTAL DEFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	PL	YDS	AVG	TD	YDS/GM	W	L
1.	Kansas State	12	781	2927	3.75	16	243.92	10	2
2.	Texas Christian	11	740	2744	3.71	27	249.45	9	2
3.	Alabama	13	764	3345	4.38	24	257.31	10	3
4.	Troy State	12	784	3322	4.24	31	276.83	4	8
5.	LSU	12	765	3346	4.37	25	278.83	8	4
6.	USC	12	784	3381	4.31	25	281.75	10	2
7.	Tennessee	12	776	3429	4.42	21	285.75	8	4
8.	Miami (Fla.)	12	862	3438	3.99	27	286.50	12	0
9.	North Texas	12	807	3469	4.30	21	289.08	7	5
10.	Oregon State	12	868	3516	4.05	24	293.00	8	4

SCORING DEFENSE

RK	TEAM	G	TD	KXP	QXP	FG	SF	PTS	PTS/GM	W	L
1.	Kansas State	12	16	14	0	5	0	127	10.6	10	2
2.	Ohio State	13	16	13	1	16	0	159	12.2	13	0
3.	North Texas	12	21	18	0	9	1	173	14.4	7	5
4.	Georgia	13	26	20	1	7	0	199	15.3	12	1
5.	Alabama	13	24	19	3	9	2	200	15.4	10	3
6.	Oklahoma	13	25	21	2	9	0	202	15.5	11	2
7.	Notre Dame	12	23	19	1	10	0	189	15.8	10	2
8.	Texas	12	25	18	3	6	0	192	16.0	10	2
9.	Tennessee	12	21	18	0	17	1	197	16.4	8	4
10.	LSU	12	25	22	1	9	1	203	16.9	8	4

TURNOVER MARGIN

RK	TEAM	G	FM	INT	TOT	FM	INT	TOT	MRG	W	L
1.	South Florida	11	14	22	36	10	5	15	1.91	9	2
2.	West Virginia	12	15	19	34	6	7	13	1.75	9	3
3.	Tulane	12	19	21	40	10	10	20	1.67	7	5
4.	Arkansas	13	17	19	36	5	10	15	1.62	9	4
5.	California	12	21	15	36	8	10	18	1.50	7	5
6.	Wisconsin	13	13	19	32	6	7	13	1.46	7	6
7.	Iowa	12	11	20	31	10	4	14	1.42	11	1
8.	Wake Forest	12	20	13	33	10	6	16	1.42	6	6
9.	USC	12	18	16	34	8	10	18	1.33	10	2
10.	Oklahoma	13	11	22	33	5	11	16	1.31	11	2

INDIVIDUAL STATS 2002

RECEPTIONS PER GAME

RK	PLAYER	TEAM	POS	CL	G	CT	YDS	TD	REC/GM	YDS/CT	YDS/GM
1.	Nate Burleson	Nevada	WR	Sr.	12	138	1629	12	11.50	11.80	135.75
2.	J.R. Tolver	San Diego State	WR	Sr.	13	128	1785	13	9.85	13.95	137.31
3.	Kassim Osgood	San Diego State	WR	Sr.	13	108	1552	8	8.31	14.37	119.38
4.	Rashaun Woods	Oklahoma State	WR	Jr.	12	98	1531	16	8.17	15.62	127.58
5.	Taylor Stubblefield	Purdue	WR	So.	9	70	697	0	7.78	9.96	77.44
6.	Kevin Walter	Eastern Michigan	WR	Sr.	12	93	1368	9	7.75	14.71	114.00
6.	Bobby Wade	Arizona	WR	Sr.	12	93	1389	8	7.75	14.94	115.75
8.	Reggie Williams	Washington	WR	So.	12	89	1390	11	7.42	15.62	115.83
9.	Taurean Henderson	Texas Tech	WR	Fr.	13	90	577	5	6.92	6.41	44.38
10.	Robert Redd	Bowling Green	WR	Sr.	12	83	973	9	6.92	11.72	81.10

RECEIVING YARDS PER GAME

RK	PLAYER	TEAM	POS	CL	G	CT	YDS	TD	REC/GM	YDS/CT	YDS/GM
1.	J.R. Tolver	San Diego State	WR	Sr.	13	128	1785	13	9.85	13.95	137.31
2.	Nate Burleson	Nevada	WR	Sr.	12	138	1629	12	11.50	11.80	135.75
3.	Rashaun Woods	Oklahoma State	WR	Jr.	12	98	1531	16	8.17	15.62	127.58
4.	Kassim Osgood	San Diego State	WR	Sr.	13	108	1552	8	8.31	14.37	119.38
5.	Reggie Williams	Washington	WR	So.	12	89	1390	11	7.42	15.62	115.83
6.	Bobby Wade	Arizona	WR	Sr.	12	93	1389	8	7.75	14.94	115.75
7.	Kevin Curtis	Utah State	WR	Sr.	11	74	1258	9	6.73	17.00	114.36
8.	Kevin Walter	Eastern Michigan	WR	Sr.	12	93	1368	9	7.75	14.71	114.00
9.	Charles Rogers	Michigan State	WR	Jr.	12	68	1351	13	5.70	19.90	112.58
10.	Doug Gabriel	UCF	WR	Sr.	12	75	1237	11	6.25	16.50	103.08

PASSING EFFICIENCY

(MIN 15 ATT PER GAME)

RK	PLAYER	TEAM	CL	G	ATT	COMP	PCT	INT	YDS	TD	RATING
1.	Brad Banks	Iowa	Sr.	12	258	155	60.08	4	2369	25	166.1
2.	Byron Leftwich	Marshall	Sr.	11	447	309	69.13	9	4019	26	159.8
3.	Brian Jones	Toledo	Sr.	13	382	270	70.68	7	3115	21	153.7
4.	Ryan Schneider	UCF	Jr.	12	430	265	61.63	16	3770	31	151.6
5.	Jason Gesser	Washington State	Sr.	12	368	219	59.51	11	3169	27	150.1
6.	Carson Palmer	USC	Sr.	12	458	288	62.88	10	3639	32	148.3
7.	Craig Krenzler	Ohio State	Jr.	13	228	141	61.84	5	1988	12	148.1
8.	Ken Dorsey	Miami (Fla.)	Sr.	12	350	194	55.43	10	3073	26	148.0
9.	Matt Schaub	Virginia	Jr.	13	396	272	68.69	7	2794	27	146.9
10.	Scott McBrien	Maryland	Jr.	13	265	151	56.98	10	2377	15	143.5

RUSHING

RK	PLAYER	TEAM	POS	CL	G	CAR	NET	TD	AVG	YDS/GM
1.	Larry Johnson	Penn State	RB	Sr.	12	251	2015	20	8.03	167.92
2.	Michael Turner	Northern Illinois	RB	Jr.	12	338	1915	19	5.67	159.58
3.	Chris Brown	Colorado	RB	Jr.	12	275	1744	18	6.34	145.33
4.	Willis McGahee	Miami (Fla.)	RB	So.	12	262	1686	27	6.44	140.50
5.	Steven Jackson	Oregon State	RB	So.	12	300	1656	15	5.52	138.00
6.	Marcus Merriweather	Ball State	RB	Sr.	12	332	1618	12	4.87	134.83
7.	Quentin Griffin	Oklahoma	RB	Sr.	13	257	1740	14	6.77	133.85
8.	Avon Cobourne	West Virginia	RB	Sr.	12	310	1593	15	5.14	132.75
9.	Joffrey Reynolds	Houston	RB	Sr.	12	316	1545	11	4.89	128.75
10.	Bruck Forsey	Brice State	RB	Sr.	12	271	1533	23	5.66	127.75

INDEX OF COLLEGE TEAMS

TEAM	PREVIEW	10-YR	TEAM	PREVIEW	10-YR	TEAM	PREVIEW	10-YR	TEAM	PREVIEW	10-YR
Air Force	83	131	Georgia	101	163	Missouri	35	195	Stanford	93	226
Akron	72	132	Georgia Tech	25	164	Navy	126	196	Syracuse	44	227
Alabama	99	133	Hawaii	120	165	Nebraska	35	197	Temple	45	228
Arizona	90	134	Houston	61	166	Nevada	121	198	Tennessee	104	229
Arizona State	90	135	Idaho	109	167	New Mexico	84	199	Texas	37	230
Arkansas	99	136	Illinois	50	168	New Mexico State	114	200	Texas A&M	38	231
Arkansas State	109	137	Indiana	50	169	North Carolina	26	202	Texas Christian	66	232
Army	60	138	Iowa	51	170	North Carolina State	27	203	Texas-El Paso	123	233
Auburn	100	139	Iowa State	33	172	North Texas	114	204	Texas Tech	38	234
Ball State	72	140	Kansas	34	173	Northern Illinois	77	205	Toledo	78	235
Baylor	32	142	Kansas State	34	174	Northwestern	54	206	Troy State	127	236
Boise State	119	143	Kent State	75	175	Notre Dame	127	207	Tulane	67	237
Boston College	42	144	Kentucky	102	176	Ohio	78	208	Tulsa	123	238
Bowling Green	73	145	Louisiana-Lafayette	112	177	Ohio State	54	209	UAB	67	239
Buffalo	74	146	Louisiana-Monroe	112	178	Oklahoma	36	210	UCF	79	240
BYU	83	147	Louisiana Tech	120	179	Oklahoma State	36	212	UCLA	94	241
California	91	148	Louisville	64	180	Oregon	92	213	UNLV	85	242
Central Michigan	74	149	LSU	102	182	Oregon State	92	214	USC	94	243
Cincinnati	60	150	Marshall	76	183	Penn State	55	215	Utah	86	244
Clemson	24	151	Maryland	26	184	Pittsburgh	43	216	Utah State	115	245
Colorado	32	152	Memphis	64	185	Purdue	56	217	Vanderbilt	105	246
Colorado State	84	153	Miami (Fla.)	42	186	Rice	121	218	Virginia	28	247
Connecticut	126	154	Miami (Ohio)	76	187	Rutgers	44	219	Virginia Tech	46	248
Duke	24	155	Michigan	52	188	San Diego State	85	220	Wake Forest	28	249
East Carolina	61	156	Michigan State	52	189	San Jose State	122	221	Washington	95	250
Eastern Michigan	75	157	Middle Tennessee	113	190	SMU	122	222	Washington State	95	251
Florida	100	158	Minnesota	53	192	South Carolina	104	223	West Virginia	46	252
Florida State	25	159	Mississippi	103	193	South Florida	65	224	Western Michigan	79	253
Fresno State	119	162	Mississippi State	103	194	Southern Mississippi	66	225	Wisconsin	56	254
									Wyoming	86	255

ORDER
Your Subscription
NOW!



Featuring

The Official International Rotation

Don't let the 2003 Football season pass you by without your subscription to

JIM FEIST'S NEVADA SPORTS SCHEDULE.

Order now and see who's playing who, when and where, every game, every day.

NAME: _____ # OF COPIES: _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP: _____

TELEPHONE: _____

AMOUNT ENCLOSED: _____

☐ Check

☐ VISA

☐ Money Order

☐ Master Card

ACCOUNT #: _____ EXP. DATE: _____

SIGNATURE: _____

Signature and Phone number required for credit card orders.

Send coupon to: NEVADA SPORTS SCHEDULE, 3140 S. Polaris Ave., #24, Las Vegas, NV 89102


Call: 1.800.621.6256 or Fax: 702-251-7743

Shipping and Handling is your only charge for SIX Football Issues!

Or take 20% Off for an Annual Subscription!

1	Copy per issue	\$12.00	1	Copy per issue	\$40.00
2	Copies per issue	\$19.50	2	Copies per issue	\$65.00
3	Copies per issue	\$27.00	3	Copies per issue	\$115.00
4	Copies per issue	\$34.50	4	Copies per issue	\$144.00
5	Copies per issue	\$51.00	5	Copies per issue	\$170.00
10	Copies per issue	\$66.00	10	Copies per issue	\$220.00
15	Copies per issue	\$99.00	15	Copies per issue	\$331.20
20	Copies per issue	\$132.50	20	Copies per issue	\$441.60

Call for larger orders and international rates.



BLAZING HOT ACTION

WWW.ISLANDCLUBCASINO.COM

Island Club Casino & Sports Book is the internet division of the Island Club Casino Limited, an exquisite offshore casino established since 1987. Our staff has over forty years of experience in the Gaming Industry and we're registered with IGC. We are here to provide you with a convenient and secure avenue through which to enjoy sport wagering. So hurry up and **Sign Up Now**. No matter what the sport, Island Club Casino & Sports Book has the line for you.....

Becoming a member of Island Club Casino & Sports Book is easy. Simply click on the "Join" button on the left hand navigation menu. This will bring you to the Terms and Conditions of Membership. Please be sure to read the terms and conditions thoroughly and then click "I agree" after doing so.

State of the art online Sports Betting
Strict Confidentiality
Fast/Reliable Payouts
Friendly Customer Service

For more information call TOLL FREE

1 877 346 3336

FOOTBALL
BASKETBALL
BASEBALL
HOCKEY


SOCCER
TENNIS
BOXING
AUTO RACING

Most Competitive
SIGN-UP BONUS
in the industry

Parlays Teasers/Other exotic bets available.

Check Out Our
**REFERRAL
BONUS**

BLAZING HOT
ACTION
"ROUND THE CLOCK"



Your best bet is right here...

ICC SPORTSBOOK

PARLAYS

Standard parlay odds for football are listed in the table below. These odds are given if all selections in the parlay hold a price of -110. If the prices vary in the parlay, odds will be calculated and shown when placing the wager.

Parlay Rules

Any one loss constitutes a loss. In the next case of a push, the parlay reverts to the next lower level. For example, a 4 team parlay becomes a 3 team parlay, etc.

With a two team parlay, a push reverts the parlay to a straight bet on the remaining selection.



**BLAZING HOT
ACTION**
"ROUND THE CLOCK"

**LIVE
ACTION HERE**

FOOTBALL

2 Teams	13/5
3 Teams	6/1
4 Teams	10/1
5 Teams	20/1
6 Teams	40/1
7 Teams	75/1
8 Teams	150/1

Maximum payout is \$15,000. Per Play

TEASERS

A teaser is a combination of two or more teams where the spread or total is moved in your favor by various amount of points depending on the sport.

Teaser Rules

Any one loss constitutes a loss. If a selection in the teaser is a push, the teaser will drop to the next lower level if a lower level is offered for that particular teaser. A push in a two-team teaser without a loss will make the entire bet a push.

FOOTBALL

Play Type	6 Points	6.5 Points	7 Points
2 Teams	10/12	10/13	10/14
3 Teams	8/5	3/2	7/5
4 Teams	5/2	2/1	9/5
5 Teams	4/1	7/2	3/1
6 Teams	6/1	5/1	4/1
7 Teams	8/1	7/1	6/1
8 Teams	12/1	10/1	8/1

3 TEAM TEN POINT "SWEETHEART TEASER"
Player lays 12 to win 10 - TIES LOSE

Log on to our gaming site

WWW.CASINOVIRTUOSO.COM

Referral Bonus


Initial Deposit Bonus

Match Bonus

Random Credit Bonus



BETONSPORTS.COM



SAFETY

LICENSED IN IRELAND,
UNITED KINGDOM,
ANTIGUA & COSTA RICA.

AVAILABILITY

OPEN 24 HOURS A DAY
7 DAYS A WEEK

SECURITY

FINANCIALLY SOLID

RELIABILITY

OVER A DECADE
OF STABILITY

WHY BET ANYWHERE ELSE?

INSIDERS'
FOOTBALL
BETTING GUIDE

BoS IS RATED #1 SPORTSBOOK
1999-2003, 5 CONSECUTIVE YEARS
www.insidersfbg.com



TOP SPORTSBOOK IN THE WORLD
International Sportsbooks Council
www.isbcouncil.com

OSGA
Offshore Gaming Association

RANKED #1 SPORTSBOOK
IN THE WORLD
www.osga.com

BoS
BoSCASINO.COM

Bet Online:

www.betonsports.com

Alternate website: www.betonsports.co.cr

Bet over the Phone:

1-888-999-9BET (238)

Local Number: 011-506-234-4515

VOID WHERE PROHIBITED BY LAW